

Matrix Analysis - Review

Tiep Vu

November 5, 2017

0 Review and miscellanea

0.1 Vector spaces

- If S_1, S_2 are two subspaces of V , then

$$\dim(S_1 \cap S_2) + \dim(S_1 + S_2) = \dim S_1 + \dim S_2$$

$$\dim(S_1 \cap S_2) \geq \dim S_1 + \dim S_2 - \dim V$$

0.2 Matrices

- $\dim(\text{range } A) + \dim(\text{nullspace } A) = \text{rank } A + \text{nullity } A = n$

$$(AB)^* = B^*A^*, \quad (AB)^T = A^T B^T, \quad \overline{AB} = \overline{A} \cdot \overline{B}$$

$$(y^*x)^* = \overline{y^*x} = x^*y = y^T \overline{x}$$

- Some definitions:

| | | | |
|-------------------|-------------|------------------------------|---|
| <i>symmetric</i> | $A^T = A$ | <i>skew symmetric</i> | $A^T = -A$ |
| <i>orthogonal</i> | $A^T A = I$ | <i>skew Hermitian</i> | $A^* = -A$ |
| <i>Hermitian</i> | $A^* = A$ | <i>essentially Hermitian</i> | $\exists \theta \in \mathbb{R}: e^{i\theta} A \text{ is Hermitian}$ |
| <i>unitary</i> | $A^* A = I$ | <i>normal</i> | $A^* A = A A^*$ |

- Each $A \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ can be written in exactly one way as $A = H(A) + iK(A)$, in which $H(A), K(A)$ are Hermitian.

$$\text{tr} AA^* = \text{tr} A^* A = \sum_{i,j} |a_{ij}|^2, A \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$$

$$\text{range } A + \text{range } B = \text{range } [A \ B]$$

$$\text{nullspace } A \cap \text{nullspace } B = \text{nullspace } \begin{bmatrix} A \\ B \end{bmatrix}$$

0.3 Determinants

- Laplace expansion by minors along a row or column

$$\det A = \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{i+k} a_{ik} \det A_{ik} = \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{k+j} a_{kj} \det A_{kj}$$

0.4 Rank

0.4.1 Rank inequalities

- If $A \in M_{m,n}(\mathbb{F})$ then: $\text{rank } A \leq \min\{m, n\}$

- If $A \in M_{m,k}(\mathbb{F}), B \in M_{k,n}(\mathbb{F})$ then:

$$(\text{rank } A + \text{rank } B) - k \leq \text{rank } AB \leq \min\{\text{rank } A, \text{rank } B\}$$

- If $A, B \in M_{m,n}(\mathbb{F})$ then:

$$|\text{rank } A - \text{rank } B| \leq \text{rank } (A + B) \leq \text{rank } A + \text{rank } B$$

- If $\text{rank } B = 1$ then (*changing one entry of a matrix can change its rank by at most 1*): $|\text{rank } (A + B) - \text{rank } A| \leq 1$

- (Frobenius inequality): If $A \in M_{m,k}(\mathbb{F}), B \in M_{k,p}(\mathbb{F}), C \in M_{p,n}(\mathbb{F})$ then:

$$\text{rank } AB + \text{rank } BC \leq \text{rank } B + \text{rank } ABC$$

with equality if and only if there are matrices X, Y such that $B = BCX + YAB$

0.4.2 Rank equalities

- If $A \in M_{m,n}(\mathbb{C})$, then: $\text{rank } A^* = \text{rank } A^T = \text{rank } \overline{A} = \text{rank } A$

- If $A \in M_m(\mathbb{F})$ and $C \in M_n(\mathbb{F})$ are nonsingular and $B \in M_{m,n}(\mathbb{F})$, then: $\text{rank } AB = \text{rank } B = \text{rank } BC = \text{rank } ABC$

- If $A, B \in M_{m,n}(\mathbb{F})$, then $\text{rank } A = \text{rank } B \Leftrightarrow$ there exist a nonsingular $X \in M_m(\mathbb{F})$ and a nonsingular $Y \in M_n(\mathbb{F})$ such that $B = XAY$

- If $A \in M_{m,n}(\mathbb{C})$, then: $\text{rank } A^* A = \text{rank } A$

- If $A \in M_{m,n}(\mathbb{F})$, then $\text{rank } A = k \Leftrightarrow A = XY^T$ for some $X \in M_{m,k}(\mathbb{F})$ and $Y \in M_{n,k}(\mathbb{F})$ that each have independent columns
- $\text{rank } A = k \iff \exists$ nonsingular matrices $S \in M_n(\mathbb{F})$ and $T \in M_n(\mathbb{F})$ such that $A = S \begin{bmatrix} I_k & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} T$
- Let $A \in M_{m,n}(\mathbb{F})$. If $X \in M_{n,k}(\mathbb{F})$ and $Y \in M_{m,k}(\mathbb{F})$ and if $W = Y^T A X$ is nonsingular, then:

$$\text{rank } (A - AXW^{-1}Y^T A) = \text{rank } A - \text{rank } AXW^{-1}Y^T A$$

When $k = 1$ (*Wedderburn's rank-one reduction formula*): If $x \in \mathbb{F}^n$ and $y \in \mathbb{F}^m$, and if $\omega = y^T A x \neq 0$, then:

$$\text{rank } (A - \omega^{-1} A x y^T A) = \text{rank } A - 1$$

Conversely, if $\sigma \in \mathbb{F}$, $u \in \mathbb{F}^n$, $v \in \mathbb{F}^m$, and $\text{rank } (A - \sigma u v^T) < \text{rank } A$, then: $\text{rank } (A - \sigma u v^T) = \text{rank } A - 1$ and there are $x \in \mathbb{F}^n$, $y \in \mathbb{F}^m$ such that $u = A x$, $v = A^T y$, $y^T A x \neq 0$, and $\sigma = (y^T A x)^{-1}$

0.5 Nonsingularity

- $(A^{-1})^T = (A^T)^{-1}$

0.6 The Euclidean inner product and norm

- $\langle x, y \rangle = y^* x$, $\|x\|_2 = \langle x, x \rangle = (x * x)^{1/2}$
- $\langle \alpha x_1 + \beta x_2, y \rangle = \alpha \langle x_1, y \rangle + \beta \langle x_2, y \rangle$, $\langle x, \alpha y_1 + \beta y_2 \rangle = \bar{\alpha} \langle x, y_1 \rangle + \bar{\beta} \langle x, y_2 \rangle$

0.7 Partitioned sets and matrices

0.7.1 The inverse of a partitioned matrix

- $M = \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix}$

$$M^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} (A - BD^{-1}C)^{-1} & A^{-1}B(CA^{-1}B - D)^{-1} \\ D^{-1}C(BD^{-1}C - A)^{-1} & (D - CA^{-1}B)^{-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$M^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} (A - BD^{-1}C)^{-1} & (BD^{-1}C - A)^{-1}BD^{-1} \\ (CA^{-1}B - D)^{-1}CA^{-1} & (D - CA^{-1}B)^{-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

assume that the relevant inverses exist.

