# Notes on Cluster Model

Chengliang Tang

November 9, 2017

This note is a detailed explanation for the cluster model in Section 2.3.1 of Paper 1. And we will use the EachMovie data as an example for implementing the algorithm.

### 1 Notations

Suppose we have N users, and M movies in the data set. For each user  $1 \le i \le N$ , let I(i) be the set of movies that user i has already scored in the training set. For  $\forall j \in I(i)$ , we denote the score that user i gave to movie j by  $v_j^{(i)}$ , where  $v_j^{(i)} \in \{0, 1, ..., 5\}$ . In order to discriminate, we use  $v_j^{(i)}$  for the data, and  $V_j^{(i)}$  for the random variable.

Also, in the cluster model, we assume all the users can be categorized into one of C different classes. And for each user i, denote his class by  $\Delta_i$ .

## 2 Score Estimation

The goal of collaborative filtering is to estimate  $\mathbb{E}[V_b^{(i)}|v_j^{(i)},j\in I(i)]$  for each i and  $b\notin I(i)$ . Thus, we can have the following equation

$$\mathbb{E}[V_b^{(i)}|v_j^{(i)}, j \in I(i)] = \sum_{k=1}^5 k \cdot P(V_b^{(i)} = k|v_j^{(i)}, j \in I(i)), \tag{1}$$

and for each term in RHS,

$$\begin{split} &P\big(V_b^{(i)} = k|v_j^{(i)}, j \in I(i)\big) \\ &= \frac{P\big(V_b^{(i)} = k; V_j^{(i)} = v_j^{(i)}, j \in I(i)\big)}{P\big(V_j^{(i)} = v_j^{(i)}, j \in I(i)\big)} \\ &= \frac{\sum_{c=1}^{C} P\big(V_b^{(i)} = k; V_j^{(i)} = v_j^{(i)}, j \in I(i); \Delta_i = c\big)}{\sum_{c=1}^{C} P\big(V_j^{(i)} = v_j^{(i)}, j \in I(i); \Delta_i = c\big)} \\ &= \frac{\sum_{c=1}^{C} P\big(\Delta_i = c\big) \cdot P\big(V_b^{(i)} = k|\Delta_i = c\big) \cdot \prod_{j \in I(i)} P\big(V_j^{(i)} = v_j^{(i)}|\Delta_i = c\big)}{\sum_{c=1}^{C} P\big(\Delta_i = c\big) \cdot \prod_{j \in I(i)} P\big(V_j^{(i)} = v_j^{(i)}|\Delta_i = c\big)}, \end{split}$$

where the last equation is due to the standard Naive Bayes formulation (see in paper 2).

#### $\mathbf{3}$ Log-likelihood Function

As we can see from above, in order to estimate  $V_b^{(i)}$ , we need to know the following parameters:

$$P(\Delta_i = c), \text{ for } c = 1, ..., C;$$
  
 $P(V_i^{(i)} = k | \Delta_i = c), \forall j \in \{b\} \cup I(i), \forall k \in \{0, ..., 5\}.$  (3)

Also, for simplicity, we need to assume the users in the same class will have the same conditional distribution of scores. This means for any pair of user  $i_1$ and user  $i_2$ , we have

$$P(\Delta_{i_1} = c) = P(\Delta_{i_2} = c), \text{ for } c = 1, ..., C;$$

$$P(V_j^{(i_1)} = k | \Delta_{i_1} = c) = P(V_j^{(i_2)} = k | \Delta_{i_2} = c), \text{ for } \forall c, j, k.$$
(4)

