

# Matrix Analysis - Review

Tiep Vu

November 5, 2017

## 0 Review and miscellanea

### 0.1 Vector spaces

- If  $S_1, S_2$  are two subspaces of  $V$ , then

$$\dim(S_1 \cap S_2) + \dim(S_1 + S_2) = \dim S_1 + \dim S_2$$

$$\dim(S_1 \cap S_2) \geq \dim S_1 + \dim S_2 - \dim V$$

### 0.2 Matrices

- $\dim(\text{range } A) + \dim(\text{nullspace } A) = \text{rank } A + \text{nullity } A = n$

$$(AB)^* = B^*A^*, \quad (AB)^T = A^T B^T, \quad \overline{AB} = \overline{A} \cdot \overline{B}$$

$$(y^*x)^* = \overline{y^*x} = x^*y = y^T \overline{x}$$

- Some definitions:

<i>symmetric</i>	$A^T = A$	<i>skew symmetric</i>	$A^T = -A$
<i>orthogonal</i>	$A^T A = I$	<i>skew Hermitian</i>	$A^* = -A$
<i>Hermitian</i>	$A^* = A$	<i>essentially Hermitian</i>	$\exists \theta \in \mathbb{R}: e^{i\theta} A$ is Hermitian
<i>unitary</i>	$A^* A = I$	<i>normal</i>	$A^* A = A A^*$

- Each  $A \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$  can be written in exactly one way as  $A = H(A) + iK(A)$ , in which  $H(A), K(A)$  are Hermitian.

$$\text{tr} AA^* = \text{tr} A^* A = \sum_{i,j} |a_{ij}|^2, A \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$$

$$\text{range } A + \text{range } B = \text{range } [A \ B]$$

$$\text{nullspace } A \cap \text{nullspace } B = \text{nullspace } \begin{bmatrix} A \\ B \end{bmatrix}$$

### 0.3 Determinants

- Laplace expansion by minors along a row or column

$$\det A = \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{i+k} a_{ik} \det A_{ik} = \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{k+j} a_{kj} \det A_{kj}$$

### 0.4 Rank

#### 0.4.1 Rank inequalities

- If  $A \in M_{m,n}(\mathbb{F})$  then:  $\text{rank } A \leq \min\{m, n\}$

- If  $A \in M_{m,k}(\mathbb{F}), B \in M_{k,n}(\mathbb{F})$  then:

$$(\text{rank } A + \text{rank } B) - k \leq \text{rank } AB \leq \min\{\text{rank } A, \text{rank } B\}$$

- If  $A, B \in M_{m,n}(\mathbb{F})$  then:

$$|\text{rank } A - \text{rank } B| \leq \text{rank } (A + B) \leq \text{rank } A + \text{rank } B$$

- If  $\text{rank } B = 1$  then (*changing one entry of a matrix can change its rank by at most 1*):  $|\text{rank } (A + B) - \text{rank } A| \leq 1$

- (Frobenius inequality): If  $A \in M_{m,k}(\mathbb{F}), B \in M_{k,p}(\mathbb{F}), C \in M_{p,n}(\mathbb{F})$  then:

$$\text{rank } AB + \text{rank } BC \leq \text{rank } B + \text{rank } ABC$$

with equality if and only if there are matrices  $X, Y$  such that  $B = BCX + YAB$

#### 0.4.2 Rank equalities

- If  $A \in M_{m,n}(\mathbb{C})$ , then:  $\text{rank } A^* = \text{rank } A^T = \text{rank } \overline{A} = \text{rank } A$

- If  $A \in M_m \mathbb{F}$  and  $C \in M_n \mathbb{F}$  are nonsingular and  $B \in M_{m,n} \mathbb{F}$ , then:  $\text{rank } AB = \text{rank } B = \text{rank } BC = \text{rank } ABC$

- If  $A, B \in M_{m,n} \mathbb{F}$ , then  $\text{rank } A = \text{rank } B \Leftrightarrow$  there exist a nonsingular  $X \in M_m \mathbb{F}$  and a nonsingular  $Y \in M_n \mathbb{F}$  such that  $B = XAY$

- If  $A \in M_{m,n}(\mathbb{C})$ , then:  $\text{rank } A^* A = \text{rank } A$

- If  $A \in M_{m,n}\mathbb{F}$ , then  $\text{rank } A = k \Leftrightarrow A = XY^T$  for some  $X \in M_{m,k}\mathbb{F}$  and  $Y \in M_{n,k}\mathbb{F}$  that each have independent columns
- $\text{rank } A = k \iff \exists$  nonsingular matrices  $S \in M_n\mathbb{F}$  and  $T \in M_n\mathbb{F}$  such that  $A = S \begin{bmatrix} I_k & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} T$
- Let  $A \in M_{m,n}\mathbb{F}$ . If  $X \in M_{n,k}\mathbb{F}$  and  $Y \in M_{m,k}\mathbb{F}$  and if  $W = Y^TAX$  is nonsingular, then:

$$\text{rank } (A - AXW^{-1}Y^TA) = \text{rank } A - \text{rank } AXW^{-1}Y^TA$$

When  $k = 1$  (*Wedderburn's rank-one reduction formula*): If  $x \in \mathbb{F}^n$  and  $y \in \mathbb{F}^m$ , and if  $\omega = y^TAx \neq 0$ , then:

$$\text{rank } (A - \omega^{-1}Axy^TA) = \text{rank } A - 1$$

Conversely, if  $\sigma \in \mathbb{F}$ ,  $u \in \mathbb{F}^n$ ,  $v \in \mathbb{F}^m$ , and  $\text{rank } (A - \sigma uv^T) < \text{rank } A$ , then:  $\text{rank } (A - \sigma uv^T) = \text{rank } A - 1$  and there are  $x \in \mathbb{F}^n$ ,  $y \in \mathbb{F}^m$  such that  $u = Ax$ ,  $v = A^Ty$ ,  $y^TAx \neq 0$ , and  $\sigma = (y^TAx)^{-1}$

## 0.5 Nonsingularity

- $(A^{-1})^T = (A^T)^{-1}$

## 0.6 The Euclidean inner product and norm

- $\langle x, y \rangle = y^*x$ ,  $\|x\|_2 = \langle x, x \rangle = (x * x)^{1/2}$
- $\langle \alpha x_1 + \beta x_2, y \rangle = \alpha \langle x_1, y \rangle + \beta \langle x_2, y \rangle$ ,  $\langle x, \alpha y_1 + \beta y_2 \rangle = \bar{\alpha} \langle x, y_1 \rangle + \bar{\beta} \langle x, y_2 \rangle$

## 0.7 Partitioned sets and matrices

### 0.7.1 The inverse of a partitioned matrix

- $M = \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix}$

$$M^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} (A - BD^{-1}C)^{-1} & A^{-1}B(CA^{-1}B - D)^{-1} \\ D^{-1}C(BD^{-1}C - A)^{-1} & (D - CA^{-1}B)^{-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$M^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} (A - BD^{-1}C)^{-1} & (BD^{-1}C - A)^{-1}BD^{-1} \\ (CA^{-1}B - D)^{-1}CA^{-1} & (D - CA^{-1}B)^{-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

assume that the relevant inverses exist.

