Chapter 8: Applicative functors and profunctors Part 2: Their laws and structure

Sergei Winitzki

Academy by the Bay

2018-07-01

Deriving the ap operation from map2

Can we avoid having to define map_n separately for each n?

- Use curried arguments, fmap₂ : $(A \Rightarrow B \Rightarrow Z) \Rightarrow F^A \Rightarrow F^B \Rightarrow F^Z$
- Set $A \equiv (B \Rightarrow Z)$ and apply fmap₂ to the identity $id^{(B \Rightarrow Z) \Rightarrow (B \Rightarrow Z)}$: obtain $ap^{[B,Z]} : F^{B \Rightarrow Z} \Rightarrow F^B \Rightarrow F^Z \equiv fmap_2(id)$
- The functions fmap2 and ap are computationally equivalent:

$$\operatorname{fmap}_2 f^{A \Rightarrow B \Rightarrow Z} = \operatorname{fmap} f \circ \operatorname{ap}$$

$$F^{A} \xrightarrow{\text{fmap } f} F^{B \Rightarrow Z} \xrightarrow{\text{ap}} \left(F^{B} \Rightarrow F^{Z}\right)$$

• The functions fmap3, fmap4 etc. can be defined similarly:

$$\operatorname{fmap}_3 f^{A\Rightarrow B\Rightarrow C\Rightarrow Z} = \operatorname{fmap} f \circ \operatorname{ap} \circ \operatorname{fmap}_{F^B\Rightarrow ?} \operatorname{ap}$$

$$F^{B\Rightarrow C\Rightarrow Z} \xrightarrow{\operatorname{ap}^{[B,C\Rightarrow Z]}} (F^{B}\Rightarrow F^{C\Rightarrow Z}) \xrightarrow{\operatorname{fmap}_{F^{B}\Rightarrow ?} \operatorname{ap}^{[C,Z]}} (F^{B}\Rightarrow F^{C}\Rightarrow F^{Z})$$

- Using the infix syntax will get rid of fmap_{FB→7}ap (see example code)
 Note the pattern: a natural transformation is equivalent to a lifting
 - · Note the pe

Sergei Winitzki (ABTB)

Deriving the zip operation from map2

- Note: Function types $A \Rightarrow B \Rightarrow C$ and $A \times B \Rightarrow C$ are equivalent
- Uncurry fmap₂ to fmap₂ : $(A \times B \Rightarrow C) \Rightarrow F^A \times F^B \Rightarrow F^C$
- Compute fmap2 (f) with $f = id^{A \times B \Rightarrow A \times B}$, expecting to obtain a simpler natural transformation:

$$zip: F^A \times F^B \Rightarrow F^{A \times B}$$

- This is quite similar to zip for lists:
 List(1, 2).zip(List(10, 20)) = List((1, 10), (2, 20))
- The functions zip and fmap2 are computationally equivalent:

$$zip = fmap2 (id)$$

$$fmap2 (f^{A \times B \Rightarrow C}) = zip \circ fmap f$$

$$F^{A \times B} \xrightarrow{fmap f^{A \times B \Rightarrow C}} F^{C}$$

$$fmap2 (f^{A \times B \Rightarrow C})$$

- The functor F is **zippable** if such a **zip** exists (with appropriate laws)
 - ▶ The same pattern: a natural transformation is equivalent to a lifting

* Equivalence of the operations ap and zip

- Set $A \equiv B \Rightarrow C$, get $zip^{[B \Rightarrow C,B]} : F^{B \Rightarrow C} \times F^{B} \Rightarrow F^{(B \Rightarrow C) \times B}$
- Use eval : $(B \Rightarrow C) \times B \Rightarrow C$ and fmap (eval) : $F^{(B \Rightarrow C) \times B} \Rightarrow F^C$
- Uncurry: ${}_{\mathrm{app}}{}^{[B,C]}:F^{B\Rightarrow C}\times F^{B}\Rightarrow F^{C}\equiv {}_{\mathrm{zip}}\circ {}_{\mathrm{fmap}}$ (eval)
- The functions zip and app are computationally equivalent:
 - use pair : $(A \Rightarrow B \Rightarrow A \times B) = a^A \Rightarrow b^B \Rightarrow a \times b$
 - ▶ use fmap (pair) \equiv pair[†] on an fa^{F^A} , get (pair[†]fa) : $F^{B\Rightarrow A\times B}$; then

