Chapter 5: Type classes and their applications

Sergei Winitzki

Academy by the Bay

January 14, 2018

Motivation for type classes I: Restricting type arguments

We need different sum implementations for Seq[Int], Seq[Double], etc.

• But we cannot generalize sum to arbitrary types T like this:

```
def sum[T](s: Seq[T]): T = ???
```

This can work only for T that have a zero value and a + method

We cannot generalize fmap to arbitrary type constructors F[_]:

```
def fmap[F[], A, B](f: A \Rightarrow B): F[A] \Rightarrow F[B] = ???
```

This can work only for type constructors F[_] that are functors

We would like to define functions whose type arguments, such as \mathtt{T} or $\mathtt{F}[\]$, are constrained to belong to a *certain subset* of possible types

- We could then use the guaranteed properties of these type arguments
- We would like to add new supported types as needed
 - ▶ This is similar to partial functions but at type level

Motivation for type classes II: Partial type-level functions

- Functions can be total or partial
 - ▶ Total function: has a result for all argument values
 - ▶ Partial function: has *no result* for *some* argument values
- Also, functions can be, in principle, {from/to} {values/types}:

function:	from value	from type
to value	def f(x: Int): Int	def point[A]: A ⇒ List[A]
to type	dependent type	<pre>type Data[A] = Either[Int, A]</pre>

- value-to-value = run time, type-to-* = compile time
 - ▶ if we use JVM reflection, type-to-* can become run-time (boo!)

partial function:	from value (PF)	from type (PTTF; PTVF)
example:	{ case Some(x) \Rightarrow }	GADTs; implicitly[T]
when misapplied:	exception at run time	error at compile time

- Type classes are a systematic way of managing your PTFs
 - ▶ It is safe to apply a PTF to type T if T "belongs to a certain type class"

Example of uses of PFs: The caveats

Filter a Seq[Either[Int, Boolean]], then apply map with a PF:

```
val s: Seq[Int] = Seq( Left(1), Right(true), Left(2) )
   .filter(_.isLeft) // result here is still of type Seq[Either[...]]
   .map { case Left(x) \Rightarrow x } // result is of type Seq[Int] but unsafe
```

- "We know" it is okay to apply this PF here...
 - ▶ But the types do not show this, compile-time checking doesn't help
 - ▶ If refactored, the code may become wrong and break at run time
- The type-safe version uses .collect instead of .filter().map():

```
val s: Seq[Int] = Seq( Left(1), Right(true), Left(2) )
   .collect { case Left(x) \( \neq \) x } // result is safe, of type Seq[Int]
```

- PFs are only safe to use in certain places, such as .collect()
 - ▶ In all other cases, value-level functions should better be total
 - ► Can use "refined" types such as "non-empty list", "positive number" etc.

```
def f(xs: NonEmptyList[Int]) = {
  val h = xs.head // safe and checked at compile time
}
```

Managing PTFs by hand I: GADTs

PTTFs: Partial Type-to-Type Functions

A type constructor that accepts only certain types as parameters:

```
sealed trait MyTC[Z] // "sealed" - user code can't add cases
final case class Case1(d: Double) extends MyTC[Int]
final case class Case2() extends MyTC[String] // whatever
```

- It looks like we have defined MyTC[Z] for any type Z?...
 - actually, we can only ever create values of MyTC[Int] or MyTC[String]
- So MyTC^Z is a PTTF defined only for $Z \in \{Int; String\}$
- This type domain is checked and enforced at compile time!
- When to use GADTs:
 - for domain modeling (e.g. queries with a fixed set of result types)
 - for DSLs that represent typed expressions
- Instead of GADTs, a PTTF can be a trait with implementation code

```
trait MyPTTF[Z] {...} // not "sealed" - user code may extend
class C1(...) extends MyPTTF[Int] {...} // arbitrary code
```

Managing PTFs by hand II: Traits with inheritance

PTVFs: Partial Type-to-Value Functions - the object-oriented way

A trait with methods and a few implementations:

```
trait HasPlus[Z] {
  def plus(z1: Z, z2: Z): Z
}
implicit object CaseInt extends HasPlus[Int] {
  def plus(z1: Int, z2: Int): Int = z1 + z2
}
implicit object CaseString extends HasPlus[String] {
  def plus(z1: String, z2: String): String = z1 + z2
}
```

- Similar to having defined plus[Z] only for Int and String
- Limitations:
 - ► We can only access plus() via a value of type HasPlus[Z]
 - ▶ All PTVFs must be declared up front in the trait
 - ★ Not compositional, cannot combine different PTVFs
 - ★ Cannot add new PTVFs later

