

# Chapter 8: Applicative and traversable functors

## Part 2: Their laws and structure

Sergei Winitzki

Academy by the Bay

2018-06-07

# Deriving the `ap` operation from `map2`

Can we avoid having to define  $\text{map}_n$  separately for each  $n$ ?

- Use curried arguments,  $\text{fmap}_2 : (A \Rightarrow B \Rightarrow Z) \Rightarrow F^A \Rightarrow F^B \Rightarrow F^Z$
- Set  $A = B \Rightarrow Z$  and apply  $\text{fmap}_2$  to the identity  $\text{id}^{(B \Rightarrow Z) \Rightarrow (B \Rightarrow Z)}$ :  
obtain  $\text{ap}^{[B, Z]} : F^{B \Rightarrow Z} \Rightarrow F^B \Rightarrow F^Z \equiv \text{fmap}_2(\text{id})$
- The functions `fmap2` and `ap` are computationally equivalent:

$$\text{fmap}_2 f^{A \Rightarrow B \Rightarrow Z} = \text{fmap } f \circ \text{ap}$$

A commutative diagram illustrating the relationship between  $\text{fmap}_2$ ,  $\text{fmap}$ , and  $\text{ap}$ . The diagram has three nodes:  $F^A$  on the left,  $F^{B \Rightarrow Z}$  at the top, and  $(F^B \Rightarrow F^Z)$  on the right. An arrow labeled  $\text{fmap } f$  points from  $F^A$  to  $F^{B \Rightarrow Z}$ . An arrow labeled  $\text{ap}$  points from  $F^{B \Rightarrow Z}$  to  $(F^B \Rightarrow F^Z)$ . A bottom arrow labeled  $\text{fmap}_2 (f^{A \Rightarrow B \Rightarrow Z})$  points directly from  $F^A$  to  $(F^B \Rightarrow F^Z)$ .

- The functions `fmap3`, `fmap4` etc. can be defined similarly:

$$\text{fmap}_3 f^{A \Rightarrow B \Rightarrow C \Rightarrow Z} = \text{fmap } f \circ \text{ap} \circ \text{fmap}_{F^B \Rightarrow ?} \text{ap}$$

A commutative diagram illustrating the relationship between  $\text{fmap}_3$ ,  $\text{fmap}$ ,  $\text{ap}$ , and  $\text{fmap}_{F^B \Rightarrow ?} \text{ap}$ . The diagram has three nodes:  $F^A$  on the left,  $F^{B \Rightarrow C \Rightarrow Z}$  at the top, and  $(F^B \Rightarrow F^C \Rightarrow F^Z)$  on the right. An arrow labeled  $\text{fmap } f$  points from  $F^A$  to  $F^{B \Rightarrow C \Rightarrow Z}$ . An arrow labeled  $\text{ap}^{[B, C \Rightarrow Z]}$  points from  $F^{B \Rightarrow C \Rightarrow Z}$  to  $(F^B \Rightarrow F^{C \Rightarrow Z})$ . An arrow labeled  $\text{fmap}_{F^B \Rightarrow ?} \text{ap}^{[C, Z]}$  points from  $(F^B \Rightarrow F^{C \Rightarrow Z})$  to  $(F^B \Rightarrow F^C \Rightarrow F^Z)$ . A bottom arrow labeled  $\text{fmap}_3 (f^{A \Rightarrow B \Rightarrow C \Rightarrow Z})$  points directly from  $F^A$  to  $(F^B \Rightarrow F^C \Rightarrow F^Z)$ .

- Using the infix syntax will get rid of  $\text{fmap}_{F^B \Rightarrow ?} \text{ap}$  (see example code)

## Deriving the `zip` operation from `map2`

- Note: Function types  $A \Rightarrow B \Rightarrow C$  and  $A \times B \Rightarrow C$  are equivalent
- Uncurry `fmap2` to `fmap2` :  $(A \times B \Rightarrow C) \Rightarrow F^A \times F^B \Rightarrow F^C$
- Compute `fmap2(f)` with  $f = \text{id}^{A \times B \Rightarrow A \times B}$ , expecting to obtain a simpler natural transformation:

$$\text{zip} : F^A \times F^B \Rightarrow F^{A \times B}$$

- This is quite similar to `zip` for lists:  
`List(1, 2).zip(List(10, 20)) = List((1, 10), (2, 20))`
- The functions `zip` and `fmap2` are computationally equivalent:

$$\begin{aligned}\text{zip} &= \text{fmap2}(\text{id}) \\ \text{fmap2}(f^{A \times B \Rightarrow C}) &= \text{zip} \circ \text{fmap } f\end{aligned}$$

A commutative triangle diagram illustrating the relationship between the `zip` function, the `fmap2` function, and the `fmap` function. The diagram consists of three nodes:  $F^A \times F^B$  at the bottom-left,  $F^{A \times B}$  at the top, and  $F^C$  at the bottom-right. An arrow labeled `zip` points from  $F^A \times F^B$  to  $F^{A \times B}$ . An arrow labeled `fmap2` with  $f^{A \times B \Rightarrow C}$  below it points from  $F^A \times F^B$  to  $F^C$ . An arrow labeled `fmap` with  $f^{A \times B \Rightarrow C}$  above it points from  $F^{A \times B}$  to  $F^C$ .