$$zip(fa \times fb) = app(pair^{\uparrow}fa) \times fb)$$
 $app^{[B \Rightarrow C,B]} = zip^{[B \Rightarrow C,B]} \circ fmap(eval)$

$$F^{B\Rightarrow C} \times F^{B} \xrightarrow{\text{zip}} F^{(B\Rightarrow C)\times B} \xrightarrow{\text{fmap(eval)}} F^{C}$$

- Rewrite this using curried arguments: $fzip^{[A,B]}: F^A \Rightarrow F^B \Rightarrow F^{A\times B};$ $ap^{[B,C]}: F^{B\Rightarrow C} \Rightarrow F^B \Rightarrow F^C;$ then $ap f = fzip f \circ fmap (eval).$
- Now fzip $p^{F^A}q^{F^B} = ap \left(pair^{\uparrow}p\right)q$, hence we may omit the argument q: fzip = pair $^{\uparrow} \circ ap$. With explicit types: fzip $^{[A,B]} = pair^{\uparrow} \circ ap^{[B,A\Rightarrow B]}$.

Motivation for applicative laws. Naturality laws for map2

Treat map2 as a replacement for a monadic block with independent effects:

Main idea: Formulate the monad laws in terms of map2 and pure

Naturality laws: Manipulate data in one of the containers

```
\begin{array}{lll} \text{for } \{ & & \text{for } \{ \\ & x \leftarrow \text{cont1.map(f)} & & x \leftarrow \text{cont1} \\ & y \leftarrow \text{cont2} & & y \leftarrow \text{cont2} \\ \} \; \text{yield } g(x, \; y) & & \} \; \text{yield } g(f(x), \; y) \end{array}
```

and similarly for cont2 instead of cont1; now rewrite in terms of for map2:

• Left naturality for map2:

```
 map2(cont1.map(f), cont2)(g) 
= map2(cont1, cont2){ (x, y) \Rightarrow g(f(x), y) }
```

• Right naturality for map2:

```
 map2(cont1, cont2.map(f))(g) 
= map2(cont1, cont2){ (x, y) \Rightarrow g(x, f(y)) }
```

Associativity and identity laws for map2

Inline two generators out of three, in two different ways:

Write this in terms of map2 to obtain the associativity law for map2:

```
\begin{split} & \text{map2}(\text{cont1}, \ \text{map2}(\text{cont2}, \ \text{cont3})((\_,\_)) \{ \ \text{case}(x,(y,z)) \Rightarrow & g(x,y,z) \} \\ & = \text{map2}(\text{map2}(\text{cont1}, \ \text{cont2})((\_,\_)), \ \text{cont3}) \{ \ \text{case}((x,y),z)) \Rightarrow & g(x,y,z) \} \end{split}
```

Empty context preceds a generator, or follows a generator:

```
\begin{array}{lll} \text{for } \{ \ x \leftarrow \text{pure(a)} & \text{for } \{ \\ & y \leftarrow \text{cont} & y \leftarrow \text{cont} \\ \} \ \text{yield } g(x, \ y) & \} \ \text{yield } g(a, \ y) \end{array}
```

Write this in terms of map2 to obtain the identity laws for map2 and pure:

```
map2(pure(a), cont)(g) = cont.map { y \Rightarrow g(a, y) } map2(cont, pure(b))(g) = cont.map { x \Rightarrow g(x, b) }
```