### 0.7.2 The Sherman-Morrison-Woddbury formula

Let  $A \in M_n\mathbb{F}$  be nonsingular and  $B = A + XRY$ ,  $X \in M_{n,r}\mathbb{F}$ ,  $Y \in M_{r,n}\mathbb{F}$ ,  $R \in M_{r,r}\mathbb{F}$ . If  $B$  and  $R^{-1} + YA^{-1}X$  are nonsingular, then:

$$B^{-1} = A^{-1} - A^{-1}X(R^{-1} + YA^{-1}X)^{-1}YA^{-1}$$

If  $r \ll n$ , then  $R$  and  $R^{-1} + YA^{-1}X$  may be much easier to invert than  $B$ . If  $x, y \in \mathbb{F}^n$  are nonzero vectors,  $X = x$ ,  $Y = y^Ty^TA^{-1}x \neq 0$ , and  $R = [1]$  then:

$$(A + xy^T)^{-1} = A^{-1} - (1 + y^TA^{-1}x)^{-1}A^{-1}xy^TA^{-1}$$

In particular, if  $B = I + xy^T$  for  $x, y \in \mathbb{F}^n$  and  $y^Tx \neq -1$ , then

$$B^{-1} = I - (1 + y^Tx)^{-1}xy^T$$

### 0.7.3 Complementary nullities

Let  $A \in M_n\mathbb{F}$  is nonsingular. The *law of complementary nullities* is:

$$\text{nullity}(A[\alpha, \beta]) = \text{nullity}(A^{-1}[\beta^c, \alpha^c])$$

which is equivalent to the rank identity:

$$\text{rank } (A[\alpha, \beta]) = \text{rank } (A^{-1}[\beta^c, \alpha^c]) + r - s - n, \quad r = |\alpha|, s = |\beta|$$

### 0.7.4 Rank in a partitioned matrix and rank-principal matrices

- $A = \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $A_{11} \in M_r\mathbb{F}$ ,  $A_{22} \in M_{n-r}\mathbb{F}$ . If  $A_{11}$  is nonsingular, then

$$\text{rank } \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} \end{bmatrix} = \text{rank } \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} \\ A_{21} \end{bmatrix} = r$$

- The converse is true:  
if  $\text{rank } A_{11} = \text{rank } \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} \end{bmatrix} = \text{rank } \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} \\ A_{21} \end{bmatrix}$ , then  $A_{11}$  is nonsingular.

## 0.8 Determinants again

### 0.8.1 The adjugate and the inverse

- If  $A \in M_n\mathbb{F}$ ,  $n \geq 2$ , the *adjugate* of  $A$  is:  $\text{adj } A = [(-1)^{i+j} \det A[\{j\}^c, \{i\}^c]]$
- $(\text{adj } A)A = A(\text{adj } A) = (\det A)I$ , and  $\det(\text{adj } A) = (\det A)^{n-1}$
- $\text{adj } (A^{-1}) = A / \det A = (\text{adj } A)^{-1}$
- If  $\text{rank } A \leq n - 2$ , then  $\text{adj } A = 0$
- If  $\text{rank } A = n - 1$  then  $\text{rank } \text{adj } A = 1$ . Suppose  $\text{adj } A = \alpha xy^T$  for some  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}$  and nonzero  $x, y \in \mathbb{F}^n$ . From:

$$(Ax)y^T = A(\text{adj } A) = 0 = (\text{adj } A)A = x(y^TA)$$

we conclude that:  $Ax = 0$  and  $y^TA = 0$

- $\text{adj}(AB) = (\text{adj } A)(\text{adj } B)$  for all  $A, B \in M_n$

- If  $A$  is nonsingular, then:

$$\begin{aligned}\text{adj}(\text{adj } A) &= \text{adj}((\det A)A^{-1}) = (\det A)^{n-1}\text{adj } A^{-1} \\ &= (\det A)^{n-1}(A/\det A) = (\det A)^{n-2}A\end{aligned}$$

- If  $A + B$  is nonsingular, then :  $A(A + B)^{-1}B = B(A + B)^{-1}A$ , so continuity ensures that:

$$A\text{adj}(A + B)B = B\text{adj}(A + B)A$$

- $(\text{adj } A)B = B(\text{adj } A)$  whenever  $AB = BA$ , even if  $A$  is singular.

- $(\text{adj } A) = \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial a_{ij}} \det A \right]^T$

### 0.8.2 Minors of the inverse

$$\det A^{-1}[\alpha^c, \beta^c] = (-1)^{p(\alpha, \beta)} \frac{\det A[\beta, \alpha]}{\det A}, \text{ in which } p(\alpha, \beta) = \sum_{i \in \alpha} i + \sum_{j \in \beta} j.$$

$$\text{In particular: } \det A^{-1}[\alpha^c] = \frac{\det A[\alpha]}{\det A}$$

### 0.8.3 Schur complements and determinantal formulae

- Definition: The *Schur complement* of  $A[\alpha]$  in  $A$ :

$$A/A[\alpha] = A[\alpha^c] - A[\alpha^c, \alpha]A[\alpha]^{-1}A[\alpha, \alpha^c]$$

- $\det A = \det A[\alpha] \det (A[\alpha^c] - A[\alpha^c, \alpha]A[\alpha]^{-1}A[\alpha, \alpha^c])$

- When  $\alpha^c$  consists of a single element. Then:

$$\begin{aligned}\det A &= \det A[\alpha] (A[\alpha^c] - A[\alpha^c, \alpha](A[\alpha]^{-1}A[\alpha, \alpha^c])) \\ &= A[\alpha^c] \det A - A[\alpha^c, \alpha](\text{adj } A[\alpha])A[\alpha, \alpha^c]\end{aligned}$$

- *Cauchy's formula for the determinant of a rank-one perturbation*

$$\begin{aligned}\det \begin{bmatrix} \hat{A} & x \\ y^T & a \end{bmatrix} &= a \det(\hat{A} - a^{-1}xy^T) \\ \det \begin{bmatrix} \hat{A} & x \\ y^T & a \end{bmatrix} &= a \det \hat{A} - y^T(\text{adj } \hat{A})x\end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow a \det(\hat{A} - a^{-1}xy^T) = a \det \hat{A} - y^T(\text{adj } \hat{A})x$$

$$a = -1 \Rightarrow \det(\hat{A} + xy^T) = \det \hat{A} + y^T(\text{adj } \hat{A})x$$

