# Chapter 6: Computations lifted to a functor context I Filterable functors, their laws and structure

Sergei Winitzki

Academy by the Bay

January 29, 2018

## Computations within a functor context

Example:

$$\sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}; \ 0 \le x \le 100; \ \cos x > 0} \cos^3 x \approx 21.8$$

Scala code:

```
(0 to 100).map(math.cos(_)).filter(_ > 0).map(math.pow(_, 3)).sum
```

Using Scala's for/yield syntax ("functor block", "for comprehension")

- "Functor block" is a syntax for manipulating data within a container
  - ► Container must be a functor (has map such that the laws hold)
- A filterable functor is a functor that has a withFilter method
- Functor block works if have with Filter(p:  $A \Rightarrow Boolean$ ):  $F[A] \Rightarrow F[A]$ 
  - What are the required laws for withFilter?
  - What data types are filterable functors?

#### Filterable functors: Intuitions I

Intuition: the filter call may decrease the number of data items held

• a filterable container can hold more or fewer data items of type T

## Examples:

- Option[T]  $\equiv 1 + T$ 
  - ► Some(123).filter(\_ > 0) returns Some(123)
  - ► Some(123).filter(\_ == 1) returns None
  - ► Some(123).withFilter(\_ == 1).map(identity) returns None
- List[T]  $\equiv 1 + T + T \times T + T \times T \times T + ...$ 
  - ► List(10, 20, 30).filter(\_ > 10) returns List(20, 30)
  - ► List(10, 20, 30).filter(\_ == 1) returns List()

#### What we learn from these examples:

- The data type must contain a disjunction having different counts of T
- When the predicate p returns false on some T values, the remaining data goes to a part of the disjunction that has fewer T values
- Values x are algebraically replaced by 1 (a Unit) when p(x) = false
- The container can become "empty" as a result of filtering

### Examples of filterable functors I

- Consider these business requirements:
  - ► An order can be placed on Tuesday and/or on Friday
  - ► An order is approved if requested amount is less than \$1,000, etc.

```
final case class Orders[A](tue: Option[A], fri: Option[A]) {
  def withFilter(p: A ⇒ Boolean): Orders[A] =
    Orders(tue.filter(p), fri.filter(p))
}
Orders(Some(500), Some(2000)).withFilter(_ < 1000)
// returns Orders(Some(500), None)</pre>
```

- The functor type is  $F^A = (1 + A) \times (1 + A)$ 
  - ▶ When a value does not pass the filter, the A is replaced by 1
- Filtering is applied to both parts of the product type independently
- What if additional business requirements were given:
  - (a) both orders must be approved, or else no orders can be placed or
  - ▶ (b) both orders can be placed if at least one of them is approved
- Does this still make sense as "filtering"?
  - Need mathematical laws to decide this

#### Filterable functors: Intuitions II

- Intuition: computations in the functor block should "make sense"
  - we should be able to reason correctly by looking at the program text
- A schematic example of a functor block program using map and filter:

```
for { // computations lifted to the List functor
  x ← List(...) // the first line has "←", other lines do not
  y = f(x) // will become a "map(f)" after compilation
  if p1(y) // will become a "withFilter(p1)"
  if p2(y)
  z = g(x, y)
  if q(x, y, z)
} yield // for all x in list, such that conditions hold, compute this:
  k(x, y, z)
```

- What we intuitively expect to be true about such programs:
  - ① y = f(x); if p(y); is equivalent to if p(f(x)); y = f(x);
  - 2 if p1(y); if p2(y); is equivalent to if p1(y) && p2(y)
  - When a filter predicate p(x) returns true for all x, we can delete the line "if p(x)" from the program with no change to the results
  - When a filter predicate p(x) returns false for some x then we must exclude that x from computations performed after "if p(x)"

# Examples of filterable functors I: Checking the laws

- Properties 1 4 are expressed as laws for filter  $(p\Rightarrow Boolean)\Rightarrow F^A\Rightarrow F^A$ :

