

# Chapter 7: Computations lifted to a functor context II. Monads

## Part 2: Laws and structure of semimonads

Sergei Winitzki

Academy by the Bay

2018-03-11

# Semimonad laws I: The intuitions

What properties of functor block programs do we expect to have?

- In  $x \leftarrow c$ , the value of  $x$  will *go over items* held in container  $c$
- Manipulating items in container is followed by a generator:

$x \leftarrow \text{cont1}$	$y \leftarrow \text{cont1}$
$y = f(x)$	$\text{.map}(x \Rightarrow f(x))$
$z \leftarrow \text{cont2}(y)$	$z \leftarrow \text{cont2}(y)$

$\text{cont1.flatMap}(x \Rightarrow \text{cont2}(f(x))) = \text{cont1.map}(f).\text{flatMap}(y \Rightarrow \text{cont2}(y))$

- Manipulating items in container is preceded by a generator:

$x \leftarrow \text{cont1}$	$x \leftarrow \text{cont1}$
$y \leftarrow \text{cont2}(x)$	$z \leftarrow \text{cont2}(x)$
$z = f(y)$	$\text{.map}(f)$

$\text{cont1.flatMap}(\text{cont2}).\text{map}(f) = \text{cont1.flatMap}(x \Rightarrow \text{cont2}(x).\text{map}(f))$

- After  $x \leftarrow \text{cont}$ , further computations will use *all those*  $x$

$x \leftarrow \text{cont}$	$y \leftarrow \text{for } \{ x \leftarrow \text{cont}$
$y \leftarrow p(x)$	$\text{yy} \leftarrow p(x) \} \text{yield yy}$
$z \leftarrow \text{cont2}(y)$	$z \leftarrow \text{cont2}(y)$

$\text{cont.flatMap}(x \Rightarrow p(x).\text{flatMap}(\text{cont2})) = \text{cont.flatMap}(p).\text{flatMap}(\text{cont2})$

# Semimonad laws II: The laws for `flatMap`

To get a more concise notation, use `flm` instead of `flatMap`

A **semimonad**  $S^A$  has  $\text{flm}^{[S, A, B]} : (A \Rightarrow S^B) \Rightarrow S^A \Rightarrow S^B$  with 3 laws:

❶  $\text{flm} (f^{A \Rightarrow B} \circ g^{B \Rightarrow S^C}) = \text{fmap } f \circ \text{flm } g$  (naturality in  $A$ )

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & S^B & \\ \text{fmap } f^{A \Rightarrow B} \nearrow & & \searrow \text{flm } g^{B \Rightarrow S^C} \\ S^A & \xRightarrow{\text{flm } (f^{A \Rightarrow B} \circ g^{B \Rightarrow S^C})} & S^C \end{array}$$

❷  $\text{flm} (f^{A \Rightarrow S^B} \circ \text{fmap } g^{B \Rightarrow C}) = \text{flm } f \circ \text{fmap } g$  (naturality in  $B$ )

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & S^B & \\ \text{flm } f^{A \Rightarrow S^B} \nearrow & & \searrow \text{fmap } g^{B \Rightarrow C} \\ S^A & \xRightarrow{\text{flm } (f^{A \Rightarrow S^B} \circ \text{fmap } g^{B \Rightarrow C})} & S^C \end{array}$$

❸  $\text{flm} (f^{A \Rightarrow S^B} \circ \text{flm } g^{B \Rightarrow S^C}) = \text{flm } f \circ \text{flm } g$  (associativity)

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & S^B & \\ \text{flm } f^{A \Rightarrow S^B} \nearrow & & \searrow \text{flm } g^{B \Rightarrow S^C} \\ S^A & \xRightarrow{\text{flm } (f^{A \Rightarrow S^B} \circ \text{flm } g^{B \Rightarrow S^C})} & S^C \end{array}$$

Is there a shorter formulation of the laws?

# Semimonad laws III: The laws for `flatten`

The methods `flatten` (denoted by `ftn`) and `flatMap` are equivalent:

$$\text{ftn}^{[S,A]} : S^{S^A} \Rightarrow S^A = \text{flm}^{[S,S^A,A]}(m^{S^A} \Rightarrow m)$$

$$\text{flm}(f^{A \Rightarrow S^B}) = \text{fmap } f \circ \text{ftn}$$

A commutative triangle diagram. The top-left node is  $S^A$ , the top-right node is  $S^{S^B}$ , and the bottom-right node is  $S^B$ . An arrow labeled  $\text{fmap } f^{A \Rightarrow S^B}$  points from  $S^A$  to  $S^{S^B}$ . An arrow labeled  $\text{ftn}$  points from  $S^{S^B}$  to  $S^B$ . A diagonal arrow labeled  $\text{flm}(f^{A \Rightarrow S^B})$  points from  $S^A$  to  $S^B$ .

