## Chapter 5: Type-level functions and type classes

Sergei Winitzki

Academy by the Bay

January 14, 2018

## Motivation for type classes I: Restricting type arguments

We would like generic sum implementation for Seq[Int], Seq[Double], etc.

• but we cannot generalize sum to arbitrary types T like this:

```
def sum[T](s: Seq[T]): T = ???
```

• this can work only for T that are "summable" in some sense

We would like to define fmap for functors, using the available map

but we cannot generalize fmap to arbitrary type constructors F[\_]:

```
def fmap[F[], A, B](f: A \Rightarrow B): F[A] \Rightarrow F[B] = ???
```

• this can work only for type constructors F[\_] that are functors

We would like to define functions whose type arguments, such as T or F[\_], are required to belong to a *certain subset* of possible types

- We could then use the known properties of these type arguments
- We would also like to add new supported types as needed
  - ► This is similar to the concept of *partial functions* applied to types

## Motivation for type classes II: Partial functions on types

- Functions can be total or partial
  - ► Total function: has a result for all argument values
  - ▶ Partial function: has *no result* for *some* argument values
- Also, functions can be, in principle, {from/to} {values/types}:

| function: | from value         | from type                                  |
|-----------|--------------------|--|
| to value  | def f(x: Int): Int | def point[A]: A ⇒ List[A]                  |
| to type   | dependent type     | <pre>type MyData[A] = Either[Int, A]</pre> |

- value-to-value = run time, type-to-\* = compile time
  - ▶ if we use JVM reflection, type-to-\* can become run-time (yuck!)

| partial function: | from value (PVVF)                  | from type (PTTF; PTVF) |
|-------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------|
| example:          | { case Some(x) $\Rightarrow$ x-1 } | GADTs; implicitly[T]   |
| when misapplied:  | exception at run time              | error at compile time  |

- Type classes provide a systematic way of managing PTFs
  - ▶ It is safe to apply a PTF to type T if T "belongs to a certain type class"

### Example of using value-level PFs: The caveats

• Filter a Seq[Either[Int, Boolean]], then apply map with a PF:

```
val s: Seq[Int] = Seq( Left(1), Right(true), Left(2) )
   .filter(_.isLeft) // result here is still of type Seq[Either[...]]
   .map { case Left(x) \( \Rightarrow x \) } // result is of type Seq[Int] but unsafe
```

- "We know" it is okay to apply this PF here...
  - ▶ but the types do not show this, compile-time checking doesn't help
  - ▶ if refactored, the code may become wrong and break at run time
- The type-safe version uses .collect instead of .filter().map():

```
val s: Seq[Int] = Seq( Left(1), Right(true), Left(2) )
   .collect { case Left(x) \Rightarrow x } // result is safe, of type Seq[Int]
```

- PFs are only safe in certain places, such as within .collect()
  - make functions total: either add code, or use more restrictive types
  - e.g. types such as "non-empty list", "positive number", Some [T], etc.

```
def f(xs: NonEmptyList[Int]) = {
  val h = xs.head // safe and checked at compile time
}
```

▶ to use PTFs safely, we need to restrict their *type parameters* to a subset

# Managing PTFs by hand I: Using GADTs

PTTFs: Partial Type-to-Type Functions

A type constructor that accepts only certain types as parameters:

```
sealed trait MyTC[A] // "sealed" GADT - user code can't add cases
final case class Case1(d: Double) extends MyTC[Int]
final case class Case2() extends MyTC[String] // whatever
```

- It looks like we have defined MyTC[A] for any type A?...
  - ► actually, we can only ever create values of MyTC[Int] or MyTC[String]
- Effectively,  $MyTC^A$  is a PTTF defined only for  $A \in \{Int; String\}$ 
  - ► This **type domain** is enforced at compile time!
  - Note:  $MyTC^A$  cannot be a functor since it is not defined for all types A
- When to use GADTs:
  - ▶ for domain modeling (e.g. queries with a fixed set of result types)
  - for DSLs that represent typed expressions
- Alternatively, a PTTF can be a trait with some implementation code