  - ② filter  $p_1^{A\Rightarrow \mathsf{Boolean}} \circ \mathsf{filter} \ p_2^{A\Rightarrow \mathsf{Boolean}} = \mathsf{filter} \ (x \Rightarrow p_1(x) \land p_2(x))$
  - 3 filter  $(x^A \Rightarrow \text{true}) = \text{id}$  where the identity is of type  $F^A \Rightarrow F^A$
  - filter  $p \circ \text{fmap } f^{A \Rightarrow B} = \text{filter } p \circ \text{fmap } (f_{|p}) \text{ where } f_{|p} \text{ is the partial function defined as } x \Rightarrow \text{if } (p(x)) \text{ f(x) else } ???}$
- Check the laws for Example I
  - "Orders" example with / without business rule (a) laws hold
  - see example code
- Examples of functors that are *not* filterable:
  - ▶  $F^A$  defining filter in a special way for A = Int (breaks law 1)
  - "Orders" with additional business rule (b) breaks law 2 for some  $p_{1,2}$
  - $F^A = 1 + A$  defining filter  $(p)(x) \equiv 1 + 0$  breaks law 3
  - ►  $F^A \equiv A$  must define filter  $(p^{A \Rightarrow Boolean})(x^A) = x$ , breaking law 4
  - ▶  $F^A \equiv A \times (1 + A)$  unable to remove the first A, breaking law 4
- Can define a type class Filterable, method withFilter

The mathematical laws specify rigorously what it means to "filter data"!

## Worked examples I: Programming with filterables

- John can have up to 3 coupons, and Jill up to 2. All of John's coupons must be valid on purchase day, while each of Jill's coupons is checked independently. Implement the filterable functor describing this setup.
- ② A server receives a sequence of requests. Each request must be authenticated. Once a non-authenticated request is found, no further requests are accepted. Is this setup described by a filterable functor?

For each of these functors, determine whether they are filterable, and if so, implement withFilter via a type class:

- final case class P[T](first: Option[T], second: Option[(T, T)])
- **3**  $F^A = \text{NonEmptyList}^A$  defined recursively as  $F^A = A + A \times F^A$
- $F^{Z,A} = Z + Int \times Z \times A \times A$  (with respect to the type parameter A)
- $F^{Z,A} = 1 + Z + Int \times Z \times A \times A$  (w.r.t. the type parameter A)
- **Show** that  $C^A = A \Rightarrow$  Int is a filterable contrafunctor (implement withFilter with the same type signature)

#### Exercises I

- Onfucius gave wisdom on each of the 7 days of the week. Sometimes the wise proverbs are hard to remember. If Confucius forgets what he said on a given day, he also forgets what he said on all the previous days of the week. Is this setup described by a filterable functor?
- Define evenFilter(p) on an IndexedSeq[T] such that a value x: T is retained if p(x)=true and only if the sequence has an even number of elements y for which p(y)=false. Does this define a filterable functor?

Implement filter for these functors if possible (law checking optional):

- $F^A = Int + String \times A \times A \times A$
- final case class Q[A, Z](id: Long, user1: Option[(A, Z)], user2:
   Option[(A, Z)]) with respect to the type parameter A
- **5**  $F^A = \text{MyTree}^A$  defined recursively as  $F^A = 1 + A \times F^A \times F^A$
- final case class R[A](x: Int, y: Int, z: A, data: List[A]), where the standard functor List already has withFilter defined
- Show that  $C^A = (\operatorname{Int} \Rightarrow A) \Rightarrow \operatorname{Int}$  is a filterable contrafunctor

### Filterable functors: The laws in depth I

Is there a more elegant formulation of the laws, easier to understand?

