Logic programming and types: An introduction to Mercury

Sergei Winitzki

SF Types, Theorems, and Programming Languages meetup

April 18, 2016

Logic programming as a DSL for logic puzzles (Prolog)

All jumping creatures are green. All small jumping creatures are martians. All green martians are intelligent.

Ngtrks is small and green. Pgvdrk is a jumping martian. Who is intelligent? (inpired by S. Lem, Invasion from Aldebaran)

```
small(ngtrks). green(ngtrks).
martian(pgvdrk). jumping(pgvdrk).
green(X) :- jumping(X).
martian(X) :- small(X), jumping(X).
intelligent(X) :- green(X), martian(X).
?- intelligent(X).
X = pgvdrk
```

- Classical logic limited to Horn clauses = executable program
- The legacy of Prolog: SQL, Datalog, ... [_ | X | Xs]

Logic programming refresher

- All capitalized symbols are quantified logical variables (LVs)
- ullet LVs on the left imply \forall ; free LVs on the right imply \exists
- The symbol :- means implication ←, the comma means "and"
- Rules can be given in any order; no declarations
- Examples:

```
\begin{array}{ll} \operatorname{\tt green}(X) :- \operatorname{\tt jumping}(X) \ \operatorname{\sf means} \ \forall X : \operatorname{\tt jumping}(X) \to \operatorname{\sf green}(X) \\ \operatorname{\tt path}(A,B) :- \operatorname{\tt path}(A,C) \,, \ \operatorname{\tt path}(C,B) \ \operatorname{\sf means} \\ \forall A,B : [\exists C : \operatorname{\tt path}(A,C) \wedge \operatorname{\tt path}(C,B) \to \operatorname{\tt path}(A,B)] \end{array}
```

- ?- intelligent(X) means: prove that ∃X:intelligent(X)
- The runtime will perform a backtracking search for a proof
- LVs will be assigned/unassigned as needed during search

Mercury in a nutshell: a Prolog dialect with static types

- Logical variables, predicates, rules in Prolog-style syntax and semantics
- Functions as a primitive, on par with predicates
- Higher-order functions and higher-order predicates
- Data structures: list, array, hash map; some support for mutable data
- Flexible mode system, type checking, mode and type inference
- Polymorphic types and type classes
- Universal and existential type quantifiers
- Termination and determinism analysis for predicates
- Multithreading; parallel goals; exceptions
- Compilation to C, Java, Erlang, .NET
 - Interop and type-level compatibility with these environments



Modes, determinism, types, I/O

pred main(io::di, io::uo) is cc_multi.

- Predicate arguments have types, modes, and determinism
- "Martians" requires a declaration of modes & determinism for main:

```
• Input/output: Special syntax !IO means a pair of io ("world") values
```

Proof search may backgrack - the goal intelligent(X) may fail, so:

```
main(!IO) :- ( if intelligent(X) then write(X, !IO)
  else write_string("No solution", !IO) ).
```

- Determinism: det, semidet, multi, nondet, failure, cc_multi, cc_nondet
- Predefined modes: in, out, di, uo
 - ► A **mode** describes what happens to an LV during proof search:

```
:- mode out == (free >> ground).
:- mode uo == (free >> unique).
:- mode di == (unique >> dead).
```

User-defined modes are possible

Example: integer factorial

Implementation as a predicate:

```
:- pred fact(int::in, int::out) is det. fact(N,F) :- ( if N =< 1 then F = 1 else fact(N-1, A), F = A*N ).
```

Implementation as a function:

```
:- func fct(int) = int.
fct(N) = ( if N =< 1 then 1 else N*fct(N-1) ).</pre>
```

- The generated code is identical in both cases!
 - However, predicates are not "functions returning Boolean"
- Type/mode/deteterminism are inferred and statically checked
- Order of goals is inferred from modes rather than from code!

