

Chapter 3: The Logic of Types

Sergei Winitzki

Academy by the Bay

November 22, 2017

Types and syntax of functions returning functions

“Curried functions” in Scala

- A function that returns a function:

```
def logWith(topic: String): (String ⇒ Unit) = {  
  x ⇒ println(s"$topic: $x")  
}
```

- Calling this function:

```
val statusLogger: (String ⇒ Unit) = logWith("Result status")  
  
primaryLogger("success")
```

- One-line syntax for calling: `logWith("Result status")("success")`
- Alternative syntax (“Curried” function):

```
val logWith: String ⇒ String ⇒ Unit =  
  topic ⇒ x ⇒ println(s"$topic: $x")
```

- Syntax convention: $x \Rightarrow y \Rightarrow z$ means $x \Rightarrow (y \Rightarrow z)$

Functions with fully parametric types

“No argument type left non-parametric”

Compare these two functions (note tuple type syntax):

```
def hypotenuse = (x: Double, y: Double) ⇒ math.sqrt(x*x + y*y)
def swap: ((Double, Double)) ⇒ (Double, Double) =
  { case (x, y) ⇒ (y, x) }
```

- We can fully parameterize the argument types for `swap`:

```
def swap[X, Y]: ((X, Y)) ⇒ (Y, X) = { case (x, y) ⇒ (y, x) }
```

- (The first function is too specific to generalize the argument types.)
- Note: Scala does not support a `val` with a parametric type
 - ▶ Instead we can use `def` or parametric classes/traits
- More examples:

```
def identity[T]: (T ⇒ T) = x ⇒ x
def const[C, X]: (C ⇒ X ⇒ C) = c ⇒ x ⇒ c
def compose[X, Y, Z](f: X ⇒ Y, g: Y ⇒ Z): X ⇒ Z = x ⇒ g(f(x))
```

- Functions with fully parametric types *are* actually useful!

Worked examples

- For the functions `const` and `identity` defined above, what is `const(identity)` and what is its type? Write out the type parameters.
- Define a function `twice` that takes a function f as its argument and returns a *function* that applies f twice. For example, `twice(x \Rightarrow x+3)` should return a function equivalent to `x \Rightarrow x+6`. Find the type of `twice`.
- What does `twice(twice)` do? Test your answer on the expression `twice(twice[Int])(x \Rightarrow x+3)(10)`. What are the type parameters here?
- Implement a function that applies a given function f repeatedly to an initial value x_0 , until a given condition function `cond` returns true:

```
def converge[X](f: X  $\Rightarrow$  X, x0: X, cond: X  $\Rightarrow$  Boolean): X = ???
```
- Take a function with two arguments, fix the value of the first argument, and return the function of the remaining one argument. Define this operation as a function with fully parametric types:

```
def firstArg[X, Y, Z](f: (X, Y)  $\Rightarrow$  Z, x0: X): Y  $\Rightarrow$  Z = ???
```
- Infer missing types: `def p[...]:... = f \Rightarrow f(2)`. Does `f(f)` compile?
- Infer missing types: `def p[...]:... = f \Rightarrow g \Rightarrow g(f)`

Exercises I

- For the function `identity` defined above, what is `identity(identity)` and what is its type? Same question for `identity(const)`.
- For the function `const` above, what is `const(const)`, what is its type?
- For the function `twice` above, what does `twice(twice(twice)))` do? Test your answer on an example.
- Define a function `thrice` that applies its argument function 3 times, similarly to `twice`. What does `thrice(thrice(thrice)))` do?
- Define a function `once` that applies a given function n times.
- Take a function with two arguments, and define a function of these two arguments swapped. Package this functionality as a function `swapFunc` with fully parametric types. To test:

```
def f(x: Int, y: Int) = x - y // check that f(10, 2) gives 8  
val g = swapFunc(f) // now check that g(10, 2) gives - 8
```
- Infer missing types: `def r[...]:... = f ⇒ f(g ⇒ g(f))`
- Infer missing types: `def s[...]:... = f ⇒ g ⇒ g(x ⇒ f(g(x)))`