# Managing PTFs by hand II: Using OO method overriding PTVFs: Partial Type-to-Value Functions – the object-oriented way

• A trait with def methods that are overridden:

```
sealed trait HasPlus[A] {
  def plus(a1: A, a2: A): A
}
final case class CaseInt() extends HasPlus[Int] {
  override def plus(a1: Int, a2: Int): Int = a1 + a2
}
final case class CaseString() extends HasPlus[String] {
  override def plus(a1: String, a2: String): String = a1 + a2
}
```

- Similar to having defined plus[A] for  $A \in \{Int; String\}$
- Limitations:
  - ► We can only call plus() via a value of type HasPlus[A]
  - ▶ All PTVFs must be declared up front in the trait
    - ★ Not extensible cannot add new PTVFs later
    - ★ Not compositional cannot use this in other PTVFs defined later

# Managing PTFs by hand III: "Type Evidence" arguments PTVFs: Partial Type-to-Value Functions – the general case

To define a function func[A] (...) only for certain types A:

- create a PTTF defined only for the relevant types A, e.g. IsGood[A]
- ② create some values of types IsGood[A] for relevant types A as needed
- 3 add an extra argument ev: IsGood[A] (type evidence) to func[A] (...) What we gained:
  - it is now impossible to call func[A] with an unsupported type A
    - ▶ trying to do so will fail at compile time TE values won't type-check
- new supported types can be added in user code if IsGood is not sealed
   The cost:
  - all calls to func[A] (args) will now become func[A] (args, ev)
  - one TE value ev needs to be created for each supported type A
  - we now need to keep passing all these TE values around the code
- Mitigate these issues in Scala by using implicit values:
  - TE arguments are explicit only at func declaration site
  - once defined as implicit, TE values are passed around invisibly
  - new implicit values can be built up automatically from previous ones

# Scala's mechanism of "implicit values"

#### Implicit values are:

- declared as implicit val x: SomeType = ...
  - ▶ also have implicit def f[T](...) = ... and implicit class(...)
- automatically passed into functions that declare extra arguments as def f(args...)(implicit x: SomeType) = ...
- searched in local scope, imports, companion objects, parent classes
- ▶ having ≥ 2 implicit values of the same type is a compile-time error!

Special short syntax for declaring implicit TE arguments in a PTVF:

```
def func[A: TC1, B: TC2](args...) = ...
```

This is entirely equivalent to this longer code:

```
def func[A, B](args...)(implicit evA: TC1[A], evB: TC2[B])= ...
```

• standard library has def implicitly[A](implicit x: A): A = x

#### We still need to:

- declare MyTypeClass[A] as a PTTF elsewhere
- create TE values of various types and declare them as implicit

### Type classes I

The general definition

A type class is a set of PTVFs that all have the same type domain

- In terms of specific code to be written, a type class is:
  - a PTTF, e.g. MyTypeClass[T] with some code that creates TE values, and
  - ② the desired PTVFs that use this PTTF to define their type domain
    - for many important use cases, the PTVFs must also satisfy certain laws
- A type T "belongs to the type class MyTypeClass" if a TE value exists
  - ▶ i.e. if some value of type MyTypeClass[T] can be found
- A function func[T] "requires the type class MyTypeClass for T" if one of func's arguments is a value of PTTF-constructed type MyTypeClass[T]
  - that argument is the type class instance for the type parameter T
  - ▶ this **constrains** the type parameter T to **belong to** the type class
  - ▶ this is how we know that func[T] is a PTVF

## Type classes II

#### Implementation in Scala

A type class is typically implemented as:

- ① a trait with a type parameter, e.g. trait MyTC[T]
- code that creates values of type MyTC[T] for various T
  - these values are declared as implicit and made available via imports or in the companion objects for the specific types T
- some functions with implicit argument(s) of type MyTC[T]
  - ▶ these functions are usually def methods in a trait, but don't have to be
  - laws for these functions may need to be enforced by property tests

