# Chapter 8: Applicative functors and profunctors Part 2: Their laws and structure

Sergei Winitzki

Academy by the Bay

2018-07-01

#### Deriving the ap operation from map2

Can we avoid having to define map n separately for each n?

- Use curried arguments, fmap<sub>2</sub> :  $(A \Rightarrow B \Rightarrow Z) \Rightarrow F^A \Rightarrow F^B \Rightarrow F^Z$
- Set  $A \equiv (B \Rightarrow Z)$  and apply fmap<sub>2</sub> to the identity  $id^{(B \Rightarrow Z) \Rightarrow (B \Rightarrow Z)}$ : obtain  $ap^{[B,Z]}: F^{B \Rightarrow Z} \Rightarrow F^B \Rightarrow F^Z \equiv fmap_2$  (id)
- The functions fmap2 and ap are computationally equivalent:

$$\operatorname{fmap}_2 f^{A \Rightarrow B \Rightarrow Z} = \operatorname{fmap} f \circ \operatorname{ap}$$

$$F^{A} \xrightarrow{\text{fmap } f} F^{B \Rightarrow Z} \xrightarrow{\text{ap}} \left(F^{B} \Rightarrow F^{Z}\right)$$

• The functions fmap3, fmap4 etc. can be defined similarly:

$$\operatorname{fmap}_{3} f^{A \Rightarrow B \Rightarrow C \Rightarrow Z} = \operatorname{fmap} f \circ \operatorname{ap} \circ \operatorname{fmap}_{F^{B} \Rightarrow ?} \operatorname{ap}$$

$$F^{B\Rightarrow C\Rightarrow Z} \xrightarrow{\operatorname{ap}^{[B,C\Rightarrow Z]}} (F^{B}\Rightarrow F^{C\Rightarrow Z}) \xrightarrow{\operatorname{fmap}_{F^{B}\Rightarrow ?} \operatorname{ap}^{[C,Z]}} (F^{B}\Rightarrow F^{C}\Rightarrow F^{Z})$$

- Using the infix syntax will get rid of fmap<sub>FB→7</sub>ap (see example code)
   Note the pattern: a natural transformation is equivalent to a lifting
  - Note the pe

Sergei Winitzki (ABTB)

#### Deriving the zip operation from map2

- Note: Function types  $A \Rightarrow B \Rightarrow C$  and  $A \times B \Rightarrow C$  are equivalent
- Uncurry fmap<sub>2</sub> to fmap<sub>2</sub> :  $(A \times B \Rightarrow C) \Rightarrow F^A \times F^B \Rightarrow F^C$
- Compute fmap2(f) with  $f = id^{A \times B \Rightarrow A \times B}$ , expecting to obtain a simpler natural transformation:

$$zip: F^A \times F^B \Rightarrow F^{A \times B}$$

- This is quite similar to zip for lists:
   List(1, 2).zip(List(10, 20)) = List((1, 10), (2, 20))
- The functions zip and fmap2 are computationally equivalent:

$$zip = fmap2 (id)$$

$$fmap2 (f^{A \times B \Rightarrow C}) = zip \circ fmap f$$

$$F^{A \times B} \xrightarrow{fmap f^{A \times B \Rightarrow C}} F^{C}$$

$$fmap2 (f^{A \times B \Rightarrow C})$$

- The functor F is **zippable** if such a **zip** exists (with appropriate laws)
  - ▶ The same pattern: a natural transformation is equivalent to a lifting

