

# Chapter 1

## Model Diagnostics

### 1.1 Model Validation

Model validation is possibly the most important step in the model building sequence. It is also one of the most overlooked. Often the validation of a model seems to consist of nothing more than quoting the  $R^2$  statistic from the fit (which measures the fraction of the total variability in the response that is accounted for by the model). Unfortunately, a high  $R^2$  value does not guarantee that the model fits the data well. Use of a model that does not fit the data well cannot provide good answers to the underlying engineering or scientific questions under investigation.

#### 1.1.1 Why Use Residuals?

If the model fit to the data were correct, the residuals would approximate the random errors that make the relationship between the explanatory variables and the response variable a statistical relationship. Therefore, if the residuals appear to behave randomly, it suggests that the model fits the data well. On the other hand, if non-random structure is evident in the residuals, it is a clear sign that the model fits the data poorly. The subsections listed below detail the types of plots to use to test different aspects of a model and give guidance on the correct interpretations of different results

that could be observed for each type of plot.

### 1.1.2 Introduction

In statistics and optimization, statistical errors and residuals are two closely related and easily confused measures of the deviation of an observed value of an element of a statistical sample from its "theoretical value". The error (or disturbance) of an observed value is the deviation of the observed value from the (unobservable) true function value, while the residual of an observed value is the difference between the observed value and the estimated function value.

The distinction is most important in regression analysis, where it leads to the concept of studentized residuals.

### 1.1.3 Residual

Residual (or error) represents unexplained (or residual) variation after fitting a regression model. It is the difference (or left over) between the observed value of the variable and the value suggested by the regression model.

The difference between the observed value of the dependent variable ( $y$ ) and the predicted value ( $\hat{y}$ ) is called the residual ( $e$ ). Each data point has one residual.

Residual = Observed value - Predicted value

$$e = y - \hat{y}$$

Both the sum and the mean of the residuals are equal to zero. That is,  $\sum e = 0$  and  $\bar{e} = 0$ .

### 1.1.4 Residual

A residual (or fitting error), on the other hand, is an observable estimate of the unobservable statistical error. Consider the previous example with men's heights and

suppose we have a random sample of  $n$  people. The sample mean could serve as a good estimator of the population mean. Then we have:

The difference between the height of each man in the sample and the unobservable population mean is a statistical error, whereas The difference between the height of each man in the sample and the observable sample mean is a residual. Note that the sum of the residuals within a random sample is necessarily zero, and thus the residuals are necessarily not independent. The statistical errors on the other hand are independent, and their sum within the random sample is almost surely not zero.

Other uses of the word "error" in statistics:

The use of the term "error" as discussed in the sections above is in the sense of a deviation of a value from a hypothetical unobserved value. At least two other uses also occur in statistics, both referring to observable prediction errors:

- Mean square error or mean squared error (abbreviated MSE) and root mean square error (RMSE) refer to the amount by which the values predicted by an estimator differ from the quantities being estimated (typically outside the sample from which the model was estimated).
- Sum of squared errors, typically abbreviated SSE or SSe, refers to the residual sum of squares (the sum of squared residuals) of a regression; this is the sum of the squares of the deviations of the actual values from the predicted values, within the sample used for estimation. Likewise, the sum of absolute errors (SAE) refers to the sum of the absolute values of the residuals, which is minimized in the least absolute deviations approach to regression.

### 1.1.5 Influence Diagnostics: Basic Idea and Statistics

The general idea of quantifying the influence of one or more observations relies on computing parameter estimates based on all data points, removing the cases in question from the data, refitting the model, and computing statistics based on the change between full-data and reduced-data estimation.

### 1.1.6 Standardization

A random variable is said to be standardized if the difference from its mean is scaled by its standard deviation. The residuals above have mean zero but their variance is unknown, it depends on the true values of  $\theta$ . Standardization is thus not possible in practice.

### 1.1.7 Studentization

Instead, you can compute studentized residuals by dividing a residual by an estimate of its standard deviation.

### 1.1.8 Internal and External Studentization

If that estimate is independent of the  $i$ -th observation, the process is termed ‘external studentization’. This is usually accomplished by excluding the  $i$ -th observation when computing the estimate of its standard error. If the observation contributes to the standard error computation, the residual is said to be internally studentized.

Externally studentized residual require iterative influence analysis or a profiled residuals variance.

### 1.1.9 Computation

The computation of internally studentized residuals relies on the diagonal entries of  $\mathbf{V}(\hat{\theta}) - \mathbf{Q}(\hat{\theta})$ , where  $\mathbf{Q}(\hat{\theta})$  is computed as

$$\mathbf{Q}(\hat{\theta}) = \mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{Q}(\hat{\theta})^{-1}\mathbf{X})\mathbf{X}^{-1}$$

### 1.1.10 Standardized and studentized residuals

To alleviate the problem caused by inconstant variance, the residuals are scaled (i.e. divided) by their standard deviations. This results in a ‘standardized residual’. Because true standard deviations are frequently unknown, one can instead divide a residual by the estimated standard deviation to obtain the ‘studentized residual’.

### 1.1.11 Internal and External Studentization

If that estimate is independent of the  $i$ –th observation, the process is termed ‘external studentization’. This is usually accomplished by excluding the  $i$ –th observation when computing the estimate of its standard error. If the observation contributes to the standard error computation, the residual is said to be internally studentized.

Externally studentized residual require iterative influence analysis or a profiled residuals variance.

### 1.1.12 Computation

The computation of internally studentized residuals relies on the diagonal entries of  $V(\hat{\theta}) - Q(\hat{\theta})$ , where  $Q(\hat{\theta})$  is computed as

$$Q(\hat{\theta}) = \mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{Q}(\hat{\theta})^{-1}\mathbf{X})\mathbf{X}^{-1}$$

### 1.1.13 Pearson Residual

Another possible scaled residual is the ‘Pearson residual’, whereby a residual is divided by the standard deviation of the dependent variable. The Pearson residual can be used when the variability of  $\hat{\beta}$  is disregarded in the underlying assumptions.

### 1.1.14 Standardized and studentized residuals

Externally studentized residual require iterative influence analysis or a profiled residuals variance.

### 1.1.15 Computation

The computation of internally studentized residuals relies on the diagonal entries of  $V(\hat{\theta}) - Q(\hat{\theta})$ , where  $Q(\hat{\theta})$  is computed as

$$Q(\hat{\theta}) = X(X'Q(\hat{\theta})^{-1}X)X^{-1}$$

### 1.1.16 Pearson Residual

Another possible scaled residual is the ‘Pearson residual’, whereby a residual is divided by the standard deviation of the dependent variable. The Pearson residual can be used when the variability of  $\hat{\beta}$  is disregarded in the underlying assumptions.

### 1.1.17 Covariance Parameters

The unknown variance elements are referred to as the covariance parameters and collected in the vector  $\theta$ .

### 1.1.18 Computation

The computation of internally studentized residuals relies on the diagonal entries of  $V(\hat{\theta}) - Q(\hat{\theta})$ , where  $Q(\hat{\theta})$  is computed as

$$Q(\hat{\theta}) = \mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{Q}(\hat{\theta})^{-1}\mathbf{X})\mathbf{X}^{-1}$$

### 1.1.19 Studentization

In statistics, a studentized residual is the quotient resulting from the division of a residual by an estimate of its standard deviation. Typically the standard deviations of residuals in a sample vary greatly from one data point to another even when the errors all have the same standard deviation, particularly in regression analysis; thus it does not make sense to compare residuals at different data points without first studentizing. It is a form of a Student's t-statistic, with the estimate of error varying between points.

This is an important technique in the detection of outliers. It is named in honor of William Sealey Gosset, who wrote under the pseudonym Student, and dividing by an estimate of scale is called studentizing, in analogy with standardizing and normalizing: see Studentization.

## 1.2 Covariance Parameters

The unknown variance elements are referred to as the covariance parameters and collected in the vector  $\theta$ .

### 1.2.1 Pearson Residual

Another possible scaled residual is the 'Pearson residual', whereby a residual is divided by the standard deviation of the dependent variable. The Pearson residual can be used when the variability of  $\hat{\beta}$  is disregarded in the underlying assumptions.

## 1.3 Standardized and studentized residuals

To alleviate the problem caused by inconstant variance, the residuals are scaled (i.e. divided) by their standard deviations. This results in a 'standardized residual'. Because true standard deviations are frequently unknown, one can instead divide a residual by the estimated standard deviation to obtain the 'studentized residual'.