0.7.2 The Sherman-Morrison-Woodbury formula

Let $A \in M_n(\mathbb{F})$ be nonsingular and $B = A + XRY$, $X \in M_{n,r}(\mathbb{F})$, $Y \in M_{r,n}(\mathbb{F})$, $R \in M_{r,r}(\mathbb{F})$. If B and $R^{-1} + Y A^{-1} X$ are nonsingular, then:

$$B^{-1} = A^{-1} - A^{-1}X(R^{-1} + Y A^{-1}X)^{-1}Y A^{-1}$$

If $r \ll n$, then R and $R^{-1} + Y A^{-1}X$ may be much easier to invert than B . If $x, y \in \mathbb{F}^n$ are nonzero vectors, $X = x$, $Y = y^T y^T A^{-1} x \neq 0$, and $R = [1]$ then:

$$(A + xy^T)^{-1} = A^{-1} - (1 + y^T A^{-1}x)^{-1} A^{-1}xy^T A^{-1}$$

In particular, if $B = I + xy^T$ for $x, y \in \mathbb{F}^n$ and $y^T x \neq -1$, then

$$B^{-1} = I - (1 + y^T x)^{-1}xy^T$$

0.7.3 Complementary nullities

Let $A \in M_n(\mathbb{F})$ is nonsingular. The *law of complementary nullities* is:

$$\text{nullity}(A[\alpha, \beta]) = \text{nullity}(A^{-1}[\beta^c, \alpha^c])$$

which is equivalent to the rank identity:

$$\text{rank } (A[\alpha, \beta]) = \text{rank } (A^{-1}[\beta^c, \alpha^c]) + r - s - n, \quad r = |\alpha|, s = |\beta|$$

0.7.4 Rank in a partitioned matrix and rank-principal matrices

- $A = \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} \end{bmatrix}$, $A_{11} \in M_r(\mathbb{F})$, $A_{22} \in M_{n-r}(\mathbb{F})$. If A_{11} is nonsingular, then

$$\text{rank } \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} \end{bmatrix} = \text{rank } \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} \\ A_{21} \end{bmatrix} = r$$

- The converse is true:
if $\text{rank } A_{11} = \text{rank } \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} \end{bmatrix} = \text{rank } \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} \\ A_{21} \end{bmatrix}$, then A_{11} is nonsingular.

0.8 Determinants again

0.8.1 The adjugate and the inverse

- If $A \in M_n(\mathbb{F})$, $n \geq 2$, the *adjugate* of A is: $\text{adj } A = [(-1)^{i+j} \det A[\{j\}^c, \{i\}^c]]$
- $(\text{adj } A)A = A(\text{adj } A) = (\det A)I$, and $\det(\text{adj } A) = (\det A)^{n-1}$
- $\text{adj } (A^{-1}) = A / \det A = (\text{adj } A)^{-1}$
- If $\text{rank } A \leq n - 2$, then $\text{adj } A = 0$
- If $\text{rank } A = n - 1$ then $\text{rank } \text{adj } A = 1$. Suppose $\text{adj } A = \alpha xy^T$ for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}$ and nonzero $x, y \in \mathbb{F}^n$. From:

$$(Ax)y^T = A(\text{adj } A) = 0 = (\text{adj } A)A = x(y^T A)$$

we conclude that: $Ax = 0$ and $y^T A = 0$

- $\text{adj}(AB) = (\text{adj } A)(\text{adj } B)$ for all $A, B \in M_n$

- If A is nonsingular, then:

$$\begin{aligned}\text{adj}(\text{adj } A) &= \text{adj}((\det A)A^{-1}) = (\det A)^{n-1}\text{adj } A^{-1} \\ &= (\det A)^{n-1}(A/\det A) = (\det A)^{n-2}A\end{aligned}$$

- If $A + B$ is nonsingular, then : $A(A + B)^{-1}B = B(A + B)^{-1}A$, so continuity ensures that:

$$A\text{adj}(A + B)B = B\text{adj}(A + B)A$$

- $(\text{adj } A)B = B(\text{adj } A)$ whenever $AB = BA$, even if A is singular.

- $(\text{adj } A) = \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial a_{ij}} \det A \right]^T$

0.8.2 Minors of the inverse

$$\det A^{-1}[\alpha^c, \beta^c] = (-1)^{p(\alpha, \beta)} \frac{\det A[\beta, \alpha]}{\det A}, \text{ in which } p(\alpha, \beta) = \sum_{i \in \alpha} i + \sum_{j \in \beta} j.$$

$$\text{In particular: } \det A^{-1}[\alpha^c] = \frac{\det A[\alpha]}{\det A}$$

0.8.3 Schur complements and determinantal formulae

- Definition: The *Schur complement* of $A[\alpha]$ in A :

$$A/A[\alpha] = A[\alpha^c] - A[\alpha^c, \alpha]A[\alpha]^{-1}A[\alpha, \alpha^c]$$

- $\det A = \det A[\alpha] \det (A[\alpha^c] - A[\alpha^c, \alpha]A[\alpha]^{-1}A[\alpha, \alpha^c])$

- When α^c consists of a single element. Then:

$$\begin{aligned}\det A &= \det A[\alpha] (A[\alpha^c] - A[\alpha^c, \alpha](A[\alpha]^{-1}A[\alpha, \alpha^c])) \\ &= A[\alpha^c] \det A - A[\alpha^c, \alpha](\text{adj } A[\alpha])A[\alpha, \alpha^c]\end{aligned}$$