A TE value has all information about the type  $\tau$  needed by the PTVFs

- usually, the trait MyTC[T] contains all the PTVFs as def's
- in simpler cases, TE can be a data type (not a trait with def methods)
  - ▶ a trait with def methods is necessary for *higher-order* type functions
- additional PTVFs (with unchanged PTTF) can be added later
  - ► no need to modify the code of MyTC[T] if the type domain is unchanged
- can combine with other PTTFs/PTVFs defined later

See example code

# Examples of type classes I

Some simple PTFs and their use cases

A type T is a semigroup if it has an associative binary operation
 def op(x: T, y: T): T

```
▶ a bare-bones operation, no inverse — just "can combine"
```

- A type T is **pointed** if there exists a function **point**: T
  - ► This is a special, somehow "naturally" selected value of that type
    ★ Examples: 0: Int; "": String; identity[A]: A ⇒ A
- A type T is a monoid if there exist functions

```
def empty[T]: T
def combine[T](x: T, y: T): T
```

such that the usual algebraic laws hold:

- combine is associative
- $\forall x : \mathtt{combine}(\mathtt{empty}, x) = \mathtt{combine}(x, \mathtt{empty}) = x$
- Monoids are an abstraction for any sort of data aggregation

See example code for implementing the Monoid type class:

- by using a case class as a PTTF (instance from scratch)
- by assuming Pointed and Semigroup ("derived" instance)

11 / 17

# Examples of type classes II Higher-order PTFs

- A type constructor  $F^A$  is a functor if it has a map operation
  - ▶ or, equivalently, fmap
  - that satisfies the functor laws (identity law, composition law)
- We would like to write a generic function that tests the functor laws

```
def checkFunctorLaws[F[_], A, B, C](): Assertion = ???
```

- Need to get access to the function map defined for the given F
- We treat map as a PTVF whose type domain is all functors F:

```
def map[F[_], A, B](fa: F[A], f: A \Rightarrow B): F[B]
```

- We constrain F to belong to the Functor type class
  - ▶ by adding implicit ev: Functor[F] as extra argument to map
    - ★ note: Functor is a higher-order PTTF its type argument is F[\_]

See test code for implementation and functor laws

## Overview: Types and kinds

Compare value-to-value functions (VVFs) vs. type-to-value functions:

- the domain of a VVF is the set of admissible argument values
  - a "value domain" (subset of values) is called a type
  - ▶ the VVF is applied safely only to argument values of the right type def f(x: Option[Int]) = ...; f(y);
- the **type domain** of a PTVF is the set of admissible argument *types* 
  - a "type domain" (subset of types) is called a kind
  - the PTVF is applied safely only to type arguments of the right kind def func[T: MyTypeClass](args...) = ...; f[A](args);
- In both cases, the function call's safety is guaranteed at compile time Kinds are the "type system for types"
  - a type class MyTypeClass defines a new kind (as a subset of types)
    - suggested kind notation: (\*: MyTypeClass)
  - another existing kind is the **type function** kind (notation:  $* \rightarrow *$ )
    - ightharpoonup in F[T], the F and the T are types of different **kinds** (\* ightarrow \* and \* resp.)
    - ▶ define type Ap[F[], T] = F[T], then wrong kinds will fail in Ap[A, B]
      - **\*** suggested **kind** notation: Ap :  $(* \rightarrow *, *) \rightarrow *$
    - See test code

## Scala's "implicit method" syntax for PTVFs

Two sorts of available syntax for Scala functions:

- ① as in ordinary math: func(x, y) or func(x, y)(z) etc.
- as "method": x.func(y) or equivalently x func y
  - ▶ this is similar to func(x)(y) but is implemented differently

It is often convenient to use functions syntactically as methods:

```
def +++[T: HasPlusPlus](t: T, arg: ...) = ...
val t: T = ...
+++(t, arg) // that's how we have to call this function
// but instead we want to be able to write t +++ arg
```