It turns out that `flatten` has only 2 laws:

- ❶  $\text{fmap}(\text{fmap } f^{A \Rightarrow B}) \circ \text{ftn}^{[S,B]} = \text{ftn}^{[S,A]} \circ \text{fmap } f$  (naturality)

A commutative diagram with four nodes. The top-left node is  $S^{S^A}$ , the top-right node is  $S^{S^B}$ , the bottom-left node is  $S^A$ , and the bottom-right node is  $S^B$ . An arrow labeled  $\text{fmap}(\text{fmap } f^{A \Rightarrow B})$  points from  $S^{S^A}$  to  $S^{S^B}$ . An arrow labeled  $\text{ftn}^{[S,B]}$  points from  $S^{S^B}$  to  $S^B$ . An arrow labeled  $\text{ftn}^{[S,A]}$  points from  $S^{S^A}$  to  $S^A$ . An arrow labeled  $\text{fmap } f^{A \Rightarrow B}$  points from  $S^A$  to  $S^B$ .

- ❷  $\text{fmap}(\text{ftn}^{[S,A]}) \circ \text{ftn}^{[S,S^A]} = \text{ftn}^{[S,S^A]} \circ \text{ftn}^{[S,A]}$  (associativity)

A commutative diagram with four nodes. The top-left node is  $S^{S^{S^A}}$ , the top-right node is  $S^{S^A}$ , the bottom-left node is  $S^{S^A}$ , and the bottom-right node is  $S^A$ . An arrow labeled  $\text{fmap}(\text{ftn}^{[S,A]})$  points from  $S^{S^{S^A}}$  to  $S^{S^A}$ . An arrow labeled  $\text{ftn}^{[S,A]}$  points from  $S^{S^A}$  to  $S^A$ . An arrow labeled  $\text{ftn}^{[S,S^A]}$  points from  $S^{S^{S^A}}$  to  $S^{S^A}$ . An arrow labeled  $\text{ftn}^{[S,A]}$  points from  $S^{S^A}$  to  $S^A$ .

# Equivalence of a natural transformation and a “lifting”

- Equivalence of  $\text{flm}$  and  $\text{ftn}$ :  $\text{ftn} = \text{flm}(\text{id})$ ;  $\text{flm } f = \text{fmap } f \circ \text{ftn}$
- We saw this before:  $\text{deflate} = \text{fmapOpt}(\text{id})$ ;  $\text{fmapOpt } f = \text{fmap } f \circ \text{deflate}$ 
  - ▶ Is there a general pattern where two such functions are equivalent?
- Let  $\text{tr} : F^{G^A} \Rightarrow F^A$  be a natural transformation ( $F$  and  $G$  are functors)
- Define  $\text{ftr} : (A \Rightarrow G^B) \Rightarrow F^A \Rightarrow F^B$  by  $\text{ftr } f = \text{fmap } f \circ \text{tr}$
- It follows that  $\text{tr} = \text{ftr}(\text{id})$ , and we have equivalence between  $\text{tr}$  and  $\text{ftr}$ :

$$\text{tr} : F^{G^A} \Rightarrow F^A = \text{ftr}(m^{G^A} \Rightarrow m)$$

$$\text{ftr}(f^{A \Rightarrow G^B}) = \text{fmap } f \circ \text{tr}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & F^{G^B} & \\ \text{fmap } f^{A \Rightarrow G^B} \nearrow & & \searrow \text{tr} \\ F^A & \xRightarrow{\text{ftr}(f^{A \Rightarrow G^B})} & F^B \end{array}$$