- The functor  $F$  is “zippable” if such a `zip` exists

# Equivalence of the operations `ap` and `zip`

- Set  $A \equiv B \Rightarrow C$ , get  $\text{zip}^{[B \Rightarrow C, B]} : F^{B \Rightarrow C} \times F^B \Rightarrow F^{(B \Rightarrow C) \times B}$
- Use `eval` :  $(B \Rightarrow C) \times B \Rightarrow C$  and  $\text{fmap}(\text{eval}) : F^{(B \Rightarrow C) \times B} \Rightarrow F^C$
- Uncurry:  $\text{app}^{[B, C]} : F^{B \Rightarrow C} \times F^B \Rightarrow F^C \equiv \text{zip} \circ \text{fmap}(\text{eval})$
- The functions `zip` and `app` are computationally equivalent:
  - ▶ use  $\text{pair} : (A \Rightarrow B \Rightarrow A \times B) = a^A \Rightarrow b^B \Rightarrow a \times b$
  - ▶ use  $\text{fmap}(\text{pair}) \equiv \text{pair}^\uparrow$  on an  $fa^{F^A}$ , get  $(\text{pair}^\uparrow fa) : F^{B \Rightarrow A \times B}$ ; then

$$\text{zip}(fa \times fb) = \text{app}\left((\text{pair}^\uparrow fa) \times fb\right)$$

$$\text{app}^{[B \Rightarrow C, B]} = \text{zip}^{[B \Rightarrow C, B]} \circ \text{fmap}(\text{eval})$$

$$F^{B \Rightarrow C} \times F^B \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\text{zip}} F^{(B \Rightarrow C) \times B} \\ \xrightarrow{\text{app}^{[B \Rightarrow C, B]}} F^C \\ \searrow \text{fmap}(\text{eval}) \end{array}$$

- Rewrite this using curried arguments:  $\text{fzip}^{[A, B]} : F^A \Rightarrow F^B \Rightarrow F^{A \times B}$ ;  $\text{ap}^{[B, C]} : F^{B \Rightarrow C} \Rightarrow F^B \Rightarrow F^C$ ; then  $\text{ap } f = \text{fzip } f \circ \text{fmap}(\text{eval})$ .
- Now  $\text{fzip } p^{F^A} q^{F^B} = \text{ap}(\text{pair}^\uparrow p) q$ , hence we may omit the argument  $q$ :  $\text{fzip} = \text{pair}^\uparrow \circ \text{ap}$ . With explicit types:  $\text{fzip}^{[A, B]} = \text{pair}^\uparrow \circ \text{ap}^{[B, A \Rightarrow B]}$ .

# Motivation for applicative laws. Naturality for `map2`

Treat `map2` as a replacement for a monadic block with independent effects:

<code>for {</code>	<code>map2 (</code>
<code>  x ← cont1</code>	<code>  cont1,</code>
<code>  y ← cont2</code>	<code>  cont2</code>
<code>} yield g(x, y)</code>	<code>) { (x, y) ⇒ g(x, y) }</code>

- Main idea: Formulate the monad laws in terms of `map2` and `pure`

Naturality laws: Manipulate data in one of the containers

<code>for {</code>	<code>for {</code>
<code>  x ← cont1.map(f)</code>	<code>  x ← cont1</code>
<code>  y ← cont2</code>	<code>  y ← cont2</code>
<code>} yield g(x, y)</code>	<code>} yield g(f(x), y)</code>

and similarly for `cont2` instead of `cont1`; now rewrite in terms of `for map2`:

- **Left naturality** for `map2`:

```
map2(cont1.map(f), cont2)(g)
= map2(cont1, cont2){ (x, y) ⇒ g(f(x), y) }
```

- **Right naturality** for `map2`:

```
map2(cont1, cont2.map(f))(g)
= map2(cont1, cont2){ (x, y) ⇒ g(x, f(y)) }
```

## Associativity and identity laws for `map2`

Inline two generators out of three, in two different ways:

```
for {
  x ← cont1
  (y, z) ← for {
    yy ← cont2
    zz ← cont3
  } yield (yy, zz)
} yield g(x, y, z)

for {
  (x, y) ← for {
    xx ← cont1
    yy ← cont2
  } yield (xx, yy)
  z ← cont3
} yield g(x, y, z)
```

Write this in terms of `map2` to obtain the **associativity law** for `map2`:

```
map2(cont1, map2(cont2, cont3)((_,_)) { case(x,(y,z)) ⇒ g(x,y,z) })
= map2(map2(cont1, cont2)((_,_)), cont3) { case((x,y),z) ⇒ g(x,y,z) }
```

Empty context precedes a generator, or follows a generator:

```
for { x ← pure(a)
      y ← cont
    } yield g(x, y)

for {
  y ← cont
} yield g(a, y)
```

Write this in terms of `map2` to obtain the **identity laws** for `map2` and `pure`:

```
map2(pure(a), cont)(g) = cont.map { y ⇒ g(a, y) }
map2(cont, pure(b))(g) = cont.map { x ⇒ g(x, b) }
```

# Deriving the laws for `ap` and `zip`

- Set