# Chapter 4: Functors. Part 1: Functor laws How to recognize a functor

Sergei Winitzki

Academy by the Bay

December 28, 2017

# "Container-like" type constructors

- Visualize Seq[T] as a container with some items of type T
  - ► How to formalize this idea as a property of Seq?
- Another example of a container: Future[T]
  - ▶ a value of type T will be available later, or may fail to arrive

Let us separate the "bare container" functionality from other functionality

- A "bare container" will allow us to:
  - manipulate items held within the container
    - ★ In FP, to "manipulate items" means to apply functions to values
- "Container holds items" = we can apply a function to the items
  - but the new items remain within the same container!
  - ▶ need map: Container[A]  $\Rightarrow$  (A  $\Rightarrow$  B)  $\Rightarrow$  Container[B]
- A "bare container" will not allow us to:
  - make a new container out of a given set of items
  - read values out of the container
  - add more items into container, delete items from container
  - wait until items are available in container, etc.

# Option[T] as a container I

- In the short notation: Option<sup>A</sup> = 1 + A
- The map function is required to have the type

$$\mathsf{map}^{A,B}: 1+A \Rightarrow (A \Rightarrow B) \Rightarrow 1+B$$

#### Main questions:

- How to avoid "information loss" in this function?
- Ooes this map allow us to "manipulate values within the container"?

### Option[T] as a container II

Avoiding "information loss" means:

- $map[A,A](opt)(x \Rightarrow x) == opt "identity law" for map$
- We have two implementations of the type:

$$\mathsf{map}^{[A,B]} = (1+a^A) \Rightarrow (f^{A\Rightarrow B}) = 1+f(a)$$

and

$$\mathsf{map}^{[A,B]} = (1+a^A) \Rightarrow (f^{A\Rightarrow B}) = 1+0^B$$

The second implementation has "information loss"!

• Short notation for code (type annotations are optional):

Short notation	Scala code
a <sup>A</sup>	val a: A
$f^{[A]}B \Rightarrow C$	$\texttt{def f[A]: B} \Rightarrow \texttt{C} \ldots$
$a^A + b^B$	x: Either[A, B] match {}
$a^A + 0^B$	Left(a): Either[A, B]
1	()

# Option[T] as a container III

What it means to "be able to manipulate values in a container"

Flip the two curried arguments in the type signature of map:

$$\mathsf{fmap}^{[A,B]} : (A \Rightarrow B) \Rightarrow \mathsf{Option}^A \Rightarrow \mathsf{Option}^B$$

• A function is "**lifted**" from  $A \Rightarrow B$  to Option<sup>A</sup>  $\Rightarrow$  Option<sup>B</sup> by fmap:

$$\mathsf{fmap}(f^{A\Rightarrow B}):\mathsf{Option}^A\Rightarrow\mathsf{Option}^B$$

- Being able to manipulate values means that functions behave normally when lifted, i.e. when applied within the container
- The standard properties of function composition are

$$f^{A \Rightarrow B} \circ id^{B \Rightarrow B} = f^{A \Rightarrow B}$$
$$id^{A \Rightarrow A} \circ f^{A \Rightarrow B} = f^{A \Rightarrow B}$$
$$f^{A \Rightarrow B} \circ (g^{B \Rightarrow C} \circ h^{C \Rightarrow D}) = (f^{A \Rightarrow B} \circ g^{B \Rightarrow C}) \circ h^{C \Rightarrow D}$$

and should hold for the "lifted" functions as well!

- The "identity law" already requires that  $fmap(id^{A\Rightarrow A}) = id^{Option^A} \Rightarrow Option^A$
- It remains to require that fmap should preserve function composition:

$$\mathsf{fmap}(f^{A\Rightarrow B}\circ g^{B\Rightarrow C})=\mathsf{fmap}(f^{A\Rightarrow B})\circ \mathsf{fmap}(g^{B\Rightarrow C})$$

Sergei Winitzki (ABTB)

#### Functor: the definition

An abstraction for "bare container" functionality

#### A functor is:

- a type constructor with a type parameter, e.g. MyType[T]
- such that a function map or, equivalently, fmap is available:

$$\mathsf{map}^{[A,B]} : \mathsf{MyType}^A \Rightarrow (A \Rightarrow B) \Rightarrow \mathsf{MyType}^B$$
  