- An automatic law for  $\text{ftr}$  (“naturality in  $A$ ”) follows from the definition:  
 $\text{fmap } g \circ \text{ftr } f = \text{fmap } g \circ \text{fmap } f \circ \text{tr} = \text{fmap}(g \circ f) \circ \text{tr} = \text{ftr}(g \circ f)$ 
  - ▶ This is why  $\text{tr}$  has *one law fewer* than  $\text{ftr}$
- To demonstrate equivalence in the direction  $\text{ftr} \rightarrow \text{tr}$ : start with an arbitrary  $\text{ftr}$  satisfying “naturality in  $A$ ”, then obtain  $\text{tr} = \text{ftr}(\text{id})$  from it, then verify  $\text{ftr } f = \text{fmap } f \circ \text{tr}$  with that  $\text{tr}$ :  $\text{fmap } f \circ \text{ftr}(\text{id}) = \text{ftr}(f \circ \text{id}) = \text{ftr } f$

## Semimonad laws IV: Deriving the laws for `flatten`

Denote for brevity  $q^\uparrow \equiv \text{fmap}^{[S]} q$  for any function  $q$

Express  $\text{flm } f = f^\uparrow \circ \text{ftn}$  and substitute that into  $\text{flm}$ 's 3 laws:

- ❶  $\text{flm } (f \circ g) = f^\uparrow \circ \text{flm } g$  gives  $(f \circ g)^\uparrow \circ \text{ftn} = f^\uparrow \circ g^\uparrow \circ \text{ftn}$   
– this law holds automatically due to functor composition law
  - ❷  $\text{flm } (f \circ g^\uparrow) = \text{flm } f \circ g^\uparrow$  gives  $(f \circ h)^\uparrow \circ \text{ftn} = f^\uparrow \circ \text{ftn} \circ h$ ;  
using the functor composition law, we reduce this to  $h^\uparrow \circ \text{ftn} = \text{ftn} \circ h$  – this is the naturality law
  - ❸  $\text{flm } (f \circ \text{flm } g) = \text{flm } f \circ \text{flm } g$  with functor composition law gives  $f^\uparrow \circ g^{\uparrow\uparrow} \circ \text{ftn}^\uparrow \circ \text{ftn} = f^\uparrow \circ \text{ftn} \circ g^\uparrow \circ \text{ftn}$ ; using  $\text{ftn}$ 's naturality and omitting the common factor  $f^\uparrow \circ g^{\uparrow\uparrow}$ , we get  $\text{ftn}^\uparrow \circ \text{ftn} = \text{ftn} \circ \text{ftn}$  – associativity law
- `flatten` has the simplest type signature *and* the fewest laws
  - It is usually easy to check naturality!
    - ▶ **Parametricity theorem:** Any *pure, fully parametric* code for a function of type  $F^A \Rightarrow G^A$  will implement a natural transformation
  - Checking `flatten`'s associativity needs *a lot* more work!

The `cats` library has a `FlatMap` type class, defining `flatten` via `flatMap`

# Checking the associativity law for standard monads

- Implement `flatten` for these functors and check the laws (see code):
  - ▶ `Option` monad:  $F^A \equiv 1 + A$ ;  $\text{ftn} : 1 + (1 + A) \Rightarrow 1 + A$
  - ▶ `Either` monad:  $F^A \equiv Z + A$ ;  $\text{ftn} : Z + (Z + A) \Rightarrow Z + A$
  - ▶ `List` monad:  $F^A \equiv \text{List}^A$ ;  $\text{ftn} : \text{List}^{\text{List}^A} \Rightarrow \text{List}^A$
  - ▶ `Writer` monad:  $F^A \equiv A \times W$ ;  $\text{ftn} : (A \times W) \times W \Rightarrow A \times W$
  - ▶ `Reader` monad:  $F^A \equiv R \Rightarrow A$ ;  $\text{ftn} : (R \Rightarrow (R \Rightarrow A)) \Rightarrow R \Rightarrow A$
  - ▶ `State`:  $F^A \equiv S \Rightarrow A \times S$ ;  $\text{ftn} : (S \Rightarrow (S \Rightarrow A \times S)) \times S \Rightarrow S \Rightarrow A \times S$
  - ▶ `Continuation` monad:  $F^A \equiv (A \Rightarrow R) \Rightarrow R$ ;  
 $\text{ftn} : (((A \Rightarrow R) \Rightarrow R) \Rightarrow R) \Rightarrow (A \Rightarrow R) \Rightarrow R$
- Code implementing these `flatten` functions is *fully parametric* in  $A$ 
  - ▶ Naturality of these functions follows from parametricity theorem
  - ▶ Associativity needs to be checked for each monad!
- Example of a useful semimonad that is *not* a full monad:
  - ▶  $F^A \equiv A \times V \times W$ ;  $\text{ftn}((a \times v_1 \times w_1) \times v_2 \times w_2) = a \times v_1 \times w_2$
- Examples of *non-associative* (i.e. wrong) implementations of `flatten`:
  - ▶  $F^A \equiv A \times W \times W$ ;  $\text{ftn}((a \times v_1 \times v_2) \times w_1 \times w_2) = a \times w_2 \times w_1$
  - ▶  $F^A \equiv \text{List}^A$ , but `flatten` concatenates the nested lists in reverse order