- Main intuition: When p(x) = false, replace x: A by 1: Unit in F[A]
  - ▶ (1) How to replace x by 1 in F[A] without breaking the types?
  - ▶ (2) How to transform the resulting type back to F[A]?
  - ▶ We could do (1) if instead of the type F[A] we had F[Option[A]]
    - \* Map  $F^A$  to  $F^{1+A}$  using fmap (Some  $A\Rightarrow 1+A$ ):  $F^A\Rightarrow F^{1+A}$
    - ★ Now we can replace A by 1 in each item of type 1 + A
  - ▶ Doing (2) means defining a function flatten:  $F[Option[A]] \Rightarrow F[A]$ 
    - $\star$  standard library has flatten[T]: Seq[Option[T]]  $\Rightarrow$  Seq[T]
  - Express filter through flatten (see example code):
    - ★ Note: the Boolean type is isomorphic to 1+1 or Option[Unit]
    - ★ filter  $(p) = \text{fmap}(\text{optB}(p)) \circ \text{flatten}$ , where we defined optB as

```
def optB[T](p: T \Rightarrow Option[Unit]): T \Rightarrow Option[T] = x \Rightarrow p(x).map(\_ \Rightarrow x)
```

Express flatten through filter (using law 4):

```
def flatten[F[_],T](c: F[Option[T]]): F[T] =
   c.filter(_.nonEmpty).map(_.get)
// for F = Seq, this would be c.collect { case Some(x) \Rightarrow x }
```

Law 4 is satisfied automatically if filter is defined via flatten!

# \* Filterable functors: The laws in depth II

Showing that law 4 is satisfied automatically if filter is defined via flatten

- Denote  $\psi^{A\Rightarrow 1+A} \equiv \text{optB}(p^{A\Rightarrow 1+1}) = x^A \Rightarrow \text{fmap}^{Opt}(\Rightarrow x)(p(x))$ ► Have property:  $f^{T \Rightarrow A} \circ \text{optB}(p^{A \Rightarrow 1+1}) = \text{optB}(f \circ p) \circ \text{fmap}^{Opt} f$
- Law 4: fmap  $\psi \circ$  flatten<sup>F,T</sup>  $\circ$  fmap  $f^{T \Rightarrow A} =$  fmap  $\psi \circ$  flatten<sup>F,T</sup>  $\circ$  fmap  $f_{ln}$ 
  - ▶ We would like to interchange flatten and fmap here. Use Law 1?
- Reformulate Law 1 in terms of flatten:

$$\begin{split} \operatorname{fmap} f^{T\Rightarrow A} \circ \operatorname{fmap} \psi \circ \operatorname{flatten}^{F,A} &= \operatorname{filter} (f \circ p) \circ \operatorname{fmap} f \\ \operatorname{fmap} (f^{T\Rightarrow A} \circ \operatorname{optB}(p^{A\Rightarrow 1+A})) \circ \operatorname{flatten}^{F,A} &= \operatorname{fmap} (\operatorname{optB} (f \circ p)) \circ \operatorname{flatten}^{F,T} \circ \operatorname{fmap} f \\ \operatorname{fmap}^F (\operatorname{optB} (f \circ p)) \circ \operatorname{fmap}^F (\operatorname{fmap}^{\operatorname{Opt}} f) &= \operatorname{fmap}^F (\operatorname{optB} (f \circ p) \circ \operatorname{fmap}^{\operatorname{Opt}} f) \\ & \qquad \qquad [\operatorname{remove\ common\ prefix\ fmap\ } (\operatorname{optB} (f \circ p)) \circ \dots \text{\ from\ both\ sides}] \\ \operatorname{fmap\ } (\operatorname{fmap\ }^{\operatorname{Opt}} f^{T\Rightarrow A}) \circ \operatorname{flatten\ }^{F,A} &= \operatorname{flatten\ }^{F,T} \circ \operatorname{fmap\ } f \quad - \text{\ law\ } \mathbf{1} \text{\ for\ flatten\ } \end{split}$$