## \* Equivalence of the operations ap and zip

- Set  $A \equiv B \Rightarrow C$ , get  $zip^{[B \Rightarrow C,B]} : F^{B \Rightarrow C} \times F^{B} \Rightarrow F^{(B \Rightarrow C) \times B}$
- Use eval :  $(B \Rightarrow C) \times B \Rightarrow C$  and fmap (eval) :  $F^{(B \Rightarrow C) \times B} \Rightarrow F^{C}$
- Uncurry:  ${}_{\mathrm{app}}{}^{[B,C]}:F^{B\Rightarrow C}\times F^{B}\Rightarrow F^{C}\equiv {}_{\mathrm{zip}}\circ {}_{\mathrm{fmap}}$  (eval)
- The functions zip and app are computationally equivalent:
  - use pair :  $(A \Rightarrow B \Rightarrow A \times B) = a^A \Rightarrow b^B \Rightarrow a \times b$
  - ▶ use fmap (pair)  $\equiv$  pair<sup>↑</sup> on an  $fa^{F^A}$ , get (pair<sup>↑</sup>fa) :  $F^{B\Rightarrow A\times B}$ ; then

$$zip(fa \times fb) = app((pair^{\uparrow}fa) \times fb)$$
 $app^{[B\Rightarrow C,B]} = zip^{[B\Rightarrow C,B]} \circ fmap(eval)$ 

$$F^{B\Rightarrow C} \times F^{B} \xrightarrow{\text{zip}} F^{(B\Rightarrow C)\times B} \xrightarrow{\text{fmap(eval)}} F^{C}$$

- Rewrite this using curried arguments:  $fzip^{[A,B]}: F^A \Rightarrow F^B \Rightarrow F^{A\times B};$   $ap^{[B,C]}: F^{B\Rightarrow C} \Rightarrow F^B \Rightarrow F^C;$  then  $ap f = fzip f \circ fmap (eval).$
- Now fzip  $p^{F^A}q^{F^B} = \operatorname{ap}\left(\operatorname{pair}^{\uparrow}p\right)q$ , hence we may omit the argument q: fzip =  $\operatorname{pair}^{\uparrow} \circ \operatorname{ap}$ . With explicit types: fzip $[A,B] = \operatorname{pair}^{\uparrow} \circ \operatorname{ap}[B,A\Rightarrow B]$ .

## Motivation for applicative laws. Naturality laws for map2

Treat map2 as a replacement for a monadic block with independent effects:

Main idea: Formulate the monad laws in terms of map2 and pure
 Naturality laws: Manipulate data in one of the containers

```
\begin{array}{lll} \text{for } \{ & & \text{for } \{ \\ & x \leftarrow \text{cont1.map(f)} & & x \leftarrow \text{cont1} \\ & y \leftarrow \text{cont2} & & y \leftarrow \text{cont2} \\ \} \text{ yield } g(x, y) & & \} \text{ yield } g(f(x), y) \end{array}
```

and similarly for cont2 instead of cont1; now rewrite in terms of for map2:

• Left naturality for map2:

```
 \begin{array}{l} \mathtt{map2}(\mathtt{cont1}.\mathtt{map(f)},\ \mathtt{cont2})(\mathtt{g}) \\ = \mathtt{map2}(\mathtt{cont1},\ \mathtt{cont2})\{\ (\mathtt{x},\ \mathtt{y})\ \Rightarrow\ \mathtt{g(f(x)},\ \mathtt{y})\ \} \\ \end{array}
```

• Right naturality for map2:

```
 map2(cont1, cont2.map(f))(g) 
= map2(cont1, cont2){ (x, y) \Rightarrow g(x, f(y)) }
```

#### Associativity and identity laws for map2

Inline two generators out of three, in two different ways:

Write this in terms of map2 to obtain the associativity law for map2:

```
\begin{split} & \text{map2}(\text{cont1}, \ \text{map2}(\text{cont2}, \ \text{cont3})((\_,\_)) \{ \ \text{case}(x,(y,z)) \Rightarrow & g(x,y,z) \} \\ & = \text{map2}(\text{map2}(\text{cont1}, \ \text{cont2})((\_,\_)), \ \text{cont3}) \{ \ \text{case}((x,y),z)) \Rightarrow & g(x,y,z) \} \end{split}
```