### 1.3.1 Standardization

A random variable is said to be standardized if the difference from its mean is scaled by its standard deviation. The residuals above have mean zero but their variance is unknown, it depends on the true values of  $\theta$ . Standardization is thus not possible in practice.

### 1.3.2 Studentization

Instead, you can compute studentized residuals by dividing a residual by an estimate of its standard deviation.

### 1.3.3 Internal and External Studentization

If that estimate is independent of the  $i$ -th observation, the process is termed ‘external studentization’. This is usually accomplished by excluding the  $i$ -th observation when computing the estimate of its standard error. If the observation contributes to the standard error computation, the residual is said to be internally studentized.

Externally studentized residual require iterative influence analysis or a profiled residuals variance.

### 1.3.4 Computation

The computation of internally studentized residuals relies on the diagonal entries of  $V(\hat{\theta}) - Q(\hat{\theta})$ , where  $Q(\hat{\theta})$  is computed as

$$Q(\hat{\theta}) = X(X'Q(\hat{\theta})^{-1}X)X^{-1}$$

### 1.3.5 Pearson Residual

Another possible scaled residual is the ‘Pearson residual’, whereby a residual is divided by the standard deviation of the dependent variable. The Pearson residual can be used when the variability of  $\hat{\beta}$  is disregarded in the underlying assumptions.

## 1.4 Introduction to Residuals

The difference between the observed value of the dependent variable ( $y$ ) and the predicted value ( $\hat{y}$ ) is called the **residual** ( $e$ ). Each data point has one residual.

$$\text{Residual} = \text{Observed value} - \text{Predicted value}$$

$$e = y - \hat{y}$$

Both the sum and the mean of the residuals are equal to zero.

### 1.4.1 Residual Plots

A residual plot is a graph that shows the residuals on the vertical axis and the independent variable on the horizontal axis. If the points in a residual plot are randomly dispersed around the horizontal axis, a linear regression model is appropriate for the data; otherwise, a non-linear model is more appropriate.

Below the table on the left shows inputs and outputs from a simple linear regression analysis, and the chart on the right displays the residual ( $e$ ) and independent variable ( $X$ ) as a residual plot.

The residual plot shows a fairly random pattern - the first residual is positive, the next two are negative, the fourth is positive, and the last residual is negative. This random pattern indicates that a linear model provides a decent fit to the data.

Below, the residual plots show three typical patterns. The first plot shows a random pattern, indicating a good fit for a linear model. The other plot patterns are non-random (U-shaped and inverted U), suggesting a better fit for a non-linear model.

In the next lesson, we will work on a problem, where the residual plot shows a non-random pattern. And we will show how to "transform" the data to use a linear model with nonlinear data.

In the graph above, you can predict non-zero values for the residuals based on the fitted value. For example, a fitted value of 8 has an expected residual that is negative. Conversely, a fitted value of 5 or 11 has an expected residual that is positive.

The non-random pattern in the residuals indicates that the deterministic portion (predictor variables) of the model is not capturing some explanatory information that is leaking into the residuals. The graph could represent several ways in which the model is not explaining all that is possible.

Possibilities include:

- A missing variable
- A missing higher-order term of a variable in the model to explain the curvature
- A missing interaction between terms already in the model

Identifying and fixing the problem so that the predictors now explain the information that they missed before should produce a good-looking set of residuals!

In addition to the above, here are two more specific ways that predictive information can sneak into the residuals:

The residuals should not be correlated with another variable. If you can predict the residuals with another variable, that variable should be included in the model. In Minitabs regression, you can plot the residuals by other variables to look for this problem.

## **Autocorrelation**

Adjacent residuals should not be correlated with each other (**autocorrelation**). If you can use one residual to predict the next residual, there is some predictive information present that is not captured by the predictors. Typically, this situation involves time-ordered observations. For example, if a residual is more likely to be followed by another residual that has the same sign, adjacent residuals are positively correlated. You can

include a variable that captures the relevant time-related information, or use a time series analysis.

In Minitabs regression, you can perform the ***Durbin-Watson*** test to test for autocorrelation.

## 1.5 Leverage and Influence

### 1.5.1 Summary of Influence Statistics

- **Studentized Residuals** Residuals divided by their estimated standard errors (like t-statistics). Observations with values larger than 3 in absolute value are considered outliers.
- **Leverage Values (Hat Diag)** Measure of how far an observation is from the others in terms of the levels of the independent variables (not the dependent variable). Observations with values larger than  $2(k + 1)/n$  are considered to be potentially highly influential, where  $k$  is the number of predictors and  $n$  is the sample size.
- **DFBETS** Measure of how much an observation has effected its fitted value from the regression model. Values larger than  $2\sqrt{(k + 1)/n}$  in absolute value are considered highly influential.
- **DFBETAS** Measure of how much an observation has effected the estimate of a regression coefficient (there is one DFBETA for each regression coefficient, including the intercept). Values larger than  $2/\sqrt{n}$  in absolute value are considered highly influential.

The measure that measures how much impact each observation has on a particular predictor is DFBETAs The DFBETA for a predictor and for a particular observation is the difference between the regression coefficient calculated for all of the data and the regression coefficient calculated with the observation deleted, scaled by the standard error calculated with the observation deleted.

- **Cooks D** Measure of aggregate impact of each observation on the group of regression coefficients, as well as the group of fitted values. Values larger than  $4/n$  are considered highly influential.

### **1.5.2 Influential Observations: DFBeta and DFBetas**

### **1.5.3 Cook's Distance**

## 1.6 Diagnostic Plots for Linear Models with R

Plot Diagnostics for an `lm` Object

### 1.6.1 Description

Six plots (selectable by `which`) are currently available:

1. a plot of residuals against fitted values,
2. a Scale-Location plot of  $\sqrt{|\text{residuals}|}$  against fitted values,
3. a Normal Q-Q plot,
4. a plot of Cook's distances versus row labels,
5. a plot of residuals against leverages,
6. a plot of Cook's distances against leverage/(1-leverage).

By default, the first three and 5 are provided.

- The **Scale-Location** plot, also called Spread-Location or S-L plot, takes the square root of the absolute residuals in order to diminish skewness ( $\sqrt{|\text{residuals}|}$  is much less skewed than  $|\text{residuals}|$  for Gaussian zero-mean  $E$ ).
- The **Residual-Leverage** plot shows contours of equal Cook's distance, for values of `cook.levels` (by default 0.5 and 1) and omits cases with leverage one with a warning. If the leverages are constant (as is typically the case in a balanced aov situation) the plot uses factor level combinations instead of the leverages for the x-axis. (The factor levels are ordered by mean fitted value.)

```
par(mfrow=c(4,1))
plot(fittedmodel)
par(opar)
```



# Chapter 2

## Influence Diagnostics

### Basic Idea and Statistics

The general idea of quantifying the influence of one or more observations relies on computing parameter estimates based on all data points, removing the cases in question from the data, refitting the model, and computing statistics based on the change between full-data and reduced-data estimation. Influence statistics can be coarsely grouped by the aspect of estimation that is their primary target:

- influence on fitted and predicted values: PRESS residual, PRESS statistic (Allen 1974), DFFITS (Belsley, Kuh, and Welsch 1980, p. 15)
- influence on parameter estimates: Cooks (Cook 1977, 1979), MDFFITS (Belsley, Kuh, and Welsch 1980, p. 32)
- influence on precision of estimates: CovRatio and CovTrace
- outlier properties: internally and externally studentized residuals, leverage
- overall measures compare changes in objective functions: (restricted) likelihood distance (Cook and Weisberg 1982, Ch. 5.2)

For linear models for uncorrelated data, it is not necessary to refit the model after removing a data point in order to measure the impact of an observation on the

model. The change in fixed effect estimates, residuals, residual sums of squares, and the variance-covariance matrix of the fixed effects can be computed based on the fit to the full data alone. By contrast, in mixed models several important complications arise. Data points can affect not only the fixed effects but also the covariance parameter estimates on which the fixed-effects estimates depend. Furthermore, closed-form expressions for computing the change in important model quantities might not be available.

This section provides background material for the various influence diagnostics available with the MIXED procedure. See the section Mixed Models Theory for relevant expressions and definitions. The parameter vector denotes all unknown parameters in the and matrix.