# Motivation for monads

- Monads represent values with a “special computational context”
- Specific monads will have methods to create various contexts
- Monadic composition will “combine” the contexts associatively
- It is generally useful to have an “empty context” available

$$\text{pure} : A \Rightarrow M^A$$

- Combining empty context with another context works as a no-op
- Empty context is followed by a generator:

`y ← pure(x)`

`z ← cont(y)`

`y = x`

`z ← cont(y)`

`pure(x).flatMap(y ⇒ cont(y)) = cont(x)`

`pure ∘ flm f = f` – left identity

- Empty context is preceded by a generator:

`x ← cont`

`y ← pure(x)`

`x ← cont`

`y = x`

`cont.flatMap(x ⇒ pure(x)) = cont`

`flm (pure) = id` – right identity



# The monad laws formulated in terms of `pure` and `flatten`

- Naturality law for `pure`:  $f \circ \text{pure} = \text{pure} \circ f^\uparrow$

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & A & & \\ & \nearrow^{f^{A \Rightarrow B}} & & \nwarrow_{\text{pure}^{[S, A]}} & \\ & B & & S^A & \\ & \searrow_{\text{pure}^{[S, B]}} & & \nearrow_{\text{fmap } f^{A \Rightarrow B}} & \\ & & S^B & & \end{array}$$

- Left identity:  $\text{pure} \circ \text{fmap } f = \text{pure} \circ f^\uparrow \circ \text{fmap} = f \circ \text{pure} \circ \text{fmap} = f$   
requires that  $\text{pure} \circ \text{fmap} = \text{id}$  (both sides applied to  $S^A$ )

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & S^{S^A} & \\ \text{pure}^{[S, S^A]} \nearrow & & \nwarrow \text{fmap} \\ S^A & \xrightarrow{\text{id}} & S^A \end{array}$$

- Right identity:  $\text{fmap}(\text{pure}) = \text{pure}^\uparrow \circ \text{fmap} = \text{id}$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & S^{S^A} & \\ \text{fmap}(\text{pure}^{[S, A]}) \nearrow & & \nwarrow \text{fmap} \\ S^A & \xrightarrow{\text{id}} & S^A \end{array}$$

# Formulating laws via Kleisli functions

- Recall: we formulated the laws of filterables via `fmapOpt`
  - $\text{fmapOpt} : (A \Rightarrow 1 + B) \Rightarrow S^A \Rightarrow S^B$
- And then we had to compose functions of types  $A \Rightarrow 1 + B$  with  $\diamond_{\text{Opt}}$
- Here we have  $\text{flm} : (A \Rightarrow S^B) \Rightarrow S^A \Rightarrow S^B$  instead of `fmapOpt`
- Can we compose **Kleisli functions** with “twisted” types  $A \Rightarrow S^B$ ?
- Use  $\text{flm}$  to define **Kleisli composition**:  $f^{A \Rightarrow S^B} \diamond g^{B \Rightarrow S^C} \equiv f \circ \text{flm } g$
- Define **Kleisli identity**  $\text{id}_\diamond$  of type  $A \Rightarrow S^A$  as  $\text{id}_\diamond \equiv \text{pure}$
- Composition law:  $\text{flm}(f \diamond g) = \text{flm } f \circ \text{flm } g$  (same as for `fmapOpt`)
  - Shows that `flatMap` is a “lifting” of  $A \Rightarrow S^B$  to  $S^A \Rightarrow S^B$
- These laws are similar to functor “lifting” laws...
  - except that  $\diamond$  is used for composing Kleisli functions
- What are the properties of  $\diamond$ ?
  - Exactly similar to the properties of function composition  $f \circ g$

Reformulate  $\text{flm}$ ’s laws in terms of the  $\diamond$  operation:

