Logic programming and types: An introduction to Mercury

Sergei Winitzki

SF Types, Theorems, and Programming Languages meetup

April 18, 2016

Logic programming as a DSL for logic puzzles (Prolog)

```
All jumping creatures are green. All small jumping creatures are martians.
All green martians are intelligent.
Ngtrks is small and green. Pgvdrk is a jumping martian.
Who is intelligent? (inpired by S. Lem, Invasion from Aldebaran)
    small(ngtrks). green(ngtrks).
    martian(pgvdrk). jumping(pgvdrk).
    green(X) :- jumping(X).
    martian(X) :- small(X), jumping(X).
    intelligent(X) :- green(X), martian(X).
```

?- intelligent(X).

- The runtime will perform a backtracking search for a proof
- Classical logic limited to Horn clauses = executable program

X = pgvdrk

Mercury in a nutshell: a Prolog dialect with static types

- Mercury borrows these from Prolog: logical variables, predicates, rules
- Syntax and semantics is very close to Prolog
- Data structures: list, queue, hash map
- Flexible mode system, type checking, mode and type inference
- Polymorphic types and type classes, type quantifiers
- Termination analysis for predicates
- Functions as a primitive, on par with predicates
- Higher-order functions and higher-order predicates
- Compilation to C, Java, Erlang, .NET
 - Interop and type-level compatibility with these environments

Modes, determinism, types, I/O

pred main(io::di, io::uo) is cc_multi.

- Predicate arguments must have types, modes, and determinism
- \bullet "Martians" requires a declaration of modes & determinism for main:

```
• Input/output: Special syntax !IO means a pair of io ("world") values
```

• Proof search may backgrack - the goal intelligent(X) may fail, so:

```
main(!I0) :- ( if intelligent(X) then write(X, !I0)
  else write_string("No solution", !I0) ).
```

- Determinism: det, semidet, multi, nondet, failure, cc_multi, cc_nondet
- Predefined modes: in, out, di, uo
 - ► A **mode** describes what happens to an LV during proof search:

```
:- mode out == (free >> ground).
:- mode uo == (free >> unique).
:- mode di == (unique >> dead).
```

User-defined modes are possible

Example: integer factorial

Implementation as a predicate:

```
:- pred fact(int::in, int::out) is det. fact(N,F) :- ( if N =< 1 then F = 1 else fact(N-1, A), F = A*N ).
```

Implementation as a function:

```
:- func fct(int) = int.
fct(N) = ( if N =< 1 then 1 else N*fct(N-1) ).</pre>
```

- The generated code is identical in both cases!
 - However, predicates are not "functions returning Boolean"
- Type/mode/deteterminism are inferred and statically checked
- Order of goals is inferred from modes rather than from code!

```
:- pred fact(int::in, int::out) is det.
fact(N,F) :- ( if N =< 1 then F = 1 else F = A*N, fact(N-1, A) ).</pre>
```

Functions and expressions

- Functions are deterministic predicates with "in-out" mode
- Expressions are syntactic sugar for goals involving functions
- Hence, the general syntax for functions: fname(Arg1, Arg2, ...) = Result :- goal, goal, ..., Result = ...
- "Lambda" predicate terms and function terms:

```
F1 = (pred(N::in, X::out) is det :- fact(N, X)).

F2 = fact . % same value as F1 by \eta-equivalence

F3 = (func(N) = X :- X = fct(N)).

F4 = fct. % same value as F3 by \eta-equivalence
```

Higher-order predicates:
 map(fact, [1,3,5], Res) will unify Res with [1,6,120]

Declaring higher-order predicate modes is verbose and complicated

Algebraic types and polymorphism

- Primitive types: char, int, float, string
 - ▶ No "symbol literals" as in Prolog
- Predicate types, function types
 - ► Type syntax: pred(int::in, int::out) and func(int) = int
- Tuples, unions

```
:- type mytype3 ---> point({int,int},string); ok(string); failed.
:- type list(T) ---> []; [ T | list(T) ]. % special syntax
:- type tree(T) ---> leaf; branch(tree(T), T, tree(T)).
```

Records with accessors

```
:- type employee ---> employee(name :: string, id :: int).
X = employee(...), if X ^ name = "myself" then ...
```

Example: "reversible computation"

Convert integers between unary encoding and native representation

```
:- type unary ---> z; s(unary). % z; s(z); s(s(z)); etc.
:- pred unary_int(unary, int).
:- mode unary_int(in, out). % is det.
:- mode unary_int(out, in). % is multi.
unary_int(C, N) :- (
   C = z, N = 0;
   C = s(B), N = M+1, unary_int(B, M)
% goals will be reordered here depending on mode!
).
```

- The same code can unify unary_int(s(s(z)), N) or unary_int(C, 3)
 - ▶ Determinism and mode of predicates is inferred from use!
 - Different code is compiled for each declared mode of unary_int(C, N)

Type classes à la Haskell

Existential types

Limitations of Mercury

- No higher-order type constructors (e.g. cannot define "traversable functor" parameterized by "applicative functor")
- No row polymorphism for records
- No partially instantiated values (e.g. difference lists)

Summary

- How to unite "logic programming" and "functional programming"
- Mercury = Prolog + modes + types
- Features of the Mercury programming language (online link)