Chapter 5: Type classes and their applications

Sergei Winitzki

Academy by the Bay

January 14, 2018

Motivation for type classes I: Restricting type arguments

We need different sum implementations for Seq[Int], Seq[Double], etc.

• but we cannot generalize sum to arbitrary types T like this:

```
def sum[T](s: Seq[T]): T = ???
```

• this can work only for T that have a zero value and a + method Suppose we want to define fmap in addition to map for functors

but we cannot generalize fmap to arbitrary type constructors F[_]:

```
def fmap[F[], A, B](f: A \Rightarrow B): F[A] \Rightarrow F[B] = ???
```

this can work only for type constructors F[_] that are functors

We would like to define functions whose type arguments, such as T or F[_], are assumed to belong to a *certain subset* of possible types

- We could then use the known properties of these type arguments
- We would also like to add new supported types as needed
 - ► This is similar to partial functions but at type level

Motivation for type classes II: Partial type-level functions

- Functions can be total or partial
 - ▶ Total function: has a result for all argument values
 - ▶ Partial function: has *no result* for *some* argument values
- Also, functions can be, in principle, {from/to} {values/types}:

function:	from value	from type
to value	def f(x: Int): Int	def point[A]: A ⇒ List[A]
to type	dependent type	<pre>type Data[A] = Either[Int, A]</pre>

- value-to-value = run time, type-to-* = compile time
 - ▶ if we use JVM reflection, type-to-* can become run-time (yuck!)

partial function:	from value (PF)	from type (PTTF; PTVF)
example:	{ case Some(x) \Rightarrow x-1 }	GADTs; implicitly[T]
when misapplied:	exception at run time	error at compile time

- Type classes are a systematic way of managing our PTFs
 - ▶ It is safe to apply a PTF to type T if T "belongs to a certain type class"

Example of using value-level PFs: The caveats

• Filter a Seq[Either[Int, Boolean]], then apply map with a PF:

```
val s: Seq[Int] = Seq( Left(1), Right(true), Left(2) )
   .filter(_.isLeft) // result here is still of type Seq[Either[...]]
   .map { case Left(x) \Rightarrow x } // result is of type Seq[Int] but unsafe
```

- "We know" it is okay to apply this PF here...
 - ▶ but the types do not show this, compile-time checking doesn't help
 - ▶ if refactored, the code may become wrong and break at run time
- The type-safe version uses .collect instead of .filter().map():

```
val s: Seq[Int] = Seq( Left(1), Right(true), Left(2) )
   .collect { case Left(x) \( \neq \) x } // result is safe, of type Seq[Int]
```

- PFs are only safe to use in certain places, such as .collect()
 - ▶ in all other cases, value-level functions should better be total
 - ► can use "refined" types such as "non-empty list", "positive number" etc.

```
def f(xs: NonEmptyList[Int]) = {
  val h = xs.head // safe and checked at compile time
}
```

Managing PTFs by hand I: Using GADTs

PTTFs: Partial Type-to-Type Functions

A type constructor that accepts only certain types as parameters:

```
sealed trait MyTC[A] // "sealed" GADT - user code can't add cases
final case class Case1(d: Double) extends MyTC[Int]
final case class Case2() extends MyTC[String] // whatever
```

- It looks like we have defined MyTC[A] for any type A ?...
 - ► actually, we can only ever create values of MyTC[Int] or MyTC[String]
- Effectively, MyTC^A is a PTTF defined only for $A \in \{Int; String\}$
 - ► This **type domain** is enforced at compile time!
- When to use GADTs (they are not functors!):
 - for domain modeling (e.g. queries with a fixed set of result types)
 - for DSLs that represent typed expressions
- Alternatively, a PTTF can be a trait with some implementation code:

```
trait MyPTTF[A] {...} // not "sealed" - user code may extend
class C1(...) extends MyPTTF[Int] {...} // arbitrary code
```

Managing PTFs by hand II: Using traits and OO inheritance PTVFs: Partial Type-to-Value Functions – the object-oriented way

A trait with methods and a few created values (as object):

```
trait HasPlus[A] {
  def plus(a1: A, a2: A): Z
}
object CaseInt extends HasPlus[Int] {
  def plus(a1: Int, a2: Int): Int = a1 + a2
}
object CaseString extends HasPlus[String] {
  def plus(a1: String, a2: String): String = a1 + a2
}
```

- Similar to having defined plus[A] only for $A \in \{Int; String\}$
- Limitations:
 - ► We can only access plus() via a value of type HasPlus[A]
 - ▶ All PTVFs must be declared up front in the trait
 - ★ Not extensible cannot add new PTVFs later
 - ★ Not compositional cannot use this in other PTVFs defined later