- *Cauchy's formula for the determinant of a rank-one perturbation*

$$\begin{aligned}\det \begin{bmatrix} \hat{A} & x \\ y^T & a \end{bmatrix} &= a \det(\hat{A} - a^{-1}xy^T) \\ \det \begin{bmatrix} \hat{A} & x \\ y^T & a \end{bmatrix} &= a \det \hat{A} - y^T(\text{adj } \hat{A})x \\ \Rightarrow a \det(\hat{A} - a^{-1}xy^T) &= a \det \hat{A} - y^T(\text{adj } \hat{A})x \\ a = -1 \Rightarrow \det(\hat{A} + xy^T) &= \det \hat{A} + y^T(\text{adj } \hat{A})x\end{aligned}$$

0.8.4 Determinantal identities of Sylvester and Kronecker

0.8.10 Derivative of the determinant

- $\frac{d}{dt} \det A(t) = \text{tr}(\text{adj } A(t))A'(t)$
- $\frac{d}{dt} \det(tI - A) = \text{tr } \text{adj } (tI - A)$

0.9 Special types of matrices

0.9.1 Block diagonal matrices and direct sums

- A matrix $A \in M_n(\mathbb{F})$ of the form: $A = \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & \dots & \mathbf{0} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \mathbf{0} & \dots & A_{kk} \end{bmatrix}$ in which

$A_{ii} \in M_{n_i}(\mathbb{F}), i = 1, \dots, k, \sum_{i=1}^k n_i = n$ is called *block diagonal*. We also write:

$$A = A_{11} \oplus A_{22} \oplus \dots \oplus A_{kk} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^k A_{ii}$$

- $\det \left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^k A_{ii} \right) = \prod_{i=1}^k \det A_{ii}$
- $\text{rank} \left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^k A_{ii} \right) = \sum_{i=1}^k \text{rank } A_{ii}$
- If $A \in M_n$ and $B \in M_m$ are nonsingular, then:
 1. $(A \oplus B)^{-1} = A^{-1} \oplus B^{-1}$
 2. $(\det(A \oplus B))(A \oplus B)^{-1} = (\det A)(\det B)(A^{-1} \oplus B^{-1}) = ((\det B)(\det A)A^{-1} \oplus (\det A)(\det B)B^{-1})$
- a continuity argument ensures that:

$$\text{adj}(A \oplus B) = (\det B)\text{adj } A \oplus (\det A)\text{adj } B$$

0.9.2 Triangular matrices

- If $T \in M_n$ is triangular, has distinct diagonal entries, and commutes with $B \in M_n$, then B must be triangular of the same type as T (upper, strictly upper, lower, strictly lower).
- If a square triangular matrix is nonsingular, its inverse is a triangular matrix of the same type.
- A product of square triangular matrices of the same size and type is a triangular matrix of the same type; each i, i diagonal entry of such a matrix product is the product of the i, i entries of the factors.

0.9.3 Permutation matrices

- A square matrix P is a *permutation matrix* if exactly one entry in each row and column is equal to 1 and all other entries are 0.
- $P^T = P^{-1}$ and $\det P = \pm 1$
- The product of two permutation matrices is again a permutation matrix.
- A matrix $A \in M_n$ such that PAP^T is triangular for some permutation matrix P is called *essentially triangular*
- The n -by- n *reversal matrix* is the permutation matrix:

$$K_n = \begin{bmatrix} & & 1 \\ & \ddots & \\ 1 & & \end{bmatrix}$$

0.9.4 Circulant matrices

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} a_1 & a_2 & a_3 & \dots & a_n \\ a_n & a_1 & a_2 & \dots & a_{n-1} \\ a_{n-1} & a_n & a_1 & \dots & a_{n-2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_2 & a_3 & a_4 & \dots & a_1 \end{bmatrix}$$

0.9.5 Toeplitz matrices

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} a_0 & a_1 & a_2 & a_3 & \dots & a_n \\ a_{-1} & a_0 & a_1 & a_2 & \dots & a_{n-1} \\ a_{-2} & a_{-1} & a_0 & a_1 & \dots & a_{n-2} \\ a_{-3} & a_{-2} & a_{-1} & a_0 & \dots & a_{n-3} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \\ a_{-n} & a_{-n+1} & a_{-n+2} & a_{-n+3} & \dots & a_0 \end{bmatrix}$$

0.9.6 Hankel matrices

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} a_0 & a_1 & a_2 & \dots & a_n \\ a_1 & a_2 & a_3 & \dots & a_{n+1} \\ a_2 & a_3 & a_4 & \dots & a_{n+2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_n & a_{n+1} & a_{n+2} & \dots & a_{2n} \end{bmatrix}$$

0.9.7 Hessenberg matrices

- A matrix $A = [a_{ij}] \in M_n(\mathbb{F})$ is said to be in *upper Hessenberg form* or to be an *upper Hessenberg matrix* if $a_{ij} = 0$ for all $i > j + 1$:

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & & & & * \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & & & \\ & a_{32} & \ddots & & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \\ 0 & & & a_{n,n-1} & a_{nn} \end{bmatrix}$$

- An upper Hessenberg matrix A is *unreduced* if $a_{i+1,i} \neq 0$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n-1$; the rank of such a matrix is at least $n-1$ since its first $n-1$ columns are independent.

0.9.8 Tridiagonal, bidiagonal, and other structured matrices

- A matrix that is both upper and lower Hessenberg is called tridiagonal, that is, A is tridiagonal if $a_{ij} = 0, \forall |i-j| > 1$
- A *Jacobi matrix* is a real symmetric tridiagonal matrix with positive subdiagonal entries.
- A matrix $A = [a_{ij}] \in M_n(\mathbb{F})$ is *persymmetric* if $a_{ij} = a_{n+1-j, n+1-i}$ for all $i, j = 1, \dots, n-1$
- A is persymmetric if $K_n A = A^T K_n$
- If A is persymmetric and invertible, then A^{-1} is also persymmetric since $K_n A^{-1} = (AK_n)^{-1} = (K_n A^T)^{-1} = (A^{-1})^T K_n$
- A is *skew persymmetric* if $K_n A = -A^T K_n$. The inverse of a nonsingular skew-persymmetric matrix is skew persymmetric.
- $A \in M_n$ is *perhermitian* if $K_n A = A^* K_n$, is *skew perhermitian* if $K_n A = -A^* K_n$
- A matrix $A = [a_{ij}] \in M_n(\mathbb{F})$ is *centrosymmetric* if $a_{ij} = a_{n+1-i, n+1-j}$ for all $i, j = 1, \dots, n$. A is centrosymmetric if $K_n A = AK_n$.
- if A and B are centrosymmetric, then AB is centrosymmetric. If A and B are skew centrosymmetric, then AB is centrosymmetric.

