

Exercise 3.2. < 인공지능 대학원 2020 2691 강민준 >

To calculate $\lim(\sqrt{n^2+n} - n)$, we first show the given sequence converges, and then identify the sequence has $\sup(\sqrt{n^2+n} - n) = \alpha$.

① boundedness.

Let S_n be the sequence $\sqrt{n^2+n} - n$ in \mathbb{R} .

If we assume S_n is bounded above and $\frac{1}{2}$ is a upper bound of S_n , then the following inequality should be satisfied.

$$\begin{aligned}\sqrt{n^2+n} - n &\leq \frac{1}{2} \\ \Rightarrow \sqrt{n^2+n} &\leq n + \frac{1}{2} \\ \Rightarrow n^2+n &\leq n^2+n+\frac{1}{4} \\ \Rightarrow 0 &\leq \frac{1}{4}\end{aligned}$$

$\therefore \frac{1}{2}$ is a upper bound of S_n \square

② monotonic

Suppose $S_n \leq S_{n+1}$, then the following inequality should be satisfied and necessary and sufficient condition.

$$\begin{aligned}\sqrt{n^2+n} - n &\leq \sqrt{(n+1)^2+n+1} - (n+1) \\ \Rightarrow \sqrt{n^2+n} + 1 &\leq \sqrt{(n+1)^2+n+1} \\ \Rightarrow n^2+n+1 + 2\sqrt{n^2+n} &\leq n^2+3n+2 \\ \Rightarrow 2\sqrt{n^2+n} &\leq 2n+1 \\ \Rightarrow 4n^2+4n &\leq 4n^2+4n+1 \\ \Rightarrow 0 &\leq 1\end{aligned}$$

③ If $\alpha < \frac{1}{2}$ and α is the least upper bound of S_n , then the following statement should be satisfied.

$$\sqrt{n^2+n} - n \leq x$$

$$\Rightarrow \sqrt{n^2+n} \leq x+n$$

$$\Rightarrow n^2+n \leq x^2+2nx+n^2$$

$$\Rightarrow n(1-2x) \leq x^2$$

$$\Rightarrow n \leq \frac{x^2}{1-2x}$$

Since n is all possible positive integers and $x < \frac{1}{2}$,

The above inequality has contradicts (i.e. $x = \frac{1}{4}$).

\therefore The monotonic and bounded sequence S_n Converges and

$$\lim \sqrt{n^2+n} - n = \frac{1}{2} \square$$

Exercise 3.5

Let $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n$, $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_n$, $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} (a_n+b_n)$ be A, B, C .

If we assume $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n + \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_n$ is not the form $\infty - \infty$,

we can make subsequences of a_n+b_n as follow:

$$\{a_n+b_n\} = \{a_1+b_1, a_2+b_2, a_3+b_3, \dots\}$$

select terms
both term are positive.

$$\{a_{j_k}+b_{j_k}\}$$

Since all subsequences of \checkmark convergent sequence converge the

same point, $\{a_{j_k}+b_{j_k}\}$ converges to C .

However, we can make subsequence of $\{a_n\}, \{b_n\}$ s.t $C = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \{a_{j_k}\} + \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \{b_{j_k}\}$.

By the definition of upper limit, we can rewrite above equation as following

inequality:

$$C = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} (a_n+b_n) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \{a_{j_k}\} + \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \{b_{j_k}\} \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n + \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_n \square$$

Exercise 3.7

let s_n be $\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\sqrt{a_k}}{k}$ and n is positive integer, then s_n is a partial sum of $\sum \frac{\sqrt{a_n}}{n}$.

By the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we can make a new inequality as follows:

$$s_n = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\sqrt{a_k}}{k} \leq \left(\sum_{k=1}^n a_k \right) \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k^2} \right) \leq \infty.$$

Since all partial sums of $\sum \frac{\sqrt{a_n}}{n}$ are bounded and $\frac{\sqrt{a_n}}{n}$ is non-negative, by the theorem 3.24, it is self-evident that $\sum \frac{\sqrt{a_n}}{n}$ converges when $\sum a_n$ converges. \square

Exercise 3.8

we know two facts that $\sum a_n$ converges and $\{b_n\}$ is monotonic and bounded.