The observations whose influence is being ascertained are represented by the set and referred to simply as "the observations in ." The estimate of a parameter vector, such as , obtained from all observations except those in the set is denoted . In case of a matrix , the notation represents the matrix with the rows in removed; these rows are collected in . If is symmetric, then notation implies removal of rows and columns. The vector comprises the responses of the data points being removed, and is the variance-covariance matrix of the remaining observations. When , lowercase notation emphasizes that single points are removed, such as .

## 2.1 Robust Regression (Optional Section)

## 2.2 Residual Analysis for GLMs (Optional Section)

### 2.2.1 Pearson and Deviance Residuals

The **deviance residual** is the measure of deviance contributed from each observation and is given by

$$r_{Di} = \text{sign}(r_i)\sqrt{d_i}$$

where  $d_i$  is the individual deviance contribution. The deviance residuals can be used to check the model fit at each observation for generalized linear models.

The standardized and studentized deviance residuals are

$$r_{Dsi} = \frac{r_{Di}}{\sqrt{\hat{\phi}(1 - h_i)}}$$
$$r_{Dti} = \frac{r_{Di}}{\sqrt{\hat{\phi}_{(i)}(1 - h_i)}}$$

### 2.2.2 Diagnostics for Logistic Regression

### 2.2.3 Diagnostics for Poisson Regression

## **2.3 Residual Analysis for LME Models**

### **2.3.1 Likelihood Distance**

## **2.4 Introduction**

In classical linear models model diagnostics have been become a required part of any statistical analysis, and the methods are commonly available in statistical packages and standard textbooks on applied regression. However it has been noted by several papers that model diagnostics do not often accompany LME model analyses. Model diagnostic techniques determine whether or not the distributional assumptions are satisfied, and to assess the influence of unusual observations.

### **2.4.1 Model Data Agreement**

? describes the examination of model-data agreement as comprising several elements; residual analysis, goodness of fit, collinearity diagnostics and influence analysis.

## 2.5 Residual diagnostics

For classical linear models, residual diagnostics are typically implemented as a plot of the observed residuals and the predicted values. A visual inspection for the presence of trends inform the analyst on the validity of distributional assumptions, and to detect outliers and influential observations.

### Residuals

Residuals are used to examine model assumptions and to detect outliers and potentially influential data point. The raw residuals  $r_{mi}$  and  $r_{ci}$  are usually not well suited for these purposes.

- Conditional Residuals  $r_{ci}$
- Marginal Residuals  $r_{mi}$
- 

#### 2.5.1 Residuals diagnostics in LME Models

A residual is the difference between an observed quantity and its estimated or predicted value. In LME models, there are two types of residuals, marginal residuals and conditional residuals. In a model without random effects, both sets of residuals coincide. ? provides a useful summary.

- A marginal residual is the difference between the observed data and the estimated (marginal) mean,  $r_{mi} = y_i - x'_0 \hat{b}$
- A conditional residual is the difference between an observed value  $y_i$  and the conditional predicted value  $\hat{y}_i$ ,

$$r_{ci} = y_i - x'_i \hat{b} - z'_i \hat{\gamma}$$

The marginal and conditional means in the linear mixed model are  $E[\mathbf{Y}] = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}$  and  $E[\mathbf{Y}|\mathbf{u}] = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \mathbf{Z}\mathbf{u}$ , respectively.

### 2.5.2 Marginal and Conditional Residuals

A marginal residual is the difference between the observed data and the estimated (marginal) mean,  $r_{mi} = y_i - x'_0\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$ . A conditional residual is the difference between the observed data and the predicted value of the observation,  $r_{ci} = y_i - x'_i\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} - z'_i\hat{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}$ .

In linear mixed effects models, diagnostic techniques may consider ‘conditional’ residuals. A conditional residual is the difference between an observed value  $y_i$  and the conditional predicted value  $\hat{y}_i$ .

$$\epsilon_i = y_i - \hat{y}_i = y_i - (X_i\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} + Z_i\hat{\boldsymbol{\gamma}})$$

However, using conditional residuals for diagnostics presents difficulties, as they tend to be correlated and their variances may be different for different subgroups, which can lead to erroneous conclusions.

$$r_{mi} = x_i^T \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \tag{2.1}$$

### 2.5.3 Marginal residuals

$$y - X\beta = Z\eta + \epsilon$$

- Should be mean 0, but may show grouping structure
- May not be homoskedastic.
- Good for checking fixed effects, just like linear regr.

### 2.5.4 Conditional residuals

$$y - X\beta - Z\eta = \epsilon$$

- Should be mean zero with no grouping structure
- Should be homoscedastic.
- Good for checking normality of outliers

## 2.6 Residuals diagnostics in LME Models

The marginal and conditional means in the linear mixed model are  $E[\mathbf{Y}] = \mathbf{X}\beta$  and  $E[\mathbf{Y}|\mathbf{u}] = \mathbf{X}\beta + \mathbf{Z}\mathbf{u}$ , respectively.

$$r_{mi} = x_i^T \hat{\beta} \tag{2.2}$$

## 2.7 Distinction From Linear Models

- The differences between perturbation and residual analysis in the linear model and the linear mixed model are connected to the important facts that  $b$  and  $b$  depend on the estimates of the covariance parameters, that  $b$  has the form of an (estimated) generalized least squares (GLS) estimator, and that  $b$  is a random vector.
- In a mixed model, you can consider the data in a conditional and an unconditional sense. If you imagine a particular realization of the random effects, then you are considering the conditional distribution  $Y$ —
- If you are interested in quantities averaged over all possible values of the random effects, then you are interested in  $Y$ ; this is called the marginal formulation. In a clinical trial, for example, you may be interested in drug efficacy for a particular patient. If random effects vary by patient, that is a conditional problem. If you are interested in the drug efficacy in the population of all patients, you are using a marginal formulation. Correspondingly, there will be conditional and marginal residuals, for example.
- The estimates of the fixed effects depend on the estimates of the covariance parameters. If you are interested in determining the influence of an observation on the analysis, you must determine whether this is influence on the fixed effects for a given value of the covariance parameters, influence on the covariance parameters, or influence on both.
- Mixed models are often used to analyze repeated measures and longitudinal data. The natural experimental or sampling unit in those studies is the entity that is repeatedly observed, rather than each individual repeated observation. For example, you may be analyzing monthly purchase records by customer.



- An influential data point is then not necessarily a single purchase. You are probably more interested in determining the influential customer. This requires that you can measure the influence of sets of observations on the analysis, not just influence of individual observations.
- The computation of case deletion diagnostics in the classical model is made simple by the fact that model. Such update formulas are available in the mixed model only if you assume that the covariance parameters are not affected by the removal of the observation in question. This is rarely a reasonable assumption.
- The application of well-known concepts in model-data diagnostics to the mixed model can produce results that are at first counter-intuitive, since our understanding is steeped in the ordinary least squares (OLS) framework. As a consequence, we need to revisit these important concepts, ask whether they are portable to the mixed model, and gain new appreciation for their changed properties. An important example is the ostensibly simple concept of leverage.
- The definition of leverage adopted by the MIXED procedure can, in some instances, produce negative values, which are mathematically impossible in OLS. Other measures that have been proposed may be non-negative, but trade other advantages. Another example are properties of residuals. While OLS residuals necessarily sum to zero in any model (with intercept), this not true of the residuals in many mixed models.

## 2.8 Residual diagnostics

For classical linear models, residual diagnostics are typically implemented as a plot of the observed residuals and the predicted values. A visual inspection for the presence of trends inform the analyst on the validity of distributional assumptions, and to detect outliers and influential observations.

### 2.8.1 Residuals diagnostics in mixed models

The marginal and conditional means in the linear mixed model are  $E[\mathbf{Y}] = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}$  and  $E[\mathbf{Y}|\mathbf{u}] = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \mathbf{Z}\mathbf{u}$ , respectively.

A residual is the difference between an observed quantity and its estimated or predicted value. In the mixed model you can distinguish marginal residuals  $r_m$  and conditional residuals  $r_c$ .

$$r_{mi} = x_i^T \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \quad (2.3)$$

### 2.8.2 Marginal Residuals

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} &= (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{R}^{-1} \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{R}^{-1} \mathbf{Y} \\ &= \mathbf{B} \mathbf{Y} \end{aligned}$$

## Conditional and Marginal Residuals

Conditional residuals include contributions from both fixed and random effects, whereas marginal residuals include contribution from only fixed effects.

Suppose the linear mixed-effects model lme has an  $n$ -by- $p$  fixed-effects design matrix  $\mathbf{X}$  and an  $n$ -by- $q$  random-effects design matrix  $\mathbf{Z}$ .