- $\text{flm}$ ’s left and right identity laws:  $\text{pure} \diamond f = f$  and  $f \diamond \text{pure} = f$
- Associativity law:  $(f \diamond g) \diamond h = f \diamond (g \diamond h)$ 
  - Follows from the  $\text{flm}$  law:  $f \circ \text{flm}(g \circ \text{flm } h) = f \circ \text{flm } g \circ \text{flm } h$

# From Kleisli back to `flatMap`

Compare different “liftings” seen so far:

Category	Function type	Identity	Composition
plain functions	$A \Rightarrow B$	$\text{id} : A \Rightarrow A$	$f^{A \Rightarrow B} \circ g^{B \Rightarrow C}$
lifted to $F$	$F^A \Rightarrow F^B$	$\text{id} : F^A \Rightarrow F^A$	$f^{F^A \Rightarrow F^B} \circ g^{F^B \Rightarrow F^C}$
Kleisli over $F$	$A \Rightarrow F^B$	$\text{pure} : A \Rightarrow F^A$	$f^{A \Rightarrow F^B} \diamond g^{B \Rightarrow F^C}$

**Category** axioms: identity and associativity for composition

General **functor**: a “lifting” maps functions from one category to another

- Functor laws: “lifting” must preserve identity and composition

Reformulate `map` and `flatMap` in terms of the  $\diamond$  operation:

- Define `flatMap` through Kleisli composition:  $\text{flm } f^{A \Rightarrow S^B} \equiv \text{id}^{S^A \Rightarrow S^A} \diamond f$
- Define `flatten` through Kleisli:  $\text{ftn} \equiv \text{id}^{S^{S^A} \Rightarrow S^{S^A}} \diamond \text{id}^{S^A \Rightarrow S^A}$
- Express `fmap` through Kleisli:  $\text{fmap } f \equiv (\text{fmap id}) \diamond (f \circ \text{pure})$
- Need additional laws to connect  $\diamond$  and  $\circ$ :
  - ▶ Left naturality:  $f^{A \Rightarrow B} \circ g^{B \Rightarrow S^C} = (f \circ \text{pure}) \diamond g$
  - ▶ Right naturality:  $f^{A \Rightarrow B} \circ \text{fmap } g^{B \Rightarrow S^C} = f \diamond (g \circ \text{pure})$

★ With these laws, monad laws follow from category axioms for Kleisli

# Structure of semigroups and monoids

- Semimonad contexts are combined associatively, as in a semigroup
- A full monad includes an “empty” context, i.e. the identity element
- Semigroup with an identity element is a monoid

Some constructions of semigroups and monoids:

- 1 Any type  $Z$  is a semigroup with operation  $z_1 \circledast z_2 = z_1$  (or  $z_2$ )
- 2  $1 + S$  is a monoid if  $S$  is (at least) a semigroup
- 3  $\text{List}^A$  is a monoid (for any type  $A$ ), also  $\text{Seq}^A$  etc.
- 4 The function type  $A \Rightarrow A$  is a monoid (for any type  $A$ )
  - ▶ The operation  $f \circledast g$  is either  $f \circ g$  or  $g \circ f$
- 5 Any totally ordered type is a monoid, with  $\circledast$  defined as max or min
- 6  $S_1 \times S_2$  is a semigroup (monoid) if  $S_1, S_2$  are semigroups (monoids)
- 7  $S \times P$  is a semigroup (monoid) if  $S$  is a semigroup (monoid) such that  $S$  acts on  $P$ . (“Twisted product.”) Example:  $(A \Rightarrow A) \times A$ 
  - ▶ The “action” is  $a : S \Rightarrow P \Rightarrow P$  such that  $a(s_1) \circ a(s_2) = a(s_1 \circledast s_2)$ .
- 8  $Z \Rightarrow S$  is a semigroup/monoid, for any  $Z$ , if  $S$  is a semigroup/monoid
  - There are other examples:  $\text{Int}$ ,  $\text{String}$ ,  $\text{Set}^A$ , Akka routes, ...
  - Non-examples: trees;  $S_1 + S_2$  where  $S_{1,2}$  are different monoids

# Structure of (semi)monads

How to recognize a (semi)monad by its type? Open question!