① For all $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $m \geq n \geq N$ s.t. $\left| \sum_{k=n}^m a_k \right| \leq \epsilon$.

② $\{b_n\}$ is bounded, we can say that for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and every $n \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$|b_n| \leq \alpha.$$

Since statement ① is always true for all $\epsilon > 0$, we can rewrite as follows:

$$\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists m \geq n \geq N, m, n \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ s.t. } \left| \sum_{k=n}^m a_k \right| \leq \frac{\epsilon}{\alpha}$$

$$\Rightarrow \left| \sum_{k=n}^m \alpha a_k \right| \leq \epsilon$$

$$\Rightarrow \left| \sum_{k=n}^m b_k a_k \right| \leq \left| \sum_{k=n}^m \alpha a_k \right| \leq \epsilon.$$

$\therefore \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n b_n$ also converges. \square

Exercise 3.9

$$(a) \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[n]{n^3} = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{\frac{3}{n}} = \left(\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{\frac{1}{n}} \right)^3 = \alpha.$$

By the theorem 3.20 (c), above equation converges to 1.

$$\therefore R = \frac{1}{\alpha} = 1.$$

$$(b) \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[n]{\left| \frac{2^n}{n!} \right|} = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{2^n}{n!} \right)^{\frac{1}{n}} = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2}{(n!)^{1/n}} = \alpha.$$

Since I can't process above equation further, detour this using ratio test.

By the theorem 3.37, we can say following inequality is true.

$$\alpha = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[n]{\frac{2^n}{n!}} \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2^{n+1}}{(n+1)!} \frac{n!}{2^n} \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2}{n+1} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow R = \frac{1}{\alpha} \geq \infty.$$

$$(c) \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[n]{\left| \frac{2^n}{n^2} \right|} = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2}{n^{2/n}} = 2 \left(\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[n]{n} \right)^2 = \alpha.$$

By the Theorem 3.20 (c), $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[n]{\frac{2^n}{n^2}} = 2(1).$

$$\therefore R = \frac{1}{\alpha} = \frac{1}{2}.$$

$$(d) \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[n]{\left| \frac{n^3}{3^n} \right|} = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n^{\frac{3}{n}}}{3} = \frac{1}{3} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{\frac{3}{n}} = \alpha$$

By the exercise 3.9 (a), $\alpha = \frac{1}{3} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{\frac{3}{n}} = \frac{1}{3}.$

$$\therefore R = \frac{1}{\alpha} = 3.$$

Exercise 3.12.

Let r_n be the summation of $\sum_{m=n}^{\infty} a_m$, thus $a_k = -r_{k+1} + r_k > 0$.

Since $r_k > r_{k+1}$ is satisfied, we can form below inequality.

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=m}^n \frac{a_k}{r_k} &= \frac{a_m}{r_m} + \dots + \frac{a_n}{r_n} \quad \text{for } n \geq m \\ &\geq \frac{a_m + a_{m+1} + \dots + a_n}{r_m} \\ &= \frac{(r_m - r_{m+1}) + (r_{m+1} - r_{m+2}) + \dots + (r_n - r_{n+1})}{r_m} \\ &= \frac{r_m - r_{n+1}}{r_m} \\ &> \frac{r_m - r_n}{r_m} \\ &= 1 - \frac{r_n}{r_m} \end{aligned}$$

Also, by the condition $\sum a_n = \alpha$, we can calculate $\sum \frac{a_n}{r_n}$ if and only if

r_n converges and does not converge to zero.

By the definition, below equation is satisfied.

$$\begin{aligned} r_n &= \sum_{m=n}^{\infty} a_m \\ &= \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_m - \sum_{m=1}^n a_m \\ &= \alpha - \sum_{m=1}^n a_m \end{aligned}$$

And its limit is $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} r_n = \alpha - \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_m = \alpha - \alpha = 0$.

