Ring 2: EncryptSvc2 [1]

I heard that EncryptSvc had a bug so I've updated it a bit. I think there is no problem now. Isn't it?

```
encsvc2.chall.cddc2020.nshc.sg 9005
```

MD5("EncryptSvc2"): 64be4ff56953f0cdf832b91c3b8a56c4

History

```
cddc_qualifiers_2019-writeups $ nc encryptsvc.cddc19q.ctf.sg 54321
Text Encryption Service
[Service Menu]
     1) Show example
     2) Encrypt message
     3) Decrypt message
     4) Show public key
     5) Quit
Your selection : 2
     You have selected [2]
Input buffer overflow
[Service Menu]
     1) Show example
     2) Encrypt message
     Decrypt message
     4) Show public key
     5) Quit
Your selection: 3
     You have selected [3]
Failed to create RSAcddc_qualifiers_2019-writeups $
```

A long, long time ago,

Team <my-team> absolutely failed encryptsvc . Expected, considering we couldn't make heads-or-tails of a Buffer Overflow back then.

It isn't much too important to dwell on this past, but <code>encryptsvc2</code> shares too many similarities with its predecessor to simply ignore it. We'll do a cross-comparison between the two to figure out how to go about the challenge.

First off, the basic premise of *encsvc*, summarized:

- buffer overflow with opt [2],
- so as to replace the server's RSA key with your own,
- allowing the flag to be encrypted (opt [1]) with a known RSA key,
- which is then decrypted client-side, on the attacker's machine, with pycryptodome.

Barely any of that is relevant for encryptsvc2. Let's understand why.

diff

In no particular order, here are the important changes¹ from 2019 to 2020:

```
__int64 rsa_pub_enc_nopadding(__int64 from, unsigned int flen, __int64 a3,
__int64 to){
   return RSA_public_encrypt(flen, from, to, pub_key_struct, RSA_NO_PADDING);
}
```

Change: RSA_NO_PADDING was RSA_PKCS1_PADDING.

Importance: Exploit would not work with padding.

```
_QWORD modify_exponent(int new_exponent){ //note that new_exponent = 7
    _QWORD *pointer_to_EXPONENT; // rax
    pointer_to_EXPONENT = **(_QWORD ***)(pub_key_struct + 40LL); //
pub_key_struct->e
    *pointer_to_EXPONENT = new_exponent;
    return pointer_to_EXPONENT;
}
```

Change: This function didn't even exist in the original

Importance: Changes the exponent, e, of the server's RSA key. Basis of exploit.

```
for ( i = 0; i <= 1; ++i ){ //for-loop terminates after executing twice
   puts("[Service menu]\n\t1) Show example \n\t2) Encrypt message\n\t3) Decrypt
message\n\t4) Show publickey\n\t5) Quit");
   printf("\nselect : ");
    __isoc99_scanf(" %c", &opt);
   opt -= 48;
   printf("\n\tyou select [%d]\n\n", (unsigned int)opt);
   fgetc(stdin);
   if ( opt >= 0 && opt <= opt_max )
        break;
   sprintf(&s, "[-] Please select 1-5 (%02x)\n", (unsigned int)opt);
   printf("%s", &s);
}
   return (unsigned int)opt; // can probably select any number</pre>
```

Change: No change at all!

Wait, what? It's important to know how to activate modify_exponent(), so I've shoved it here.

```
case 7u:  // you can write 7 twice to get here
    modify_exponent(v8); //v8 = 7
    goto LABEL_20;
```

Change: case 7 didn't exist previously.

Importance: Allows the new function modify_exponent() to run.

That sums up the dirty work we need for reconnaissance. Now what?