Tuples with names: “case classes”

- Pair of values: `val a: (Int, String) = (123, "xyz")`
- For convenience, we can define a name for this type:
`type MyPair = (Int, String); val a: MyPair = (123, "xyz")`
- We can define a name for each value and also for the type:
`case class MySocks(size: Double, color: String)
val a: MySocks = MySocks(10.5, "white")`
- Case classes can be nested:
`case class BagOfSocks(socks: MySocks, count: Int)
val bag = BagOfSocks(MySocks(10.5, "white"), 6)`
- Parts of the case class can be accessed by name:
`val c: String = bag.socks.color`
- Parts can be given in any order by using names:
`val y = MySocks(color = "black", size = 11.0)`
- Default values can be defined for parts:
`case class Shirt(color: String = "blue", hasHoles: Boolean = false)
val sock = Shirt(hasHoles = true)`

Tuples with one element and with zero elements

- A tuple type expression `(Int, String)` is special syntax for parameterized type `Tuple2[Int, String]`
- Case class with no parts is called a “case object”
- What are tuples with one element or with zero elements?
 - ▶ There is no `Tuple0` – it is a special type called `Unit`

Tuples	Case classes
<code>(123, "xyz"): Tuple2[Int, String]</code>	<code>case class A(x: Int, y: String)</code>
<code>(123,): Tuple1[Int]</code>	<code>case class B(z: Int)</code>
<code>(): Unit</code>	<code>case object C</code>

- Case classes can have one or more type parameters:
`case class Pairs[A, B](left: A, right: B, count: Int)`
- The “`Tuple`” types could be defined by this code:
`case class Tuple2[A, B](_1: A, _2: B)`

Pattern-matching syntax for case classes

Scala allows pattern matching in two places:

- `val pattern = ...` (value assignment)
- `case pattern ⇒ ...` (partial function)

Examples with case classes:

- ```
val a = MySocks(10.5, "white")
val MySocks(x, y) = a
```
- ```
val f: BagOfSocks⇒Int = { case BagOfSocks(MySocks(s, c), z)⇒...}
```
- ```
def f(b: BagOfSocks): String = b match {
 case BagOfSocks(MySocks(s, c), z) ⇒ c
}
```
- Note: `s`, `c`, `z` are defined as **pattern variables** of correct types



# Disjunction types

- Motivational examples:
  - ▶ The roots of a quadratic equation are either a pair, or one, or none
  - ▶ Binary search gives either a found value and an index, or nothing
  - ▶ Computations that give a value or an error with a text message
  - ▶ Computer game states: several kinds of rooms, types players, etc.
    - ★ Each kind of room may have different sets of properties
- We would like to be able to represent *disjunctions* of sets
  - ▶ A value that is *either* (`Complex`, `Complex`) or `Complex` or empty `()`
  - ▶ A value that is *either* (`Int`, `Int`) or empty `()`
  - ▶ A value that is *either* an `Int` value or a `String` error message
  - ▶ A value that is one case class out of a number of case classes
- Disjunction types represent such values as types

## Disjunction type: Either[A, B]

Example: `Either[String, Int]` (may be used for error reporting)

- Represents a value that is *either* a `String` or an `Int` (but not both)
- Example values: `Left("blah")` or `Right(123)`
- Use pattern matching to distinguish “left” from “right”:

```
def logError(x: Either[String, Int]): Int = x match {
 case Left(error) ⇒ println(s"Got error: $error"); -1
 case Right(res) ⇒ res
} // Left("blah") and Right(123) are possible values of type Either[String, Int]
```

- Now `logError(Right(123))` returns `123` while `logError(Left("bad result"))` prints the error and returns `-1`
- The `case` expression chooses among possible values of a given type
  - ▶ Note the similarity with this code:

```
def f(x: Int): Int = x match {
 case 0 ⇒ println(s"error: must be nonzero"); -1
 case 1 ⇒ println(s"error: must be greater than 1"); -1
 case res ⇒ res
} // 0 and 1 are possible values of type Int
```