Empty context preceds a generator, or follows a generator:

```
\begin{array}{lll} \text{for } \{ \ x \leftarrow \text{pure(a)} & \text{for } \{ \\ & y \leftarrow \text{cont} & y \leftarrow \text{cont} \\ \} \ \text{yield } g(x, \ y) & \} \ \text{yield } g(a, \ y) \end{array}
```

Write this in terms of map2 to obtain the identity laws for map2 and pure:

```
map2(pure(a), cont)(g) = cont.map { y \Rightarrow g(a, y) } map2(cont, pure(b))(g) = cont.map { x \Rightarrow g(x, b) }
```

## Deriving the laws for zip: naturality law

• The laws for map2 in a short notation; here  $f \otimes g \equiv \{a \times b \Rightarrow f(a) \times g(b)\}$ 

$$\begin{split} \mathsf{fmap2}\left(g^{A\times B\Rightarrow \mathcal{C}}\right)\left(f^{\uparrow}q_{1}\times q_{2}\right) &= \mathsf{fmap2}\left(\left(f\otimes\mathsf{id}\right)\circ g\right)\left(q_{1}\times q_{2}\right) \\ \mathsf{fmap2}\left(g^{A\times B\Rightarrow \mathcal{C}}\right)\left(q_{1}\times f^{\uparrow}q_{2}\right) &= \mathsf{fmap2}\left(\left(\mathsf{id}\otimes f\right)\circ g\right)\left(q_{1}\times q_{2}\right) \\ \mathsf{fmap2}\left(g_{1.23}\right)\left(q_{1}\times \mathsf{fmap2}\left(\mathsf{id}\right)\left(q_{2}\times q_{3}\right)\right) &= \mathsf{fmap2}\left(g_{12.3}\right)\left(\mathsf{fmap2}\left(\mathsf{id}\right)\left(q_{1}\times q_{2}\right)\times q_{3}\right) \\ \mathsf{fmap2}\left(g^{A\times B\Rightarrow \mathcal{C}}\right)\left(\mathsf{pure}\, a^{A}\times q_{2}^{F^{B}}\right) &= \left(b\Rightarrow g\left(a\times b\right)\right)^{\uparrow}q_{2} \\ \mathsf{fmap2}\left(g^{A\times B\Rightarrow \mathcal{C}}\right)\left(q_{1}^{F^{A}}\times \mathsf{pure}\, b^{B}\right) &= \left(a\Rightarrow g\left(a\times b\right)\right)^{\uparrow}q_{1} \end{split}$$

Express map2 through zip:

$$\mathsf{fmap}_2 \, g^{A \times B \Rightarrow \mathcal{C}} \left( q_1^{F^A} \times q_2^{F^B} \right) \equiv \left( \mathsf{zip} \circ g^{\uparrow} \right) \left( q_1 \times q_2 \right)$$
 $\mathsf{fmap}_2 \, g^{A \times B \Rightarrow \mathcal{C}} \equiv \mathsf{zip} \circ g^{\uparrow}$ 

• Combine the two naturality laws into one by using two functions  $f_1$ ,  $f_2$ :

$$egin{aligned} \left(f_1^{\uparrow}\otimes f_2^{\uparrow}
ight)\circ \mathsf{fmap2}\,g &= \mathsf{fmap2}\left(\left(f_1\otimes f_2
ight)^{\uparrow}\circ g
ight) \ \left(f_1^{\uparrow}\otimes f_2^{\uparrow}
ight)\circ \mathsf{zip}\circ g^{\uparrow} &= \mathsf{zip}\circ \left(f_1\otimes f_2
ight)^{\uparrow}\circ g^{\uparrow} \end{aligned}$$

• The naturality law for zip then becomes:  $(f_1^{\uparrow} \otimes f_2^{\uparrow}) \circ zip = zip \circ (f_1 \otimes f_2)^{\uparrow}$ 