### 0.8.4 Determinantal identities of Sylvester and Kronecker

#### 0.8.10 Derivative of the determinant

- $\frac{d}{dt} \det A(t) = \text{tr}(\text{adj } A(t))A'(t)$
- $\frac{d}{dt} \det(tI - A) = \text{tr } \text{adj } (tI - A)$

### 0.9 Special types of matrices

#### 0.9.1 Block diagonal matrices and direct sums

- A matrix  $A \in M_n \mathbb{F}$  of the form:  $A = \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & \dots & \mathbf{0} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \mathbf{0} & \dots & A_{kk} \end{bmatrix}$  in which  $A_{ii} \in$

$M_{n_i} \mathbb{F}, i = 1, \dots, k, \sum_{i=1}^k n_i = n$  is called *block diagonal*. We also write:

$$A = A_{11} \oplus A_{22} \oplus \dots \oplus A_{kk} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^k A_{ii}$$

- $\det \left( \bigoplus_{i=1}^k A_{ii} \right) = \prod_{i=1}^k \det A_{ii}$
- $\text{rank} \left( \bigoplus_{i=1}^k A_{ii} \right) = \sum_{i=1}^k \text{rank } A_{ii}$
- If  $A \in M_n$  and  $B \in M_m$  are nonsingular, then:
  1.  $(A \oplus B)^{-1} = A^{-1} \oplus B^{-1}$
  2.  $(\det(A \oplus B))(A \oplus B)^{-1} = (\det A)(\det B)(A^{-1} \oplus B^{-1}) = ((\det B)(\det A)A^{-1} \oplus (\det A)(\det B)B^{-1})$
- a continuity argument ensures that:

$$\text{adj}(A \oplus B) = (\det B)\text{adj } A \oplus (\det A)\text{adj } B$$

#### 0.9.2 Triangular matrices

- If  $T \in M_n$  is triangular, has distinct diagonal entries, and commutes with  $B \in M_n$ , then  $B$  must be triangular of the same type as  $T$  (upper, strictly upper, lower, strictly lower).
- If a square triangular matrix is nonsingular, its inverse is a triangular matrix of the same type.
- A product of square triangular matrices of the same size and type is a triangular matrix of the same type; each  $i, i$  diagonal entry of such a matrix product is the product of the  $i, i$  entries of the factors.

### 0.9.3 Permutation matrices

- A square matrix  $P$  is a *permutation matrix* if exactly one entry in each row and column is equal to 1 and all other entries are 0.
- $P^T = P^{-1}$  and  $\det P = \pm 1$
- The product of two permutation matrices is again a permutation matrix.
- A matrix  $A \in M_n$  such that  $PAP^T$  is triangular for some permutation matrix  $P$  is called *essentially triangular*
- The  $n$ -by- $n$  *reversal matrix* is the permutation matrix:

$$K_n = \begin{bmatrix} & & 1 \\ & \ddots & \\ 1 & & \end{bmatrix}$$

### 0.9.4 Circulant matrices

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} a_1 & a_2 & a_3 & \dots & a_n \\ a_n & a_1 & a_2 & \dots & a_{n-1} \\ a_{n-1} & a_n & a_1 & \dots & a_{n-2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_2 & a_3 & a_4 & \dots & a_1 \end{bmatrix}$$

### 0.9.5 Toeplitz matrices

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} a_0 & a_1 & a_2 & a_3 & \dots & a_n \\ a_{-1} & a_0 & a_1 & a_2 & \dots & a_{n-1} \\ a_{-2} & a_{-1} & a_0 & a_1 & \dots & a_{n-2} \\ a_{-3} & a_{-2} & a_{-1} & a_0 & \dots & a_{n-3} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \\ a_{-n} & a_{-n+1} & a_{-n+2} & a_{-n+3} & \dots & a_0 \end{bmatrix}$$

### 0.9.6 Hankel matrices

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} a_0 & a_1 & a_2 & \dots & a_n \\ a_1 & a_2 & a_3 & \dots & a_{n+1} \\ a_2 & a_3 & a_4 & \dots & a_{n+2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_n & a_{n+1} & a_{n+2} & \dots & a_{2n} \end{bmatrix}$$

### 0.9.7 Hessenberg matrices

- A matrix  $A = [a_{ij}] \in M_n \mathbb{F}$  is said to be in *upper Hessenberg form* or to be an *upper Hessenberg matrix* if  $a_{ij} = 0$  for all  $i > j + 1$ :

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & & & & * \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & & & \\ & a_{32} & \ddots & & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \\ 0 & & & a_{n,n-1} & a_{nn} \end{bmatrix}$$

- An upper Hessenberg matrix  $A$  is *unreduced* if  $a_{i+1,i} \neq 0$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, n-1$ ; the rank of such a matrix is at least  $n-1$  since its first  $n-1$  columns are independent.

### 0.9.8 Tridiagonal, bidiagonal, and other structured matrices

- A matrix that is both upper and lower Hessenberg is called tridiagonal, that is,  $A$  is tridiagonal if  $a_{ij} = 0, \forall |i-j| > 1$
- A *Jacobi matrix* is a real symmetric tridiagonal matrix with positive subdiagonal entries.
- A matrix  $A = [a_{ij}] \in M_n \mathbb{F}$  is *persymmetric* if  $a_{ij} = a_{n+1-j, n+1-i}$  for all  $i, j = 1, \dots, n-1$
- $A$  is persymmetric if  $K_n A = A^T K_n$
- If  $A$  is persymmetric and invertible, then  $A^{-1}$  is also persymmetric since  $K_n A^{-1} = (A K_n)^{-1} = (K_n A^T)^{-1} = (A^{-1})^T K_n$
- $A$  is *skew persymmetric* if  $K_n A = -A^T K_n$ . The inverse of a nonsingular skew-persymmetric matrix is skew persymmetric.
- $A \in M_n$  is *perhermitian* if  $K_n A = A^* K_n$ , is *skew perhermitian* if  $K_n A = -A^* K_n$
- A matrix  $A = [a_{ij}] \in M_n \mathbb{F}$  is *centrosymmetric* if  $a_{ij} = a_{n+1-i, n+1-j}$  for all  $i, j = 1, \dots, n$ .  $A$  is centrosymmetric if  $K_n A = A K_n$ .
- if  $A$  and  $B$  are centrosymmetric, then  $AB$  is centrosymmetric. If  $A$  and  $B$  are skew centrosymmetric, then  $AB$  is centrosymmetric.

