## Scala Threads and Futures

Sergei Winitzki

Workday, Inc.

September 26, 2017

## JVM threads

Java Thread API: low-level and underpowered

- user code must create/maintain threads
- cannot reuse one thread for running different tasks
- "heavy": cannot create more than about 2,000 JVM threads
- difficult to synchronize across threads correctly (wait / notify / synchronized)
- exceptions on a thread are invisible

Plain C multithreading was essentially just as hard

# ThreadPoolExecutor = thread pool + task queue

#### Java ThreadPoolExecutor:

- has a queue of pending tasks
- runs tasks on a dynamically managed thread pool
- reuses threads for different tasks
- Fork/Join executor: additional facilities for synchronization
- cannot be restarted after shutdown

## Main pattern of usage:

Run a large number of short tasks on a small, fixed number of threads

# Usages of thread pools

- scala.concurrent.ExecutionContext is a wrapper over a thread pool
- akka.actor.ActorSystem contains a thread pool
- Apache's HttpAsyncClient contains a thread pool
- Scala's Future[T] operations (Future(), map, flatMap) need an implicit ExecutionContext

#### First look at scala.concurrent.Future

#### Main features of scala.concurrent.Future:

"value semantics"

```
val f: Future[T]
```

- represents a value (of type T) that will become available in the future
- "container semantics": covariant, has map and flatMap
- error handling and failure recovery
- use scala.concurrent.Promise[T] to convert callback APIs to Future[T]

Note: java.util.concurrent.Future is just a callback wrapper class

## How does a Future run?

```
implicit ec = somebody.giveMeExecContextPlease()
val f: Future[Array[Float]] = Future { long_computation() }
logger.info('Long computation started'')
```

## Getting a Future[T] value means:

- a task was queued on that somebody's thread pool
- we could get the result value when it becomes available
- we have no way of knowing when that will happen

# How does map work on a Future?

```
implicit ec = somebody.giveMeExecContextPlease()
val f: Future[Array[Float]] = ...
logger.info("Long computation started")
val s: Future[Int] = f.map(_.length)
```

- using map requires an ExecutionContext
- the new computation (\_.length) will run once the array is ready
- the new computation may run on another thread!
- flatMap also works: Future[Future[T]] can be "flattened" to Future[T]

# How to work with APIs returning a Future?

- get an ExecutionContext (e.g. actorSystem.dispatcher)
- use map or flatMap to specify computations to be done in the future
- return another Future value
- avoid using Await.result if you can

With modern libraries, no need to wait!

#### Examples:

- Akka-Streaming accepts a Future value inside mapAsync
- Akka-HTTP accepts a Future value inside an HTTP route

## How to convert callback APIs into a Future?

- o create a Promise[T] value: val p = Promise[Int]()
- in the callback's body, resolve the promise: p.success (123)
- return a Future[T] value using the promise value: p.future

# Example: Converting Apache's HttpAsyncClient

# Converting Apache's HttpAsyncClient into a Future-based API

```
val client: CloseableHttpAsyncClient =
    HttpAsyncClientBuilder.(...).build()
val promise = Promise[HttpResponse]()
client.execute(request, new FutureCallback[HttpResponse] {
  override def cancelled(): Unit =
    promise.failure(new CancellationException())
  override def completed(resp: HttpResponse): Unit =
    promise.success(resp)
  override def failed(ex: Exception): Unit = promise.failure(ex)
7)
val responseFuture = promise.future
  The new API will return this.
```

## "Mistakes were made"

Typical "gotchas" when using scala.concurrent.Future:

- unnecessarily waiting for futures to complete blocked threads
- forgetting to wait for futures to complete race conditions
- expecting to see a stack trace from exceptions inside a Future
- using one thread pool for everything thread starvation
- forgetting to shut down the thread pool application never quits

## What is "thread-safe"

#### Thread-safe methods:

- work correctly even if called from many threads in parallel
- either have no mutable state, or manage it with great care

#### Examples of thread-safe methods:

- AtomicInteger.incrementAndGet()
- ConcurrentLinkedQueue.add()

#### Example of a non-thread-safe method:

MockitoSugar.mock[T]() – deadlocks when running tests in parallel

#### Workarounds for non-thread-safe code:

- wrap in synchronized
- in some cases, can simply use fork in Test := true

# What is "non-blocking"

#### Non-blocking methods:

- return quickly, although they may schedule long-running calculations
- do not perform an idle wait
  - ► Thread.sleep() or Await.result()
- do not perform a busy wait
  - while( ! isReady() ) { doNothingLoop() }
- do not perform slow I/O (e.g. HTTP with high latency)

Thread pools perform best when most tasks are non-blocking calls

Blocked threads cause suboptimal CPU utilization, a.k.a. "slowness"

# Can we avoid "blocking"?

We could avoid blocking if arriving events could wake up our threads... but:

- A thread cannot be "woken up" if it isn't already blocked ("sleeping")
- Someone, somewhere has to keep a blocked thread waiting for events
- However, it does not have to be within our thread pools!

If we never Await.result(), we would never get any non-Future values...

- Someone, somewhere has to do Await.result()
- However, it does not have to be within our code (except in unit tests)
- With the right libraries (Akka, etc.), our code never needs to block or to do Await.result()

# How and when to convert "blocking" to "non-blocking"

Given a 3rd party blocking call doHttpWork(), our options are:

- Wrap it in a Future using the scala.concurrent.blocking() function
- Use a new thread pool dedicated to scheduling doHttpWork() tasks

Note: It's OK to block if we are *not* within concurrent code

# Summary

- Sample code: https://github.com/winitzki/scala-threads-futures-intro
- The backbone of Java concurrency: thread pool executors
- How and when do Futures run? On thread pools
- What does it mean to be "thread safe" and "nonblocking"?
  - nonblocking = uses multi-core CPU optimally
  - thread safe = permits easy concurrent nonblocking code
- Some typical "gotchas" when using Futures in the real world
- Converting other async APIs to Futures and back