So that in the model, the number of parameters is about (C + 5CM). And we can simplify our notations in the following way:

$$\mu_c := P(\Delta_i = c), \quad \text{for} \quad c = 1, ..., C;$$

$$\gamma_{c,j}^{(k)} := P(V_j^{(i)} = k | \Delta_i = c), \quad \text{for} \quad \forall c, j, k.$$
(5)

where we know  $\sum_{c=1}^{C} \mu_c = 1, \sum_{k=0}^{5} \gamma_{c,j}^{(k)} = 1$ . In this section, we estimate these parameters by maximum likelihood estimation. For user i, his log-likelihood function can be written as

$$l_{i}(\mu, \gamma | data) = \log \left[ \sum_{c=1}^{C} P(\Delta_{i} = c) \cdot \prod_{j \in I(i)} P(V_{j}^{(i)} = v_{j}^{(i)} | \Delta_{i} = c) \right]$$

$$= \log \left[ \sum_{c=1}^{C} \mu_{c} \cdot \prod_{j \in I(i)} P(V_{j}^{(i)} = v_{j}^{(i)} | \Delta_{i} = c) \right]$$
(6)

so that the log-likelihood function for all the training data is

$$l(\mu, \gamma | data) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} l_i(\mu, \gamma | data)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{N} \log \left[ \sum_{c=1}^{C} \mu_c \cdot \prod_{j \in I(i)} P(V_j^{(i)} = v_j^{(i)} | \Delta_i = c) \right],$$
(7)

which can maximized by the EM algorithm.

#### 4 EM Algorithm

The key of EM algorithm used in this model is to consider  $\Delta_i$  as unobserved data, and then take (expectation + maximization) iteratively.

For user i, denote his class by  $\delta_i$ , which is unobserved. Similar with the notation of  $V_i^{(i)}$ , here  $\delta_i$  means the data, and  $\Delta_i$  means the random variable.

As a result, our updated log-likelihood function with "new" observed variable  $\delta_i$  can be written as

$$l(\mu, \gamma | \tilde{data}) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \log \left[ P(\Delta_i = \delta_i) \cdot \prod_{j \in I(i)} P(V_j^{(i)} = v_j^{(i)} | \Delta_i = \delta_i) \right]$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{N} \log \left[ P(\Delta_i = \delta_i) \right] + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j \in I(i)} \log \left[ P(V_j^{(i)} = v_j^{(i)} | \Delta_i = \delta_i) \right]$$
(8)

Then, in the EM algorithm:

• Step 1: Take initial guess for all the parameters  $\hat{\mu}, \hat{\gamma}$ . One choice is start with uniform values, that is to say

$$\hat{\mu}_c = \frac{1}{C}, \quad \forall c$$

$$\hat{\gamma}_{c,j}^{(k)} = \frac{1}{6}, \quad \forall c, j, k.$$
(9)

• Step 2: Expectation.

Compute the responsibilities for each user i

$$\hat{\pi}_{i}^{c} = \frac{\hat{\mu}_{c} \cdot \hat{\phi}_{c}(D(i))}{\sum_{c=1}^{C} \hat{\mu}_{c} \cdot \hat{\phi}_{c}(D(i))}$$
(10)

for c = 1, ..., C and i = 1, ..., N.

In the above equation,  $\hat{\phi}_c(D(i)) = \prod_{j \in I(i)} \hat{P}(V_j^{(i)} = v_j^{(i)} | \Delta_i = c)$ , where  $\hat{P}(V_j^{(i)} = k | \Delta_i = c) = \hat{\gamma}_{c,j}^{(k)}$ .

• Step 3: Maximization.

Update the parameters

$$\hat{\mu}_{c} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \hat{\pi}_{i}^{c}}{N}, \quad \text{for} \quad c = 1, ..., C$$

$$\hat{\gamma}_{c,j}^{(k)} = \frac{\sum_{i:j \in I(i)} \hat{\pi}_{i}^{c} \cdot \mathbb{I}(v_{j}^{(i)} = k)}{\sum_{i:j \in I(i)} \hat{\pi}_{i}^{c}}, \quad \text{for} \quad \forall c, j, k$$
(11)

where  $\mathbb{I}(\cdot)$  is the indicator function taking values in  $\{0,1\}$ .

The idea is this step is that in order to calculate MLE in a weighted multinomial distribution, we only need to take the weighted frequency for each class.

• Step 4: Iteration.

Iterate steps 2 and 3 until convergence.

After we get the converged estimators  $\hat{\mu}, \hat{\gamma}$ , we can come back to Section 2 to make predictions for each user.