### 0.9.9 Vandermonde matrices and Lagrange interpolation

- A *Vandermonde matrix*  $A \in M_n\mathbb{F}$  has the form

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & x_1 & x_1^2 & \dots & x_1^{n-1} \\ 1 & x_2 & x_2^2 & \dots & x_2^{n-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & x_n & x_n^2 & \dots & x_n^{n-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

- $\det A = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (x_i - x_j)$

#### 0.9.10 Cauchy matrices

- A *Cauchy matrix*  $A \in M_n\mathbb{F}$  is matrix of the form  $A = [(a_i + b_j)^{-1}]_{i,j=1}^n$ , in which  $a_1, \dots, a_n, b_1, \dots, b_n$  are scalars such that  $a_i + b_j \neq 0$  for all  $i, j = 1, \dots, n$ .

- $\det A = \frac{\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (a_j - a_i)(b_j - b_i)}{\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (a_i + b_j)}$

- A *Hilbert matrix*  $H_n = [i + j - 1]_{i,j=1}^n$  is a Cauchy matrix that is also a Hankel matrix.

$$\det H_n = \frac{(1!2! \dots (n-1)!)^4}{1!2! \dots (2n-1)!}$$

- So a Hilbert matrix is always nonsingular. The entries of its inverse  $H_n^{-1} = [h_{ij}]_{i,j=1}^n$  are:

$$h_{ij} = \frac{(-1)^{i+j}(n+i-1)!(n+j-1)!}{\left((i-1)!(j-1)!\right)^2 (n-i)!(n-j)!(i+j+1)!}$$

#### 0.9.11 Involution, nilpotent, projection, coninvolution

A matrix  $A \in M_n\mathbb{F}$  is

- an *involution* if  $A^2 = I$
- *nilpotent* if  $A^k = 0$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$ ; the least such  $k$  is the *index of nilpotence* of  $A$ .
- a *projection/idempotent* if  $A^2 = A$

Suppose that  $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$ . A matrix  $A \in M_n$  is:

- a *Hermitian projection/orthogonal projection* if  $A^* = A$  and  $A^2 = A$ .
- a *coninvolution/coninvolutory* if  $A\bar{A} = I$

### 0.10 Change of basis

#### 0.11 Equivalence relations

Equivalence Relation $\sim$	$A \sim B$
congruence	$A = SBS^T$
unitary congruence	$A = UBU^T$
*congruence	$A = SBS^*$
consimilarity	$A = SB\bar{S}^{-1}$
equivalence	$A = SBT$
unitary equivalence	$A = UBV$
diagonal equivalence	$A = S_1BD_2$
similarity	$A = SBS^{-1}$
unitary similarity	$A = UBU^*$
triangular equivalence	$A = LBR$

in which:

- $D_1, D_2, S, T, L$  and  $R$  are square and nonsingular.
- $U$  and  $V$  are unitary
- $L$  is lower triangular
- $R$  is upper triangular
- $D_1$  and  $D_2$  are diagonal
- $A$  and  $B$  need not be square for equivalence, unitary equivalence, triangular equivalence, or diagonal equivalence.

## 1 Eigenvalues, Eigenvectors and Similarity

### 1.1 The eigenvalue-eigenvector equation

- $A \in M_n$ ,  $p()$  is a given polynomial. Then if  $Ax = \lambda x \Rightarrow p(A)x = p(\lambda)x$
- **Theorem 1.1.6**  $A \in M_n$ ,  $p()$  is a given polynomial. Then if  $Ax = \lambda x \Rightarrow p(A)x = p(\lambda)x$ . Conversely, if  $k \geq 1$  and if  $\mu$  is an eigenvalue of  $p(A)$ , then  $\exists \lambda \in \sigma(A) | \mu = p(\lambda)$
- **Observation 1.1.8** Let  $A \in M_n$  and  $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{C}$  be given. Then  $\lambda \in \sigma(A) \iff \lambda + \mu \in \sigma(A + \mu I)$
- **Theorem 1.1.9** Let  $A \in M_n$  be given. Thus, for each  $(y \neq 0) \in \mathbb{C}^n$ ,  $\exists$  a polynomial  $g(t)$  of degree at most  $n-1$  such that  $g(A)y$  is an eigenvector of  $A$ .

## 1.2 The characteristic polynomial and algebraic multlicity

- **Theorem 1.2.8 (Brauer's theorem)** . Let  $x, y \in C^n, x \neq 0$  and  $A \in M_n$ . Suppose that  $Ax = \lambda x$  and let the eigenvalues of  $A$  be  $\lambda, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n$ . Then, the eigenvalues of  $A + xy^*$  are  $\lambda + y^*x, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n$ . In other words,

$$(t - \lambda)p_{A+xy^*}(t) = (t - (\lambda + y^*x))p_A(t)$$

- $a_k$  is the coefficient of  $t^k$  in  $p_A(t)$ .  $E_k(A)$  is the sum of all k-by-k principal minors of  $A$ . Then

$$a_k = \frac{1}{k!} p_A^{(k)}(0) = (-1)^{n-k} E_{n-k}(A)$$

- $E_k(A) = S_k(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) = \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq n} \prod_{j=1}^k \lambda_{i_j}, \lambda_i \in \sigma(A)$

$$p_A(t) = t^n - E_1(A)t^{n-1} + \dots + (-1)^{n-1}E_{n-1}t + (-1)^n E_n(A)$$

- **Theorem 1.2.17** Let  $A \in M_n$ . There is some  $\delta > 0$  such that  $A + \varepsilon I$  is nonsingular whenever  $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $0 < |\varepsilon| < \delta$  (See Observation 1.1.8)

- $\alpha$  is a zero of  $p(t)$  of multiplicity  $k$  iff  $\begin{cases} p'(\alpha) = \dots = p^{(k-1)}(\alpha) = 0 \\ p^{(k)}(\alpha) \neq 0 \end{cases}$

- **Theorem 1.2.18** Let  $A \in M_n$  and suppose that  $\lambda \in \sigma(A)$  has algebraic multiplicity  $k$ . Then  $\text{rank}(A - \lambda I) \geq n - k$  with equality for  $k = 1$ .

- Let  $A \in M_n$  and  $x, y \in \mathbb{C}^n$  be given. Let  $f(t) = \det(A + txy^T)$ . Then, for any  $t_1 \neq t_2$

$$\det A = \frac{t_2 f(t_1) - t_1 f(t_2)}{t_2 - t_1}$$

## 1.3 Similarity

- **Theorem 1.3.7** Let  $A \in M_n$  be given. Then

1.  $A$  is similar to a bloock matrix of the form

$$\begin{bmatrix} \Lambda & C \\ 0 & D \end{bmatrix}, \quad \Lambda = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k), D \in M_{n-k}, 1 \leq k < n$$

iff there are  $k$  linearly independent vectors in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , each of which is an eigenvector of  $A$ .