Mathematics

Noting the changes in the binary, we can test² out what case 7 does on the encryption key:

```
~$ ./Encryptsvc2
...
select : 1
...
[+] Encrypted Text :
iXtZGVB7i5ow9zPv8ghCG]YG/XMh2Dpqqkzp23d+H+kdTURUdHg]P5cktRNy5Mn8
rv7YXGzmvNGtXPqr8a9TpYgZ4E3q78viyU]73yOGjDDNz+4ubF6ntt9AZmDLtShX
jzYno4sNolUt1/sowc41yTE3LMAZ1kxf/HCF4Sp7Yw8=
...
select : 7
...
select : 7
...
select : 1
...
[+] Encrypted Text :
oyfiv/M8sakxFvjgEEAiueUn9sFGdJqooGs6Ft451d7YJRrKceCrrW6luPtPDeqd
9ZgE+KzvXRPVrO2TAaGXjOaYauSaKZXjovhkNkTd8WhxsCDoRIWGcj8kKKuqt94k
Hf6w+SRvgyQAoZCniyvm1sXcyExJO/yUengmZ1EDNcM=
```

Or more succinctly represented in pwn:

```
from pwn import *
from base64 import b64decode as bd
r = process('./EncryptSvc2')#remote('encsvc2.chall.cddc2020.nshc.sg', 9005)
def sendopt(o: int): r.sendlineafter(': ', str(o))
sendopt(1)
r.recvuntil('Encrypted Text : \n')
orig_c = int.from_bytes(bd(r.recvuntil('=\n')), 'big')
sendopt(7)
sendopt(7)
sendopt(7)
sendopt(1)
r.recvuntil('Encrypted Text : \n')
diff_c = int.from_bytes(bd(r.recvuntil('=\n')), 'big')
print(orig_c,'!=',diff_c)
```

Resulting in:

```
~$ python3 case7.py
96543023002811300193897334498261978555793115423071318212474363189634510304470211
22746849087241885165673098969527460568129851499280899709896733317505426382723459
38275872624960455688824147650587238259361233231424937244986455190901878222658336
46079244558247792378063109974899594564392885622804601840412904874767 !=
11457190163433306574432184915894519044903233127034308589113436021170290066273886
47274929482950063717319357757005577443137751119393491702999516616239720471658074
78242684485123061716755731864226173465276051849722753328060339760367754463691319
040998246529460922576323941044222243222833436210378016339202016490947
```

What's happening here is that the modification of the exponent (e) results in a different ciphertext c, because $c \equiv m^e \pmod{n}$, and the exponent---

Wait woah wait, m? n?

Or: a rapidfire rundown of how RSA be

RSA starts with a piece of plaintext, like e.g. "CDDC20{your_flag_here}". That flag is coerced into a raw integer, m (meaning message), and is encrypted using the public exponent e (which is usually 0x10001 because of a few convoluted necessities) and the modulus n. The resultant encrypted data (ciphertext) is the value of the integer c obtained by the formula displayed on top.

Got none of that? Doesn't matter; it ain't important. What *is* important is this thing called <u>Bézout's</u> identity, which states that *two integers* **a** *and* **b** *with the common divisor* **d** *can be expressed in the form* **ax** + **by** = **d**, *where* **x** *and* **y** *are both integers*. The integers **x** and **y** can be obtained via the <u>Extended Euclidean algorithm</u>.

In the case where **a** and **b** are <u>coprime</u>, ax + by = 1.

How does that play into the challenge? The initial value of e is $0 \times 10001 == 65537$, and the new value of the exponent, e', is 7. 65537 and 7 are **coprime**, meaning that --- by Bézout --- there exists a pair of integers ${\bf x}$ and ${\bf y}$ satisfying the equation ex + e'y = 1.

Anything raised to the power of 1 is itself. $m^{ex+e'y} = m$.

```
By various power laws, m^{ex+e'y} = (m^e)^x * (m^{e'})^y
```

Remembering that $c \equiv m^e \pmod{n}$, $(m^e)^x * (m^{e'})^y \equiv c^x * c'^y \pmod{n}$, where c' is diff_c, the altered c produced by changing the exponent from 0x10001 to 7.

Conclusion? $m \equiv c^x * c'^y \pmod{n}$. We've³ just proven a relationship between the *ciphertexts* c & c', and the plaintext m.