• We can now interchange flatten and fmap in flatten<sup>F</sup>, I o fmap  $f_{|n}^{T \Rightarrow A}$ :

$$\begin{split} \mathsf{fmap}\,\psi \circ \mathsf{flatten}^{F,T} \circ \mathsf{fmap}\,f_{|_P} &= \mathsf{fmap}\,\psi \circ \mathsf{fmap}\,(\mathsf{fmap}^{\mathsf{Opt}}f_{|_P}) \circ \mathsf{flatten}^{F,A} \\ &= \mathsf{fmap}\,(\psi \circ \mathsf{fmap}^{\mathsf{Opt}}f) \circ \mathsf{flatten}^{F,A} = \mathsf{fmap}\,(\psi \circ \mathsf{fmap}^{\mathsf{Opt}}f_{|_P}) \circ \mathsf{flatten}^{F,A} \\ &\quad \psi \circ \mathsf{fmap}^{\mathsf{Opt}}f &= \psi \circ \mathsf{fmap}^{\mathsf{Opt}}f_{|_P} \quad - \ \mathsf{check} \ \mathsf{this} \ \mathsf{by} \ \mathsf{hand} \end{split}$$

Chapter 6: Functor-lifted computations I

## Filterable functors: The laws in depth III

Maybe fmap o flatten is easier to handle than flatten? Let us define

$$\mathsf{fmapOpt}^{F,A,B}(f^{A\Rightarrow 1+B}): (A\Rightarrow 1+B)\Rightarrow F^A\Rightarrow F^B=\mathsf{fmap}\,f\circ\mathsf{flatten}^{F,B}$$

- fmapOpt and flatten are equivalent: flatten<sup>F,A</sup> = fmapOpt<sup>F,1+A,A</sup>(id<sup> $1+A\Rightarrow 1+A$ </sup>)
- Express laws 1 3 in terms of fmapOpt and  $\psi^{A\Rightarrow 1+A} \equiv \text{optB}(p)$ 
  - Express filter through fmapOpt: filter  $(p) = \text{fmapOpt}^{F,A,A}(\psi)$
  - Consider the expression needed for law 2:  $x \Rightarrow p_1(x)$  and  $p_2(x)$ 
    - \* Written in terms of  $\psi_1$  and  $\psi_2$ , this is  $x^A \Rightarrow \psi_1(x)$ .flatMap  $(\psi_2)$
  - ▶ Similar to composition of functions, except the types are  $A \Rightarrow 1 + B$ 
    - **★** This is a particular case of **Kleisli composition**; the general case:  $\diamond_M : (A \Rightarrow M^B) \Rightarrow (B \Rightarrow M^C) \Rightarrow (A \Rightarrow M^C)$ ; we set  $M^A \equiv 1 + A$
    - **★** The **Kleisli identity** function:  $id_{\bigcirc \mathbf{Opt}}^{A\Rightarrow 1+A} \equiv x^A \Rightarrow \mathsf{Some}(x)$
    - ★ Kleisli composition is associative and respects the Kleisli identity!
- fmapOpt lifts a Kleisli function  $f^{A\Rightarrow 1+B}$  into the functor F
- Only two laws are necessary for fmapOpt!
  - 1 Identity law (covers old law 3): fmapOpt  $(id_{\diamond opt}^{A\Rightarrow 1+A}) = id^{F^A\Rightarrow F^A}$
  - **2 Composition law** (covers old laws 1 and 2): fmapOpt  $(f^{A\Rightarrow 1+B}) \circ \text{fmapOpt} (g^{B\Rightarrow 1+C}) = \text{fmapOpt} (f \diamond_{\mathbf{Opt}} g)$ 
    - ► The two laws for fmapOpt are very similar to the two functor laws

# \* Filterable functors: The laws in depth IV

Showing that old laws 1-3 follow from the identity and composition laws for fmapOpt

• Old law 3 is *equivalent* to the identity law for fmapOpt:

$$\mathsf{filter}(x^A \Rightarrow 0+1) = \mathsf{fmap}(x^A \Rightarrow 0+x) \circ \mathsf{flatten} = \mathsf{fmapOpt}(\mathsf{id}_{\diamond_{\mathbf{Opt}}}) = \mathsf{id}^{F^A \Rightarrow F^A}$$