# More general disjunction types: using case classes

A future version of Scala 3 has a short syntax for disjunction types:

- `type MyIntOrStr = Int | String`
- more generally, `type MyType = List[Int] | (Int, Boolean) | MySocks`
  - ▶ Some libraries (scalaz, cats, shapeless) also provide shorter syntax

For now, in Scala 2, we use the “long syntax”:

(specify names for each case and for each part, use “`trait`” / “`extends`”)

```
sealed trait MyType
final case class HaveListInt(x: List[Int]) extends MyType
final case class HaveIntBool(s: Int, b: Boolean) extends MyType
final case class HaveSocks(socks: MySocks) extends MyType
```

Pattern-matching example:

```
val x: MyType = if (...) HaveSocks(...) else HaveListInt(...)
... // some other code here
x match {
 case HaveListInt(lst) ⇒ ...
 case HaveIntBool(p, q) ⇒ ...
 case HaveSocks(s) ⇒ ...
}
```

# The most used disjunction type: `Option[T]`

A simple implementation:

```
sealed trait Option[T]
final case class Some[T](t: T) extends Option[T]
final case object None extends Option[Nothing]
```

Pattern-matching example:

```
def safeDivide(x: Double, y: Double): Option[Double] = {
 if (y == 0) None else Some(x / y)
}
// Example usage:
val result = safeDivide(1.0, q) match {
 case Some(x) => previousResult * x
 case None => previousResult // provide a default value
}
```

Many Scala library functions return an `Option[T]`

- `find`, `headOption`, `reduceOption`, `get` (for `Map[K, V]`), etc.
  - ▶ Note: `Option[T]` is “collection-like”: has `map`, `flatMap`, `filter`, `exists`...

# Worked examples

- What problems can we solve now?

# Exercises II

1 a

# Types and propositional logic

## The Curry-Howard correspondence

This code: `val x: T = ...` means that *we can compute a value* of type `T` as part of our program

- Let's denote this *proposition* by  $\mathcal{CH}(T)$  – “Code Has a value of type `T`”
- We have the following correspondence:

| Type                                      | Proposition                                 | Short notation    |
|-------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|-------------------|
| <code>T</code>                            | $\mathcal{CH}(T)$                           | $T$               |
| <code>(A, B)</code>                       | $\mathcal{CH}(A)$ and $\mathcal{CH}(B)$     | $A \times B$      |
| <code>Either[A, B]</code>                 | $\mathcal{CH}(A)$ or $\mathcal{CH}(B)$      | $A \oplus B$      |
| <code>A <math>\Rightarrow</math> B</code> | $\mathcal{CH}(A)$ implies $\mathcal{CH}(B)$ | $A \Rightarrow B$ |
| <code>Unit</code>                         | <i>true</i>                                 | 1                 |
| <code>Nothing</code>                      | <i>false</i>                                | 0                 |

- type parameter `[T]` means  $\forall T$ , for example the type of the function  
`def dupl[A](x: A): (A, A)` corresponds to the (valid) proposition:

$$\forall A : A \Rightarrow A \times A$$

# Working with the CH correspondence

- Example 1:

```
sealed trait UserAction
case class SetName(first: String, last: String) extends UserAction
case class SetEmail(email: String) extends UserAction
case class SetUserId(id: Long) extends UserAction
```

- Short notation:  $\text{UserAction} = (\text{String} \times \text{String}) \oplus \text{String} \oplus \text{Long}$

- Example 2: parametric type

```
sealed trait Either3[A, B, C]
case class Left[A, B, C](x: A) extends Either3[A, B, C]
case class Middle[A, B, C](x: B) extends Either3[A, B, C]
case class Right[A, B, C](x: C) extends Either3[A, B, C]
```

- Short notation:  $\forall A \forall B \forall C : \text{Either3}[A, B, C] = A \oplus B \oplus C$



# Working with the CH correspondence

Using known properties of propositional logic

- Some standard identities in logic:

$$A \times 1 = A$$

$$A + 1 = 1$$

$$(A \times B) \times C = A \times (B \times C)$$

$$(A \oplus B) \oplus C = A \oplus (B \oplus C)$$

$$A \times (B \oplus C) = (A \times B) \oplus (A \times C)$$

$$A \oplus (B \times C) = (A \oplus B) \times (A \oplus C)$$

- Each identity gives functions that map both ways
- Some of these identities yield *isomorphisms of types*
  - ▶ Which ones do *not* yield isomorphisms, and why?