Intuition from `flatten`: reshuffle data in  $F^{F^A}$  to fit into  $F^A$

Some constructions of exponential-polynomial semimonads:

- ①  $F^A \equiv Z$  (constant functor) for a fixed type  $Z$ 
  - ▶ For a full monad, need to choose  $Z = 1$
- ②  $F^A \equiv A \times G^A$  for any functor  $G^A$  (a full monad only if  $G^A \equiv 1$ )
- ③  $F^A \equiv Z + A \times W$  for a fixed type  $Z$  and a semigroup  $W$ 
  - ▶ For a full monad, need  $W$  to be a monoid
- ④  $F^A \equiv G^{Z+A \times W}$  if  $Z + A \times W$  is a (semi)monad
- ⑤  $F^A \equiv G^A \times H^A$  for any (semi)monads  $G^A$  and  $H^A$
- ⑥  $F^A \equiv A + G^A$  for any semimonad  $G^A$
- ⑦  $F^A \equiv A + G^{F^A}$  (recursive) for any functor  $G^A$  (**free monad** over  $G$ )
- ⑧  $F^A \equiv G^A + G^{F^A}$  (recursive) for any functor  $G^A$  (semimonad only!)
- ⑨  $F^A \equiv R \rightarrow G^A$  for any (semi)monad  $G^A$
- ⑩  $F^A \equiv H^A \Rightarrow A \times G^A$  for any contrafunctor  $H^A$  and functor  $G^A$ 
  - ▶ For a full monad, need to set  $G^A \equiv 1$

## \* Worked examples II: Constructions of filterable functors I

(2) The `fmapOpt` laws hold for  $F^A \times G^A$  if they hold for  $F^A$  and  $G^A$

- For  $f^{A \Rightarrow 1+B}$ , get  $\text{fmapOpt}_F(f) : F^A \Rightarrow F^B$  and  $\text{fmapOpt}_G(f) : G^A \Rightarrow G^B$
- Define  $\text{fmapOpt}_{F \times G} f \equiv p^{F^A} \times q^{G^A} \Rightarrow \text{fmapOpt}_F(f)(p) \times \text{fmapOpt}_G(f)(q)$
- Identity law:  $f = \text{id}_{\diamond_{\text{Opt}}}$ , so  $\text{fmapOpt}_F f = \text{id}$  and  $\text{fmapOpt}_G f = \text{id}$ 
  - ▶ Hence we get  $\text{fmapOpt}_{F+G}(f)(p \times q) = \text{id}(p) \times \text{id}(q) = p \times q$
- Composition law:

$$\begin{aligned} & (\text{fmapOpt}_{F \times G} f_1 \circ \text{fmapOpt}_{F+G} f_2)(p \times q) \\ &= \text{fmapOpt}_{F \times G}(f_2) (\text{fmapOpt}_F(f_1)(p) \times \text{fmapOpt}_G(f_1)(q)) \\ &= (\text{fmapOpt}_F f_1 \circ \text{fmapOpt}_F f_2)(p) \times (\text{fmapOpt}_G f_1 \circ \text{fmapOpt}_G f_2)(q) \\ &= \text{fmapOpt}_F(f_1 \diamond_{\text{Opt}} f_2)(p) \times \text{fmapOpt}_G(f_1 \diamond f_2)(q) \\ &= \text{fmapOpt}_{F \times G}(f_1 \diamond_{\text{Opt}} f_2)(p \times q) \end{aligned}$$

- Exactly the same proof as that for functor property for  $F^A \times G^A$ 
  - ▶ this is because `fmapOpt` corresponds to a generalized functor
- New proofs are necessary only when using non-filterable functors
  - ▶ these are used in constructions 4 – 6

## \* Worked examples II: Constructions of filterable functors II

(5) The `fmapOpt` laws hold for  $F^A \equiv 1 + A \times G^A$  if they hold for  $G^A$

- For  $f^{A \Rightarrow 1+B}$ , get  $\text{fmapOpt}_G(f) : G^A \Rightarrow G^B$
- Define  $\text{fmapOpt}_F(f)(1 + a^A \times q^{G^A})$  by returning  $0 + b \times \text{fmapOpt}_G(f)(q)$  if the argument is  $0 + a \times q$  and  $f(a) = 0 + b$ , and returning  $1 + 0$  otherwise
- Identity law:  $f = \text{id}_{\text{Opt}}$ , so  $f(a) = 0 + a$  and  $\text{fmapOpt}_G f = \text{id}$ 
  - ▶ Hence we get  $\text{fmapOpt}_F(\text{id}_{\text{Opt}})(1 + a \times q) = 1 + a \times q$
- Composition law: need only to check for arguments  $0 + a \times q$ , and only when  $f_1(a) = 0 + b$  and  $f_2(b) = 0 + c$ , in which case  $(f_1 \diamond_{\text{Opt}} f_2)(a) = 0 + c$ ; then