Static determinism analysis

Compilation fails if we define the predicate by writing two clauses:

```
:- pred fact(int::in, int::out) is det.
fact(1,1).
fact(N,F) :- fact(N-1, A), F = A*N.
```

Error message:

```
In 'fact'(in, out): error: determinism declaration not satisfied. Declared 'det', inferred 'multi'.
Disjunction has multiple clauses with solutions.
```

• Similar error when defining a *function* by clauses:

```
Error: invalid determinism for 'fct'(in) = out:
the primary mode of a function cannot be 'multi'.
```

• This is why we need to use a less readable if-then-else construct

Functions and expressions

- Functions are deterministic predicates with "in-out" mode
- Expressions are syntactic sugar for goals involving functions
- Hence, the general syntax for functions: fname(Arg1, Arg2, ...) = Result :- goal, goal, ..., Result = ...
- "Lambda" predicate terms and function terms:

```
F1 = (pred(N::in, X::out) is det :- fact(N, X)).

F2 = fact . % same value as F1 by \eta-equivalence

F3 = (func(N) = X :- X = fct(N)).

F4 = fct. % same value as F3 by \eta-equivalence
```

Higher-order predicates:
 map(fact, [1,3,5], Res) will unify Res with [1,6,120]

Declaring higher-order predicate modes is verbose and complicated

Algebraic types and polymorphism

- Primitive types: bool, int, float, string
 - ► No "symbol literals" as in Prolog
 - Use union type instead, to make "martians" type-safe! (demo)
- Tuples, unions

```
:- type mytype3 ---> point({int,int},string); ok(string); failed.
:- type list(T) ---> []; [ T | list(T) ]. % special syntax
:- type tree(T) ---> leaf; branch(tree(T), T, tree(T)).
```

Records with accessors

```
:- type employee ---> employee(name :: string, id :: int).
X = employee(...), if X ^ name = "myself" then ...
```

- Predicate types, function types
 - ► Type syntax: pred(int::in, int::out) and func(int) = int

Example: "reversible computation"

Convert integers between unary encoding and native representation

```
:- type unary ---> z; s(unary). % z; s(z); s(s(z)); etc.
:- pred unary_int(unary, int).
:- mode unary_int(in, out). % is det.
:- mode unary_int(out, in). % is multi.
unary_int(C, N) :- (
   C = z, N = 0;
   C = s(B), N = M+1, unary_int(B, M)
% goals will be reordered here depending on mode!
).
```

- The same code will unify unary_int(s(s(z)), N) or unary_int(C, 3)
 - Determinism and mode of predicates is inferred from use!
 - Different code is compiled for each declared mode of unary_int(C, N)
- All predicates are functions in disguise

Type classes à la Haskell

A type class defines a set of predicates and functions

```
:- typeclass showable(T) where [
  pred show(T::in, io::di, io::uo) is det
].
:- instance showable(int) where [
  pred(show/3) is io.write_int
].
:- instance showable(list(T)) <= showable(T) where [
  pred(show/3) is show_list
].
:- pred show_list(list(T), io, io) <= showable(T).
show_list(L, !IO) :- ... % define it now</pre>
```

- The methods of a type class are resolved at compile time
 - Only one instance declaration per type (as in Haskell)

No higher-kinded types

- Can we define a "functor" or "monad" typeclass? (No!)
 - ► Type classes must be parameterized by simple types
 - ▶ Instances are for concrete types or concrete type constructors
- Cannot parameterize a type class by an unknown type constructor

```
:- typeclass functor(A,B, FA,FB) where [
  func fmap(func(A)=B) = (func(FA)=FB)
].
:- instance functor(A, B, list(A), list(B)) % fails to compile!
where [
  fmap(F::(func(A)=B)) = (func(L) = FL :- FL = map(F, L))
].
```

Limitations of Mercury

- No higher-kind type constructors
 - ▶ (e.g. cannot define "functor" or "monad" type classes)
- No row polymorphism for records
 - (ad-hoc record accessors are provided)
- No partially instantiated values (e.g. no difference lists)
 - Queues and other data types are provided by standard library
- No "cut" operator; determinism is controlled more explicitly
- No assert / retract; all code is statically checked, not self-modifying
- No REPL or interactive queries

Summary

- How to unite "logic programming" and "functional programming"
- Mercury = Prolog + modes + types
- Functions are predicates, and predicates are functions!
- For more info on Mercury:
 - ► Features of the Mercury programming language (online link)