Also, suppose the  $p$ -by-1 estimated fixed-effects vector is  $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$ , and the  $q$ -by-1 estimated best linear unbiased predictor (BLUP) vector of random effects is  $\hat{\mathbf{b}}$ . The fitted

conditional response is

$$\hat{y}_{Cond} = X\hat{\beta} + Z\hat{b},$$

and the fitted marginal response is

$$\hat{y}_{Mar} = X\hat{\beta}.$$

residuals can return three types of residuals: raw, Pearson, and standardized.

For any type, you can compute the conditional or the marginal residuals. For example, the conditional raw residual is

$$r_{Cond} = yX\hat{\beta}Z\hat{b},$$

and the marginal raw residual is

$$r_{Mar} = yX\hat{\beta}.$$

For more information on other types of residuals, see the `ResidualType` name-value pair argument.

### 2.8.3 Residuals diagnostics in mixed models

The marginal and conditional means in the linear mixed model are  $E[\mathbf{Y}] = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}$  and  $E[\mathbf{Y}|\mathbf{u}] = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \mathbf{Z}\mathbf{u}$ , respectively.

A residual is the difference between an observed quantity and its estimated or predicted value. In the mixed model you can distinguish marginal residuals  $r_m$  and conditional residuals  $r_c$ .

$$r_{mi} = x_i^T \hat{\beta} \tag{2.4}$$

### 2.8.4 Marginal Residuals

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\beta} &= (X^T R^{-1} X)^{-1} X^T R^{-1} Y \\ &= BY \end{aligned}$$

## 2.9 Conditional and Marginal Residuals

Conditional residuals include contributions from both fixed and random effects, whereas marginal residuals include contribution from only fixed effects.

Suppose the linear mixed-effects model `lme` has an  $n \times p$  fixed-effects design matrix  $\mathbf{X}$  and an  $n \times q$  random-effects design matrix  $\mathbf{Z}$ .

Also, suppose the p-by-1 estimated fixed-effects vector is  $\hat{\beta}$ , and the q-by-1 estimated best linear unbiased predictor (BLUP) vector of random effects is  $\hat{b}$ . The fitted conditional response is

$$\hat{y}_{Cond} = X\hat{\beta} + Z\hat{b}$$

and the fitted marginal response is

$$\hat{y}_{Mar} = X\hat{\beta}$$

residuals can return three types of residuals:

- raw,
- Pearson, and
- standardized.

For any type, you can compute the conditional or the marginal residuals. For example, the conditional raw residual is

$$r_{Cond} = y - X\hat{\beta} - Z\hat{b}$$

and the marginal raw residual is

$$r_{Mar} = y - X\hat{\beta}$$

Cox and Snell (1968, JRSS-B): general definition of residuals for models with single source of variability Hilden-Minton (1995, PhD thesis UCLA), Verbeke and Lesaffre (1997, CSDA) or Pinheiro and Bates (2000, Springer): extension to define three types of residuals that accommodate the extra source of variability present in linear mixed models, namely:

- i) Marginal residuals,  
predictors of marginal errors,
- ii) Conditional residuals,

$$be = yX\hat{\beta}Zbb = \hat{\sigma}Q\hat{y}$$

, predictors of conditional errors

$$e = yE[y|b] = yX\beta Zb$$

- iii) BLUP,  $Zbb$ , predictors of random effects,

$$Zb = E[y|b]E[y]$$

## Random effects

$$y - X\beta - \epsilon = Z\eta$$

- Should be mean zero with no grouping structure
- May not be be homoscedastic.

## 2.10 Confounded Residuals

Hilden-Minton (1995, PhD thesis, UCLA): residual is pure for a specific type of error if it depends only on the fixed components and on the error that it is supposed to predict  
Residuals that depend on other types of errors are called ***confounded residuals***

### 2.10.1 Residuals diagnostics in mixed models

The marginal and conditional means in the linear mixed model are  $E[\mathbf{Y}] = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}$  and  $E[\mathbf{Y}|\mathbf{u}] = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \mathbf{Z}\mathbf{u}$ , respectively.

A residual is the difference between an observed quantity and its estimated or predicted value. In the mixed model you can distinguish marginal residuals  $r_m$  and conditional residuals  $r_c$ .

### 2.10.2 Marginal and Conditional Residuals

A marginal residual is the difference between the observed data and the estimated (marginal) mean,  $r_{mi} = y_i - x'_0\hat{b}$ . A conditional residual is the difference between the observed data and the predicted value of the observation,  $r_{ci} = y_i - x'_i\hat{b} - z'_i\hat{\gamma}$ .

In linear mixed effects models, diagnostic techniques may consider ‘conditional’ residuals. A conditional residual is the difference between an observed value  $y_i$  and the conditional predicted value  $\hat{y}_i$ .

$$\epsilon_{\hat{y}_i} = y_i - \hat{y}_i = y_i - (X_i\hat{\beta} + Z_i\hat{\gamma})$$

However, using conditional residuals for diagnostics presents difficulties, as they tend to be correlated and their variances may be different for different subgroups, which can lead to erroneous conclusions.

$$r_{mi} = x_i^T \hat{\beta} \tag{2.5}$$

### 2.10.3 Marginal Residuals

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\beta} &= (X^T R^{-1} X)^{-1} X^T R^{-1} Y \\ &= BY \end{aligned}$$

## 2.11 Standardized and studentized residuals

To alleviate the problem caused by inconstant variance, the residuals are scaled (i.e. divided) by their standard deviations. This results in a ‘standardized residual’. Because true standard deviations are frequently unknown, one can instead divide a residual by the estimated standard deviation to obtain the ‘studentized residual’.

### 2.11.1 Standardization

A random variable is said to be standardized if the difference from its mean is scaled by its standard deviation. The residuals above have mean zero but their variance is unknown, it depends on the true values of  $\theta$ . Standardization is thus not possible in practice.

### 2.11.2 Studentization

Instead, you can compute studentized residuals by dividing a residual by an estimate of its standard deviation.

### 2.11.3 Internal and External Studentization

If that estimate is independent of the  $i$ –th observation, the process is termed ‘external studentization’. This is usually accomplished by excluding the  $i$ –th observation when computing the estimate of its standard error. If the observation contributes to the standard error computation, the residual is said to be internally studentized.

Externally studentized residual require iterative influence analysis or a profiled residuals variance.

### 2.11.4 Computation

The computation of internally studentized residuals relies on the diagonal entries of  $V(\hat{\theta}) - Q(\hat{\theta})$ , where  $Q(\hat{\theta})$  is computed as

$$\mathbf{Q}(\hat{\theta}) = \mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{Q}(\hat{\theta})^{-1}\mathbf{X})\mathbf{X}^{-1}$$

### 2.11.5 Pearson Residual

Another possible scaled residual is the ‘Pearson residual’, whereby a residual is divided by the standard deviation of the dependent variable. The Pearson residual can be used when the variability of  $\hat{\beta}$  is disregarded in the underlying assumptions.



## 2.12 Covariance Parameters

The unknown variance elements are referred to as the covariance parameters and collected in the vector  $\theta$ .

## 2.13 Case Deletion Diagnostics

? develops case deletion diagnostics, in particular the equivalent of Cook's distance, for diagnosing influential observations when estimating the fixed effect parameters and variance components.

### 2.13.1 Deletion Diagnostics

Since the pioneering work of Cook in 1977, deletion measures have been applied to many statistical models for identifying influential observations.

Deletion diagnostics provide a means of assessing the influence of an observation (or groups of observations) on inference on the estimated parameters of LME models.

Data from single individuals, or a small group of subjects may influence non-linear mixed effects model selection. Diagnostics routinely applied in model building may identify such individuals, but these methods are not specifically designed for that purpose and are, therefore, not optimal. We describe two likelihood-based diagnostics for identifying individuals that can influence the choice between two competing models.

Case-deletion diagnostics provide a useful tool for identifying influential observations and outliers.

The computation of case deletion diagnostics in the classical model is made simple by the fact that estimates of  $\beta$  and  $\sigma^2$ , which exclude the  $i$ th observation, can be computed without re-fitting the model. Such update formulas are available in the mixed model only if you assume that the covariance parameters are not affected by the removal of the observation in question. This is rarely a reasonable assumption.

## 2.14 Effects on fitted and predicted values

$$\hat{e}_{i(U)} = y_i - x\hat{\beta}_{(U)} \quad (2.6)$$

### 2.14.1 Case Deletion Diagnostics for Mixed Models

? notes the case deletion diagnostics techniques have not been applied to linear mixed effects models and seeks to develop methodologies in that respect.