- Derive old law 2: need to work with  $\psi \equiv \text{optB}(p) : A \Rightarrow 1 + A$ 
  - ▶ The Boolean conjunction  $x \Rightarrow p_1(x) \land p_2(x)$  corresponds to  $\psi_1 \diamond_{\mathbf{Opt}} \psi_2$
  - ▶ Apply the composition law to Kleisli functions of types  $A \Rightarrow 1 + A$ :

$$\begin{split} & \text{filter}\,(p_1) \circ \text{filter}\,(p_2) = \text{fmapOpt}\,(\psi_1) \circ \text{fmapOpt}\,(\psi_2) \\ & = \text{fmapOpt}\,(\psi_1 \diamond_{\mathsf{Opt}} \psi_2) = \text{fmapOpt}\,(\mathsf{optB}\,(x \Rightarrow p_1(x) \land p_2(x))) \end{split}$$

- Derive old law 1: express filter through fmapOpt, so law 1 becomes
  - ► fmap  $f \circ \text{fmapOpt}(\text{optB}(p)) = \text{fmapOpt}(\text{optB}(f \circ p)) \circ \text{fmap } f \text{eq. (*)}$
  - ▶ denote  $k_f^{A\Rightarrow 1+A} = x^A \Rightarrow 0 + f(x)$ ; that is,  $k_f = f \circ \mathrm{id}_{\diamond_{\mathrm{Opt}}}$ ; then we have fmapOpt  $(k_f)$  = fmap  $k_f \circ \mathrm{flatten} = \mathrm{fmap} \, f \circ \mathrm{fmap} \, \mathrm{id}_{\diamond_{\mathrm{Opt}}} \circ \mathrm{flatten} = \mathrm{fmap} \, f$
  - ▶ rewrite (\*) as fmapOpt  $(k_f \diamond_{\mathsf{Opt}} \mathsf{optB}(p)) = \mathsf{fmapOpt}(\mathsf{optB}(f \circ p) \diamond_{\mathsf{Opt}} k_f)$
  - ▶ it remains to show that  $k_f \diamond_{\mathbf{Opt}} \mathsf{optB}(p) = \mathsf{optB}(f \circ p) \diamond_{\mathbf{Opt}} k_f$
  - ▶ use the properties  $k_f \diamond_{\mathsf{Opt}} \psi = f \circ \psi$  and  $\psi \diamond_{\mathsf{Opt}} k_f = \psi \circ \mathsf{fmap}^{\mathsf{Opt}} f$ , and  $f \circ \mathsf{optB}(p) = \mathsf{optB}(f \circ p) \circ \mathsf{fmap}^{\mathsf{Opt}} f$  (property from slide 8)

## Summary so far

### Filterable functors can be defined via filter, flatten, or fmapOpt

- All three are computationally equivalent but have different roles:
  - ► The easiest to use in program code is filter / withFilter
  - ▶ The easiest type signature to implement is flatten
  - ► The easiest to use for checking laws is fmapOpt
- The easiest way to derive the laws is to begin with simpler laws
- \* The 2 laws for fmapOpt are functor laws with a Kleisli "twist"
  - Category theory accommodates this via a generalized definition of functors as liftings between "twisted" function types. Compare:
    - **★** fmap :  $(A \Rightarrow B) \Rightarrow F^A \Rightarrow F^B$  ordinary container ("endofunctor")
    - \* contrasfmap:  $(B \Rightarrow A) \Rightarrow F^A \Rightarrow F^B$  lifting from reversed functions
    - ★ fmap $_{\diamond_M}$ :  $(A \Rightarrow M^B) \Rightarrow F^A \Rightarrow F^B$  lifting from Kleisli<sub>M</sub>-functions
    - ★ bind :  $(A \Rightarrow F^B) \Rightarrow F^A \Rightarrow F^B$  lifting from Kleisli<sub>F</sub> with the same F
    - \* ap :  $F^{A\Rightarrow B}\Rightarrow F^{A}\Rightarrow F^{B}$  lifting from functions inside the same F
    - **★** traverse :  $(A \Rightarrow L^B) \Rightarrow F^A \Rightarrow L^{F^B}$  from Kleisli<sub>L</sub> into Kleisli<sub>L</sub> over F
    - CT gives us an intuition: prefer type signatures that resemble "lifting"
      - ★ but CT is abstract, does not directly deliver a good formulation of laws
      - \* CT gives us no help with derivations when we struggle with the laws