Managing PTFs by hand III: "Type Evidence" arguments PTVFs: Partial Type-to-Value Functions – the general case

To define a function def func[T](...) only for certain types T:

- Oreate a PTTF defined only for the relevant types T, e.g. IsGood[T]
- Add an extra argument of type IsGood[T] (type evidence) to func[T]
- Treate some values of types IsGood[T] for relevant types T as needed What we gained:
 - it is now impossible to call func[T] with an unsupported type T
 - trying to do so will fail at compile time, because TE won't type-check
 - If IsGood[T] is not sealed, more types T can be added via user code

The cost:

- all calls to func now need TE values as extra argument(s)
- we need to keep passing the TE values around the code
- ullet the TE values need to be created for each supported type ${ t T}$

How we mitigate this problem in Scala: use implicit values

- TE arguments are explicit only at declaration site of func
- Once defined as implicit, TE values are passed around automatically
- New TE values can be often built up automatically (and recursively!)

Scala's mechanism of "implicit values"

Implicit values are:

- declared as implicit val x: SomeType = ...
- automatically passed into functions that declare extra arguments as

```
def f(args...)(implicit x: SomeType) = ...
```

- searched in local scope, imports, companion objects, parent classes
- standard library has def implicitly[T](implicit t: T): T = t

Special syntax for declaring implicit TE arguments in a PTVF:

```
def func[T: MyTypeClass](args...) = ...
```

This is equivalent to

```
def func[T](args...)(implicit ev: MyTypeClass[T]) = ...
```

We still need to:

- declare MyTypeClass[T] as a PTTF elsewhere
- create TE values of various types and declare them as implicit

Type classes I: The definition

A type class is a set of PTVFs that all have the same type domain

- In terms of specific code to be written, a type class is:
 - ① a PTTF, e.g. MyTypeClass[T], defining the type domain, together with
 - 2 some code (usually, library imports) that creates some TE values, and
 - one or more PTVFs that use this PTTF for their type domain
 - for many important use cases, the PTVFs must also satisfy certain laws
- A type T "belongs to the type class MyTypeClass" if a TE value exists
 - ▶ i.e. if *some* implicit value of type MyTypeClass[T] can be found
 - ★ (usually, as a library import, or in the companion object of T)
 - more than one available TE value is a compile-time error!
- A function func[T] "requires the type class MyTypeClass for T" if one of func's arguments is a value of type MyTypeClass[T]
 - ▶ that argument is the **type class instance** for the type parameter T
 - ▶ this **constrains** the type parameter T to **belong to** the type class

Type classes II: Implementation in Scala

A type class is typically implemented in Scala as:

- trait with a type parameter, e.g. trait MyTypeClass[T]
- code that creates values of type MyTypeClass[T] for various T
 - these values are declared as implicit and made available via imports or in the companion objects for the specific types T
- some functions with an implicit argument of type MyTypeClass[T]
 - ▶ these functions are usually def methods in a trait, but don't have to be
 - laws for these functions may need to be enforced by property tests

Usually, all information about the type ${\tt T}$ is contained in the TE value

- the trait MyTypeClass[T] contains all relevant PTVFs as def's
- in simpler cases, TE can be a data type (not a trait with def methods)
 - ▶ a trait with def methods is necessary for higher-order type functions

See example code

Examples of type classes I

Some simple PTFs and their use cases

- A type T is pointed if there exists a function point: T
 - ▶ This is a special, somehow "naturally" selected value of that type
 - ★ Examples: 0: Int, "": String, identity: A ⇒ A
- ullet A type ${ t T}$ is a **semigroup** if it has an *associative* binary operation

```
def op(x: T, y: T): T
```

• A type T is a monoid if there exist functions

```
def zero[T]: T
def append[T](x: T, y: T): T
```

- such that the usual algebraic laws hold:
 - append is associative
 - ▶ append(zero, x) == append(x, zero) == x

See examples of implementing the Monoid type class in various ways

- by using a case class as a PTTF
- by combining Pointed and Semigroup ("derived" instance)

Examples of type classes II Higher-order PTFs

- A type constructor F^A is a functor if it has a map
 - or, equivalently, fmap
 - that satisfies the functor laws
- We would like to write a generic function that tests the functor laws

```
def checkFunctorLaws[F[_], A, B, C](): Assertion = ???
```