? develops these techniques in the context of REML

### 2.14.2 Methods and Measures

The key to making deletion diagnostics useable is the development of efficient computational formulas, allowing one to obtain the case deletion diagnostics by making use of basic building blocks, computed only once for the full model.

? lists several established methods of analyzing influence in LME models. These methods include

- Cook's distance for LME models,
- likelihood distance,
- the variance (information) ration,
- the Cook-Weisberg statistic,
- the Andrews-Prebigon statistic.

## 2.15 Influence analysis

Likelihood based estimation methods, such as ML and REML, are sensitive to unusual observations. Influence diagnostics are formal techniques that assess the influence of observations on parameter estimates for  $\beta$  and  $\theta$ . A common technique is to refit the model with an observation or group of observations omitted.

? examines a group of methods that examine various aspects of influence diagnostics for LME models. For overall influence, the most common approaches are the ‘likelihood distance’ and the ‘restricted likelihood distance’.

### 2.15.1 Cook’s 1986 paper on Local Influence

Cook 1986 introduced methods for local influence assessment. These methods provide a powerful tool for examining perturbations in the assumption of a model, particularly the effects of local perturbations of parameters of observations.

The local-influence approach to influence assessment is quite different from the case deletion approach, comparisons are of interest.

### 2.15.2 Overall Influence

An overall influence statistic measures the change in the objective function being minimized. For example, in OLS regression, the residual sums of squares serves that purpose. In linear mixed models fit by maximum likelihood (ML) or restricted maximum likelihood (REML), an overall influence measure is the likelihood distance [Cook and Weisberg ].

## 2.16 Terminology for Case Deletion diagnostics

? describes two type of diagnostics. When the set consists of only one observation, the type is called 'observation-diagnostics'. For multiple observations, Preisser describes the diagnostics as 'cluster-deletion' diagnostics.

## 2.17 Residual Analysis for LME Models

### 2.17.1 Introduction

In classical linear models model diagnostics have become a required part of any statistical analysis, and the methods are commonly available in statistical packages and standard textbooks on applied regression. However it has been noted by several papers that model diagnostics do not often accompany LME model analyses.

**Cite:Zewotir** lists several established methods of analyzing influence in LME models. These methods include

- Cook's distance for LME models,
- likelihood distance,
- the variance (information) ration,
- the Cook-Weisberg statistic,
- the Andrews-Prebigon statistic.

### 2.17.2 Zewotir Measures of Influence in LME Models

? describes a number of approaches to model diagnostics, investigating each of the following;

- Variance components
- Fixed effects parameters
- Prediction of the response variable and of random effects
- likelihood function

### 2.17.3 Cook's Distance applied to LMEs

- For variance components  $\gamma$ :  $CD(\gamma)_i$ ,
- For fixed effect parameters  $\beta$ :  $CD(\beta)_i$ ,
- For random effect parameters  $\mathbf{u}$ :  $CD(u)_i$ ,
- For linear functions of  $\hat{\beta}$ :  $CD(\psi)_i$

### 2.17.4 Iterative and non-iterative influence analysis for LMEs

**Cite:** Schabenberger highlights some of the issue regarding implementing mixed model diagnostics.

A measure of total influence requires updates of all model parameters. However, this doesn't increase the procedures execution time by the same degree.

### 2.17.5 Iterative Influence Analysis

For linear models, the implementation of influence analysis is straightforward. However, for LME models, the process is more complex. Update formulas for the fixed effects are available only when the covariance parameters are assumed to be known. A measure of total influence requires updates of all model parameters. This can only be achieved in general is by omitting observations, then refitting the model. **Cite:** Schabenberger describes the choice between iterative influence analysis and non-iterative influence analysis.

**Random Effects** A large value for  $CD(u)_i$  indicates that the  $i$ -th observation is influential in predicting random effects.

**linear functions**  $CD(\psi)_i$  does not have to be calculated unless  $CD(\beta)_i$  is large.

**Information Ratio**

## 2.18 Measures 2

### 2.18.1 Cook's Distance

- For variance components  $\gamma$

Diagnostic tool for variance components

$$C_{\theta i} = ((\hat{\theta})_{[i]} - \hat{\theta})^T \text{cov}(\hat{\theta})^{-1} ((\hat{\theta})_{[i]} - \hat{\theta})$$

### 2.18.2 Variance Ratio

- For fixed effect parameters  $\beta$ .

### 2.18.3 Cook-Weisberg statistic

- For fixed effect parameters  $\beta$ .

### 2.18.4 Andrews-Pregibon statistic

- For fixed effect parameters  $\beta$ .

The Andrews-Pregibon statistic  $AP_i$  is a measure of influence based on the volume of the confidence ellipsoid. The larger this statistic is for observation  $i$ , the stronger the influence that observation will have on the model fit.

### 2.18.5 Likelihood Distance

?

### 2.18.6 Diagnostics for LMEs with R

influence.ME: Tools for detecting influential data in mixed effects models

influence.ME provides a collection of tools for detecting influential cases in generalized mixed effects models. It analyses models that were estimated using lme4. The



basic rationale behind identifying influential data is that when iteratively single units are omitted from the data, models based on these data should not produce substantially different estimates. To standardize the assessment of how influential a (single group of) observation(s) is, several measures of influence are common practice, such as DFBETAS and Cook's Distance. In addition, we provide a measure of percentage change of the fixed point estimates and a simple procedure to detect changing levels of significance.

You should have a look at the R package ***influence.ME***. It allows you to compute measures of influential data for mixed effects models generated by lme4.

An example model:

```
library(lme4)
model <- lmer(mpg ~ disp + (1 | cyl), mtcars)
```

The function `influence` is the basis for all further steps:

```
library(influence.ME)
infl <- influence(model, obs = TRUE)
```

Calculate Cook's distance:

```
cooks.distance(infl)
```

Plot Cook's distance:

```
plot(infl, which = "cook")
```

## 2.19 Case-Deletion Diagnostics for LMEs The CPJ Paper

### 2.19.1 Case-Deletion results for Variance components

**Cite:** CPJ examines case deletion results for estimates of the variance components, proposing the use of one-step estimates of variance components for examining case influence. The method describes focuses on REML estimation, but can easily be adapted to ML or other methods.

This paper develops their global influences for the deletion of single observations in two steps: a one-step estimate for the REML (or ML) estimate of the variance components, and an ordinary case-deletion diagnostic for a weighted regression problem ( conditional on the estimated covariance matrix) for fixed effects.

### 2.19.2 CPJ Notation

$$\mathbf{C} = \mathbf{H}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} c_{ii} & \mathbf{c}'_i \\ \mathbf{c}_i & \mathbf{C}_{[i]} \end{bmatrix}$$

**Cite:** CPJ noted the following identity:

$$\mathbf{H}^{-1}_{[i]} = \mathbf{C}_{[i]} - \frac{1}{c_{ii}} \mathbf{c}_{[i]} \mathbf{c}'_{[i]}$$

**Cite:** CPJ use the following as building blocks for case deletion statistics.

- $\check{x}_i$
- $\check{z}_i$
- $\check{z}_{ij}$
- $\check{y}_i$
- $p_i^i$

- $m_i$

All of these terms are a function of a row (or column) of  $\mathbf{H}$  and  $\mathbf{H}_{[i]}^{-1}$

## 2.20 Cook's Distance

### 2.20.1 Cook's Distance

Cooks Distance ( $D_i$ ) is an overall measure of the combined impact of the  $i$ th case of all estimated regression coefficients. It uses the same structure for measuring the combined impact of the differences in the estimated regression coefficients when the  $k$ th case is deleted.  $D_{(k)}$  can be calculated without fitting a new regression coefficient each time an observation is deleted.

? greatly expanded the study of residuals and influence measures. Cook's key observation was the effects of deleting each observation in turn could be computed without undue additional computational expense. Consequently deletion diagnostics have become an integral part of assessing linear models.

Cook's Distance is a well known diagnostic technique used in classical linear models, extended to LME models. For LME models, two formulations exist; a Cook's distance that examines the change in fixed fixed parameter estimates, and another that examines the change in random effects parameter estimates. The outcome of either Cook's distance is a scaled change in either  $\beta$  or  $\theta$ .