Managing PTFs by hand III: "Type Evidence" arguments PTVFs: Partial Type-to-Value Functions – the general case

To define a function def func[A] (...) only for certain types A:

- Oreate a PTTF defined only for the relevant types A, e.g. IsGood[A]
- add an extra argument of type IsGood[A] (type evidence) to func[A]
- 3 create some values of types IsGood[A] for relevant types A as needed What we gained:
 - it is now impossible to call func[A] with an unsupported type A
 - trying to do so will fail at compile time TE values won't type-check
- new supported types can be added in user code if IsGood is not sealed
 The cost:
 - all calls to func[A](...) will now need TE values as extra argument(s)
 - we need to keep passing the TE values around the code
 - one TE value needs to be created for each supported type A

Mitigate these issues in Scala by using implicit values:

- TE arguments are explicit only at func declaration site
- once defined as implicit, TE values are passed around invisibly
- new implicit values can be built up automatically (and recursively!)

 Sergei Winitzki (ABTB)

 Chapter 5: Type classes

 January 14, 2018
 7

7 / 16

Scala's mechanism of "implicit values"

Implicit values are:

- declared as implicit val x: SomeType = ...
 - ▶ also have implicit def f[T](...) = ... and implicit class(...)
- automatically passed into functions that declare extra arguments as
 def f(args...)(implicit x: SomeType) = ...
- searched in local scope, imports, companion objects, parent classes
- ▶ having ≥ 2 implicit values of the same type is a compile-time error!

Special short syntax for declaring implicit TE arguments in a PTVF:

```
def func[A: TC1, B: TC2](args...) = ...
```

• This is entirely equivalent to this longer code:

```
def func[A, B](args...)(implicit evA: TC1[A], evB: TC2[B])= ...
```

• standard library has def implicitly[A](implicit x: A): A = x

We still need to:

- declare MyTypeClass[A] as a PTTF elsewhere
- create TE values of various types and declare them as implicit

Type classes I

The general definition

A type class is a set of PTVFs that all have the same type domain

- In terms of specific code to be written, a type class is:
 - a PTTF, e.g. MyTypeClass[T] with some code that creates TE values, and
 - ② the desired PTVFs that use this PTTF to define their type domain
 - for many important use cases, the PTVFs must also satisfy certain laws
- A type T "belongs to the type class MyTypeClass" if a TE value exists
 - ▶ i.e. if some value of type MyTypeClass[T] can be found
- A function func[T] "requires the type class MyTypeClass for T" if one of func's arguments is a value of PTTF-constructed type MyTypeClass[T]
 - that argument is the type class instance for the type parameter T
 - ▶ this **constrains** the type parameter T to **belong to** the type class
 - this is how we know that func[T] is a PTVF

Type classes II

Implementation in Scala

A type class is typically implemented as:

- a trait with a type parameter, e.g. trait MyTypeClass[T]
- code that creates values of type MyTypeClass[T] for various T
 - these values are declared as implicit and made available via imports or in the companion objects for the specific types T
- some functions with implicit argument(s) of type MyTypeClass[T]
 - ▶ these functions are usually def methods in a trait, but don't have to be
 - laws for these functions may need to be enforced by property tests

Usually, all information about the type T is contained in the TE value

- the trait MyTypeClass[T] contains all relevant PTVFs as def's
- in simpler cases, TE can be a data type (not a trait with def methods)
 - ▶ a trait with def methods is necessary for higher-order type functions

See example code

Examples of type classes I

Some simple PTFs and their use cases

• A type T is a **semigroup** if it has an *associative* binary operation

```
def op(x: T, y: T): T
```

- a bare-bones operation, no inverse just "can combine"
- A type T is **pointed** if there exists a function **point**: T
 - ▶ This is a special, somehow "naturally" selected value of that type
 - ★ Examples: 0: Int; "": String; identity[A]: A ⇒ A
- A type T is a monoid if there exist functions

```
def empty[T]: T
def combine[T](x: T, y: T): T
```

such that the usual algebraic laws hold:

- combine is associative
 - $\forall x : \mathtt{combine}(\mathtt{empty}, x) = \mathtt{combine}(x, \mathtt{empty}) = x$
- Monoids are an abstraction for any sort of data aggregation

See example code for implementing the Monoid type class:

- by using a case class as a PTTF (instance from scratch)
- by assuming Pointed and Semigroup ("derived" instance)

Examples of type classes II Higher-order PTFs

- A type constructor F^A is a functor if it has a map operation
 - or, equivalently, fmap
 - that satisfies the functor laws (identity law, composition law)
- We would like to write a generic function that tests the functor laws

```
def checkFunctorLaws[F[_], A, B, C](): Assertion = ???
```