#### Deriving the laws for zip: associativity law

Express map2 through zip and substitute into the associativity law:

$$g_{1.23}^{\uparrow}\left(\operatorname{zip}\left(q_{1}\times\operatorname{zip}\left(q_{2}\times q_{3}\right)\right)\right)=g_{12.3}^{\uparrow}\left(\operatorname{zip}\left(\operatorname{zip}\left(q_{1}\times q_{2}\right)\times q_{3}\right)\right)$$

 $\bullet$  The arbitrary function g is preceded by transformations of the tuples,

$$a \times (b \times c) \equiv (a \times b) \times c$$
 (type isomorphism)

 Assume that the isomorphism transformations are applied as needed, then we may formulate the associativity law for zip more concisely:

$$\mathsf{zip}\left(q_1\times\mathsf{zip}\left(q_2\times q_3\right)\right)\cong\mathsf{zip}\left(\mathsf{zip}\left(q_1\times q_2\right)\times q_3\right)$$



## Deriving the laws for zip: identity laws

Identity laws seem to be complicated, e.g. the left identity:

$$g^{\uparrow}(zip(pure a \times q)) = (b \Rightarrow g(a \times b))^{\uparrow}q$$

Replace pure by an equivalent "wrapped unit" method wu: F[Unit]

$$\mathsf{wu}^{F^1} \equiv \mathsf{pure}(1); \quad \mathsf{pure}(a^A) = (1 \Rightarrow a)^{\uparrow} \mathsf{wu}$$

Then the left identity law can be simplified using left naturality:

$$g^{\uparrow}\left(\mathsf{zip}\left(((1\Rightarrow a)^{\uparrow}\,\mathsf{wu}) imes q
ight)
ight)=g^{\uparrow}\left(((1\Rightarrow a)\otimes\mathsf{id})^{\uparrow}\,\mathsf{zip}\,(\mathsf{wu} imes q)
ight)$$

• Denote  $\phi^{B\Rightarrow 1\times B}\equiv b\Rightarrow 1\times b$  and  $\beta_a^{1\times B\Rightarrow A\times B}\equiv (1\Rightarrow a)\otimes \mathrm{id}$ ; then the function  $b\Rightarrow g\ (a\times b)$  can be expressed more simply as  $\phi\circ\beta_a\circ g$ , and the naturality law becomes

$$g^{\uparrow}(\beta_a^{\uparrow} \operatorname{zip}(\mathsf{wu} \times q)) = (\beta_a \circ g)^{\uparrow} (\operatorname{zip}(\mathsf{wu} \times q)) = (\phi \circ \beta_a \circ g)^{\uparrow} q = (\beta_a \circ g)^{\uparrow} (\phi^{\uparrow} q)$$

Omitting the common prefix  $(\beta_a \circ g)^{\uparrow}$ , we obtain the **left identity** law:

$$\mathsf{zip}\,(\mathsf{wu}\times q)=\phi^{\uparrow}q$$

- ▶ Note that  $\phi^{\uparrow}$  is an isomorphism between  $F^B$  and  $F^{1\times B}$ 
  - \* Assume that this isomorphism is applied as needed, then we may write

$$zip(wu \times q) \cong q$$

9 / 20

▶ Similarly, the **right identity** law can be written as  $zip(q \times wu) \cong q$ 

## Similarity between applicative laws and monoid laws

- Define infix syntax for zip and write zip  $(p \times q) \equiv p \bowtie q$
- Then the associativity and identity laws may be written as

$$q_1 \bowtie (q_2 \bowtie q_3) \cong (q_1 \bowtie q_2) \bowtie q_3$$
 $(\mathsf{wu} \bowtie q) \cong q$ 
 $(q \bowtie \mathsf{wu}) \cong q$ 

These are the laws of a monoid (with some assumed transformations)

Naturality law for zip written in the infix syntax:

$$f_1^{\uparrow}q_1\bowtie f_2^{\uparrow}q_2=(f_1\otimes f_2)^{\uparrow}(q_1\bowtie q_2)$$

