## Assignment 1: Just Logic 15-316 Software Foundations of Security and Privacy

Due: **11:59pm**, Wednesday 9/9/20

Total Points: 50

1. **Propositional soundness (15 points).** Use the semantics of propositional logic to prove that the ¬L rule is sound by showing that the validity of the premises imply the validity of the conclusion.

$$(\neg L) \frac{\Gamma \vdash P, \Delta}{\Gamma, \neg P \vdash \Delta}$$

Hint: use the proof for  $\wedge R$  given in Lecture 2 as a guide to structure your argument. Solution.

## 2. Exclusionary rules (15 points)

Exclusive-or is a logical operation that is true exactly when its arguments take different values. Extending the semantics of propositional logic to incorporate this connective, we define:

$$I \models P \oplus Q$$
 iff either  $I \models P$  or  $I \models Q$ , but not both

First, write left and write inference rules for exclusive-or. You do not need to prove that they are sound, but you should explain the reasoning that led you to the premises for each rule.

$$(\oplus \mathbf{L}) \ \frac{\dots}{\Gamma, P \oplus Q \vdash \Delta} \qquad (\oplus \mathbf{R}) \ \frac{\dots}{\Gamma \vdash P \oplus Q, \Delta}$$

Then, check your work by using the rules to give a sequent calculus proof that the formula below is valid. You should assume that  $\oplus$  takes precedence over implication:

$$q \oplus (p \oplus q) \to p$$

Solution.

3. **Derived Resolution (15 points)** The *resolution rule* R is a very powerful tool for propositional inference that serves as the workhorse for many automated solvers.

(R) 
$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash P, \Delta_1 \quad \Gamma \vdash \neg P, \Delta_2}{\Gamma \vdash \Delta_1, \Delta_2}$$

Show that R is a derived rule. In addition to the inference rules presented in lecture, you may need to use one or both of the weakening rules WL,WR shown below.

$$(\text{WL}) \ \frac{\Gamma \vdash \Delta}{\Gamma, P \vdash \Delta} \ (\text{WR}) \ \frac{\Gamma \vdash \Delta}{\Gamma \vdash P, \Delta}$$

Solution.