### 2.20.2 Cook's Distance

Cook's  $D$  statistics (i.e. colloquially Cook's Distance) is a measure of the influence of observations in subset  $U$  on a vector of parameter estimates (?).

$$\delta_{(U)} = \hat{\beta} - \hat{\beta}_{(U)}$$

If  $V$  is known, Cook's  $D$  can be calibrated according to a chi-square distribution with degrees of freedom equal to the rank of  $\mathbf{X}$  (?).

### 2.20.3 Cook's Distance

In classical linear regression, a commonly used measure of influence is Cook's distance. It is used as a measure of influence on the regression coefficients.

For linear mixed effects models, Cook's distance can be extended to model influence diagnostics by defining.

$$C_{\beta i} = \frac{(\hat{\beta} - \hat{\beta}_{[i]})^T (\mathbf{X}' \mathbf{V}^{-1} \mathbf{X}) (\hat{\beta} - \hat{\beta}_{[i]})}{p}$$

It is also desirable to measure the influence of the case deletions on the covariance matrix of  $\hat{\beta}$ .

## 2.21 Cook's Distance for LMEs

Diagnostic methods for fixed effects are generally analogues of methods used in classical linear models. Diagnostic methods for variance components are based on ‘one-step’ methods. ? gives a completely general method for assessing the influence of local departures from assumptions in statistical models.

For fixed effects parameter estimates in LME models, the Cook's distance can be extended to measure influence on these fixed effects.

$$CD_i(\beta) = \frac{(c_{ii} - r_{ii}) \times t_i^2}{r_{ii} \times p}$$

For random effect estimates, the Cook's distance is

$$CD_i(b) = g_{l(i)}(I_r + \text{var}(\hat{b})D)^{-2}\text{var}(\hat{b})g_{(i)}.$$

Large values for Cook's distance indicate observations for special attention.

### 2.21.1 Change in the precision of estimates

The effect on the precision of estimates is separate from the effect on the point estimates. Data points that have a small Cook's distance, for example, can still greatly affect hypothesis tests and confidence intervals, if their influence on the precision of the estimates is large.

## 2.22 Likelihood Distance

The likelihood distance gives the amount by which the log-likelihood of the full data changes if one were to evaluate it at the reduced-data estimates. The important point is that  $l(\psi_U)$  is not the log-likelihood obtained by fitting the model to the reduced data set.

It is obtained by evaluating the likelihood function based on the full data set (containing all  $n$  observations) at the reduced-data estimates.

The likelihood distance is a global, summary measure, expressing the joint influence of the observations in the set  $U$  on all parameters in  $\psi$  that were subject to updating.

### 2.22.1 Likelihood Distance

The likelihood distance is a global, summary measure, expressing the joint influence of the observations in the set  $U$  on all parameters in  $\phi$  that were subject to updating.

## 2.23 Iterative and non-iterative influence analysis

? highlights some of the issue regarding implementing mixed model diagnostics.

A measure of total influence requires updates of all model parameters.

however, this doesnt increase the procedures execution time by the same degree.

### 2.23.1 Iterative Influence Analysis

For linear models, the implementation of influence analysis is straightforward. However, for LME models, the process is more complex. Update formulas for the fixed effects are available only when the covariance parameters are assumed to be known. A measure of total influence requires updates of all model parameters. This can only be achieved in general is by omitting observations, then refitting the model.

? describes the choice between iterative influence analysis and non-iterative influence analysis.



## 2.24 The CPJ Paper

### 2.24.1 Case-Deletion results for Variance components

? examines case deletion results for estimates of the variance components, proposing the use of one-step estimates of variance components for examining case influence. The method describes focuses on REML estimation, but can easily be adapted to ML or other methods.

This paper develops their global influences for the deletion of single observations in two steps: a one-step estimate for the REML (or ML) estimate of the variance components, and an ordinary case-deletion diagnostic for a weighted regression problem ( conditional on the estimated covariance matrix) for fixed effects.

### 2.24.2 CPJ Notation

$$\mathbf{C} = \mathbf{H}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} c_{ii} & \mathbf{c}'_i \\ \mathbf{c}_i & \mathbf{C}_{[i]} \end{bmatrix}$$

? noted the following identity:

$$\mathbf{H}^{-1}_{[i]} = \mathbf{C}_{[i]} - \frac{1}{c_{ii}} \mathbf{c}_{[i]} \mathbf{c}'_{[i]}$$

? use the following as building blocks for case deletion statistics.

- $\check{x}_i$
- $\check{z}_i$
- $\check{z}_{ij}$
- $\check{y}_i$
- $p_i i$
- $m_i$

All of these terms are a function of a row (or column) of  $\mathbf{H}$  and  $\mathbf{H}^{-1}_{[i]}$

## 2.25 Matrix Notation for Case Deletion

### 2.25.1 Case deletion notation

For notational simplicity,  $\mathbf{A}(i)$  denotes an  $n \times m$  matrix  $\mathbf{A}$  with the  $i$ -th row removed,  $a_i$  denotes the  $i$ -th row of  $\mathbf{A}$ , and  $a_{ij}$  denotes the  $(i, j)$ -th element of  $\mathbf{A}$ .

### 2.25.2 Partitioning Matrices

Without loss of generality, matrices can be partitioned as if the  $i$ -th omitted observation is the first row; i.e.  $i = 1$ .

## 2.26 CPJ's Three Propositions

### Proposition 1

$$\mathbf{V}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} \nu^{ii} & \lambda'_i \\ \lambda_i & \Lambda_{[i]} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{V}_{[i]}^{-1} = \Lambda_{[i]} - \frac{\lambda_i \lambda'_i}{\lambda_i}$$

### 2.26.1 Proposition 2

$$(i) \quad \mathbf{X}_{[i]}^T \mathbf{V}_{[i]}^{-1} \mathbf{X}_{[i]} = \mathbf{X}' \mathbf{V}^{-1} \mathbf{X}$$

$$(ii) \quad = (\mathbf{X}' \mathbf{V}^{-1} \mathbf{Y})^{-1}$$

$$(iii) \quad \mathbf{X}_{[i]}^T \mathbf{V}_{[i]}^{-1} \mathbf{Y}_{[i]} = \mathbf{X}' \mathbf{V}^{-1} \mathbf{Y}$$

### 2.26.2 Proposition 3

This proposition is similar to the formula for the one-step Newtown Raphson estimate of the logistic regression coefficients given by Pregibon (1981) and discussed in Cook Weisberg.

## 2.27 Measures of Influence

The impact of an observation on a regression fitting can be determined by the difference between the estimated regression coefficient of a model with all observations and the estimated coefficient when the particular observation is deleted. The measure DFBETA is the studentized value of this difference.

Influence arises at two stages of the LME model. Firstly when  $V$  is estimated by  $\hat{V}$ , and subsequent estimations of the fixed and random regression coefficients  $\beta$  and  $u$ , given  $\hat{V}$ .

### 2.27.1 DFFITS

DFFITS is a statistical measure designed to show how influential an observation is in a statistical model. It is closely related to the studentized residual.

$$DFFITS = \frac{\hat{y}_i - \widehat{y_{i(k)}}}{s_{(k)}\sqrt{h_{ii}}}$$

### 2.27.2 PRESS

The prediction residual sum of squares (PRESS) is a value associated with this calculation. When fitting linear models, PRESS can be used as a criterion for model selection, with smaller values indicating better model fits.

$$PRESS = \sum (y - y^{(k)})^2 \quad (2.7)$$

- $e_{-Q} = y_Q - x_Q\hat{\beta}^{-Q}$
- $PRESS_{(U)} = y_i - x_i\hat{\beta}_{(U)}$

### 2.27.3 DFBETA

$$DFBETA_a = \hat{\beta} - \hat{\beta}_{(a)} \quad (2.8)$$

$$= B(Y - Y_{\bar{a}}) \quad (2.9)$$

# Chapter 3

## Zewotir's Paper

### 3.1 Efficient Updating Theorem

? describes the basic theorem of efficient updating.

- 

$$m_i = \frac{1}{c_{ii}}$$

## 3.2 Computation and Notation

with  $\mathbf{V}$  unknown, a standard practice for estimating  $\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}$  is to estimate the variance components  $\sigma_j^2$ , compute an estimate for  $\mathbf{V}$  and then compute the projector matrix  $\mathbf{A}$ ,  $\mathbf{X}\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{Y}$ .

? remarks that  $\mathbf{D}$  is a block diagonal with the  $i$ -th block being  $u\mathbf{I}$

# Chapter 4

## Augmented GLMs

Generalized linear models are a generalization of classical linear models.