- wu has no laws; the naturality for pure follows automatically
- The laws are simplest when formulated in terms of zip and wu
  - Naturality for zip will usually follow from parametricity
    - ★ A third naturality law for map2 follows from defining map2 through zip!
- "Zippable" functors have only the associativity and naturality laws
- Applicative functors are a strict subset of monadic functors
  - ▶ There are applicative functors that *cannot* be monads
  - Applicative functor implementation may disagree with the monad

#### A third naturality law for map2

- There must be one more naturality law for map2
- Transform the result of a map2:

Write this in terms of map2, obtain a third naturality law:

```
map2(cont1, cont2)(g).map(f)
= map2(cont1, cont2)(g andThen f)
fmap2(g) \circ f^{\uparrow} = fmap2(g \circ f)
f^{\uparrow}(fmap2(g)(p \times q)) = fmap2(g \circ f)(p \times q)
```

• This law automatically follows if we define map2 through zip:

fmap2 
$$(g) \circ f^{\uparrow} = zip \circ g^{\uparrow} \circ f^{\uparrow} = zip \circ (g \circ f)^{\uparrow}$$

• Note: we always have one naturality law per type parameter

## Applicative operation ap as a "lifting"

- Consider ap as a "lifting", of type  $F^{A\Rightarrow B} \Rightarrow (F^A \Rightarrow F^B)$
- A "lifting" should obey the identity and the composition laws
  - An "identity" value of type F<sup>A⇒A</sup>, mapped to id F<sup>A⇒FA</sup> by ap
     ★ A good candidate for that value is id<sub>⊙</sub> = pure (id<sup>A⇒A</sup>)
  - ▶ A "composition" of an  $F^{A\Rightarrow B}$  and an  $F^{B\Rightarrow C}$ , yielding an  $F^{A\Rightarrow C}$ 
    - **\*** We can use map2 to implement this composition, denoted  $g \odot h$ :

$$g^{F^{A\Rightarrow B}}\odot h^{F^{B\Rightarrow C}}\equiv \operatorname{fmap2}\left(p^{A\Rightarrow B}\times q^{B\Rightarrow C}\Rightarrow p\circ q\right)\left(g,h\right)$$

 $id_{\odot} \odot h = h$ :  $g \odot id_{\odot} = g$ 

• What are the laws that follow for  $g \odot h$  from the map2 laws?

$$g^{F^{A\Rightarrow B}} \odot (h^{F^{B\Rightarrow C}} \odot k^{F^{C\Rightarrow D}}) = (g \odot h) \odot k$$

$$\left( (x^{B\Rightarrow C} \Rightarrow f^{A\Rightarrow B} \circ x)^{\uparrow} g^{F^{B\Rightarrow C}} \right) \odot h^{F^{C\Rightarrow D}} = (x^{B\Rightarrow D} \Rightarrow f^{A\Rightarrow B} \circ x)^{\uparrow} (g \odot h)$$

$$g^{F^{A\Rightarrow B}} \odot \left( (x^{B\Rightarrow C} \Rightarrow x \circ f^{C\Rightarrow D})^{\uparrow} h^{F^{B\Rightarrow C}} \right) = (x^{A\Rightarrow C} \Rightarrow x \circ f^{C\Rightarrow D})^{\uparrow} (g \odot h)$$

- ► The first 3 laws are the identity & associativity laws of a *category*\* The morphism type is  $A \rightsquigarrow B \equiv F^{A \Rightarrow B}$ , the composition is  $\odot$
- ► The last 2 laws are naturality laws, connecting fmap and ⊙
- Therefore ap is a functor's "lifting" of morphisms from two categories