Deriving the laws for zip: naturality law

• The laws for map2 in a short notation; here $f \otimes g \equiv \{a \times b \Rightarrow f(a) \times g(b)\}$

$$\begin{split} \mathsf{fmap2}\left(g^{A\times B\Rightarrow \mathcal{C}}\right)\left(f^{\uparrow}q_{1}\times q_{2}\right) &= \mathsf{fmap2}\left(\left(f\otimes\mathsf{id}\right)\circ g\right)\left(q_{1}\times q_{2}\right) \\ \mathsf{fmap2}\left(g^{A\times B\Rightarrow \mathcal{C}}\right)\left(q_{1}\times f^{\uparrow}q_{2}\right) &= \mathsf{fmap2}\left(\left(\mathsf{id}\otimes f\right)\circ g\right)\left(q_{1}\times q_{2}\right) \\ \mathsf{fmap2}\left(g_{1.23}\right)\left(q_{1}\times \mathsf{fmap2}\left(\mathsf{id}\right)\left(q_{2}\times q_{3}\right)\right) &= \mathsf{fmap2}\left(g_{12.3}\right)\left(\mathsf{fmap2}\left(\mathsf{id}\right)\left(q_{1}\times q_{2}\right)\times q_{3}\right) \\ \mathsf{fmap2}\left(g^{A\times B\Rightarrow \mathcal{C}}\right)\left(\mathsf{pure}\, a^{A}\times q_{2}^{F^{B}}\right) &= \left(b\Rightarrow g\left(a\times b\right)\right)^{\uparrow}\,q_{2} \\ \mathsf{fmap2}\left(g^{A\times B\Rightarrow \mathcal{C}}\right)\left(q_{1}^{F^{A}}\times \mathsf{pure}\, b^{B}\right) &= \left(a\Rightarrow g\left(a\times b\right)\right)^{\uparrow}\,q_{1} \end{split}$$

Express map2 through zip:

$$\mathsf{fmap}_2 \, g^{A \times B \Rightarrow \mathcal{C}} \left(q_1^{F^A} \times q_2^{F^B} \right) \equiv \left(\mathsf{zip} \circ g^{\uparrow} \right) \left(q_1 \times q_2 \right)$$
 $\mathsf{fmap}_2 \, g^{A \times B \Rightarrow \mathcal{C}} \equiv \mathsf{zip} \circ g^{\uparrow}$

• Combine the two naturality laws into one by using two functions f_1 , f_2 :

$$egin{aligned} \left(f_1^{\uparrow}\otimes f_2^{\uparrow}
ight)\circ \mathsf{fmap2}\,g &= \mathsf{fmap2}\left(\left(f_1\otimes f_2
ight)^{\uparrow}\circ g
ight) \ \left(f_1^{\uparrow}\otimes f_2^{\uparrow}
ight)\circ \mathsf{zip}\circ g^{\uparrow} &= \mathsf{zip}\circ \left(f_1\otimes f_2
ight)^{\uparrow}\circ g^{\uparrow} \end{aligned}$$

• The naturality law for zip then becomes: $(f_1^{\uparrow} \otimes f_2^{\uparrow}) \circ zip = zip \circ (f_1 \otimes f_2)^{\uparrow}$

Deriving the laws for zip: associativity law

Express map2 through zip and substitute into the associativity law:

$$g_{1.23}^{\uparrow}\left(\operatorname{zip}\left(q_{1}\times\operatorname{zip}\left(q_{2}\times q_{3}\right)\right)\right)=g_{12.3}^{\uparrow}\left(\operatorname{zip}\left(\operatorname{zip}\left(q_{1}\times q_{2}\right)\times q_{3}\right)\right)$$

 \bullet The arbitrary function g is preceded by transformations of the tuples,

$$a \times (b \times c) \equiv (a \times b) \times c$$
 (type isomorphism)

 Assume that the isomorphism transformations are applied as needed, then we may formulate the associativity law for zip more concisely:

$$\mathsf{zip}\left(q_1\times\mathsf{zip}\left(q_2\times q_3\right)\right)\cong\mathsf{zip}\left(\mathsf{zip}\left(q_1\times q_2\right)\times q_3\right)$$