0.9.9 Vandermonde matrices and Lagrange interpolation

- A *Vandermonde matrix* $A \in M_n(\mathbb{F})$ has the form

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & x_1 & x_1^2 & \dots & x_1^{n-1} \\ 1 & x_2 & x_2^2 & \dots & x_2^{n-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & x_n & x_n^2 & \dots & x_n^{n-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

- $\det A = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (x_i - x_j)$

0.9.10 Cauchy matrices

- A *Cauchy matrix* $A \in M_n(\mathbb{F})$ is matrix of the form $A = [(a_i + b_j)^{-1}]_{i,j=1}^n$, in which $a_1, \dots, a_n, b_1, \dots, b_n$ are scalars such that $a_i + b_j \neq 0$ for all $i, j = 1, \dots, n$.

- $\det A = \frac{\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (a_j - a_i)(b_j - b_i)}{\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (a_i + b_j)}$

- A *Hilbert matrix* $H_n = [i + j - 1]_{i,j=1}^n$ is a Cauchy matrix that is also a Hankel matrix.

$$\det H_n = \frac{(1!2! \dots (n-1)!)^4}{1!2! \dots (2n-1)!}$$

- So a Hilbert matrix is always nonsingular. The entries of its inverse $H_n^{-1} = [h_{ij}]_{i,j=1}^n$ are:

$$h_{ij} = \frac{(-1)^{i+j} (n+i-1)! (n+j-1)!}{\left((i-1)!(j-1)!\right)^2 (n-i)!(n-j)!(i+j+1)!}$$

0.9.11 Involution, nilpotent, projection, coninvolution

A matrix $A \in M_n(\mathbb{F})$ is

- an *involution* if $A^2 = I$
- *nilpotent* if $A^k = 0$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$; the least such k is the *index of nilpotence* of A .
- a *projection/idempotent* if $A^2 = A$

Suppose that $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$. A matrix $A \in M_n$ is:

- a *Hermitian projection/orthogonal projection* if $A^* = A$ and $A^2 = A$.
- a *coninvolution/coninvolutory* if $A\bar{A} = I$

0.10 Change of basis

0.11 Equivalence relations

| Equivalence Relation \sim | $A \sim B$ |
|-----------------------------|-----------------|
| congruence | $A = SBS^T$ |
| unitary congruence | $A = UBU^T$ |
| *congruence | $A = SBS^*$ |
| consimilarity | $A = SBS^{-1}$ |
| equivalence | $A = SBT$ |
| unitary equivalence | $A = UBV$ |
| diagonal equivalence | $A = S_1 B D_2$ |
| similarity | $A = SBS^{-1}$ |
| unitary similarity | $A = UBU^*$ |
| triangular equivalence | $A = LBR$ |

in which:

- D_1, D_2, S, T, L and R are square and nonsingular.
- U and V are unitary
- L is lower triangular
- R is upper triangular
- D_1 and D_2 are diagonal
- A and B need not be square for equivalence, unitary equivalence, triangular equivalence, or diagonal equivalence.

1 Eigenvalues, Eigenvectors and Similarity

1.1 The eigenvalue-eigenvector equation

- $A \in M_n$, $p()$ is a given polynomial. Then if $Ax = \lambda x \Rightarrow p(A)x = p(\lambda)x$
- **Theorem 1.1.6** $A \in M_n$, $p()$ is a given polynomial. Then if $Ax = \lambda x \Rightarrow p(A)x = p(\lambda)x$. Conversely, if $k \geq 1$ and if μ is an eigenvalue of $p(A)$, then $\exists \lambda \in \sigma(A) | \mu = p(\lambda)$
- **Observation 1.1.8** Let $A \in M_n$ and $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{C}$ be given. Then $\lambda \in \sigma(A) \iff \lambda + \mu \in \sigma(A + \mu I)$
- **Theorem 1.1.9** Let $A \in M_n$ be given. Thus, for each $(y \neq 0) \in \mathbb{C}^n$, \exists a polynomial $g(t)$ of degree at most $n-1$ such that $g(A)y$ is an eigenvector of A .

1.2 The characteristic polynomial and algebraic multlicity

- **Theorem 1.2.8 (Brauer's theorem)** . Let $x, y \in C^n, x \neq 0$ and $A \in M_n$. Suppose that $Ax = \lambda x$ and let the eigenvalues of A be $\lambda, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n$. Then, the eigenvalues of $A + xy^*$ are $\lambda + y^*x, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n$. In other words,

$$(t - \lambda)p_{A+xy^*}(t) = (t - (\lambda + y^*x))p_A(t)$$

- a_k is the coefficient of t^k in $p_A(t)$. $E_k(A)$ is the sum of all k-by-k principal minors of A . Then

$$a_k = \frac{1}{k!} p_A^{(k)}(0) = (-1)^{n-k} E_{n-k}(A)$$

- $E_k(A) = S_k(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) = \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq n} \prod_{j=1}^k \lambda_{i_j}, \lambda_i \in \sigma(A)$

$$p_A(t) = t^n - E_1(A)t^{n-1} + \dots + (-1)^{n-1}E_{n-1}t + (-1)^n E_n(A)$$

- **Theorem 1.2.17** Let $A \in M_n$. There is some $\delta > 0$ such that $A + \varepsilon I$ is nonsingular whenever $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{C}$ and $0 < |\varepsilon| < \delta$ (See Observation 1.1.8)

- α is a zero of $p(t)$ of multiplicity k iff $\begin{cases} p'(\alpha) = \dots = p^{(k-1)}(\alpha) = 0 \\ p^{(k)}(\alpha) \neq 0 \end{cases}$

- **Theorem 1.2.18** Let $A \in M_n$ and suppose that $\lambda \in \sigma(A)$ has algebraic multiplicity k . Then $\text{rank}(A - \lambda I) \geq n - k$ with equality for $k = 1$.