- Need to get access to the function map defined for the given F
- We treat map as a PTVF whose type domain is all functors F:

```
def map[F[_], A, B](fa: F[A], f: A \Rightarrow B): F[B]
```

- We constrain F to belong to the Functor type class
 - ▶ by adding implicit ev: Functor[F] as extra argument to map
 - ★ note: Functor is a higher-order PTTF its type argument is F[_]

See test code for implementation and functor laws

Types and kinds

Compare value-to-value functions (VVFs) vs. type-to-value functions:

- the domain of a VVF is the set of admissible argument values
 - a "value domain" is called a type
 - ▶ the VVF can be applied safely if its argument is of the right type def f(x: Option[Int]) = ...
- the type domain of a PTVF is the set of admissible argument types
 - a "type domain" is called a kind
 - ▶ the PTVF can be applied safely if its type argument is of the right kind def func[T](args...)(implicit ev: MyTypeClass[T]) = ...
- In both cases, the function call safety is guaranteed at compile time Kinds are the "type system for types"
 - a type class MyTypeClass defines a new kind (as a set of types)
 - suggested kind notation: (*: MyTypeClass)
 - another available kind is the type constructor kind, e.g.: F[_]
 - ▶ in F[T], the F and the T are types of different kinds
 - ► define type Ap[F[_], T] = F[T], then wrong kinds will fail in Ap[A, B]
 - **★** suggested **kind** notation: Ap : $(* \rightarrow *, *) \rightarrow *$
 - See test code

Scala's "implicit method" syntax for PTVFs

Two sorts of available syntax for Scala functions:

- ① as in ordinary math: func(x, y) or func(x, y)(z) etc.
- as "method": x.func(y) or equivalently x func y
 - ▶ this is similar to func(x)(y) but is implemented differently

It is often convenient to use functions syntactically as methods

```
def +++[T: HasPlusPlus](t: T, arg: ...) = ...
val t: T = ...
+++(t, arg) // that's how we have to call this function
// but instead we want to be able to write t +++ arg
```

Implementing the "implicit method syntax" for a PTVF func:

- declare func as a method on a new trait or class, say MyTCMethods[T]
- declare an implicit conversion function from T to MyTCMethods[T]
 - ▶ to make the code shorter, use an implicit class
 - see example code

What we gained:

- the PTVF appears as a method only on values of the relevant types
- the new syntax is defined automatically on all the relevant types T

Worked examples

- Define a PTVF def bitsize[T] = ... such that bitsize[Int] returns 32 and bitsize[Long] returns 64; otherwise bitsize[T] is undefined
- ② Define a monoid instance for the type $1 + (String \Rightarrow String)$
- **3** Assuming that A and B are monoids, define monoid instance for $A \times B$
- **3** Show: If A is a monoid and B is a semigroup then A + B is a monoid
- Of Define a functor instance for type F[T] = Seq[Try[T]]
- **1** Define a Cats' Bifunctor instance for $Q^{X,Y} \equiv X + X \times Y$
- O Define a ContraFunctor type class having contrafmap:

```
def contrafmap[A, B](f: B \Rightarrow A): C[A] \Rightarrow C[B]
```

Define a ContraFunctor instance for type constructor $C^A \equiv A \Rightarrow \operatorname{Int}$

- **3** Define functor instance for recursive type $Q^A \equiv (\operatorname{Int} \Rightarrow A) + \operatorname{Int} + Q^A$
- * If F^A and G^A are functors, define functor instance for $F^A + G^A$

Exercises

- Define a PTVF def isLong[T]: Boolean that returns true for Long and Double; returns false for Int, Short, and Float; otherwise undefined
- 2 Define a monoid instance for the type $\mathsf{String} \times (\mathsf{1} + \mathsf{Int})$
- **3** If A is a monoid and R any type, define monoid instance for $R \Rightarrow A$
- Show: If s is a semigroup then Option[S] is a monoid
- 5 Define a functor instance for type F[T] = Future[Seq[T]]
- **1** Define a Cats' Bifunctor instance for $B^{X,Y} \equiv (\operatorname{Int} \Rightarrow X) + Y \times Y$
- O Define a ProFunctor type class having dimap:

```
def dimap[A, B](f: A \Rightarrow B, g: B \Rightarrow A): F[A] \Rightarrow F[B]
```

Define a ProFunctor instance for $P^A \equiv A \Rightarrow (Int \times A)$

- **3** Define a functor instance for recursive type $Q^A \equiv \text{String} + A \times Q^A$
- lacktriangle * If F^A and G^A are functors, define functor instance for $F^A \times G^A$
- * Define a functor instance for $F^A \Rightarrow G^A$ where F^A is a contrafunctor (use Cats' Contravariant type class for F^A) and G^A is a functor