 $\mathsf{fmap}^{[A,B]} : (A \Rightarrow B) \Rightarrow \mathsf{MyType}^A \Rightarrow \mathsf{MyType}^B$ 

- ullet such that the identity law and the composition law hold for any type  ${ t T}$ 
  - The laws are easier to formulate in terms of fmap:

$$fmap^{A,A}(id^A) = id^{F^A}$$
 $fmap(f \circ g) = fmap(f) \circ fmap(g)$ 

• Verify the laws for Option[A]: see test code

```
def fmap[A,B]: (A \Rightarrow B) \Rightarrow Option[A] \Rightarrow Option[B] = f \Rightarrow \{ case Some(x) \Rightarrow Some(f(x)) case None \Rightarrow None
```

# Examples of functors I

(Almost) everything that has a "map" is a functor

- Specific functors will have methods for creating them, reading values out of them, adding / removing items, waiting for items to arrive, etc.
  - Common to all functors is the map function
- Need to verify the laws!

Examples of functors in the Scala standard library:

- Option[T]
- Either[L, R] with respect to R
- Seq[T] and Iterator[T]
- the many subtypes of Seq (Range, List, Vector, IndexedSeq, etc.)
- Future[T]
- Try[T]
- Map[K, V] with respect to V (using mapValues)

Examples of non-functors that have a map:

- Set[T] it works only when T has a well-behaved "==" operation
- Map[K, V] with respect to both K and V, because it is a Set w.r.t. K

#### See test code

# Examples of functors II

#### Polynomial type constructors as functors

**1** Short notation: QueryResult<sup>A</sup> = String  $\times$  Int  $\times$  A

```
case class QueryResult[A](name: String, time: Int, data: A)
```

② Short notation:  $Vec3^A = A \times A \times A$ 

```
case class Vec3[A](x: A, y: A, z: A)
```

**3** Short notation: QueryResult<sup>A</sup> = String + String  $\times$  Int  $\times$  A

See test code

# Examples of functors III: non-functors I

Type constructors that cannot have "map"

Type constructors that consume a value of the parameter type

$$\mathsf{NotContainer}^A = (A \Rightarrow \mathsf{Int}) \times A$$

```
case class NotContainer[A](x: A \Rightarrow Int, y: A)
```

② Disjunction type constructors with non-parametric type values

```
sealed trait ServerAction[Res]
case class GetResult[Res](r: Long ⇒ Res) extends ServerAction[Res]
case class StoreId(x: Long, y: String) extends ServerAction[Long]
case class StoreName(name: String) extends ServerAction[String]
```

- The type constructor ServerAction[Res] is called a GADT ("generalized algebraic data type")
  - ▶ Not sure what the short notation should be for GADT types!

# Examples of functors III: non-functors II

They could be functors, except for incorrect implementations of "fmap"

We need:

$$fmap(f^{A\Rightarrow B}): Container^A \Rightarrow Container^B$$

- fmap(f) ignores f e.g. always returns None for Option[B]
- fmap(f) reorders data items in a container:

Container<sup>A</sup> 
$$\equiv A \times A$$
; fmap<sup>A,B</sup>  $(f^{A \Rightarrow B})(x^A, y^A) = (f(y), f(x))$ 

or swap some elements in  $A \times A \times A$ :

```
def fmap[A, B](f: A \Rightarrow B): Vec3[A] \Rightarrow Vec3[B] = { case Vec3(x, y, z) \Rightarrow Vec3(f(y), f(x), f(z)) }
```

- ① Does a special computation if types are equal: if A and B are the same type, do fmap[A, A](f) = identity, otherwise f(x) is applied
- ① Does a special computation if type is equal to a specific type, e.g. if A = B = Int then do f(f(x)) else f(x)
- **3** Does a special computation if f is equal to some  $f_0$ , otherwise use f(x)

See test code

#### Recursive polynomial types as functors

Example: List of pairs defined as a recursive type,

$$LP^A \equiv 1 + A \times A \times LP^A$$

```
sealed trait LP[A]
final case class LPempty[A]() extends LP[A]
final case class LPpair[A](x: A, y: A, tail: LP[A]) extends LP[A]
```

• We can implement map as a recursive function:

```
def fmap[A, B](f: A \Rightarrow B): LP[A] \Rightarrow LP[B] = {
  case LPempty() \Rightarrow LPempty[B]()
  case LPpair(x, y, tail) \Rightarrow LPpair[B](f(x), f(y), map(f)(tail))
}
```

• This is the only way to implement map that satisfies the functor laws!