### 4.1 Augmented GLMs

With the use of h-likelihood, a random effected model of the form can be viewed as an ‘augmented GLM’ with the response variables  $(y^t, \phi_m^t)^t$ , (with  $\mu = E(y), u = E(\phi)$ ,  $var(y) = \theta V(\mu)$ ). The augmented linear predictor is

$$\eta_{ma} = (\eta^t, \eta_m^t)^t = T\omega.$$

.

The subscript  $M$  is a label referring to the mean model.

$$\begin{pmatrix} Y \\ \psi_M \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} X & Z \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \beta \\ \nu \end{pmatrix} + e^* \quad (4.1)$$

The error term  $e^*$  is normal with mean zero. The variance matrix of the error term is given by

$$\Sigma_a = \begin{pmatrix} \Sigma & 0 \\ 0 & D \end{pmatrix}. \quad (4.2)$$

$$y_a = T\delta + e^*$$

Weighted least squares equation

#### 4.1.1 The Augmented Model Matrix

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} T & Z \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix} \delta = \begin{pmatrix} \beta \\ \nu \end{pmatrix} \quad (4.3)$$

#### 4.1.2 Importance-Weighted Least-Squares (IWLS)

#### 4.1.3 H-Likelihood



# Chapter 5

## Application to Method Comparison Studies

### 5.1 Application to MCS

Let  $\hat{\beta}$  denote the least square estimate of  $\beta$  based upon the full set of observations, and let  $\hat{\beta}^{(k)}$  denoted the estimate with the  $k^{th}$  case excluded.

### 5.2 Grubbs' Data

For the Grubbs data the  $\hat{\beta}$  estimated are  $\hat{\beta}_0$  and  $\hat{\beta}_1$  respectively. Leaving the fourth case out, i.e.  $k = 4$  the corresponding estimates are  $\hat{\beta}_0^{-4}$  and  $\hat{\beta}_1^{-4}$

$$Y^{-Q} = \hat{\beta}^{-Q} X^{-Q} \tag{5.1}$$

When considering the regression of case-wise differences and averages, we write  $D^{-Q} = \hat{\beta}^{-Q} A^{-Q}$

	F	C	D	A
1	793.80	794.60	-0.80	794.20
2	793.10	793.90	-0.80	793.50
3	792.40	793.20	-0.80	792.80
4	794.00	794.00	0.00	794.00
5	791.40	792.20	-0.80	791.80
6	792.40	793.10	-0.70	792.75
7	791.70	792.40	-0.70	792.05
8	792.30	792.80	-0.50	792.55
9	789.60	790.20	-0.60	789.90
10	794.40	795.00	-0.60	794.70
11	790.90	791.60	-0.70	791.25
12	793.50	793.80	-0.30	793.65

$$Y^{(k)} = \hat{\beta}^{(k)} X^{(k)} \quad (5.2)$$

Consider two sets of measurements , in this case F and C , with the vectors of case-wise averages  $A$  and case-wise differences  $D$  respectively. A regression model of differences on averages can be fitted with the view to exploring some characteristics of the data.

When considering the regression of case-wise differences and averages, we write

$$D^{-Q} = \hat{\beta}^{-Q} A^{-Q} \quad (5.3)$$

Let  $\hat{\beta}$  denote the least square estimate of  $\beta$  based upon the full set of observations, and let  $\hat{\beta}^{(k)}$  denoted the estimate with the  $k^{th}$  case excluded.

For the Grubbs data the  $\hat{\beta}$  estimated are  $\hat{\beta}_0$  and  $\hat{\beta}_1$  respectively. Leaving the fourth case out, i.e.  $k = 4$  the corresponding estimates are  $\hat{\beta}_0^{-4}$  and  $\hat{\beta}_1^{-4}$

$$Y^{(k)} = \hat{\beta}^{(k)} X^{(k)} \quad (5.4)$$

Consider two sets of measurements , in this case F and C , with the vectors of case-wise averages  $A$  and case-wise differences  $D$  respectively. A regression model of differences on averages can be fitted with the view to exploring some characteristics of the data.

Call: `lm(formula = D ~ A)`

Coefficients: (Intercept)	A
-37.51896	0.04656

When considering the regression of case-wise differences and averages, we write

$$D^{-Q} = \hat{\beta}^{-Q} A^{-Q} \quad (5.5)$$

### 5.2.1 Influence measures using R

R provides the following influence measures of each observation.

	dfb.1_	dfb.A	dffit	cov.r	cook.d	hat
1	0.42	-0.42	-0.56	1.13	0.15	0.18
2	0.17	-0.17	-0.34	1.14	0.06	0.11
3	0.01	-0.01	-0.24	1.17	0.03	0.08
4	-1.08	1.08	1.57	0.24	0.56	0.16
5	-0.14	0.14	-0.24	1.30	0.03	0.13
6	-0.00	0.00	-0.11	1.31	0.01	0.08
7	-0.04	0.04	-0.08	1.37	0.00	0.11
8	0.02	-0.02	0.15	1.28	0.01	0.09
9	0.69	-0.68	0.75	2.08	0.29	0.48
10	0.18	-0.18	-0.22	1.63	0.03	0.27
11	-0.03	0.03	-0.04	1.53	0.00	0.19
12	-0.25	0.25	0.44	1.05	0.09	0.12

## Chapter 6

## Appendices

## 6.1 The Hat Matrix

The projection matrix  $H$  (also known as the hat matrix), is a well known identity that maps the fitted values  $\hat{Y}$  to the observed values  $Y$ , i.e.  $\hat{Y} = HY$ .

$$H = X(X^T X)^{-1} X^T \quad (6.1)$$

$H$  describes the influence each observed value has on each fitted value. The diagonal elements of the  $H$  are the ‘leverages’, which describe the influence each observed value has on the fitted value for that same observation. The residuals ( $R$ ) are related to the observed values by the following formula:

$$R = (I - H)Y \quad (6.2)$$

The variances of  $Y$  and  $R$  can be expressed as:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{var}(Y) &= H\sigma^2 \\ \text{var}(R) &= (I - H)\sigma^2 \end{aligned} \quad (6.3)$$

Updating techniques allow an economic approach to recalculating the projection matrix,  $H$ , by removing the necessity to refit the model each time it is updated. However this approach is known for numerical instability in the case of down-dating.

## 6.2 Sherman Morrison Woodbury Formula

The ‘Sherman Morrison Woodbury’ Formula is a well known result in linear algebra;

$$(A + a^T B)^{-1} = A^{-1} - A^{-1} a^T (I - b A^{-1} a^T)^{-1} b A^{-1} \quad (6.4)$$

This result is highly useful for analyzing regression diagnostics, and for matrices inverses in general. Consider a  $p \times p$  matrix  $X$ , from which a row  $x_i^T$  is to be added or deleted. ? sets  $A = X^T X$ ,  $a = -x_i^T$  and  $b = x_i^T$ , and writes the above equation as

$$(X^T X \pm x_i x_i^T)^{-1} = (X^T X)^{-1} \mp \frac{(X^T X)^{-1} (x_i x_i^T (X^T X)^{-1})}{1 - x_i^T (X^T X)^{-1} x_i} \quad (6.5)$$

The projection matrix  $H$  (also known as the hat matrix), is a well known identity that maps the fitted values  $\hat{Y}$  to the observed values  $Y$ , i.e.  $\hat{Y} = HY$ .

$$H = X(X^T X)^{-1} X^T \quad (6.6)$$

$H$  describes the influence each observed value has on each fitted value. The diagonal elements of the  $H$  are the ‘leverages’, which describe the influence each observed value has on the fitted value for that same observation. The residuals ( $R$ ) are related to the observed values by the following formula:

$$R = (I - H)Y \quad (6.7)$$

The variances of  $Y$  and  $R$  can be expressed as:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{var}(Y) &= H\sigma^2 \\ \text{var}(R) &= (I - H)\sigma^2 \end{aligned} \quad (6.8)$$

Updating techniques allow an economic approach to recalculating the projection matrix,  $H$ , by removing the necessity to refit the model each time it is updated. However this approach is known for numerical instability in the case of down-dating.

### 6.2.1 Hat Values for MCS regression

With  $A$  as the averages and  $D$  as the casewise differences.

`fit = lm(D~A)`

$$H = A(A^T A)^{-1} A^T,$$

## 6.3 Cross Validation

Cross validation techniques for linear regression employ the use ‘leave one out’ recalculations. In such procedures the regression coefficients are estimated for  $n - 1$  covariates, with the  $Q^{th}$  observation omitted.