# Deriving the category laws for $(id_{\odot}, \odot)$

The five laws for  $id_{\odot}$  and  $\odot$  follow from the five map2 laws

- Consider  $id_{\odot} \odot h$  and substitute the definition of  $\odot$  via map2, cf. slide 7:  $id_{\odot} \odot h = \text{fmap2} (p \times q \Rightarrow p \circ q) (\text{pure} (id) \times h) = (b \Rightarrow id \circ b)^{\uparrow} h = h$
- The law  $g \odot id_{\odot} = g$  is derived similarly
- Associativity law:  $g \odot (h \odot k) = \text{fmap2}(\circ) (g \times \text{fmap2}(\circ) (h \times k))$  The 3rd naturality law gives:  $\text{fmap2}(\circ) (h \times k) = (\circ)^{\uparrow} (\text{fmap2}(\text{id}) (h \times k))$ , and then:

$$g \odot (h \odot k) = \operatorname{fmap2}(x \times (y \times z) \Rightarrow x \circ y \circ z) (g \times \operatorname{fmap2}(\operatorname{id})(h \times k))$$
$$(g \odot h) \odot k = \operatorname{fmap2}((x \times y) \times z \Rightarrow x \circ y \circ z) (\operatorname{fmap2}(\operatorname{id})(g \times h) \times k)$$

Now the associativity law for fmap2 yields  $g \odot (h \odot k) = (g \odot h) \odot k$ 

- Derive naturality laws for  $\odot$  from the three map<sub>2</sub> naturality laws:  $((x \Rightarrow f \circ x)^{\uparrow}g) \odot h = \text{fmap2}(\circ) ((x \Rightarrow f \circ x)^{\uparrow}g \times h) = \text{fmap2}(x \times y \Rightarrow f \circ x \circ y) (g \times h) = (x \Rightarrow f \circ x)^{\uparrow} (\text{fmap2}(\circ) (g \times h)) = (x \Rightarrow f \circ x)^{\uparrow} (g \odot h)$
- The law is  $g \odot (x \Rightarrow x \circ f)^{\uparrow} h = (x \Rightarrow x \circ f)^{\uparrow} (g \odot h)$  is derived similarly

#### Deriving the functor laws for ap

Now that we established the laws for  $\odot$ , we have ap laws:

$$\mathsf{ap}^{[B,Z]}: F^{B\Rightarrow Z} \Rightarrow F^B \Rightarrow F^Z = \mathsf{fmap}_2\left(\mathsf{id}^{(B\Rightarrow Z)\Rightarrow (B\Rightarrow Z)}\right)$$

Identity law:  $ap(id_{\odot}) = id^{F^A \Rightarrow F^A}$ 

- Derivation:  $\operatorname{ap}(\operatorname{id}^{F^{A\Rightarrow A}})(q^{F^A}) = \operatorname{fmap}_2(\operatorname{id}^{(A\Rightarrow A)\Rightarrow A\Rightarrow A})(\operatorname{pure}(\operatorname{id}^{A\Rightarrow A}))(q^{F^A}) = \operatorname{fmap}_2(f \times x \Rightarrow f(x))(\operatorname{pure}(\operatorname{id}) \times q) = (x \Rightarrow \operatorname{id}(x))^{\uparrow} q = \operatorname{id}^{\uparrow} q = q$
- Easier derivation: first, express ap via ⊙ using the isomorphisms

$$A \cong 1 \rightarrow A$$
;  $F^A \cong F^{1 \rightarrow A}$ 

Then  $\operatorname{ap}(p^{F^{B\Rightarrow Z}})(q^{F^B}) \cong q^{F^{1\to B}} \odot p^{F^{B\to Z}}$  and so  $\operatorname{ap}(\operatorname{id}_{\odot})(q) \cong q \odot \operatorname{id}_{\odot} = q$ 

Composition law:  $ap(g \odot h) = ap(g) \circ ap(h)$ 

• Derivation:  $\operatorname{ap}(g \odot h)(q) \cong q \odot (g \odot h)$  while  $(\operatorname{ap}(g) \circ \operatorname{ap}(h)) q = \operatorname{ap}(h)(\operatorname{ap}(g)(q)) \cong \operatorname{ap}(h)(q \odot g) \cong (q \odot g) \odot h$ 

