IT 2014 Solutions

A - New Application

B - Bookwork

E - New Example

T - New Theory

$$x \times \sqrt{0}$$
 $O = \frac{1}{3}$
 $O(x=0) = \frac{1}{3}$
 $O(x=1) = \frac{2}{3}$
 $O(x=1) = \frac{2}{3}$
 $O(x=1) = \frac{2}{3}$

$$P(x=0) = \frac{1}{3}$$
 $P(x=1) = \frac{2}{3}$
 $P(x=0) = \frac{1}{3}$ $P(y=1) = \frac{2}{3}$

$$H(x) = H(y) = -\frac{1}{3} \log \frac{1}{3} = 0.918$$

[2]E

$$H(x|y) = H(y|x) = \frac{1}{3}H(0) + \frac{2}{3}H(\frac{1}{2}) = \frac{2}{3} = 0.667$$

average row entropy, Hip), entropy

$$\begin{split} I(X_{1}; X_{2} | Y_{3}) &= \frac{1}{2} I(X_{1}; X_{2} | Y_{3} = 0) + \frac{1}{2} I(X_{1}; X_{2} | Y_{3} = 1) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} I(X_{1}; X_{1} | Y_{3} = 0) + \frac{1}{2} I(X_{1}; X_{1} \oplus 1 | Y_{3} = 1) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} H(X_{1}) + \frac{1}{2} H(X_{1}) \\ &= H(X_{1}) = 1 \end{split}$$

Then,
$$(\pi_0 \ \pi_1) \begin{pmatrix} 1-p & p \\ q & 1-q \end{pmatrix} = (\pi_0 \ \pi_1)$$

We have

$$\pi_0(1-p) + \pi_1 g = \pi_0$$

$$\pi_0 p = \pi_1 g$$

$$\pi_0 = \frac{g}{p} \pi_1$$

Since
$$\pi_0 + \pi_1 = 1$$
, we have $(1 + \frac{g}{p}) \pi_1 = 1$

Thus, $T_1 = \frac{p}{p+q} \qquad T_0 = \frac{q}{p+q}$

ii)
$$H(X) = \lim_{n \to \infty} H(X_n | X_{n+1})$$

$$= \pi_0 H(p) + \pi_1 H(g) \text{ average row entropy}$$

$$= \frac{g}{p+g} H(p) + \frac{p}{p+g} H(g) \qquad (*) \qquad [2]A$$

$$\widehat{\text{rii}}) \text{ We know } H(x_{N}|X_{N+1}) \leq H(X_{N}) \leq 1, \text{ So}$$

$$H(X) \leq 1$$

$$\text{Examining } E_{g}(X_{N}), \text{ We find } H(X) = 1 \text{ if }$$

$$P = g = 0.5.$$

2. a) (1) Chain rule

i) (2) Chain rule in another way

[1B]

(3)
$$H(e|x,y) \ge 0$$
 entropy is non-negative

 $H(e|y) \le H(e)$ Conditioning reduces entropy

(4) total probability theorem

(5) $H(e) = H(pe)$

Given y and $e=0$, $X=y$, so entropy = 0.

Given y and $e=1$, $x \ne y$ but can take any

of the $|X|-1$ values, so entropy $\le log(|X|-1)$

(6) algebra

[1B]

[1B]

ii) The optimum detection is given by
$$\hat{x} = \begin{cases} 1 & y = a \\ 2 & y = b \\ 3 & y = c \end{cases}$$
[2E]

Thus, Pe is equal to the sum of the of-diagonal elements, i.e.,

To evaluate Fano's inequality, we need $H(X|Y) = \frac{1}{3}H(\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{4},\frac{1}{4}) + \frac{1}{3}H(\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{4},\frac{1}{4}) + \frac{1}{3}H(\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{4},\frac{1}{4})$ $= H(\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{4},\frac{1}{4})$ $= \frac{1}{2}\log 2 + \frac{1}{4}\log 4 + \frac{1}{4}\log 4$ $= \frac{1}{2}\log 2 + \frac{1}{4}\log 4 + \frac{1}{4}\log 4$

= 1.5

$$Pe > \frac{H(X|Y) - 1}{\log(|X| - 1)} = \frac{1.5 - 1}{\log 2} = 0.5$$

[ZE]

= 0.2

which is exactly the same as the actual Pe.

b) By definition

$$R(D) = \min L(X, \hat{X})$$

Such that $E[(X-\hat{X})^2] = D$ and $p(x) = \int p(x,\hat{x}) d\hat{x}$.