Implementing the "implicit method syntax" for a PTVF func:

- declare func as a method on a new trait or class, say MyTCSyntax[T]
- declare an implicit conversion function from T to MyTCSyntax[T]
  - ▶ to make the code shorter, use an implicit class
  - see example code

#### What we gained:

- the PTVF appears as a method only on values of the relevant types
- the new syntax is defined automatically on all the relevant types T

### Worked examples

- ① Define a PTVF def bitsize[T] = ... such that bitsize[Int] returns 32 and bitsize[Long] returns 64; otherwise bitsize[T] is undefined
- ② Define a monoid instance for the type  $1 + (String \Rightarrow String)$
- **3** Assuming that A and B are monoids, define monoid instance for  $A \times B$
- **4** Show: If A is a monoid and B is a semigroup then A + B is a monoid
- Of Define a functor instance for type F[T] = Seq[Try[T]]
- **o** Define a Cats' Bifunctor instance for  $Q^{X,Y} \equiv X + X \times Y$
- O Define a ContraFunctor type class having contrafmap:

```
def contrafmap[A, B](f: B \Rightarrow A): C[A] \Rightarrow C[B]
```

Define a ContraFunctor instance for type constructor  $C^A \equiv A \Rightarrow \operatorname{Int}$ 

- **3** Define functor instance for recursive type  $Q^A \equiv (\operatorname{Int} \Rightarrow A) + \operatorname{Int} + Q^A$
- $\bullet$  \* If  $F^A$  and  $G^A$  are functors, define functor instance for  $F^A + G^A$

#### Exercises

- Define a PTVF def isLong[T]: Boolean that returns true for Long and Double; returns false for Int, Short, and Float; otherwise undefined
- **②** Define a monoid instance for the type  $\mathsf{String} \times (\mathsf{1} + \mathsf{Int})$
- **3** If A is a monoid and R any type, define monoid instance for  $R \Rightarrow A$
- Show: If s is a semigroup then Option[S] is a monoid
- 5 Define a functor instance for type F[T] = Future[Seq[T]]
- **1** Define a Cats' Bifunctor instance for  $B^{X,Y} \equiv (\operatorname{Int} \Rightarrow X) + Y \times Y$
- O Define a ProFunctor type class having dimap:

```
def dimap[A, B](f: A \Rightarrow B, g: B \Rightarrow A): F[A] \Rightarrow F[B]
```

Define a ProFunctor instance for  $P^A \equiv A \Rightarrow (Int \times A)$ 

- **3** Define a functor instance for recursive type  $Q^A \equiv \text{String} + A \times Q^A$
- lacktriangle \* If  $F^A$  and  $G^A$  are functors, define functor instance for  $F^A \times G^A$
- \* Define a functor instance for  $F^A \Rightarrow G^A$  where  $F^A$  is a contrafunctor (use Cats' Contravariant type class for  $F^A$ ) and  $G^A$  is a functor

#### Further directions

- What we can do now:
  - Define arbitrary PTTFs and use them to define type classes (PTVFs)
  - Define them together or separately, combine them at will
  - Use the Cats library to define instances for standard type classes
  - Derive type class instances automatically from previous ones
  - ▶ Reason about higher-order type functions, types, and kinds as necessary
- What cannot be achieved with these tools:
  - Automatically derive type class instances for polynomial data types
    - ★ see The guide to "shapeless", chapter 3
  - Derive a recursive type generically from an arbitrary type function
    - ★ Given a type function  $F[_]$ , define a recursive type R via R = F[R]
    - ★ This R will be a function of F; denote that type function by Y[F[\_]]
    - ★ This Y must be defined by a type equation like this,

```
type Y[F[_]] = F[Y[F]] // does not compile ("cyclic type")
```

- Automatically derive type class instances for such recursive types
  - ★ That requires type-level recursion (type-level fixpoints), see matryoshka
- This and other advanced topics are found in this blog post from 2010