## Constructions of applicative functors

- All monadic constructions still hold for applicative functors
- Additionally, there are some non-monadic constructions
- $F^A \equiv 1$  (constant functor) and  $F^A \equiv A$  (identity functor)
- ②  $F^A \equiv G^A \times H^A$  for any applicative  $G^A$  and  $H^A$ • but  $G^A + H^A$  is in general *not* applicative
- **3**  $F^A \equiv A + G^A$  for any applicative  $G^A$  (free pointed over G)
- $F^A \equiv A + G^{F^A}$  (recursive) for any functor  $G^A$  (free monad over G)
- **5**  $F^A \equiv H^A \Rightarrow A$  for any contrafunctor  $H^A$  Constructions that are not monadic:
- $m{O} \ F^A \equiv Z + G^A \ ext{for any applicative} \ G^A \ ext{and monoid} \ Z$
- **3**  $F^A \equiv G^{H^A}$  when both G and H are applicative

#### All non-parameterized exp-poly types are monoids

- Using known monoid constructions (Chapter 7), we can implement X + Y,  $X \times Y$ ,  $X \Rightarrow Y$  as monoids when X and Y are monoids
- All primitive types have at least one monoid instance:
  - ▶ Int, Float, Double, Char, Boolean are "numeric" monoids
  - ► Seq[A], Set[A], Map[K,V] are set-like monoids
  - String is equivalent to a sequence of integers; Unit is a trivial monoid
- Therefore, all exponential-polynomial types without type parameters are monoids in at least one way
- Example of an exponential-polynomial type without type parameters:  $lnt + String \times String \times (Int \Rightarrow Bool) + (Bool \times String \Rightarrow 1 + String)$
- ullet Example of a non-monoid type with type parameters:  $A\Rightarrow B$

By constructions 1, 3, and 7, all polynomial  $F^A$  with monoidal coefficients are applicative: write  $F^A = Z_1 + A \times (Z_2 + A \times ...)$  with some monoids  $Z_i$ 

- $F^A = 1 + A \times A$  (this  $F^A$  is not a monad!)
- $F^A = A + A \times A \times Z$  where Z is a monoid (this  $F^A$  is a monad)

Examples of non-polynomial functors that are not applicative:

• 
$$F^A \equiv (A \Rightarrow R) \Rightarrow S$$
;  $F^A \equiv (R \Rightarrow A) + (S \Rightarrow A)$   
Sergei Winitzki (ABTB) Chapter 8: Applicative functors

# Definition and constructions of applicative contrafunctors

- The applicative functor laws, if formulated via zip and wu, do not use map and therefore can be used for contrafunctors
- Define an applicative contrafunctor  $C^A$  as having zip and wu:

$$zip: C^A \times C^B \Rightarrow C^{A \times B}; wu: C^1$$

- Identity and associativity laws must hold for zip and wu
  - Note: applying contramap to the function  $a \times b \Rightarrow a$  will yield some  $C^A \Rightarrow C^{A \times B}$ , but this will *not* give a valid implementation of zip!
- Naturality must hold for zip, but with contramap instead of map
  - ▶ The corresponding contraap has type signature  $F^{B\Rightarrow A} \Rightarrow (F^A \Rightarrow F^B)$

#### Applicative contrafunctor constructions:

- ②  $C^A \equiv G^A \times H^A$  for any applicative contrafunctors  $G^A$  and  $H^A$
- **3**  $C^A \equiv G^A + H^A$  for any applicative contrafunctors  $G^A$  and  $H^A$
- $C^A \equiv H^A \Rightarrow G^A$  for any functor  $H^A$  and applicative contrafunctor  $G^A$
- **5**  $C^A \equiv H^{G^A}$  for any functor  $H^A$  and applicative contrafunctor  $G^A$
- All exponential-polynomial contrafunctors with monoidal coefficients are applicative! (These constructions cover all exp-poly cases.)