Let  $\hat{\beta}$  denote the least square estimate of  $\beta$  based upon the full set of observations, and let  $\hat{\beta}^{-Q}$  denote the estimate with the  $Q^{th}$  case excluded.

In leave-one-out cross validation, each observation is omitted in turn, and a regression model is fitted on the rest of the data. Cross validation is used to estimate the generalization error of a given model. alternatively it can be used for model selection by determining the candidate model that has the smallest generalization error.

Evidently leave-one-out cross validation has similarities with ‘jackknifing’, a well known statistical technique. However cross validation is used to estimate generalization error, whereas the jackknife technique is used to estimate bias.

### 6.3.1 Cross Validation: Updating standard deviation

The variance of a data set can be calculated using the following formula.

$$S^2 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i^2) - \frac{(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i)^2}{n}}{n - 1} \quad (6.9)$$

While using bivariate data, the notation  $Sxx$  and  $Syy$  shall apply to the variance of  $x$  and of  $y$  respectively. The covariance term  $Sxy$  is given by

$$Sxy = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i y_i) - \frac{(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i)(\sum_{i=1}^n y_i)}{n}}{n - 1} \quad (6.10)$$

Let the observation  $j$  be omitted from the data set. The estimates for the variance identities can be updating using minor adjustments to the full sample estimates. Where  $(j)$  denotes that the  $j$ th has been omitted, these identities are

$$Sxx^{(j)} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i^2) - (x_j)^2 - \frac{((\sum_{i=1}^n x_i) - x_j)^2}{n-1}}{n - 2} \quad (6.11)$$



$$Syy^{(j)} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (y_i^2) - (y_j)^2 - \frac{((\sum_{i=1}^n y_i) - y_j)^2}{n-1}}{n-2} \quad (6.12)$$

$$Sxy^{(j)} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i y_i) - (y_j x_j) - \frac{((\sum_{i=1}^n x_i) - x_j)((\sum_{i=1}^n y_i) - y_k)}{n-1}}{n-2} \quad (6.13)$$

The updated estimate for the slope is therefore

$$\hat{\beta}_1^{(j)} = \frac{Sxy^{(j)}}{Sxx^{(j)}} \quad (6.14)$$

It is necessary to determine the mean for  $x$  and  $y$  of the remaining  $n - 1$  terms

$$\bar{x}^{(j)} = \frac{(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i) - (x_j)}{n-1}, \quad (6.15)$$

$$\bar{y}^{(j)} = \frac{(\sum_{i=1}^n y_i) - (y_j)}{n-1}. \quad (6.16)$$

The updated intercept estimate is therefore

$$\hat{\beta}_0^{(j)} = \bar{y}^{(j)} - \hat{\beta}_1^{(j)} \bar{x}^{(j)}. \quad (6.17)$$

## 6.4 Updating Estimates

### 6.4.1 Updating of Regression Estimates

Updating techniques are used in regression analysis to add or delete rows from a model, allowing the analyst the effect of the observation associated with that row. In time series problems, there will be scientific interest in the changing relationship between variables. In cases where there a single row is to be added or deleted, the procedure used is equivalent to a geometric rotation of a plane.

Updating techniques are used in regression analysis to add or delete rows from a model, allowing the analyst the effect of the observation associated with that row.

### 6.4.2 Updating Standard deviation

A simple, but useful, example of updating is the updating of the standard deviation when an observation is omitted, as practised in statistical process control analyzes. From first principles, the variance of a data set can be calculated using the following formula.

$$S^2 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i^2) - \frac{(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i)^2}{n}}{n - 1} \quad (6.18)$$

While using bivariate data, the notation  $Sxx$  and  $Syy$  shall apply hither to the variance of  $x$  and of  $y$  respectively. The covariance term  $Sxy$  is given by

$$Sxy = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i y_i) - \frac{(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i)(\sum_{i=1}^n y_i)}{n}}{n - 1}. \quad (6.19)$$

### 6.4.3 Updating of Regression Estimates

Updating techniques are used in regression analysis to add or delete rows from a model, allowing the analyst the effect of the observation associated with that row. In time series problems, there will be scientific interest in the changing relationship between variables. In cases where there a single row is to be added or deleted, the procedure used is equivalent to a geometric rotation of a plane.

Consider a  $p \times p$  matrix  $X$ , from which a row  $x_i^T$  is to be added or deleted. ? sets  $A = X^T X$ ,  $a = -x_i^T$  and  $b = x_i^T$ , and writes the above equation as

$$(X^T X \pm x_i x_i^T)^{-1} = (X^T X)^{-1} \mp \frac{(X^T X)^{-1}(x_i x_i^T (X^T X)^{-1})}{1 - x_i^T (X^T X)^{-1} x_i} \quad (6.20)$$

#### 6.4.4 Updating Regression Estimates

Let the observation  $j$  be omitted from the data set. The estimates for the variance identities can be updating using minor adjustments to the full sample estimates. Where  $(j)$  denotes that the  $j$ th has been omitted, these identities are

$$S_{xx}^{(j)} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i^2) - (x_j)^2 - \frac{((\sum_{i=1}^n x_i) - x_j)^2}{n-1}}{n-2} \quad (6.21)$$

$$S_{yy}^{(j)} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (y_i^2) - (y_j)^2 - \frac{((\sum_{i=1}^n y_i) - y_j)^2}{n-1}}{n-2} \quad (6.22)$$

$$S_{xy}^{(j)} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i y_i) - (y_j x_j) - \frac{((\sum_{i=1}^n x_i) - x_j)((\sum_{i=1}^n y_i) - y_k)}{n-1}}{n-2} \quad (6.23)$$

The updated estimate for the slope is therefore

$$\hat{\beta}_1^{(j)} = \frac{S_{xy}^{(j)}}{S_{xx}^{(j)}} \quad (6.24)$$

It is necessary to determine the mean for  $x$  and  $y$  of the remaining  $n - 1$  terms

$$\bar{x}^{(j)} = \frac{(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i) - (x_j)}{n-1}, \quad (6.25)$$

$$\bar{y}^{(j)} = \frac{(\sum_{i=1}^n y_i) - (y_j)}{n-1}. \quad (6.26)$$

The updated intercept estimate is therefore

$$\hat{\beta}_0^{(j)} = \bar{y}^{(j)} - \hat{\beta}_1^{(j)} \bar{x}^{(j)}. \quad (6.27)$$

### 6.4.5 Inference on intercept and slope

$$\hat{\beta}_1 \pm t_{(\alpha, n-2)} \sqrt{\frac{S^2}{(n-1)S_x^2}} \quad (6.28)$$

$$\frac{\hat{\beta}_0 - \beta_0}{SE(\hat{\beta}_0)} \quad (6.29)$$

$$\frac{\hat{\beta}_1 - \beta_1}{SE(\hat{\beta}_1)} \quad (6.30)$$

### Inference on correlation coefficient

This test of the slope is coincidentally the equivalent of a test of the correlation of the  $n$  observations of  $X$  and  $Y$ .

$$H_0 : \rho_{XY} = 0$$

$$H_A : \rho_{XY} \neq 0$$

(6.31)

## 6.5 Lesaffre's paper.

Lesaffre considers the case-weight perturbation approach.

Cook's 86 describes a local approach wherein each case is given a weight  $w_i$  and the effect on the parameter estimation is measured by perturbing these weights. Choosing weights close to zero or one corresponds to the global case-deletion approach.

Lesaffre describes the displacement in log-likelihood as a useful metric to evaluate local influence

Lesaffre describes a framework to detect outlying observations that matter in an LME model. Detection should be carried out by evaluating diagnostics  $C_i$ ,  $C_i(\alpha)$  and  $C_i(D, \sigma^2)$ .

Lesaffre defines the total local influence of individual  $i$  as

$$C_i = 2|\Delta_i' L^{-1} \Delta_i|. \quad (6.32)$$

The influence function of the MLEs evaluated at the  $i$ th point  $IF_i$ , given by

$$IF_i = -L^{-1} \Delta_i \quad (6.33)$$

can indicate how  $\hat{\theta}$  changes as the weight of the  $i$ th subject changes.

The manner by which influential observations distort the estimation process can be determined by inspecting the interpretable components in the decomposition of the above measures of local influence.

Lesaffre comments that there is no clear way of interpreting the information contained in the angles, but that this doesn't mean the information should be ignored.