Assignment 4: Relaxed Secrecy and Privacy 15-316 Software Foundations of Security and Privacy

Due: **11:59pm**, Thursday 4/12/18

Total Points: 50

1. Safe or unsafe relaxation (15 points).

For the declassification operators defined below, determine whether an attacker can always use it to figure out the value of an n-bit string pin in poly(n) time. If so, describe how. If not, prove why doing so is impossible using a similar argument to the one for match covered in lecture. All declassification operators below have the same typing rule as match.

- (5 points) Greater than: gt(guess, pin) evaluates true if and only if guess, interpreted as an integer, is greater than the *n*-bit string pin, interpreted as an integer.
- (5 points) Error-correcting match: ecm(guess, pin) evaluates to true if and only if guess is an *n*-bit string that differs from the *n*-bit string pin by at most 1 bit.
- (5 points) Prefix: pref(guess, pin) evaluates to true if and only if guess is a prefix of the *n*-bit string pin.

2. Primitive badness (15 points).

RSA is a public key cryptosystem that performs encryption by taking powers modulo N of an exponent e, and decryption by taking powers modulo N of an exponent d. The details of how N, e and d are chosen are not important for this problem, but the pair (e, N) is the *public key* and d is the secret private key.

To encrypt a plaintext message M, one computes the ciphertext $C = \text{mod}(M^e, N)$. Likewise to perform decryption given C to recover M, one computes $M = \text{mod}(C^d, N)$. Thus modular exponentiation lies at the core of the algorithm, so is the essential primitive needed to implement RSA.

The program below implements modular exponentiation using the square-and-multiply method. Given ciphertext C, the approach iterates over each bit j of the L-bit private decryption key d, squaring (mod N) the ciphertext at each step. If the current bit d[j] is 1, then the current result is multiplied by the original ciphertext (again mod N). The modulo operation here is implemented in a very simple manner by repeated subtraction.

```
x := C;
while (j < L) {
  x := x * x;
  while (N <= x) { x := x - N; }
  if (d[j] = 1) {
    x := x * C;
    while (N <= x) { x := x - N; }
}</pre>
```

Assuming that all variables except d are public and j is initialized to 0, this modular exponentiation program contains a timing side channel. Explain what it is. Then, given the following timings for each initial value of C below where L=4, recover the value of d that led to these observations. You should assume that each arithmetic operation, comparison, and assignment takes one unit of time.

C	runtime	C	runtime	C	runtime	C	runtime
0	19	4	22	8	31	12	46
1	19	5	76	9	64	13	118
2	31	6	40	10	55	14	76
3	58	7	46	11	94	15	145

- 3. Constant-time fix (10 points). Fix the timing channel in the program from Part 2 so that the runtime no longer depends on the value of d. If it helps make your answer more clear, you can assume that the language contains a mod(x, N) primitive, but you must also assume that it runs in $\left\lfloor \frac{x}{N} \right\rfloor$ units of time. What is the runtime of your fixed implementation?
- 4. Randomized enough? (10 points). Recall the randomized response mechanism discussed in Lecture 14. It flips a fair coin (i.e., one with equal probability 1/2 or returning 0 or 1). If the coin comes heads, then it returned the contents of Mem(0) (which we assumed to be either 0 or 1). If the coin comes up tails, then it flips another fair coin and returns the value. We saw that this satisfies ln(3)-differential privacy.

Consider the following variant, which computes a function of both Mem(0) and Mem(1).

$$\begin{aligned} b &:= \mathsf{flip}(p) \\ \mathbf{if} \ b &= 1 \ \mathbf{then} \\ o &:= \mathsf{Mem}(0) \\ \mathbf{else} \\ o &:= \mathsf{flip}(p) + \mathsf{Mem}(1) \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

Use Definition 2 from Lecture 14 to answer this question. Does this program satisfy differential privacy for any value of $\epsilon > 0$? If so, explain why. If not, give a counterexample pair of neighboring databases for which the bound in Equation 8 (Lecture 14) cannot hold for any $\epsilon > 0$, and explain how to modify the program to make it satisfy differential privacy.