## Definition and constructions of applicative profunctors

- Profunctors have the type parameter in both contravariant and covariant positions; they can have neither map nor contramap
  - ▶ They have dimap of type  $(A \Rightarrow B) \times (B \Rightarrow A) \Rightarrow (F^A \Rightarrow F^B)$
- Examples of profunctors:  $P^A \equiv \operatorname{Int} \times A \Rightarrow A$ ;  $P^A \equiv A + (A \Rightarrow R)$
- All exp-poly type constructors are profunctors since the type parameter is always in either a covariant or a contravariant position
- Definition of applicative profunctor: has zip and wu with the laws
  - ▶ The corresponding diap is of type  $F^{(A\Rightarrow B)\times (B\Rightarrow A)}\Rightarrow (F^A\Rightarrow F^B)$

Applicative profunctors have all previous constructions, and additionally:

- ②  $P^A \equiv Z + G^A$  for any applicative profunctor  $G^A$  and monoid Z
- $P^A \equiv G^A + H^{G^A}$  for any functor  $H^A$  and applicative profunctor  $G^A$
- $P^A \equiv H^{G^A}$  and  $G^{H^A}$  for any functor  $H^A$  and applicative profunctor  $G^A$  Examples of non-applicative profunctors:

• 
$$P^A \equiv (A \Rightarrow A) + (R \Rightarrow A); \quad P^A \equiv (A \Rightarrow A) \Rightarrow 1 + A$$

#### Categorical overview of standard functor classes

The "liftings" characterize the types of category's morphisms

name	category morphism	lifting name and type signature
functor	$A \Rightarrow B$	$fmap : (A \Rightarrow B) \Rightarrow F^A \Rightarrow F^B$
filterable	$A \Rightarrow 1 + B$	$fmapOpt : (A \Rightarrow 1 + B) \Rightarrow F^A \Rightarrow F^B$
monad	$A \Rightarrow F^B$	$flm: \left(A \Rightarrow F^B\right) \Rightarrow F^A \Rightarrow F^B$
applicative	$F^{A\Rightarrow B}$	$ap: F^{A\Rightarrow B} \Rightarrow F^A \Rightarrow F^B$
contrafunctor	$B \Rightarrow A$	contrafmap : $(B\Rightarrow A)\Rightarrow F^A\Rightarrow F^B$
profunctor	$(A \Rightarrow B) \times (B \Rightarrow A)$	$dimap: (A \Rightarrow B) \times (B \Rightarrow A) \Rightarrow F^A \Rightarrow F^B$
contra-filterable	$B \Rightarrow 1 + A$	contrafmapOpt : $(B \Rightarrow 1 + A) \Rightarrow F^A \Rightarrow F^B$
contra-applicative	$F^{B\Rightarrow A}$	$contraap: F^{B \Rightarrow A} \Rightarrow F^A \Rightarrow F^B$
pro-applicative	$F^{(A\Rightarrow B)\times(B\Rightarrow A)}$	$proap: F^{(A\Rightarrow B)\times (B\Rightarrow A)}\Rightarrow F^A\Rightarrow F^B$
	Not yet considered:	
comonad	$F^A \Rightarrow B$	$cofIm: \left( F^{A} \Rightarrow B \right) \Rightarrow F^{A} \Rightarrow F^{B}$

The laws are always just the category laws and the naturality laws. The category's identity morphism needs to be defined specially in each case

Some of these possibilities (e.g. "contramonad") do not actually work

#### Exercises

- Show that pure will be automatically a natural transformation when it is defined using wu as shown.
- ② Show that  $F^A \equiv (A \Rightarrow Z) \Rightarrow (1 + A)$  is a functor but not applicative.
- **3** Show that  $P^S$  is a monoid if S is a monoid and P is any applicative functor, contrafunctor, or profunctor.
- Use naturality of pure to show that pure  $f \odot \text{pure } g = \text{pure } (f \odot g)$