2. The matrix  $A$  is diagonalizable iff it has  $n$  linearly independent eigenvectors.
3. If  $x^{(1)}, \dots, x^{(n)}$  are linearly independent vectors of  $A$  and if  $S = [x^{(1)}, \dots, x^{(n)}]$ , then  $S^{-1}AS$  is a diagonal matrix

4. If  $A$  is similar to a matrix of the above form, then the diagonal entries of  $\Lambda$  are eigenvalues of  $A$ . If  $A$  is similar to a diagonal matrix  $\Lambda$ , then the diagonal entries of  $\Lambda$  are all of the eigenvalues of  $A$

- **Lemma 1.3.8** Let  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k, (k \geq 2)$  be distinct eigenvalues of  $A \in M_n$  and suppose that  $x^{(i)}$  is an eigenvector associated with  $\lambda_i$  for each  $i = 1, \dots, k$ . Then the vectors  $x^{(1)}, \dots, x^{(k)}$  are linearly independent.

- **Theorem 1.3.9** If  $A \in M_n$  has  $n$  distinct eigenvalues, then  $A$  is diagonalizable.

- **Lemma 1.3.10** Let  $B_1 \in M_{n_1}, \dots, B_d \in M_{n_d}$  be given and let  $B$  be the direct sum

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} B_1 & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & B_d \end{bmatrix} = B_1 \oplus \dots \oplus B_d$$

Then  $B$  is diagonalizable iff each of  $B_1, \dots, B_d$  is diagonalizable.

- **Theorem 1.3.12** Let  $A, B \in M_n$  be diagonalizable. Then  $AB = BA$  iff they are simultaneously diagonalizable.

- $\mathcal{F} \subseteq M_n$  is a commuting family  $\Rightarrow \exists x \in \mathbb{C}^n$  that is an eigenvector of every  $A \in \mathcal{F}$

- $\mathcal{F}$  is a family of diagonalizable matrices. Then  $\mathcal{F}$  is a commuting family  $\Leftrightarrow$  it is a simultaneously diagonalizable family.

- $A \in M_{m,n}, B \in M_{n,m}, m \leq n$ . Then  $p_{BA}(t) = t^{n-m} p_{AB}(t)$

- **Theorem 1.3.28** Let  $S \in M_n$  be nonsingular and let  $S = C + iD$ , in which  $C, D \in M_n(\mathbb{R})$ . There is a real number  $\tau$  such that  $T = C + \tau D$  is nonsingular.

- **Theorem 1.3.29** Two real matrices that are similar over  $\mathbb{C}$  are similar over  $\mathbb{R}$ .

- **Theorem 1.3.31 (Misky)** Let an interger  $n \geq 2$  and complex scalars  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$  and  $d_1, \dots, d_n$  be given. There is an  $A \in M_n$  with eigenvalues  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$  and main diagonal entries  $d_1, \dots, d_n$  iff  $\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i = \sum_{i=1}^n d_i$ .

If  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$  and  $d_1, \dots, d_n$  are all real and have the same sums, there is an  $A \in M_n(\mathbb{R})$  with eigenvalues  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$  and main diagonal entries  $d_1, \dots, d_n$ .

## 2 Unitary equivalence and normal

### 2.1 Unitary matrices

- **Orthogonal:** The vectors  $x_1, \dots, x_k \in \mathbf{C}^n$  form an orthogonal set if  $x_i^* x_j = 0, \forall \text{ pairs } 1 \leq i < j \leq k$ .
- **Orthonormal:** If an orthogonal set has vectors normalized,  $x_i^* x_i = 1, \forall i = 1, \dots, k$ , then the set is called orthonormal. An orthonormal set of vectors is linearly independent.
- A matrix  $U \in M_n$  is said to be *unitary* if  $U^* U = I$ . If, in addition,  $U \in M_n(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $U$  is said to be *real orthogonal*.
- **Theorem:** For all  $x \in \mathbf{C}^n$  and matrix  $U$  is unitary, the Euclidean length of  $y = Ux$  is the same as that of  $x$ ; that is,  $y^* y = x^* x$ .
- **Theorem:** Let  $A \in M_n$  be a nonsingular matrix. Then  $A^{-1}$  is similar to  $A^*$  iff there is a nonsingular matrix  $B \in M_n$  such that  $A = B^{-1} B^*$ .

### 2.2 Unitary equivalence

- **Def:** A matrix  $B \in M_n$  is said to be *unitarily equivalent* to  $A \in M_n$  if there is a unitary matrix  $U \in M_n$  such that  $B = U^* A U$ . If  $U$  may be taken to be real, then  $B$  is said to be (*real*) *orthogonally equivalent* to  $A$ .
- **Thr:** If  $A = [a_{ij}]$  and  $B = [b_{ij}] \in M_n$  are unitarily equivalent, then:

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^n |b_{ij}|^2 = \sum_{i,j=1}^n |a_{ij}|^2$$

- **Householder transformations:** Let  $w \in \mathbf{C}^n$  be a nonzero vector and define  $U_w \in M_n$  by  $U_w = I - tww^*$  in which  $t = 2(w^* w)^{-1}$ . Then,
    - $U_w x = x$  if  $x \perp w$  and  $U_w w = -w$
    - $U_w$  is both unitary and Hermitian
  - **Specht's Thr:** Two given matrices  $A, B \in M_n$  are unitarily equivalent iff:  $\text{tr } W(A, A^*) = \text{tr } W(B, B^*)$  for every word  $W(s, t)$  in two noncommuting variables.
- $$W(A, A^*) = A^{m_1} (A^*)^{n_1} A^{m_2} (A^*)^{n_2} \dots A^{m_k} (A^*)^{n_k}$$
- **Pearcy's Thr:** Two given matrices  $A, B \in M_n$  are unitarily equivalent iff  $\text{tr } W(A, A^*) = \text{tr } W(B, B^*)$  for every word  $W(s, t)$  of degree at most  $2n^2$ .

### 2.3 Schur's unitary triangularization theorem

- **Schur's Thr:** Given  $A \in M_n$  with eigenvalues  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$  in any prescribed order, there is a unitary matrix  $U \in M_n$  such that:

$$U^* A U = T = [t_{ij}]$$

is upper triangular, with diagonal entries  $t_{ii} = \lambda_i, i = 1, \dots, n$ . That is, every square matrix  $A$  is unitarily equivalent to a triangular matrix whose diagonal entries are the eigenvalues of  $A$  in a prescribed order.