# Working with the CH correspondence

## Algebraic computations with types

- Example 3: Recursive type

```
sealed trait IntList
final case object Empty extends IntList
final case class Nonempty(head: Int, tail: IntList) extends IntList
```

- Short notation: (the sign “ $\equiv$ ” means type isomorphism)

$$\begin{aligned}\text{IntList} &\equiv 1 \oplus \text{Int} \times \text{IntList} \equiv 1 \oplus \text{Int} \times (1 \oplus \text{Int} \times (1 \oplus \text{Int} \times (\dots)\dots)) \\ &\equiv 1 \oplus \text{Int} \oplus \text{Int} \times \text{Int} \oplus \text{Int} \times \text{Int} \times \text{Int} \oplus \dots\end{aligned}$$

- Recursive list of integers  $\equiv$  disjunction of empty, list of 1 integer, list of 2 integers, etc.

# Working with the CH correspondence

- Any valid proposition can be implemented in code

| Proposition                                             | Code                                                     |
|---------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|
| $\forall A : A \Rightarrow A$                           | <code>def identity[A](x:A):A = x</code>                  |
| $\forall A : A \Rightarrow 1$                           | <code>def toUnit[A](x:A): Unit = ()</code>               |
| $\forall A \forall B : A \Rightarrow A \oplus B$        | <code>def inLeft[A,B](x:A): Either[A,B] = Left(x)</code> |
| $\forall A \forall B : A \times B \Rightarrow A$        | <code>def first[A,B](p:(A,B)):A = p._1</code>            |
| $\forall A \forall B : A \Rightarrow (B \Rightarrow A)$ | <code>def const[A,B](x:A):B⇒A = (y:B)⇒x</code>           |

- Invalid propositions *cannot be implemented* in code

- ▶ Examples:

$$\forall A : 1 \Rightarrow A; \forall A \forall B : A \oplus B \Rightarrow A;$$

$$\forall A \forall B : A \Rightarrow A \times B; \quad \forall A \forall B : (A \Rightarrow B) \Rightarrow A$$

- Given a type, can we decide whether it is implementable?

- ▶ Example:  $\forall A \forall B : (((A \Rightarrow B) \Rightarrow B) \Rightarrow A) \Rightarrow B$

★ Pure propositional logic has a decision algorithm

# Worked examples

- What problems can we solve now?

# Exercises III

1 a

# Working with the CH correspondence

## Implications for designing new programming languages

- The CH correspondence maps the type system of each programming language into a certain system of logical propositions
- Scala, Haskell, OCaml, F#, Swift, Rust, etc. are mapped into the full constructive logic (all logical operations are available)
  - ▶ C, C++, Java, C#, etc. are mapped to *incomplete logics* – without “or” and without “true” / “false”
  - ▶ Python, JavaScript, Ruby, Clojure, etc. have only one type (“any value”) and are mapped to logics with only one proposition
- The CH correspondence is a principle for designing type systems:
  - ▶ Choose a complete logic, free of inconsistency
    - ★ Mathematicians have studied all kinds of logics and determined which ones are interesting, and found the minimal sets of axioms for them
  - ▶ Provide a type constructor for each basic operation (e.g. “or”, “and”)

# Working with the CH correspondence

## Implications for actually writing code

What problems can we solve now?

- Given a fully parametric type, decide whether it can be implemented in code (“type is inhabited”); if so, *generate* the code
  - ▶ The **Gentzen-Vorobiev-Hudelmaier algorithms** and generalizations
- Given some code, infer the most general type it can have
  - ▶ The **Damas-Hindley-Milner algorithm** (**Scala code**) and generalizations