- Need to get access to the function map[A, B] for F[_]
- We treat map as a PTVF whose type domain is all functors F[_]:

```
def map[F[], A, B](fa: F[A], f: A \Rightarrow B): F[B] = ???
```

- We constrain F[_] to belong to the Functor type class
 - by adding implicit ev: Functor[F]
 - ★ here Functor[F] is a higher-order PTTF

See test code for implementation and functor laws checking

Types and kinds

Compare (value-to-value vs. type-to-value functions):

- the type of a function's argument is the set of admissible values
 - ▶ the function can be applied safely if its argument is of the correct type def f(x: Option[Int]) = ...
- the type domain of a PTVF is the set of admissible types
 - "type domain" is also called the kind
- ▶ the PTVF can be applied safely if its type argument is of the right kind def func[T](args...)(implicit ev: MyTypeClass[T]) = ...
- In both cases, the function call safety is guaranteed at compile time Kinds are the "type system for types"
 - a type class MyTypeClass defines a new kind (as a set of types)
 - suggested notation: (*: MyTypeClass)
 - another available kind is the type constructor kind, e.g.: F[_]
 - note: the F in F[T] is a different kind of type than T
 - ightharpoonup example: type Ap[F[_], T] = F[T] will not compile with wrong kinds
 - ★ suggested notation: Ap: (* → *, *) → *

Scala's syntax for "implicit methods"

Available syntax for Scala functions:

- ① as in ordinary math: func(x, y) or func(x, y)(z) etc.
- as "method": x.func(y) or equivalently, x func y
 - ▶ this is similar to func(x)(y) but is implemented differently

It is often convenient to use PTVFs syntactically as methods

```
def func[T: MyTypeClass](t: T)(args...) = ...
val t: T = ...
func(t)(args) // that's how we have to call func()
// but instead we want to be able to write t.func(args)
```

To implement this in Scala:

- declare func as a method on a new trait or class, say MyTCMethods[T]
- declare an implicit conversion function from T to MyTCMethods[T]
 - ▶ to reduce the necessary coding, use an implicit class

What we gained:

- the PTVF appears as a method *only* on values of the relevant types
- the new syntax is defined automatically on all the relevant types T

See example code

Worked examples

- Define a PTVF def bitsize[T]: Int such that bitsize[Int] returns 32 and bitsize[Long] returns 64; bitsize[T] is undefined on other types T
- ② Define a monoid instance for the type $1 + (String \Rightarrow String)$
- **3** Assuming that A and B are monoids, define monoid instance for $A \times B$
- **②** Show: If A is a monoid and B is a semigroup then A + B is a monoid
- Define a functor instance for type F[T] = Seq[Try[T]]
- **1** Define a Cats' Bifunctor instance for $Q^{X,Y} \equiv X + X \times Y$
- Define a ContraFunctor type class having contrafmap:

```
def contrafmap[A, B](f: B \Rightarrow A): C[A] \Rightarrow C[B] = ???
```

Define a ContraFunctor instance for type constructor $C^A \equiv A \Rightarrow Int$

- **3** Define functor instance for recursive type $Q^A \equiv (\operatorname{Int} \Rightarrow A) + \operatorname{Int} + Q^A$
- * If F^A and G^A are functors, define functor instance for $F^A + G^A$

Exercises

- Define a PTVF def isLong[T]: Boolean that returns true for Long and Double, returns false for Int, Short, and Float, otherwise undefined
- 2 Define a monoid instance for the type $\mathsf{String} \times (\mathsf{1} + \mathsf{Int})$
- **3** If A is a monoid and R any type, define monoid instance for $R \Rightarrow A$
- Show: If s is a semigroup then Option[S] is a monoid
- 5 Define a functor instance for type F[T] = Future[Seq[T]]
- **1** Define a Cats' Bifunctor instance for $B^{X,Y} \equiv (\operatorname{Int} \Rightarrow X) + Y \times Y$
- O Define a ProFunctor type class having dimap:

```
\texttt{def dimap[A, B](f: A \Rightarrow B, g: B \Rightarrow A): F[A] \Rightarrow F[B] = ???}
```

Define a ProFunctor instance for $P^A \equiv A \Rightarrow (\operatorname{Int} \Rightarrow A)$

- **3** Define a functor instance for recursive type $Q^A \equiv \text{String} + A \times Q^A$
- lacktriangle * If F^A and G^A are functors, define functor instance for $F^A \times G^A$
- * Define a functor instance for $F^A \Rightarrow G^A$ where F^A is a contrafunctor (use Cats' Contravariant type class for F^A) and G^A is a functor