- **Thr:** Let  $\mathcal{F} \subseteq M_n$  be a commuting family. There is a unitary matrix  $U \in M_n$  such that  $U^* A U$  is upper triangular for every  $A \in \mathcal{F}$
- **Thr:** if  $A \in M_n(\mathbb{R})$ , there is a real orthogonal matrix  $Q \in M_n(\mathbb{R})$  such that:

$$Q^T A Q = \begin{bmatrix} A_1 & & * \\ & A_2 & \\ & & \ddots \\ 0 & & & A_k \end{bmatrix} \in M_n(\mathbb{R}), \quad 1 \leq k \leq n \quad (13)$$

where each  $A_i$  is a real 1-by-1 matrix, or a real 2-by-2 matrix with a non-real pair of complex conjugate eigenvalues. The diagonal blocks  $A_i$  may be arranged in any prescribed order.

- **Thr:** Let  $\mathcal{F} \subseteq M_n(\mathbb{R})$  be a commuting family. There is a real orthogonal matrix  $Q \in M_n(\mathbb{R})$  such that  $Q^T A Q$  is of the form 13 for every  $A \in \mathcal{F}$ .

### 2.4 Some implications of Schur's theorem

- **Lem:** Suppose that  $R = [r_{ij}], T = [t_{ij}] \in M_n$  are upper triangular and that  $r_{ij} = 0, 1 \leq i, j \leq k < n$ , and  $t_{k+1, k+1} = 0$ . Let  $T' = [t'_{ij}] R T$  then  $t'_{ij} = 0, 1 \leq i, j \leq k+1$ .
- **Cayley-Hamilton Thr:** Let  $P_A(t)$  be the characteristic polynomial of  $A \in M_n$ . Then:  $P_A(A) = 0$ .
- **Thr:** Let  $A = [a_{ij}] \in M_n$ . For every  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists a matrix  $A(\epsilon) = [a_{ij}(\epsilon)] \in M_n$  that has  $n$  distinct eigenvalues (and therefore diagonalizable) and is such that:

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^n |a - [ij] - a_{ij}(\epsilon)|^2$$

- **Thr:** Let  $A \in M_n$ . For every  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists a nonsingular matrix  $S_\epsilon \in M_n$  such that

$$S_\epsilon^{-1} A S_\epsilon = T_\epsilon = [t_{ij}(\epsilon)]$$

is upper triangular and  $|T_{ij}(\epsilon)| < \epsilon, \forall 1 \leq i < j \leq n$

- **Thr:** Suppose that  $A \in M_n$  has eigenvalues  $\lambda + i$  with multiplicity  $n_i, i = 1, \dots, k$  and that  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k$  are distinct. Then  $A$  is similar to a matrix of the form

$$\begin{bmatrix} T_1 & & 0 \\ & T_2 & \\ & & \ddots \\ 0 & & & T_k \end{bmatrix}$$

where  $T_i \in M_{n_i}$  is upper triangular with all diagonal entries equal to  $\lambda_i, i = 1, \dots, k$ . If  $A \in M_n(\mathbb{R})$  and if all the eigenvalues of  $A$  are real, then the same result holds, and the similarity matrix may be taken to be real.

- **Thr:** Let  $A, B \in M_n$  have eigenvalues  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$  and  $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n$  respectively. If  $A$  and  $B$  commute, there is a permutation  $i_1, \dots, i_n$  of the indices  $1, \dots, n$  such that the eigenvalues of  $A + B$  are  $\alpha_1 + \beta_{i_1}, \dots, \alpha_n + \beta_{i_n}$ . In particular,  $\sigma(A + B) \subseteq \sigma(A) + \sigma(B)$  if  $A$  and  $B$  are commute.

## 2.5 Normal matrices

- **Def:** A matrix  $A \in M_n$  is said to be *normal* if  $A^*A = AA^*$ .
- **Thm:** if  $A = [a_{ij}] \in M_n$  has eigenvalues  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ , the following statements are equivalents:
  1.  $A$  is normal;
  2.  $A$  is unitary diagonalizable;
  3.  $\sum_{i,j=1}^n |a_{ij}|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n |\lambda_i|^2$ ; and
  4. There is an orthonormal set of  $n$  eigenvectors of  $A$ .
- A normal matrix is nondefective (the geometric's and algebraic's multiplicity are the same)
- If  $A \in M_n$  is normal,  $x \in \mathbb{C}^n$  then  $Ax = \lambda x \Leftrightarrow x^*A = \lambda x^*$
- **Thm:** If  $\mathcal{N} \subseteq M_n$  is a commuting family of normal matrices, then  $\mathcal{N}$  is simultaneously unitary diagonalizable.
- **Lemma:** If  $A \in M_n$  is Hermitian and  $x^*Ax \geq 0$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{C}^n$ , then all the eigenvalues of  $A$  are nonnegative. If, in addition,  $\text{tr } A = 0$  then  $A = 0$ .

## 4 Hermitian and symmetric matrices

### 4.1 Definitions, properties of Hermitian matrices

- **Thm:** Let  $A = [a_{ij}] \in M_n$  be given. Then  $A$  is Hermitian iff at least one of the following holds:

1.  $x^*Ax$  is real for all  $x \in \mathbb{C}^n$ ;
2.  $A$  is normal and all the eigenvalues of  $A$  are real; or
3.  $S^*AS$  is Hermitian for all  $S \in M_n$

- **Thm:** Let  $A \in M_n$  be given. Then  $A$  is Hermitian iff there is a unitary matrix  $U \in M_n$  and a real diagonal matrix  $\Lambda \in M_n$  s.t.  $A = U\Lambda U^*$

### 4.2 Variational characterizations of eigenvalues of Hermitian matrices

- **Thm:** Let  $A \in M_n$  be a Hermitian matrix with eigenvalues  $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_n$ , and let  $k$  be the given integer with  $1 \leq k \leq n$ . Then

$$\min_{w_1, w_2, \dots, w_{n-k} \in \mathbb{C}^n, x \neq 0, x \perp w_1, \dots, w_{n-k}} \max \frac{x^*Ax}{x^*x} = \lambda_k$$

$$\max_{w_1, w_2, \dots, w_{k-1} \in \mathbb{C}^n, x \neq 0, x \perp w_1, \dots, w_{k-1}} \min \frac{x^*Ax}{x^*x} = \lambda_k$$

### 4.3 Some apps of the variational characterizations

- **Thm:** Let  $A, B \in M_n$  be Hermitian. Let the respective eigenvalues  $\lambda_1(A), \lambda_2(A), \dots, \lambda_n(A)$ ,  $\lambda_1(B), \lambda_2(B), \dots, \lambda_n(B)$ , and  $\lambda_1(A+B), \lambda_2(A+B), \dots, \lambda_n(A+B)$ . Then:

$$\lambda_i(A+B) \leq \lambda_{i+j}(A) + \lambda_{n-j}(B), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n; j = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$$