Deriving the laws for zip: identity laws

Identity laws seem to be complicated, e.g. the left identity:

$$g^{\uparrow}(zip(pure a \times q)) = (b \Rightarrow g(a \times b))^{\uparrow}q$$

Replace pure by a simpler "wrapped unit" method unit: F[Unit]

$$\mathsf{unit}^{F^1} \equiv \mathsf{pure}(1); \quad \mathsf{pure}(a^A) = (1 \Rightarrow a)^{\uparrow} \, \mathsf{unit}$$

Then the left identity law can be simplified using left naturality:

$$g^{\uparrow}\left(\mathsf{zip}\left(\left((1\Rightarrow \mathsf{a})^{\uparrow}\,\mathsf{unit}\right)\times q\right)\right)=g^{\uparrow}\left(\left((1\Rightarrow \mathsf{a})\times\mathsf{id}\right)^{\uparrow}\,\mathsf{zip}\,(\mathsf{unit}\times q)\right)$$

• Denote $\phi^{B\Rightarrow 1\times B}\equiv b\Rightarrow 1\times b$ and $\beta_a^{1\times B\Rightarrow A\times B}\equiv (1\Rightarrow a)\times id$; then the function $b\Rightarrow g$ $(a\times b)$ can be expressed more simply as $\phi\circ\beta_a\circ g$, and the naturality law becomes

$$g^{\uparrow}(\beta_a^{\uparrow} \operatorname{zip}(\operatorname{unit} \times q)) = (\beta_a \circ g)^{\uparrow}(\operatorname{zip}(\operatorname{unit} \times q)) = (\phi \circ \beta_a \circ g)^{\uparrow} q = (\beta_a \circ g)^{\uparrow}(\phi^{\uparrow}q)$$

Omitting the common prefix $(\beta_a \circ g)^{\uparrow}$, we obtain the **left identity** law:

$$\mathsf{zip}\,(\mathsf{unit}\times q)=\phi^\uparrow q$$

- Note that ϕ^{\uparrow} is an isomorphism between F^B and $F^{1\times B}$
- Assume that this isomorphism is applied as needed, then we may write

$$\mathsf{zip}\,(\mathsf{unit}\times q)\cong q$$

Similarity between applicative laws and monoid laws

- Use infix syntax for ${ t zip}$ and write ${ t zip}\,(p imes q) \equiv p \bowtie q$
- Then the associativity and identity laws may be written as

$$q_1 \bowtie (q_2 \bowtie q_3) \cong (q_1 \bowtie q_2) \bowtie q_3$$

 $(\mathsf{unit} \bowtie q) \cong q$
 $(q \bowtie \mathsf{unit}) \cong q$

These are the laws of a monoid (with some assumed transformations)

Naturality law for zip written in the infix syntax:

$$f_1^{\uparrow}q_1\bowtie f_2^{\uparrow}q_2=(f_1\otimes f_2)^{\uparrow}\left(q_1\bowtie q_2\right)$$

- unit has no laws; the naturality for pure follows automatically
- The laws are simplest when formulated in terms of zip and unit
 - Naturality for zip will usually follow from parametricity
 - ★ A third naturality law for map2 follows from defining map2 through zip!
- "Zippable" functors have only the associativity and naturality laws
- Applicative functors are a strict subset of monadic functors
 - ▶ There are applicative functors that cannot be monads
 - Applicative functor implementation may disagree with the monad

A third naturality law for map2

Transform the result of a map2:

Write this in terms of map2, obtain a third naturality law:

```
\begin{split} & \max(cont1, \ cont2)(g) . \max(f) \\ &= \max(cont1, \ cont2)(g \ and Then \ f) \\ &\qquad \qquad f \max(g) \circ f^{\uparrow} = \max(g \circ f) \end{split}
```

This law automatically follows if we define map2 through zip:

$$\mathsf{fmap2}\left(g\right)\circ f^{\uparrow}=\mathsf{zip}\circ g^{\uparrow}\circ f^{\uparrow}=\mathsf{zip}\circ \left(g\circ f\right)^{\uparrow}$$

Applicative operation ap as a "lifting"