- Let $A \in M_n$ and $x, y \in \mathbb{C}^n$ be given. Let $f(t) = \det(A + txy^T)$. Then, for any $t_1 \neq t_2$

$$\det A = \frac{t_2 f(t_1) - t_1 f(t_2)}{t_2 - t_1}$$

1.3 Similarity

- **Theorem 1.3.7** Let $A \in M_n$ be given. Then

1. A is similar to a bloock matrix of the form

$$\begin{bmatrix} \Lambda & C \\ 0 & D \end{bmatrix}, \quad \Lambda = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k), D \in M_{n-k}, 1 \leq k < n$$

iff there are k linearly independent vectors in \mathbb{C}^n , each of which is an eigenvector of A .

2. The matrix A is diagonalizable iff it has n linearly independent eigenvectors.
3. If $x^{(1)}, \dots, x^{(n)}$ are linearly independent vectors of A and if $S = [x^{(1)}, \dots, x^{(n)}]$, then $S^{-1}AS$ is a diagonal matrix

4. If A is similar to a matrix of the above form, then the diagonal entries of Λ are eigenvalues of A . If A is similar to a diagonal matrix Λ , then the diagonal entries of Λ are all of the eigenvalues of A

- **Lemma 1.3.8** Let $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k, (k \geq 2)$ be distinct eigenvalues of $A \in M_n$ and suppose that $x^{(i)}$ is an eigenvector associated with λ_i for each $i = 1, \dots, k$. Then the vectors $x^{(1)}, \dots, x^{(k)}$ are linearly independent.

- **Theorem 1.3.9** If $A \in M_n$ has n distinct eigenvalues, then A is diagonalizable.

- **Lemma 1.3.10** Let $B_1 \in M_{n_1}, \dots, B_d \in M_{n_d}$ be given and let B be the direct sum

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} B_1 & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & B_d \end{bmatrix} = B_1 \oplus \dots \oplus B_d$$

Then B is diagonalizable iff each of B_1, \dots, B_d is diagonalizable.

- **Theorem 1.3.12** Let $A, B \in M_n$ be diagonalizable. Then $AB = BA$ iff they are simultaneously diagonalizable.

- $\mathcal{F} \subseteq M_n$ is a commuting family $\Rightarrow \exists x \in \mathbb{C}^n$ that is an eigenvector of every $A \in \mathcal{F}$

- \mathcal{F} is a family of diagonalizable matrices. Then \mathcal{F} is a commuting family \Leftrightarrow it is a simultaneously diagonalizable family.

- $A \in M_{m,n}, B \in M_{n,m}, m \leq n$. Then $p_{BA}(t) = t^{n-m} p_{AB}(t)$

- **Theorem 1.3.28** Let $S \in M_n$ be nonsingular and let $S = C + iD$, in which $C, D \in M_n(\mathbb{R})$. There is a real number τ such that $T = C + \tau D$ is nonsingular.

- **Theorem 1.3.29** Two real matrices that are similar over \mathbb{C} are similar over \mathbb{R} .

- **Theorem 1.3.31 (Misky)** Let an interger $n \geq 2$ and complex scalars $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ and d_1, \dots, d_n be given. There is an $A \in M_n$ with eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ and main diagonal entries d_1, \dots, d_n iff $\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i = \sum_{i=1}^n d_i$.

If $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ and d_1, \dots, d_n are all real and have the same sums, there is an $A \in M_n(\mathbb{R})$ with eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ and main diagonal entries d_1, \dots, d_n .

2 Unitary equivalence and normal

2.1 Unitary matrices

- **Orthogonal:** The vectors $x_1, \dots, x_k \in \mathbf{C}^n$ form an orthogonal set if $x_i^* x_j = 0, \forall \text{ pairs } 1 \leq i < j \leq k$.
- **Orthonormal:** If an orthogonal set has vectors normalized, $x_i^* x_i = 1, \forall i = 1, \dots, k$, then the set is called orthonormal. An orthonormal set of vectors is linearly independent.
- A matrix $U \in M_n$ is said to be *unitary* if $U^* U = I$. If, in addition, $U \in M_n(\mathbb{R})$, U is said to be *real orthogonal*.
- **Theorem:** For all $x \in \mathbf{C}^n$ and matrix U is unitary, the Euclidean length of $y = Ux$ is the same as that of x ; that is, $y^* y = x^* x$.
- **Theorem:** Let $A \in M_n$ be a nonsingular matrix. Then A^{-1} is similar to A^* iff there is a nonsingular matrix $B \in M_n$ such that $A = B^{-1} B^*$.

2.2 Unitary equivalence

- **Def:** A matrix $B \in M_n$ is said to be *unitarily equivalent* to $A \in M_n$ if there is a unitary matrix $U \in M_n$ such that $B = U^* A U$. If U may be taken to be real, then B is said to be (*real*) *orthogonally equivalent* to A .
- **Thr:** If $A = [a_{ij}]$ and $B = [b_{ij}] \in M_n$ are unitarily equivalent, then:

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^n |b_{ij}|^2 = \sum_{i,j=1}^n |a_{ij}|^2$$

- **Householder transformations:** Let $w \in \mathbf{C}^n$ be a nonzero vector and define $U_w \in M_n$ by $U_w = I - tww^*$ in which $t = 2(w^* w)^{-1}$. Then,
 - $U_w x = x$ if $x \perp w$ and $U_w w = -w$
 - U_w is both unitary and Hermitian
 - **Specht's Thr:** Two given matrices $A, B \in M_n$ are unitarily equivalent iff: $\text{tr } W(A, A^*) = \text{tr } W(B, B^*)$ for every word $W(s, t)$ in two noncommuting variables.
- $$W(A, A^*) = A^{m_1} (A^*)^{n_1} A^{m_2} (A^*)^{n_2} \dots A^{m_k} (A^*)^{n_k}$$
- **Pearcy's Thr:** Two given matrices $A, B \in M_n$ are unitarily equivalent iff $\text{tr } W(A, A^*) = \text{tr } W(B, B^*)$ for every word $W(s, t)$ of degree at most $2n^2$.

2.3 Schur's unitary triangularization theorem

- **Schur's Thr:** Given $A \in M_n$ with eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ in any prescribed order, there is a unitary matrix $U \in M_n$ such that:

$$U^* A U = T = [t_{ij}]$$

is upper triangular, with diagonal entries $t_{ii} = \lambda_i, i = 1, \dots, n$. That is, every square matrix A is unitarily equivalent to a triangular matrix whose diagonal entries are the eigenvalues of A in a prescribed order.