- **Thm:** Let  $A, B \in M_n$  be Hermitian and let the eigenvalues  $\lambda_i(A), \lambda_i(B)$ , and  $\lambda_i(A+B)$  be arranged in increasing order. For each  $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , we have:

$$\lambda_k(A) + \lambda_1(B) \leq \lambda_k(A+B) \leq \lambda_k(A) + \lambda_n(B)$$

- **Corollary:** Let  $A, B \in M_n$  be Hermitian. Assume that  $B$  is positive semidefinite and that the eigenvalues of  $A$  and  $A+B$  are arranged in increasing order. Then:  $\lambda_k(A) \leq \lambda_k(A+B)$  for all  $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$

- **Thm 4.3.4:** Let  $A \in M_n$  be Hermitian and let  $z \in \mathbb{C}^n$  be a given vector. If the eigenvalues of  $A$  and  $A \pm zz^*$  are arranged in increasing order, we have:

$$1. \lambda_k(A \pm zz^*) \leq \lambda_{k+1}(A) \leq \lambda_{k+2}(A \pm zz^*), k = 1, 2, \dots, n-2$$

$$2. \lambda_k(A) \leq \lambda_{k+1}(A \pm zz^*) \leq \lambda_{k+2}(A)$$

- Let  $A, B \in M_n$  be Hermitian and suppose that  $B$  has rank at most  $r$ . Then:

$$1. \lambda_k(A+B) \leq \lambda_{k+r}(A) \leq \lambda_{k+2r}(A), k = 1, 2, \dots, n-2r$$

$$2. \lambda_k(A) \leq \lambda_{k+r}(A+B) \leq \lambda_{k+2r}(A+B), k = 1, 2, \dots, n-2r$$

- **C:**  $A, B$  Hermitian.



1.  $j + k \geq n + 1$ , then:  $\lambda_{j+k-n}(A + B) \leq \lambda_j(A) + \lambda_k(B)$ .
2.  $j + k \leq n + 1$ , then  $\lambda_j(A) + \lambda_k(B) \leq \lambda_{j+k-1}(A + B)$

- **Thm:**  $A$  Hermitian.  $\hat{A} = \begin{bmatrix} A & y \\ y^* & a \end{bmatrix}$ . Then:

$$\hat{\lambda}_1 \leq \lambda_1 \leq \hat{\lambda}_2 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots \leq \hat{\lambda}_n \leq \lambda_n \leq \hat{\lambda}_{n+1}$$

- **Thm 4.3.10** If:  $\hat{\lambda}_1 \leq \lambda_1 \leq \hat{\lambda}_2 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots \leq \hat{\lambda}_n \leq \lambda_n \leq \hat{\lambda}_{n+1}$ . Let  $\Lambda = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$ . Then  $\exists a, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$  s.t.  $\{\hat{\lambda}_1, \dots, \hat{\lambda}_n\}$  is the set of the real symmetric matrix:  $\begin{bmatrix} A & y \\ y^* & a \end{bmatrix}$

- **Thm:**  $A$  is Hermitian,  $A_r$  is  $r$ -by- $r$  principal submatrix of  $A$ .

$$\lambda_k(A) \leq \lambda_k(A_r) \leq \lambda_{k+n-r}(A), \quad 1 \leq k \leq r$$

- **Def:** The vector  $\beta$  is said to *majorize* the vector  $\alpha$  if sum of  $k$  smallest elements of  $\beta \geq$  that of  $\alpha$ , for  $k = 1, \dots, n$  and *equality* for  $k = n$
- **Thm:**  $A$  is Hermitian. Then the vector of diagonal entries of  $A$  majorizes the vector of eigenvalues of  $A$ .
- **Thm:**  $a_1 \leq \dots \leq a_n$ ;  $\lambda_1 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_n$  and vector  $a = [a_i]$  majorizes the vector  $\lambda = [\lambda_i]$ , then  $\exists A = [a_{ij}]$  is real symmetric s.t.  $a_{ii} = a_i$  and  $\{\lambda_i\}$  is the set of eigenvalues of  $A$

- 
- **Weyl's Thm:** Let  $A, B \in M_n$  be Hermitian. Let the respective eigenvalues of  $A, B$ , and  $A + B$  be  $\{\lambda_1(A) \leq \lambda_2(A) \leq \dots \leq \lambda_n(A)\}$ ,  $\{\lambda_1(B) \leq \lambda_2(B) \leq \dots \leq \lambda_n(B)\}$ , and  $\{\lambda_1(A + B) \leq \lambda_2(A + B) \leq \dots \leq \lambda_n(A + B)\}$ . Then:

$$\lambda_{i-k+1}(A) + \lambda_k(B) \leq \lambda_i(A + B) \leq \lambda_{i+j}(A) + \lambda_{n-j}(B),$$

$$i = \overline{1, n}; j = \overline{0, n-1}; k = \overline{1, i}$$

- If  $B$  has exactly  $\pi$  positive eigenvalues,  $\mu$  negative eigenvalues:

$$\lambda_i(A + B) \leq \lambda_{i+\pi}(A); i = \overline{1, n - \pi}, \quad (14)$$

$$\lambda_{i-\mu}(A) \leq \lambda_i(A + B); i = \overline{\mu + 1, n} \quad (15)$$

- If  $\text{rank}(B) = r < n$ :

$$\lambda_i(A + B) \leq \lambda_{i+r}(A); i = \overline{1, n - r} \quad (16)$$

$$\lambda_{i-r} \leq \lambda_i(A + B); i = \overline{r + 1, n} \quad (17)$$

- $0 \neq z \in \mathbb{C}^n$ :

$$\lambda_i(A) \leq \lambda_i(A + zz^*) \leq \lambda(A); i = \overline{1, n-1} \quad (18)$$

$$\lambda_n(A) \leq \lambda_n(A + zz^*) \quad (19)$$

- $\lambda_k(A) + \lambda_1(B) \leq \lambda_k(A + B) \leq \lambda_k(A) + \lambda_n(B); k = \overline{1, n}$

- **Cauchy's Thm:** Let  $B \in M_n$  be Hermitian, let  $y \in \mathbb{C}^n$  and  $a \in \mathbb{R}$  be a given, and let  $A = \begin{bmatrix} B & y \\ y^* & a \end{bmatrix} \in M_{n+1}$ . Then:

$$\lambda_1(A) \leq \lambda_1(B) \leq \lambda_2(A) \leq \dots \leq \lambda_n(A) \leq \lambda_n(B) \leq \lambda_{n+1}(A)$$