- Consider ap as a "lifting", of type $F^{A\Rightarrow B} \Rightarrow (F^A \Rightarrow F^B)$
- A "lifting" should obey the identity and the composition laws
 - An "identity" value of type $F^{A\Rightarrow A}$, mapped to $id^{F^A\Rightarrow F^A}$ by ap **★** A good candidate for that value is $id_{\odot} \equiv pure(id^{A\Rightarrow A})$
 - ▶ A "composition" of an $F^{A\Rightarrow B}$ and an $F^{B\Rightarrow C}$, yielding an $F^{A\Rightarrow C}$
 - ***** We can use map2 to implement this composition, denoted $g \odot h$:

$$g^{F^{A\Rightarrow B}}\odot h^{F^{B\Rightarrow C}}\equiv \mathrm{map}_{2}\left(g,h\right)\left(p^{A\Rightarrow B},q^{B\Rightarrow C}\Rightarrow p\circ q\right)$$

• What are the laws that follow for $g \odot h$ from the map2 laws?

$$\begin{split} \mathrm{id}_{\odot}\odot h &= h; \quad g\odot\mathrm{id}_{\odot} = g \\ g^{F^{A\Rightarrow B}}\odot (h^{F^{B\Rightarrow C}}\odot k^{F^{C\Rightarrow D}}) &= (g\odot h)\odot k \\ \left((x^{B\Rightarrow C}\Rightarrow\phi^{A\Rightarrow B}\circ x)^{\uparrow}g^{F^{B\Rightarrow C}}\right)\odot h^{F^{C\Rightarrow D}} &= (x^{B\Rightarrow D}\Rightarrow\phi^{A\Rightarrow B}\circ x)^{\uparrow}(g\odot h) \\ g^{F^{A\Rightarrow B}}\odot \left((x^{B\Rightarrow C}\Rightarrow x\circ\phi^{C\Rightarrow D})^{\uparrow}h^{F^{B\Rightarrow C}}\right) &= (x^{A\Rightarrow C}\Rightarrow x\circ\phi^{C\Rightarrow D})^{\uparrow}(g\odot h) \end{split}$$

- ► The first 3 laws are the identity & associativity laws of a *category* ★ The morphism type is $A \rightsquigarrow B \equiv F^{A \Rightarrow B}$, the composition is \odot
- ► The last 2 laws are naturality laws, connecting fmap and ⊙
- Therefore ap is a functor's lifting between categories Chapter 8: Applicative functors

12 / 19

Deriving the category laws for (id_{\odot}, \odot)

• Consider the third naturality law for map2:

Deriving the functor laws for ap

• Identity law:

$$\mathsf{ap}\left(\mathsf{id}_{\odot}\right)=\mathsf{id}^{\mathit{F}^{\mathit{A}}\Rightarrow\mathit{F}^{\mathit{A}}}$$

• Composition law:

$$ap(g \odot h) = ap(g) \circ ap(h)$$

Constructions of applicative functors

- All monadic constructions still hold for applicative functors
- Additionally, there are some non-monadic constructions
- $F^A \equiv 1$ (constant functor) and $F^A \equiv A$ (identity functor)
- ② $F^A \equiv G^A \times H^A$ for any applicative G^A and H^A • but $G^A + H^A$ is in general *not* applicative
- **3** $F^A \equiv A + G^A$ for any applicative G^A (free pointed over G)
- $F^A \equiv A + G^{F^A}$ (recursive) for any functor G^A (free monad over G)
- **5** $F^A \equiv H^A \Rightarrow A$ for any contrafunctor H^A Constructions that are not monadic:
- $m{O} \ F^A \equiv Z + G^A \ ext{for any applicative} \ G^A \ ext{and monoid} \ Z$
- **3** $F^A \equiv G^{H^A}$ when both G and H are applicative