#### Structure of filterable functors

Intuition from flatten: reshuffle data in  $F^A$  after replacing some A's by 1

• "reshuffling" means reusing different parts of a disjunction

Construction of exponential-polynomial filterable functors

- $F^A = Z$  (constant functor) for any type Z (define fmapOpt f = id)
  - Note:  $F^A = A$  (identity functor) is *not* filterable
- ②  $F^A \equiv G^A \times H^A$  for any filterable functors  $G^A$  and  $H^A$
- $F^A \equiv G^A + H^A$  for any filterable functors  $G^A$  and  $H^A$
- $F^A \equiv G^{H^A}$  for any functor  $G^A$  and filterable functor  $H^A$
- **5**  $F^A \equiv 1 + A \times G^A$  for a filterable functor  $G^A$ 
  - ▶ Note: *pointed* types P are isomorphic to 1 + Z for some type Z
    - **★** Example of non-trivial pointed type:  $A \Rightarrow A$
    - **★** Example of non-pointed type:  $A \Rightarrow B$  when A is different from B
  - So  $F^A \equiv P + A \times G^A$  where P is a pointed type and  $G^A$  is filterable
  - ▶ Also have  $F^A \equiv P + A \times A \times ... \times A \times G^A$  similarly
- **o**  $F^A \equiv G^A + A \times F^A$  (recursive) for a filterable functor  $G^A$
- $m{O}$   $F^A \equiv G^A \Rightarrow H^A$  if contrafunctor  $G^A$  and functor  $H^A$  both filterable
  - ▶ Note: the functor  $F^A \equiv G^A \Rightarrow A$  is not filterable

# \* Worked examples II: Constructions of filterable functors I

- (2) The fmapOpt laws hold for  $F^A \times G^A$  if they hold for  $F^A$  and  $G^A$ 
  - For  $f^{A\Rightarrow 1+B}$ , get fmapOpt<sub>F</sub> $(f): F^A \Rightarrow F^B$  and fmapOpt<sub>G</sub> $(f): G^A \Rightarrow G^B$
  - Define  $fmapOpt_{F \times G} f \equiv p^{F^A} \times q^{G^A} \Rightarrow fmapOpt_F(f)(p) \times fmapOpt_G(f)(q)$
  - Identity law:  $f = id_{\diamond}$ , so fmapOpt<sub>F</sub> f = id and fmapOpt<sub>G</sub> f = id
    - ▶ Hence we get fmapOpt<sub>F+G</sub> $(f)(p \times q) = id(p) \times id(q) = p \times q$
  - Composition law:

$$\begin{split} &(\mathsf{fmapOpt}_{F \times G} \, f_1 \circ \mathsf{fmapOpt}_{F + G} \, f_2)(p \times q) \\ &= \mathsf{fmapOpt}_{F \times G}(f_2) \, (\mathsf{fmapOpt}_F(f_1)(p) \times \mathsf{fmapOpt}_G(f_1)(q)) \\ &= (\mathsf{fmapOpt}_F \, f_1 \circ \mathsf{fmapOpt}_F \, f_2)(p) \times (\mathsf{fmapOpt}_G \, f_1 \circ \mathsf{fmapOpt}_G \, f_2) \, (q) \\ &= \mathsf{fmapOpt}_F(f_1 \diamond f_2)(p) \times \mathsf{fmapOpt}_G(f_1 \diamond f_2)(q) \\ &= \mathsf{fmapOpt}_{F \times G}(f_1 \diamond f_2)(p \times q) \end{split}$$