- **Thm 4.3.10** If:  $\hat{\lambda}_1 \leq \lambda_1 \leq \hat{\lambda}_2 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots \leq \hat{\lambda}_n \leq \lambda_n \leq \hat{\lambda}_{n+1}$ . Let  $\Lambda = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$ . Then  $\exists a, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$  s.t.  $\{\hat{\lambda}_1, \dots, \hat{\lambda}_n\}$  is the set of the real symmetric matrix:  $\begin{bmatrix} A & y \\ y^* & a \end{bmatrix}$
- If  $\lambda_1 \leq \mu_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \mu_2 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_n \leq \mu_n$ . Let  $\Lambda = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_2)$ . There exists  $z \in \mathbb{R}^n$  such that:  $\sigma(\Lambda + zz^T) = \{\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n\}$

## 5 Norms for vectors and matrices

### 5.4 Analytic properties of vector norms

- Let  $B = \{x^{(1)}, \dots, x^{(n)}\}$  be a basix for  $V$ . A fuction  $f : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is said to be **pre-norm** if it satisfies:
  - a) Positive:  $f(x) \geq 0, \forall x; f(x) = 0 \Leftrightarrow x = 0$
  - b) Homogeneous:  $f(\alpha x) = \alpha f(x)$
  - c) Continunous:  $f(x(z))$  is continuous on  $F^n$ , where  $z = [z_1, \dots, z_n]^T \in F^n$  and  $x(x) = z_1 x^{(1)} + \dots + z_n x^{(n)}$

A norm is always a pre-norm.

- Let  $f()$  be a pre-norm on  $V = \mathbb{R}^n$  or  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . The function:

$$f^D(y) = \max_{f(x)=1} \text{Re } y^* x$$

is called the **dual norm** of  $f$ . Also:  $f^D(y) = \max_{f(x)=1} |y^* x|$ . The dual norm of a pre-norm is always a norm.

- $|y^* x| \leq f(x) f^D(y)$
- $(\|\cdot\|_1)^D = \|\cdot\|_\infty; (\|\cdot\|_\infty)^D = \|\cdot\|_1;$
- $(\|\cdot\|_2)^D = \|\cdot\|_2$

$$\circ ((\|\cdot\|)^D)^D = \|\cdot\|$$

- $x \in C^n$  be a given vector and let  $\|\cdot\|$  be a given vector norm on  $C^n$ . The set

$$\{y \in C^n : \|y\|^D \|x\| = y^* x = 1\}$$

is said to be **the dual of  $x$  with respect to  $\|\cdot\|$**

## 5.5 Matrix norms

- We call a function  $\|\cdot\| : M_n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  a **matrix norm** if for all  $A, B \in M_n$ , it satisfies the following five axioms:

- (1)  $\|A\| \geq 0$  Nonnegative
- (1a)  $\|A\| = 0$  if and only if  $A = 0$  Positive
- (2)  $\|cA\| = |c|\|A\|, \forall c \in \mathbb{C}$  Homogeneous
- (3)  $\|A + B\| \leq \|A\| + \|B\|$  Triangle inequality
- (4)  $\|AB\| \leq \|A\|\|B\|$  Submultiplicative

- Let  $\|\cdot\|$  be a vector norm on  $C^n$ . Define  $\|\cdot\|$  on  $M_n$  by:

$$\|A\| = \max_{\|x\|=1} \|Ax\|$$

Then,  $\|\cdot\|$  is a matrix norm.  $\|\cdot\|$  is the matrix norm **induced** by the vector norm  $\|\cdot\|$ .

- The **maximum column sum matrix norm**  $\|\cdot\|_1$ :

$$\|A\|_1 = \max_{a \leq j \leq n} \sum_{i=1}^n |a_{ij}|$$

- The **maximum row sum matrix norm**  $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ :

$$\|A\|_\infty = \max_{a \leq i \leq n} \sum_{j=1}^n |a_{ij}|$$

- **Spectral norm**  $\|\cdot\|_2$  is defined on  $M_n$  by:

$$\|A\|_2 = \max\{\sqrt{\lambda} \mid \lambda \text{ is an eigenvalue of } A^*A\}$$

- **Spectral radius**  $\rho(A)$  of a matrix  $A \in M_n$  is:

$$\rho(A) = \max\{|\lambda| : \lambda \text{ is an eigen value of } A\}$$

- If  $\|\cdot\|$  is any matrix norm, then  $\rho(A) \leq \|A\|$ .

- Let  $A \in M_n$  and  $\epsilon > 0$  be given. There is a matrix norm  $\|\cdot\|$  such that:  $\rho(A) \leq \|A\| \leq \rho(A) + \epsilon$

- If  $A \in M_n$ , then the series  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k A^k$  converges if there is a matrix norm  $\|\cdot\|$  on  $M_n$  such that the numerical series  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_k| \|A\|^k$  converges, or even if the partial sums of this series are bounded.

- Define  $\|A\|^* = \|A^*\|$

- A matrix norm  $\|\cdot\|$  on  $M_n$  is a **minimal matrix norm** if the only matrix norm  $N(\cdot)$  on  $M_n$  such that  $N(A) \leq \|A\|$  for all  $A \in M_n$  is  $N(\cdot) = \|\cdot\|$

•

- **Thm:** Let  $\|\cdot\|$  be a given matrix norm on  $M_n$ . Then:

- a)  $\|\cdot\|^*$  is an induced norm if and only if  $\|\cdot\|$  is an induced norm.
- b) If the matrix norm  $\|\cdot\|$  is induced by the vector norm  $\|\cdot\|$ , then  $\|\cdot\|^*$  is induced by the dual norm  $\|\cdot\|^D$
- c) The spectral norm  $\|\cdot\|_2$  is the only matrix norm on  $M_n$  that is both induced and self-adjoint.

## 5.7 Vector norms on matrices

- If  $f$  is a pre-norm on  $M_n$ , then  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} [f(A^k)]^{1/k}$  exists for all  $A \in M_n$  and:

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} [f(A^k)]^{1/k} = \rho(A)$$

- For each vector norm  $G(\cdot)$  on  $M_n$ , there is a finite positive constant  $c(G)$  such that  $c(G)G(\cdot)$  is a matrix norm on  $M_n$ . If  $\|\cdot\|$  is a matrix norm on  $M_n$ , and if:

$$C_m \|A\| \leq G(A) \leq C_M \|A\| \text{ for all } A \in M_n$$

$$\text{then } c(G) \leq \frac{C_M}{C_m^2}$$

- The vector norm  $\|\cdot\|$  on  $C^n$  is said to be compatible with the vector norm  $G(\cdot)$  on  $M_n$  if:

$$\|Ax\| \leq G(A)\|x\|, \forall x \in C^n, A \in M_n$$

- If  $\|\cdot\|$  is a matrix norm on  $M_n$ , then there is some vector norm on  $C^n$  that is compatible with it.