Wrapping it all up

Following the python code written above, we need to grab the public key,

```
diff_e = 7 #just adding this here
from Crypto.PublicKey.RSA import import_key
sendopt(4)
r.recvuntil('Public key : \n')
k = import_key(r.recvuntil('END_PUBLIC_KEY-----'))
```

compute the integers x and y,

```
def xgcd(a,b): #copy/import this from somewhere
x,y = xgcd(k.e, diff_e)
```

and solve for m:

```
m = pow(orig_c,x,k.n) * pow(diff_c,y,k.n)
m %= k.n
print(m.to_bytes(300,'big').strip(b'\x00'))
```

Running the code locally, you'll get something like this:

```
~ $ python3.8 rsa_without_integrity_checks.py
[+] Starting local process './EncryptSvc2': pid 2
e, e_{err} = 65537, 7
x, y = -2, 18725
oc =
96543023002811300193897334498261978555793115423071318212474363189634510304470211
22746849087241885165673098969527460568129851499280899709896733317505426382723459
38275872624960455688824147650587238259361233231424937244986455190901878222658336
46079244558247792378063109974899594564392885622804601840412904874767
dc =
11457190163433306574432184915894519044903233127034308589113436021170290066273886
47274929482950063717319357757005577443137751119393491702999516616239720471658074
78242684485123061716755731864226173465276051849722753328060339760367754463691319
040998246529460922576323941044222243222833436210378016339202016490947
n =
13705893009529177473128266281861747328591005086001407754489672155264927783294589
22022475432878460588569818854790214487601254902733980300332574248000185370439466
42741246367422453196396690063266227084127861597976202007921509942158359214496102
718177128062335501707083001961040391539525717334763079823863140244381
b'CDDC20{fake_flag_this_is_an_extra_buffer}\n'
```

The server's down, and I don't have the flag saved.

That'll be it.

Flag

CDDC20{we_dont_have_it_saved__sorry_lads}

Code

```
from Crypto.PublicKey.RSA import import_key
from base64 import b64decode as bd
from pwn import *
#copypaste from online
def xgcd(a, b):
    """return (g, x, y) such that a*x + b*y = g = gcd(a, b)"""
    x0, x1, y0, y1 = 0, 1, 1, 0
    while a != 0:
        (q, a), b = divmod(b, a), a
        y0, y1 = y1, y0 - q * y1
        x0, x1 = x1, x0 - q * x1
    return x0, y0
#r = remote('encsvc2.chall.cddc2020.nshc.sg', 9005)
r = process('./EncryptSvc2')
def sendopt(o: int): r.sendlineafter(': ', str(o))
sendopt(1)
r.recvuntil('Encrypted Text : \n')
orig_c = int.from_bytes(bd(r.recvuntil('=\n')), 'big')
sendopt(7)
sendopt(7)
sendopt(1)
r.recvuntil('Encrypted Text : \n')
diff_c = int.from_bytes(bd(r.recvuntil('=\n')), 'big')
sendopt(4)
```

```
r.recvuntil('Public key : \n')
k = import_key(r.recvuntil('END PUBLIC KEY-----'))
diff_e = 7

x,y = xgcd(k.e, diff_e)
print('e, e_err = %d, %d' % (k.e, diff_e))
print('x, y = %d, %d' % (x,y))
print('oc = %d\ndc = %d\nn = %d' % (orig_c, diff_c, k.n))
m = pow(orig_c,x,k.n) * pow(diff_c,y,k.n)
m %= k.n
print(m.to_bytes(300,'big').strip(b'\x00')) #<-- this is expected to produce
plaintext!</pre>
```

Footnotes

- 1. All of these are transcripted (with renamed variables, and cleaned typedefs) from IDA Pro.
- 2. Note that we're running the binary with a fake flag and a fake public.pem. Generate the latter with openssl.
- 3. And by "we", I mean <u>this presentation I found online</u> that describes the technique letter-by-letter.