$$\begin{aligned} & (\text{fmapOpt}_F f_1 \circ \text{fmapOpt}_F f_2)(0 + a \times q) \\ &= \text{fmapOpt}_F(f_2) (\text{fmapOpt}_F(f_1)(0 + a \times q)) \\ &= \text{fmapOpt}_F(f_2) (0 + b \times \text{fmapOpt}_G(f_1)(q)) \\ &= 0 + c \times (\text{fmapOpt}_G f_1 \circ \text{fmapOpt}_G f_2)(q) \\ &= 0 + c \times \text{fmapOpt}_G(f_1 \diamond_{\text{Opt}} f_2)(q) \\ &= \text{fmapOpt}_F(f_1 \diamond_{\text{Opt}} f_2)(0 + a \times q) \end{aligned}$$

This is a “greedy filter”: if  $f(a)$  is empty, will delete all data in  $G^A$

## \* Worked examples II: Constructions of filterable functors III

(6) The `fmapOpt` laws hold for  $F^A \equiv G^A + A \times F^A$  if they hold for  $G^A$

- For  $f^{A \Rightarrow 1+B}$ , we have  $\text{fmapOpt}_G(f) : G^A \Rightarrow G^B$  and  $\text{fmapOpt}'_F(f) : F^A \Rightarrow F^B$  (for use in recursive arguments as the inductive assumption)
- Define  $\text{fmapOpt}_F(f)(q^{G^A} + a^A \times p^{F^A})$  by returning  $0 + \text{fmapOpt}'_F(f)(p)$  if  $f(a) = 1 + 0$ , and  $\text{fmapOpt}_G(f)(q) + b \times \text{fmapOpt}'_F(f)(p)$  otherwise
- Identity law:  $\text{id}_{\diamond_{\text{Opt}}}(x) \neq 1 + 0$ , so  $\text{fmapOpt}_F(\text{id}_{\diamond_{\text{Opt}}})(q + a \times p) = q + a \times p$
- Composition law:  
 $(\text{fmapOpt}_F(f_1) \circ \text{fmapOpt}_F(f_2))(q + a \times p) = \text{fmapOpt}_F(f_1 \diamond_{\text{Opt}} f_2)(q + a \times p)$
- For arguments  $q + 0$ , the laws for  $G^A$  hold; so assume arguments  $0 + a \times p$ . When  $f_1(a) = 0 + b$  and  $f_2(b) = 0 + c$ , the proof of the previous example will go through. So we need to consider the two cases  $f_1(a) = 1 + 0$  and  $f_1(a) = 0 + b$ ,  $f_2(b) = 1 + 0$
- If  $f_1(a) = 1 + 0$  then  $(f_1 \diamond_{\text{Opt}} f_2)(a) = 1 + 0$ ; to show  $\text{fmapOpt}'_F(f_2)(\text{fmapOpt}'_F(f_1)(p)) = \text{fmapOpt}'_F(f_1 \diamond_{\text{Opt}} f_2)(p)$ , use the inductive assumption about  $\text{fmapOpt}'_F$  on  $p$
- If  $f_1(a) = 0 + b$  and  $f_2(b) = 1 + 0$  then  $(f_1 \diamond_{\text{Opt}} f_2)(a) = 1 + 0$ ; to show  $\text{fmapOpt}_F(f_2)(0 + b \times \text{fmapOpt}'_F(f_1)(p)) = \text{fmapOpt}'_F(f_1 \diamond_{\text{Opt}} f_2)(p)$ , rewrite  $\text{fmapOpt}_F(f_2)(0 + b \times \text{fmapOpt}'_F(f_1)(p)) = \text{fmapOpt}'_F(f_2)(\text{fmapOpt}'_F(f_1)(p))$  and again use the inductive assumption about  $\text{fmapOpt}'_F$  on  $p$

This is a “list-like filter”: if  $f(a)$  is empty, will recurse into nested  $F^A$  data



## Worked examples II: Constructions of filterable functors IV

Use known filterable constructions to show that

$F^A \equiv (\text{Int} \times \text{String}) \Rightarrow (1 + \text{Int} \times A + A \times (1 + A) + (\text{Int} \Rightarrow 1 + A + A \times A \times \text{String}))$   
is a filterable functor