- **Thr:** Let $\mathcal{F} \subseteq M_n$ be a commuting family. There is a unitary matrix $U \in M_n$ such that $U^* A U$ is upper triangular for every $A \in \mathcal{F}$
- **Thr:** if $A \in M_n(\mathbb{R})$, there is a real orthogonal matrix $Q \in M_n(\mathbb{R})$ such that:

$$Q^T A Q = \begin{bmatrix} A_1 & & * \\ & A_2 & \\ & & \ddots \\ 0 & & & A_k \end{bmatrix} \in M_n(\mathbb{R}), \quad 1 \leq k \leq n \quad (13)$$

where each A_i is a real 1-by-1 matrix, or a real 2-by-2 matrix with a non-real pair of complex conjugate eigenvalues. The diagonal blocks A_i may be arranged in any prescribed order.

- **Thr:** Let $\mathcal{F} \subseteq M_n(\mathbb{R})$ be a commuting family. There is a real orthogonal matrix $Q \in M_n(\mathbb{R})$ such that $Q^T A Q$ is of the form 13 for every $A \in \mathcal{F}$.

2.4 Some implications of Schur's theorem

- **Lem:** Suppose that $R = [r_{ij}], T = [t_{ij}] \in M_n$ are upper triangular and that $r_{ij} = 0, 1 \leq i, j \leq k < n$, and $t_{k+1, k+1} = 0$. Let $T' = [t'_{ij}] R T$ then $t'_{ij} = 0, 1 \leq i, j \leq k+1$.
- **Cayley-Hamilton Thr:** Let $P_A(t)$ be the characteristic polynomial of $A \in M_n$. Then: $P_A(A) = 0$.
- **Thr:** Let $A = [a_{ij}] \in M_n$. For every $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a matrix $A(\epsilon) = [a_{ij}(\epsilon)] \in M_n$ that has n distinct eigenvalues (and therefore diagonalizable) and is such that:

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^n |a - [ij] - a_{ij}(\epsilon)|^2$$

- **Thr:** Let $A \in M_n$. For every $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a nonsingular matrix $S_\epsilon \in M_n$ such that

$$S_\epsilon^{-1} A S_\epsilon = T_\epsilon = [t_{ij}(\epsilon)]$$

is upper triangular and $|T_{ij}(\epsilon)| < \epsilon, \forall 1 \leq i < j \leq n$

- **Thr:** Suppose that $A \in M_n$ has eigenvalues $\lambda + i$ with multiplicity $n_i, i = 1, \dots, k$ and that $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k$ are distinct. Then A is similar to a matrix of the form

$$\begin{bmatrix} T_1 & & 0 \\ & T_2 & \\ & & \ddots \\ 0 & & & T_k \end{bmatrix}$$

where $T_i \in M_{n_i}$ is upper triangular with all diagonal entries equal to $\lambda_i, i = 1, \dots, k$. If $A \in M_n(\mathbb{R})$ and if all the eigenvalues of A are real, then the same result holds, and the similarity matrix may be taken to be real.

- **Thr:** Let $A, B \in M_n$ have eigenvalues $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ and β_1, \dots, β_n respectively. If A and B commute, there is a permutation i_1, \dots, i_n of the indices $1, \dots, n$ such that the eigenvalues of $A + B$ are $\alpha_1 + \beta_{i_1}, \dots, \alpha_n + \beta_{i_n}$. In particular, $\sigma(A + B) \subseteq \sigma(A) + \sigma(B)$ if A and B are commute.

2.5 Normal matrices

- **Def:** A matrix $A \in M_n$ is said to be *normal* if $A^*A = AA^*$.
- **Thm:** if $A = [a_{ij}] \in M_n$ has eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$, the following statements are equivalents:
 1. A is normal;
 2. A is unitary diagonalizable;
 3. $\sum_{i,j=1}^n |a_{ij}|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n |\lambda_i|^2$; and
 4. There is an orthonormal set of n eigenvectors of A .
- A normal matrix is nondefective (the geometric's and algebraic's multiplicity are the same)
- If $A \in M_n$ is normal, $x \in \mathbb{C}^n$ then $Ax = \lambda x \Leftrightarrow x^*A = \lambda x^*$
- **Thm:** If $\mathcal{N} \subseteq M_n$ is a commuting family of normal matrices, then \mathcal{N} is simultaneously unitary diagonalizable.
- **Lemma:** If $A \in M_n$ is Hermitian and $x^*Ax \geq 0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{C}^n$, then all the eigenvalues of A are nonnegative. If, in addition, $\text{tr } A = 0$ then $A = 0$.

4 Hermitian and symmetric matrices

4.1 Definitions, properties of Hermitian matrices

- **Thm:** Let $A = [a_{ij}] \in M_n$ be given. Then A is Hermitian iff at least one of the following holds:

1. x^*Ax is real for all $x \in \mathbb{C}^n$;
2. A is normal and all the eigenvalues of A are real; or
3. S^*AS is Hermitian for all $S \in M_n$

- **Thm:** Let $A \in M_n$ be given. Then A is Hermitian iff there is a unitary matrix $U \in M_n$ and a real diagonal matrix $\Lambda \in M_n$ s.t. $A = U\Lambda U^*$

4.2 Variational characterizations of eigenvalues of Hermitian matrices

- **Thm:** Let $A \in M_n$ be a Hermitian matrix with eigenvalues $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_n$, and let k be the given integer with $1 \leq k \leq n$. Then

$$\min_{w_1, w_2, \dots, w_{n-k} \in \mathbb{C}^n, x \neq 0, x \perp w_1, \dots, w_{n-k}} \max_{x \perp w_1, \dots, w_{n-k}} \frac{x^*Ax}{x^*x} = \lambda_k$$

$$\max_{w_1, w_2, \dots, w_{k-1} \in \mathbb{C}^n, x \neq 0, x \perp w_1, \dots, w_{k-1}} \min_{x \perp w_1, \dots, w_{k-1}} \frac{x^*Ax}{x^*x} = \lambda_k$$

4.3 Some apps of the variational characterizations

- **Thm:** Let $A, B \in M_n$ be Hermitian. Let the respective eigenvalues of A, B , and $A + B$ be $\{\lambda_1(A) \leq \lambda_2(A) \leq \dots \leq \lambda_n(A)\}$, $\{\lambda_1(B) \leq \lambda_2(B) \leq \dots \leq \lambda_n(B)\}$, and $\{\lambda_1(A + B) \leq \lambda_2(A + B) \leq \dots \leq \lambda_n(A + B)\}$. Then:

$$\lambda_i(A + B) \leq \lambda_{i+j}(A) + \lambda_{n-j}(B), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n; j = 0, 1, \dots, n - 1$$