All non-parameterized exp-poly types are monoids

- Using known monoid constructions (Chapter 7), we can implement X + Y, $X \times Y$, $X \Rightarrow Y$ as monoids when X and Y are monoids
- All primitive types have at least one monoid instance:
 - ▶ Int, Float, Double, Char, Boolean are "numeric" monoids
 - ► Seq[A], Set[A], Map[K,V] are set-like monoids
 - String is equivalent to a sequence of integers; Unit is a trivial monoid
- Therefore, all exponential-polynomial types without type parameters are monoids in at least one way
- Example of an exponential-polynomial type without type parameters: $Int + String \times String \times (Int \Rightarrow Bool) + (Bool \times String \Rightarrow 1 + String)$
- Example of a type with parameters, which is not a monoid: $A \Rightarrow B$

By constructions 1, 3, and 7, all polynomial F^A with monoidal parameters are applicative: write $F^A = Z_1 + A \times (Z_2 + A \times ...)$ with some monoids Z_i

- $F^A = 1 + A \times A$ (this F^A is not a monad!)
- $F^A = A + A \times A \times Z$ where Z is a monoid (this F^A is a monad)

Examples of non-polynomial functors that are not applicative:

•
$$F^A \equiv (A \Rightarrow R) \Rightarrow S$$
; $F^A \equiv (R \Rightarrow A) + (S \Rightarrow A)$

Definition and constructions of applicative contrafunctors

- The applicative functor laws, if formulated via zip and unit, do not use map and therefore can be used for contrafunctors
- Define an applicative contrafunctor C^A as having zip and unit:

$$zip: C^A \times C^B \Rightarrow C^{A \times B}; \quad unit: C^1$$

- Identity and associativity laws must hold for zip and unit
 - Note: applying contramap to the function $a \times b \Rightarrow a$ will yield some $C^A \Rightarrow C^{A \times B}$, but this will not give a valid implementation of zip!
- Naturality must hold for zip, but with contramap instead of map

Applicative contrafunctor constructions:

- $C^A \equiv Z$ (constant functor, Z a monoid)
- ② $C^A \equiv G^A \times H^A$ for any applicative contrafunctors G^A and H^A
- **3** $C^A \equiv G^A + H^A$ for any applicative contrafunctors G^A and H^A
- $C^A \equiv H^A \Rightarrow G^A$ for any functor H^A and applicative contrafunctor G^A
- **5** $C^A \equiv H^{G^A}$ for any functor H^A and applicative contrafunctor G^A
- All exponential-polynomial contrafunctors with monoidal parameters are applicative! (These constructions cover all exp-poly cases.)

Definition and constructions of applicative profunctors

- Profunctors have the type parameter in both covariant and contravariant positions; they are neither functors nor contrafunctors
- Examples of profunctors: $P^A \equiv \operatorname{Int} \times A \Rightarrow A$; $P^A \equiv A + (A \Rightarrow R)$
- All exp-poly type constructors are profunctors since the type parameter is always in either a covariant or a contravariant position
- Definition of applicative profunctor: has zip and unit with the laws

Applicative profunctors have all previous constructions, and additionally:

- ② $P^A \equiv Z + G^A$ for any applicative profunctor G^A and monoid Z
- $P^A \equiv A + G^A for any applicative profunctor G^A$
- **o** $P^A \equiv G^A + H^{G^A}$ for any functor H^A and applicative profunctor G^A
- $P^A \equiv H^A \Rightarrow A \text{ for any profunctor } H^A$
- $P^A \equiv H^{G^A}$ and G^{H^A} for any functor H^A and applicative profunctor G^A Examples of non-applicative profunctors:

•
$$P^A \equiv (A \Rightarrow A) + (R \Rightarrow A)$$
; $P^A \equiv (A \Rightarrow A) \Rightarrow 1 + A$

Exercises

- Show that pure will be automatically a natural transformation when it is defined using unit as shown.
- ② Show that $F^A \equiv (A \Rightarrow Z) \Rightarrow (1 + A)$ is a functor but not applicative.
- **3** Show that P^S is a monoid if S is a monoid and P is any applicative functor, contrafunctor, or profunctor.
- Use naturality of pure to show that pure $f \odot \text{pure } g = \text{pure } (f \odot g)$