- ullet Exactly the same proof as that for functor property for  $F^A imes G^A$ 
  - ▶ this is because fmapOpt corresponds to a generalized functor
- New proofs are necessary only when using non-filterable functors
  - ▶ these are used in constructions 4 6

# \* Worked examples II: Constructions of filterable functors II

- (5) The fmapOpt laws hold for  $F^A \equiv 1 + A \times G^A$  if they hold for  $G^A$ 
  - For  $f^{A\Rightarrow 1+B}$ , get fmapOpt<sub>G</sub> $(f): G^A \Rightarrow G^B$
  - Define fmapOpt<sub>E</sub> $(f)(1 + a^A \times q^{G^A})$  by returning  $0 + b \times \text{fmapOpt}_G(f)(q)$  if the argument is  $0 + a \times q$  and f(a) = 0 + b, and returning 1 + 0 otherwise
  - Identity law:  $f = id_{\diamond}$ , so f(a) = 0 + a and fmapOpt<sub>G</sub>f = id
    - ► Hence we get fmapOpt<sub>E</sub>(id<sub>⋄</sub>) $(1 + a \times q) = 1 + a \times q$
  - Composition law: need only to check for arguments  $0 + a \times q$ , and only when  $f_1(a) = 0 + b$  and  $f_2(b) = 0 + c$ , in which case  $(f_1 \diamond f_2)(a) = 0 + c$ ; then

$$\begin{split} & (\mathsf{fmapOpt}_F \, f_1 \circ \mathsf{fmapOpt}_F \, f_2)(0 + a \times q) \\ &= \mathsf{fmapOpt}_F(f_2) \, (\mathsf{fmapOpt}_F(f_1)(0 + a \times q)) \\ &= \mathsf{fmapOpt}_F(f_2) \, (0 + b \times \mathsf{fmapOpt}_G(f_1)(q)) \\ &= 0 + c \times (\mathsf{fmapOpt}_G \, f_1 \circ \mathsf{fmapOpt}_G \, f_2)(q) \\ &= 0 + c \times \mathsf{fmapOpt}_G(f_1 \circ f_2)(q) \\ &= \mathsf{fmapOpt}_F(f_1 \circ f_2)(0 + a \times q) \end{split}$$