- **Thm:** Let $A, B \in M_n$ be Hermitian and let the eigenvalues $\lambda_i(A), \lambda_i(B)$, and $\lambda_i(A + B)$ be arranged in increasing order. For each $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$, we have:

$$\lambda_k(A) + \lambda_1(B) \leq \lambda_k(A + B) \leq \lambda_k(A) + \lambda_n(B)$$

- **Corollary:** Let $A, B \in M_n$ be Hermitian. Assume that B is positive semidefinite and that the eigenvalues of A and $A + B$ are arranged in increasing order. Then: $\lambda_k(A) \leq \lambda_k(A + B)$ for all $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$

- **Thm 4.3.4:** Let $A \in M_n$ be Hermitian and let $z \in \mathbb{C}^n$ be a given vector. If the eigenvalues of A and $A \pm zz^*$ are arranged in increasing order, we have:

$$1. \lambda_k(A \pm zz^*) \leq \lambda_{k+1}(A) \leq \lambda_{k+2}(A \pm zz^*), k = 1, 2, \dots, n - 2$$

$$2. \lambda_k(A) \leq \lambda_{k+1}(A \pm zz^*) \leq \lambda_{k+2}(A)$$

- Let $A, B \in M_n$ be Hermitian and suppose that B has rank at most r . Then:

$$1. \lambda_k(A + B) \leq \lambda_{k+r}(A) \leq \lambda_{k+2r}(A), k = 1, 2, \dots, n - 2r$$

$$2. \lambda_k(A) \leq \lambda_{k+r}(A + B) \leq \lambda_{k+2r}(A), k = 1, 2, \dots, n - 2r$$

- **C:** A, B Hermitian.

1. $j + k \geq n + 1$, then: $\lambda_{j+k-n}(A + B) \leq \lambda_j(A) + \lambda_k(B)$.
2. $j + k \leq n + 1$, then $\lambda_j(A) + \lambda_k(B) \leq \lambda_{j+k-1}(A + B)$

- **Thm:** A Hermitian. $\hat{A} = \begin{bmatrix} A & y \\ y^* & a \end{bmatrix}$. Then:

$$\hat{\lambda}_1 \leq \lambda_1 \leq \hat{\lambda}_2 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots \leq \hat{\lambda}_n \leq \lambda_n \leq \hat{\lambda}_{n+1}$$

- **Thm 4.3.10** If: $\hat{\lambda}_1 \leq \lambda_1 \leq \hat{\lambda}_2 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots \leq \hat{\lambda}_n \leq \lambda_n \leq \hat{\lambda}_{n+1}$. Let $\Lambda = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$. Then $\exists a, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ s.t. $\{\hat{\lambda}_1, \dots, \hat{\lambda}_n\}$ is the set of the real symmetric matrix: $\begin{bmatrix} A & y \\ y^* & a \end{bmatrix}$

- **Thm:** A is Hermitian, A_r is r -by- r principal submatrix of A .

$$\lambda_k(A) \leq \lambda_k(A_r) \leq \lambda_{k+n-r}(A), \quad 1 \leq k \leq r$$

- **Def:** The vector β is said to *majorize* the vector α if sum of k smallest elements of $\beta \geq$ that of α , for $k = 1, \dots, n$ and *equality* for $k = n$
- **Thm:** A is Hermitian. Then the vector of diagonal entries of A majorizes the vector of eigenvalues of A .
- **Thm:** $a_1 \leq \dots \leq a_n$; $\lambda_1 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_n$ and vector $a = [a_i]$ majorizes the vector $\lambda = [\lambda_i]$, then $\exists A = [a_{ij}]$ is real symmetric s.t. $a_{ii} = a_i$ and $\{\lambda_i\}$ is the set of eigenvalues of A

-
- **Weyl's Thm:** Let $A, B \in M_n$ be Hermitian. Let the respective eigenvalues of A, B , and $A + B$ be $\{\lambda_1(A) \leq \lambda_2(A) \leq \dots \leq \lambda_n(A)\}$, $\{\lambda_1(B) \leq \lambda_2(B) \leq \dots \leq \lambda_n(B)\}$, and $\{\lambda_1(A + B) \leq \lambda_2(A + B) \leq \dots \leq \lambda_n(A + B)\}$. Then:

$$\lambda_{i-k+1}(A) + \lambda_k(B) \leq \lambda_i(A + B) \leq \lambda_{i+j}(A) + \lambda_{n-j}(B),$$

$$i = \overline{1, n}; j = \overline{0, n-1}; k = \overline{1, i}$$

- If B has exactly π positive eigenvalues, μ negative eigenvalues:

$$\lambda_i(A + B) \leq \lambda_{i+\pi}(A); i = \overline{1, n - \pi}, \quad (14)$$

$$\lambda_{i-\mu}(A) \leq \lambda_i(A + B); i = \overline{\mu + 1, n} \quad (15)$$

- If $\text{rank}(B) = r < n$:

$$\lambda_i(A + B) \leq \lambda_{i+r}(A); i = \overline{1, n - r} \quad (16)$$

$$\lambda_{i-r} \leq \lambda_i(A + B); i = \overline{r + 1, n} \quad (17)$$

- $0 \neq z \in \mathbb{C}^n$:

$$\lambda_i(A) \leq \lambda_i(A + zz^*) \leq \lambda(A); i = \overline{1, n-1} \quad (18)$$

$$\lambda_n(A) \leq \lambda_n(A + zz^*) \quad (19)$$

- $\lambda_k(A) + \lambda_1(B) \leq \lambda_k(A + B) \leq \lambda_k(A) + \lambda_n(B); k = \overline{1, n}$

- **Cauchy's Thm:** Let $B \in M_n$ be Hermitian, let $y \in \mathbb{C}^n$ and $a \in \mathbb{R}$ be a given, and let $A = \begin{bmatrix} B & y \\ y^* & a \end{bmatrix} \in M_{n+1}$. Then:

$$\lambda_1(A) \leq \lambda_1(B) \leq \lambda_2(A) \leq \dots \leq \lambda_n(A) \leq \lambda_n(B) \leq \lambda_{n+1}(A)$$