- Instead of implementing `Filterable` and verifying laws by hand, we analyze the structure of this data type and use known constructions
- Define some auxiliary functors that are parts of the structure of  $F^A$ ,
  - ▶  $R_1^A = (\text{Int} \times \text{String}) \Rightarrow A$  and  $R_2^A = \text{Int} \Rightarrow A$
  - ▶  $G^A = 1 + \text{Int} \times A + A \times (1 + A)$  and  $H^A = 1 + A + A \times A \times \text{String}$
- Now we can rewrite  $F^A = R_1 [G^A + R_2 [H^A]]$ 
  - ▶  $G^A$  is filterable by construction 5 because it is of the form  $G^A = 1 + A \times K^A$  with filterable functor  $K^A = 1 + \text{Int} + A$
  - ▶  $K^A$  is of the form  $1 + A + X$  with constant type  $X$ , so it is filterable by constructions 1 and 3 with the `Option` functor  $1 + A$
  - ▶  $H^A$  is filterable by construction 5 with  $H^A = 1 + A \times (1 + A \times \text{String})$ , while  $1 + A \times \text{String}$  is filterable by constructions 5 and 1
- Constructions 3 and 4 show that  $R_1 [G^A + R_2 [H^A]]$  is filterable

Note that there are more than one way of implementing `Filterable` here

# Exercises II

- 1 For an arbitrary monad  $M^A$ , show that the functor  $F^A \equiv \text{Boolean} \times M^A$  can be defined as a semimonad but not a monad.
- 2 If  $W$  and  $R$  are arbitrary fixed types, which of the functors can be made into a semimonad:  $F^A \equiv W \times (R \Rightarrow A)$ ,  $G^A \equiv R \Rightarrow (W \times A)$ ?
- 3 Suppose a functor  $F^A$  has a natural transformation  $\text{ex}^{[A]} : F^A \Rightarrow A$  that “extracts the value” from  $F^A$ . Would  $F^A$  be a semimonad if we defined `flatten` as `ftn = ex[FA]` or `ftn = fmap ex`?
- 4 A programmer implemented the `fmap` method for the type constructor  $F^A \equiv A \times (A \Rightarrow Z)$  as

```
def fmap[A,B](f: A⇒B): ((A, A⇒Z)) ⇒ (B, B⇒Z) =  
  { case(a, az) ⇒ (f(a), (_: B) ⇒ az(a)) }
```

Show that this implementation fails to satisfy the functor laws.

- 5 Implement the `flatten` and `pure` methods for the type constructor  $F^A \equiv 1 + A \times A$  (`type F[A] = Option[(A, A)]`) in at least two different ways, and show that the monad laws always fail.
- 6 Implement the monad methods for  $F^A \equiv (Z \Rightarrow 1 + A) \times \text{List}^A$  using the known monad constructions.

# Exercises II

(continued from the previous slide)

- 7 For an arbitrary monad  $M^A$ , show that the functor  $F^A \equiv \text{Boolean} \times M^A$  can be defined as a semimonad but not a monad.
- 8 If  $W$  and  $R$  are arbitrary fixed types, which of the functors can be made into a semimonad:  $F^A \equiv W \times (R \Rightarrow A)$ ,  $G^A \equiv R \Rightarrow (W \times A)$ ?
- 9 Suppose a functor  $F^A$  has a natural transformation  $\text{ex}^{[A]} : F^A \Rightarrow A$  that “extracts the value” from  $F^A$ . Would it be correct to define  $F^A$  as a semimonad if we defined `flatten` as  $\text{ftn} = \text{ex}^{[F^A]}$  or  $\text{ftn} = \text{fmap ex}$ ?
- 10 A programmer implemented the `fmap` method for the type constructor  $F^A \equiv A \times (A \Rightarrow Z)$  as

```
def fmap[A,B](f: A⇒B): ((A, A⇒Z)) ⇒ (B, B⇒Z) =  
  { case(a, az) ⇒ (f(a), (_: B) ⇒ a2z(a)) }
```

Show that this implementation fails to satisfy the functor laws.

- 11 A programmer implemented the `flatMap` method for the type constructor  $F^A \equiv 1 + A \times A$  as

```
def flatMap[A,B](f: A⇒F[B]): ((A,A⇒Z)) ⇒ (B,B⇒Z) =  
  { case (a, az) ⇒ (f(a), (_: B) ⇒ az(a)) }
```