FILED: NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK 08/02/2020 11:35 PM

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 213

INDEX NO. 153974/2020

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/02/2020

FILED: NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK 08/02/2020 06:08 AM

INDEX NO. 153974/2020

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 212

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/02/2020

## I. NOTICE AND ADMISSION OF SERVICE

BARIS DINCER

August 2, 2020

PLAINTIFFS: SULLIVAN PROPERTIES, L.P.

TO:

<SLASKOWITZ@INGRAMLLP.COM>

C/O Ingram Yuzek Gainen Carroll & Bertolotti LLP

ATTN: Ms. Shari Laskowitz 150 East 42nd St, FL 19 New York, New York 10017

CC:

<CWEISS@INGRAMLLP.COM>

ATTN: Mr. Cory Weiss

150 East 42nd Street, FL 19 New York, New York 10017

<LEGAL@MANHATTANSKYLINE.COM>

C/O MANHATTAN SKYLINE

ATTN: Mr. Paul Regan

103 WEST 55TH STREET New York, NY 10019

Ms. Laskowitz,

As you are aware, I reside at 111 Sullivan Street, apartment 2BR in the County of New York located South of Prince Street and North of Spring Street (herein the "**RESIDENCE**") which I have occupied since January 1<sup>st</sup>, 2020.

Please be advised that a Cross Motion is being filed in the County of New York's Supreme Court through NYCEF, as referenced in your letter dated June 5<sup>th</sup>, 2020 - you have acknowledged and affirmed that all parties have recorded their participation in e-filing and that electronic filing of any document with NYSCEF serves that document upon all participating parties [Uniform Rule § 202.5-b(f)(2)] and is considered "Good Service".

All artifacts entered in this matter will continue to be filed and accessible to you, and any further information will be provided as required. Please also be advised this notice serves

COUNTY CLERK 08/02/2020

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 213

INDEX NO. 153974/2020

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/02/2020

FILED: NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK 08/02/2020 06:08 AM

INDEX NO. 153974/2020

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 212

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/02/2020

as notice to Motion for restraint of yourself, the "Plaintiff", its affiliates, representatives, service

providers, (herein "MANHATTAN SKYLINE") from any further intrusion of my life.

The damages and harm I have sustained are inconsequential while considering the

restraints which need be adjudicated and egregiously to prevent MANHATTAN SKYLINE from

causing any further damages, interruption, and intrusion to the lives of its other tenants. There is a

clear and present danger as referenced most recently in cases decided on April<sup>1</sup> and in February<sup>2</sup>.

Plaintiff is attempting an acrobatic traverse, as furthered in the supplements which ensue, and

enjoy the invasion of my privacy, time, and without consideration of my health and will continue

to violate3 a "trivial" violation and breach of my privacy, including violations of "unlawful

conduct" and "unfair business practices" which are furthered in violations of a Rent Stabilized

Lease.

I must implore, at a bare minimum maintain, properly introduce the material Parties

to this matter, inclusive of Mr. Zucker and myself. There is a preponderance of evidence which

has been submitted in your claims, and in happenstance this has rooted the annexed Cross Motion,

Exhibits, Affirmations, Affidavits, and a Memorandum of Law. The preamble of case studies

which I have provided to the registry of documents in this case are a courtesy for Parties to consider

in their mandatory answer to the CROSS MOTION and MOTION TO DISMISS.

The legal basis and cause for justification will hopefully enforce yourself,

personally, to understand the severity of the irreparable damages you have caused in the

"Objectionable Conduct" exhibited by MANHATTAN SKYLINE, permitting yourself to

represent their best interests, and electronically filing these documents and taking a willful

<sup>1</sup> Silber v. Sullivan Props., L.P. 2020 N.Y. Slip Op. 2538 Decided Apr 30, 2020

<sup>2</sup> Silber v. Sullivan Props., L.P. 2019 N.Y. Slip Op. 30533 Decided Feb 28, 2019

<sup>3</sup> Warthen v Sullivan Props., L.P.

2 of 4

2 of 4

FILED: NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK 08/02/2020 11:35 PM

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 213

INDEX NO. 153974/2020

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/02/2020

FILED: NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK 08/02/2020 06:08 AM

INDEX NO. 153974/2020

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 212

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/02/2020

initiative to serve me hard-copies of those documents, and insisting on this procedure.

As a courtesy, I also included relevant cases herein this NOTICE - contrary to the

approach, strategy and the grounds that you stand on, in any light, are "Objectionable" – and in

any jurisdiction will be denied. You have wasted my time, invaded my privacy, and caused certain

injury as referenced in the Exhibits in this matter:

I object to any further exhibition of myself in the public eye as referenced

in the false, defamatory and misleading Exhibit(s), Affirmation(s),

Affidavit(s) which have been "Objectionably" disseminated. I want

absolutely nothing to do with any of these people, no mention of

involvement in their matters, businesses, or acquaintances. Once again, I

request that you remove the camera which you have verified in Exhibit,

refused to remove over and extended period of time and this camera points

directly through my window, onto my bed, and below my waistline,

incontrovertibly and without my consent.

In furtherance you hereby accept this Notice of a Motion to Dismiss which

contemporaneously will serve to dismiss the claims you have submitted in public records. Your

position is both false and misleading, it is a violation of good faith and as seen in these proceedings

- the neglect of my privacy, solitude, and the sanctity of my RESIDENCE is of no consequence.

You have accused myself of "Objectionable Conduct" and in the process of doing

so have advocated the physical delivery of documents to my RESIDENCE, willfully and knowing

that I am student, have filed a no fee waiver – and conveniently have requested to further encumber

3 of 4

3 of 4

FILED: NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK 08/02/2020 11:35 PM

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 213

INDEX NO. 153974/2020

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/02/2020

FILED: NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK 08/02/2020 06:08 AM INDEX NO. 153974/2020

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 212

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/02/2020

my time and health by way of physical delivery this past week. This act alone poses a "nontrivial"

attempt of murder committed by Plaintiffs, however, is incontrovertibly a blatant disregard for the

implications of COVID-19 (which in fact causes immediate death), The New York Courts who

proscribes to hard-copy filings – and most importantly, negligence of my well-being.

If you did not have knowledge of the implications of COVID-19, please send a

complaint to Adnan so he can circulate another memo; I am confident there must be some

information that can be provided to assert information to the contrary. The New York Supreme

Court itself does NOT accept hard copy submissions and in support of those principles - you hereby

accept this as NOTICE to Motion (CROSS MOTION), which also serves in the interest of a

MOTION TO DISMISS under CPLR § 3211.

Respectfully,

BARIS DINCER, TENANT

 $111~\mathrm{SULLIVAN}$ STREET, APT 2BR

NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10012