- **Thm 4.3.10** If: $\hat{\lambda}_1 \leq \lambda_1 \leq \hat{\lambda}_2 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots \leq \hat{\lambda}_n \leq \lambda_n \leq \hat{\lambda}_{n+1}$. Let $\Lambda = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$. Then $\exists a, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ s.t. $\{\hat{\lambda}_1, \dots, \hat{\lambda}_n\}$ is the set of the real symmetric matrix: $\begin{bmatrix} A & y \\ y^* & a \end{bmatrix}$
- If $\lambda_1 \leq \mu_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \mu_2 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_n \leq \mu_n$. Let $\Lambda = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_2)$. There exists $z \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that: $\sigma(\Lambda + zz^T) = \{\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n\}$

5 Norms for vectors and matrices

5.4 Analytic properties of vector norms

- Let $B = \{x^{(1)}, \dots, x^{(n)}\}$ be a basix for V . A fuction $f : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to be **pre-norm** if it satisfies:

- a) Positive: $f(x) \geq 0, \forall x; f(x) = 0 \Leftrightarrow x = 0$
- b) Homogeneous: $f(\alpha x) = \alpha f(x)$
- c) Continunous: $f(x(z))$ is continuous on $(\mathbb{F})^n$, where $z = [z_1, \dots, z_n]^T \in (\mathbb{F})^n$ and $x(z) = z_1 x^{(1)} + \dots + z_n x^{(n)}$

A norm is always a pre-norm.

- Let $f()$ be a pre-norm on $V = \mathbb{R}^n$ or \mathbb{C}^n . The function:

$$f^D(y) = \max_{f(x)=1} \text{Re } y^* x$$

is called the **dual norm** of f . Also: $f^D(y) = \max_{f(x)=1} |y^* x|$. The dual norm of a pre-norm is always a norm.

- $|y^* x| \leq f(x) f^D(y)$
- $(\|\cdot\|_1)^D = \|\cdot\|_\infty; (\|\cdot\|_\infty)^D = \|\cdot\|_1;$
- $(\|\cdot\|_2)^D = \|\cdot\|_2$

$$\circ ((\|\cdot\|)^D)^D = \|\cdot\|$$

- $x \in C^n$ be a given vector and let $\|\cdot\|$ be a given vector norm on C^n . The set

$$\{y \in C^n : \|y\|^D \|x\| = y^* x = 1\}$$

is said to be **the dual of x with respect to $\|\cdot\|$**

5.5 Matrix norms

- We call a function $\|\cdot\| : M_n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a **matrix norm** if for all $A, B \in M_n$, it satisfies the following five axioms:

- (1) $\|A\| \geq 0$ Nonnegative
- (1a) $\|A\| = 0$ if and only if $A = 0$ Positive
- (2) $\|cA\| = |c|\|A\|, \forall c \in \mathbb{C}$ Homogeneous
- (3) $\|A + B\| \leq \|A\| + \|B\|$ Triangle inequality
- (4) $\|AB\| \leq \|A\|\|B\|$ Submultiplicative

- Let $\|\cdot\|$ be a vector norm on C^n . Define $\|\cdot\|$ on M_n by:

$$\|A\| = \max_{\|x\|=1} \|Ax\|$$

Then, $\|\cdot\|$ is a matrix norm. $\|\cdot\|$ is the matrix norm **induced** by the vector norm $\|\cdot\|$.

- The **maximum column sum matrix norm** $\|\cdot\|_1$:

$$\|A\|_1 = \max_{1 \leq j \leq n} \sum_{i=1}^n |a_{ij}|$$

- The **maximum row sum matrix norm** $\|\cdot\|_\infty$:

$$\|A\|_\infty = \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \sum_{j=1}^n |a_{ij}|$$

- **Spectral norm** $\|\cdot\|_2$ is defined on M_n by:

$$\|A\|_2 = \max\{\sqrt{\lambda} : \lambda \text{ is an eigenvalue of } A^*A\}$$

- **Spectral radius** $\rho(A)$ of a matrix $A \in M_n$ is:

$$\rho(A) = \max\{|\lambda| : \lambda \text{ is an eigen value of } A\}$$

- If $\|\cdot\|$ is any matrix norm, then $\rho(A) \leq \|A\|$.

- Let $A \in M_n$ and $\epsilon > 0$ be given. There is a matrix norm $\|\cdot\|$ such that: $\rho(A) \leq \|A\| \leq \rho(A) + \epsilon$

- If $A \in M_n$, then the series $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k A^k$ converges if there is a matrix norm $\|\cdot\|$ on M_n such that the numerical series $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_k| \|A\|^k$ converges, or even if the partial sums of this series are bounded.

- Define $\|A\|^* = \|A^*\|$

- A matrix norm $\|\cdot\|$ on M_n is a **minimal matrix norm** if the only matrix norm $N(\cdot)$ on M_n such that $N(A) \leq \|A\|$ for all $A \in M_n$ is $N(\cdot) = \|\cdot\|$

•

- **Thm:** Let $\|\cdot\|$ be a given matrix norm on M_n . Then:

- a) $\|\cdot\|^*$ is an induced norm if and only if $\|\cdot\|$ is an induced norm.
- b) If the matrix norm $\|\cdot\|$ is induced by the vector norm $\|\cdot\|$, then $\|\cdot\|^*$ is induced by the dual norm $\|\cdot\|^D$
- c) The spectral norm $\|\cdot\|_2$ is the only matrix norm on M_n that is both induced and self-adjoint.

5.7 Vector norms on matrices

- If f is a pre-norm on M_n , then $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} [f(A^k)]^{1/k}$ exists for all $A \in M_n$ and:

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} [f(A^k)]^{1/k} = \rho(A)$$

- For each vector norm $G(\cdot)$ on M_n , there is a finite positive constant $c(G)$ such that $c(G)G(\cdot)$ is a matrix norm on M_n . If $\|\cdot\|$ is a matrix norm on M_n , and if:

$$C_m \|A\| \leq G(A) \leq C_M \|A\| \text{ for all } A \in M_n$$

$$\text{then } c(G) \leq \frac{C_M}{C_m^2}$$

- The vector norm $\|\cdot\|$ on C^n is said to be compatible with the vector norm $G(\cdot)$ on M_n if:

$$\|Ax\| \leq G(A)\|x\|, \forall x \in C^n, A \in M_n$$

- If $\|\cdot\|$ is a matrix norm on M_n , then there is some vector norm on C^n that is compatible with it.