The second condition is obviously true from Fig 2.2. [27]

Now check the first condition:

$$\begin{aligned}
E[(X-X)^2] &= E[(\frac{D}{\sigma^2}X - \frac{\sigma^2 - D}{\sigma^2}Z)^2] \\
&= \frac{D^2}{\sigma^4}\sigma^2 + \frac{(\Gamma^2 - D)^2}{\Gamma^4}\frac{D\sigma^2}{\Gamma^2 - D^2} \\
&= \frac{D^2}{\sigma^2} + \frac{(\Gamma^2 - D)D}{\sigma^2}
\end{aligned}$$

$$= \frac{D^2}{\sigma^2} + \frac{(\Gamma^2 - D)D}{\sigma^2}$$

$$= \frac{D}{\sigma^2} + \frac{(\Gamma^2 - D)D}{\sigma^2}$$

The mutual information

$$L(x, \hat{x}) = h(\hat{x}) - h(\hat{x}|x)$$

$$= h(\hat{x}) - h(\frac{\sigma^2 - D}{\sigma^2}Z)$$
[21]

Note that & has zero mean and variance

$$E[X^2] = \left(\frac{\sigma^2 - D}{\sigma^2}\right)^2 \left(\sigma^2 + \frac{\sigma^2 \cdot D}{\sigma^2 - D}\right)$$

$$= \sigma^2 - D$$
[27]

So h(x) = { log[2Tre(52-D)] Gaussian has maximum entropy

Hence,

$$\begin{split} I(X;\hat{X}) &\leq \frac{1}{2} \log \left[2\pi e(\sigma^2 - D) \right] - \ln(Z) - \log \frac{\sigma^2 - D}{\sigma^2} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \log \left[2\pi e(\sigma^2 - D) \right] - \frac{1}{2} \log \left[2\pi e \frac{D\sigma^2}{\sigma^2 - D} \right] - \frac{1}{2} \log \left(\frac{\sigma^2 - D}{\sigma^2} \right)^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{\sigma^2}{D} \end{split}$$

Since $I(X;\hat{X}) \leq \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{\sigma L}{D}$ for this example, the minimum mutual information also $\leq \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{\sigma L}{D}$. QED.

3

a) i) (1) chain rule

[1B] each

(2) conditioning reduces entropy

(3) definition of mutual info

(4) Xi's are independent

(5) from (2)

(6) definition of mutual info

(T) definition of mutual info

(8) memoryless channel

(9) Chain rule

(10) conditioning reduces entropy

ii) From (3)-(7), if the channel has memory,
i.e., yi's are correlated for independent Xi's,
then

ILXin; Yin) > EI(Xi; Yi) [3A]

On the other hand, if the channel is memoryless, then

I (Xin; Yin) & E I (Xi; Yi) BA]

Therefore, a channel with memory has higher expairty.

$$C = log 3 - H(3, 6, \frac{1}{2})$$

= 0.126

ii) symmetric

$$C = log 3 - H(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4})$$

= 0.085

[3 E]

ili) Symmetric

$$C = \log 4 - H(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$$

BEI

4. a) Under no interference, it is a Gaussian channel $C = \frac{1}{2} \log \left(1 + \frac{P}{N}\right) \qquad (**) \qquad [2B]$

Under very strong interference, Y_1 first decodes X_2 , while treating X_1 as noise. It then subtracts X_2 and decodes X_1 , which is a clean channel with the [2B] same capacity as (****).

This is possible if the rate of X_2 is less than [2A] $\frac{1}{2} \log \left(1 + \frac{a^2 P}{P + N}\right)$.

Thus, we have the same capacity if

$$\frac{1}{2} \log(1 + \frac{P}{N}) \leq \frac{1}{2} \log(1 + \frac{\alpha^2 P}{P + N})$$

$$\frac{P}{N} \leq \frac{\alpha^2 P}{P + N}$$

$$\alpha^2 \leq \frac{P + N}{N} = 1 + \frac{P}{N}$$
[4 A]

b) From the joint distribution, we obtain the marginal distributions:

$$P_{u_1} = (\times + \beta, \frac{\Upsilon}{m_1}, \dots, \frac{\Upsilon}{m_1})$$

$$P_{u_2} = (\times + \Upsilon, \frac{\beta}{m_1}, \dots, \frac{\beta}{m_1})$$

$$E[E]$$

Thus,

$$H(U_1) = -(\alpha + \beta) \log(\alpha + \beta) - (m-1) \frac{\gamma}{m-1} \log(\frac{\gamma}{m-1})$$

$$= -(\alpha + \beta) \log(\alpha + \beta) - \gamma \log(\frac{\gamma}{m-1})$$

$$= H(\alpha + \beta, \gamma) + \gamma \log(m-1)$$
[3E]

Similarly,
$$H(U_2) = H(Q+T, \beta) + \beta \log(m-1)$$

= $-(Q+T)\log(Q+T) - \beta \log \frac{\beta}{m-1}$

$$\begin{aligned} H(U_1,U_2) &= -\alpha \log \alpha - (m-1) \frac{\beta}{m-1} \log \left(\frac{\beta}{m-1} \right) - (m-1) \frac{r}{m-1} \log \left(\frac{r}{m-1} \right) \\ &= -\alpha \log \alpha - \beta \log \frac{\beta}{m-1} - r \log \frac{r}{m-1} \\ &= H(\alpha,\beta,r) + \beta \log (m-1) + r \log (m-1) \end{aligned}$$

$$H(U_1|U_2) = H(U_1, U_2) - H(U_2)$$

$$= H(\alpha, \beta, \tau) - H(\alpha + r, \beta) + r \log(m-1)$$

$$H(u_2|u_1) = H(u_1,u_2) - H(u_1)$$

= $H(\alpha,\beta,r) - H(\alpha+\beta,r) + \beta \log(m-1)$

Hence, the slepian-wolf region is

$$R_1 \ge H(U_1|U_1)$$

$$R_2 \ge H(U_2|U_1)$$

R1+R2 > H(U1, U2)

[For this question, the expressions are not unique.]