This is a "greedy filter": if f(a) is empty, deletes all  $G^A$  data

# \* Worked examples II: Constructions of filterable functors III

- (6) The fmapOpt laws hold for  $F^A \equiv G^A + A \times F^A$  if they hold for  $G^A$ 
  - For  $f^{A\Rightarrow 1+B}$ , get fmapOpt<sub>G</sub>(f):  $G^A \Rightarrow G^B$  and fmapOpt<sub>f</sub>(f):  $F^A \Rightarrow F^B$  (for use in recursive arguments as the inductive assumption)
  - Define fmapOpt<sub>F</sub> $(f)(q^{G^A} + a^A \times p^{F^A})$  by returning  $0 + \text{fmapOpt}'_F(f)(p)$  if f(a) = 1 + 0, and fmapOpt<sub>G</sub> $(f)(q) + b \times \text{fmapOpt}'_{F}(f)(p)$  otherwise
  - Identity law:  $f(a) = id_{\diamond}(a) \neq 1 + 0$ , so fmapOpt<sub>F</sub> $(id_{\diamond})(q + a \times p) = q + a \times p$
  - Composition law:
    - $(\operatorname{fmapOpt}_F(f_1) \circ \operatorname{fmapOpt}_F(f_2))(q + a \times p) = \operatorname{fmapOpt}_F(f_1 \circ f_2)(q + a \times p)$
  - For arguments q + 0, the laws for  $G^A$  hold; so assume arguments  $0 + a \times p$ . When  $f_1(a) = 0 + b$  and  $f_2(b) = 0 + c$ , the proof of the previous example will go through. So we need to consider the two cases  $f_1(a) = 1 + 0$  and  $f_1(a) = 0 + b$ ,  $f_2(b) = 1 + 0$
  - If  $f_1(a) = 1 + 0$  then  $(f_1 \diamond f_2)(a) = 1 + 0$ ; to show fmapOpt'<sub>E</sub> $(f_2)$ (fmapOpt'<sub>E</sub> $(f_1)(p)$ ) = fmapOpt'<sub>F</sub> $(f_1 \diamond f_2)(p)$ , use the inductive assumption about fmapOpt'<sub>F</sub> on p
  - If  $f_1(a) = 0 + b$  and  $f_2(b) = 1 + 0$  then  $(f_1 \diamond f_2)(a) = 1 + 0$ ; to show  $\mathsf{fmapOpt}_{\mathsf{F}}(f_2)(0+b\times\mathsf{fmapOpt}_{\mathsf{F}}'(f_1)(p)) = \mathsf{fmapOpt}_{\mathsf{F}}'(f_1\diamond f_2)(p), \text{ rewrite}$  $fmapOpt_{\mathcal{F}}(f_2)(0+b \times fmapOpt_{\mathcal{F}}'(f_1)(p)) = fmapOpt_{\mathcal{F}}'(f_2)(fmapOpt_{\mathcal{F}}'(f_1)(p))$  and use the inductive assumption about fmapOpt $_F'$  on p

This is a "list-like filter": if f(a) is empty, recurses into nested  $F^A$  data

## Worked examples II: Constructions of filterable functors IV

Use known filterable constructions to show that

$$F^A \equiv (Int \times String) \Rightarrow (1 + Int \times A + A \times (1 + A) + (Int \Rightarrow 1 + A + A \times A \times String))$$
 is a filterable functor

- Instead of implementing Filterable and verifying laws by hand, we analyze the structure of this data type and use known constructions
- Define some auxiliary functors that are parts of the structure of  $F^A$ ,
  - ▶  $R_1^A = (Int \times String) \Rightarrow A \text{ and } R_2^A = Int \Rightarrow A$
  - $G^A = 1 + \text{Int} \times A + A \times (1 + A)$  and  $H^A = 1 + A + A \times A \times \text{String}$
- Now we can rewrite  $F^A = R_1 \left[ G^A + R_2 \left[ H^A \right] \right]$ 
  - ▶  $G^A$  is filterable by construction 5 because it is of the form  $G^A = 1 + A \times K^A$  with filterable functor  $K^A = 1 + \text{Int} + A$
  - ▶  $K^A$  is of the form 1 + A + X with constant type X, so it is filterable by constructions 1 and 3 with the Option functor 1 + A
  - ▶  $H^A$  is filterable by construction 5 with  $H^A = 1 + A \times (1 + A \times \text{String})$ , while  $1 + A \times \text{String}$  is filterable by constructions 5 and 1
- Constructions 3 and 4 show that  $R_1 \left[ G^A + R_2 \left[ H^A \right] \right]$  is filterable Note that there are more than one way of implementing Filterable here

#### \* Exercises II

- Implement a Filterable instance for type F[T] = G[H[T]] assuming that the functor H[T] already has a Filterable instance. Verify the laws rigorously.
- ② For type F[T] = Option[Int ⇒ Option[(T, T)]], implement a Filterable instance. Show that the filterable laws hold by using known filterable constructions (avoiding explicit proofs).
- Implement a Filterable instance for  $F^A \equiv G^A + \operatorname{Int} \times A \times A \times F^A$  (recursive) for a filterable functor  $G^A$ . Verify the laws rigorously.
- **3** Show that  $F^A = 1 + A \times G^A$  is in general *not* filterable if  $G^A$  is an arbitrary (non-filterable) functor; it is enough to give an example.