See test code for checking the functor laws

#### Contrafunctors

• The type constructor  $C^A \equiv A \Rightarrow \text{Int is not a functor (impossible to implement map)}$ , but we can implement contrafmap:

$$\mathsf{contrafmap}^{A,B}: (B \Rightarrow A) \Rightarrow C^A \Rightarrow C^B$$

• The contrafunctor laws are analogous to functor laws:

$$\operatorname{contrafmap}^{A,A}(\operatorname{id}^A)=\operatorname{id}^{C^A}$$
 $\operatorname{contrafmap}(g\circ f)=\operatorname{contrafmap}(f)\circ\operatorname{contrafmap}(g)$ 

The "contra-" reverses the arrow between A and B

- The type parameter A is to the left of the function arrow ("consumed")
- "Functors contain data; contrafunctors consume data"

#### Example of non-contrafunctor:

• The type NotContainer<sup>A</sup> =  $(A \Rightarrow Int) \times A$  is neither a functor nor a contrafunctor

#### Covariance, contravariance, and subtyping

Example of subtyping:

```
sealed trait AtMostTwo
final case class Zero() extends AtMostTwo
final case class One(x: Int) extends AtMostTwo
final case class Two(x: Int, y: Int) extends AtMostTwo
```

- ► Here Zero, One, and Two are **subtypes** of AtMostTwo
- We can pass Two(10, 20) to a function that takes an AtMostTwo
- This is equivalent to an automatic type conversion Two ⇒ AtMostTwo
- A container C[A] is **covariant** if C[Two] is a subtype of C[AtMostTwo]
  - ▶ And then a type conversion function  $C[Two] \Rightarrow C[AtMostTwo]$  exists
- More generally, when x is a subtype of Y then we have X ⇒ Y and we need C[X] ⇒ C[Y], which is guaranteed if we have a function of type

$$(A \Rightarrow B) \Rightarrow (C^A \Rightarrow C^B)$$

• Scala supports covariance annotations on types: sealed trait C[+T]

Functors are covariant, contrafunctors are contravariant

Sergei Winitzki (ABTB)

#### Worked examples

- Decide if a type constructor is a functor, a contrafunctor, or neither
- Implement a map or a contramap function that satisfies the laws
- Define case classes for these type constructors, and implement map:

  - 2 Data<sup>A</sup>  $\equiv 1 + A \times (Int \times String + A)$
  - **9**  $\mathsf{Data}^A \equiv (\mathsf{String} \Rightarrow \mathsf{Int} \Rightarrow A) \times A + (\mathsf{Boolean} \Rightarrow \mathsf{Double} \Rightarrow A) \times A$
- ② Decide which of these type constructors are functors or contrafunctors, and implement fmap or contrafmap respectively:
- Rewrite this code in the short notation; identify covariant and contravariant type usages; verify that with Scala:

```
sealed trait Coi[A, B]
case class Pa[A, B] (b: (A, B), c: B\Rightarrow Int) extends Coi[A, B]
case class Re[A, B] (d: A, e: B, c: Int) extends Coi[A, B]
case class Ci[A, B] (f: String\Rightarrow A, g: B\Rightarrow A) extends Coi[A, B]
```

#### Exercises

Define case classes for these type constructors, decide if they are covariant or contravariant, and implement map or contravap as needed:

- Data<sup>A</sup>  $\equiv$   $(1+A) \times (1+A) \times String$
- 2 Data<sup>A</sup>  $\equiv$  (A  $\Rightarrow$  String)  $\Rightarrow$  (A  $\times$  (Int + A))
- 3 Data $^{A,B} \equiv (A \Rightarrow \mathsf{String}) \times ((A + B) \Rightarrow \mathsf{Int})$
- **5** Data<sup>B</sup>  $\equiv$  (B + (Int  $\Rightarrow$  B))  $\times$  (B + (String  $\Rightarrow$  B))
- Rewrite this code in the short notation; identify covariant and contravariant type usages; verify that with Scala:

```
sealed trait Result[A] case class P[A] (a: A, b: String, c: Int) extends Result[A] case class Q[A] (d: Int\RightarrowA, e: Int\RightarrowString) extends Result[A] case class R[A] (f: A\Rightarrow A, g: A\RightarrowString) extends Result[A]
```