$\therefore \sum \frac{a_n}{r_n}$ diverges.

Exercise 13.

Let $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k$, $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_k$ be absolute convergent series and converge to α and β .

Cauchy product of $\sum a_k$, $\sum b_k$ is as follow:

$$\sum_{k=0}^n C_k = \sum_{k=0}^n \left(\sum_{l=0}^k a_l b_{k-l} \right).$$

To identify absolute convergence of $\sum_{k=0}^n C_k$, we add absolute operations on the left and right side of above equation.

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=0}^n |C_k| &= \sum_{k=0}^n \left| \sum_{l=0}^k a_l b_{k-l} \right| \\ \Rightarrow &\leq \sum_{k=0}^n \sum_{l=0}^k |a_l b_{k-l}| \\ \Rightarrow &= \sum_{k=0}^n \sum_{l=0}^k |a_l| |b_{k-l}| \\ \Rightarrow &= |a_0| |b_0| + |a_0| |b_1| + |a_1| |b_0| + |a_0| |b_2| + |a_1| |b_1| + |a_2| |b_0| + \dots \\ &\quad + |a_0| |b_n| + |a_1| |b_{n-1}| + \dots + |a_n| |b_0| \\ \Rightarrow &= |a_0| (|b_0| + |b_1| + \dots + |b_n|) + |a_1| (|b_0| + |b_1| + \dots + |b_{n-1}|) + \dots \\ &\quad + |a_n| |b_0| \\ \Rightarrow &\leq |a_0| (|b_0| + |b_1| + \dots + |b_n|) + |a_1| (|b_0| + |b_1| + \dots + |b_n|) + \dots \\ &\quad + |a_n| (|b_0| + |b_1| + \dots + |b_n|) \\ \Rightarrow &= (|a_0| + |a_1| + \dots + |a_n|) (|b_0| + |b_1| + \dots + |b_n|) \\ &= \alpha \beta \end{aligned}$$

$\therefore \sum_{k=0}^n |C_k| \leq \alpha \beta$ and this says Cauchy product of two absolutely convergent series converges absolutely.

Exercise 3.14.

and ϵ is all positive real numbers

(a) Let s_n converge to S , then there exists positive integers $n \geq N$ s.t. $d(s_n, S) < \epsilon$.

using above property, we can rewrite $|b_n - S|$ as follow:

$$\begin{aligned}
 |b_n - S| &= \left| \frac{s_0 - S + s_1 - S + \dots + s_n - S}{n+1} \right| \\
 &\leq \frac{|s_0 - S| + |s_1 - S| + \dots + |s_N - S|}{n+1} + \frac{|s_{N+1} - S| + \dots + |s_n - S|}{n+1} \\
 &\leq \frac{(N+1) \max_{0 \leq j \leq N} (s_j - S)}{n+1} + \frac{(N+1) \max_{N+1 \leq j \leq n} (s_j - S)}{n+1} \\
 &\leq \frac{(N+1) \max_{0 \leq j \leq N} (s_j - S)}{n+1} + \frac{(N+1) \max_{N+1 \leq j \leq n} (\epsilon)}{n+1} \\
 &= \underbrace{\frac{(N+1) \max_{0 \leq j \leq N} (s_j - S)}{n+1}}_{\textcircled{1}} + \underbrace{\epsilon \cdot \frac{N+1}{n+1}}_{\textcircled{2}}
 \end{aligned}$$

since the term $\textcircled{1}$ has fixed numerator, we can summarize above inequality as follow:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{(N+1) \max_{0 \leq j \leq N} (s_j - S)}{n+1} + \epsilon \cdot \frac{N+1}{n+1} &\leq \frac{(N+1) \max_{0 \leq j \leq N} (s_j - S)}{n+1} + \epsilon \\
 &\leq \epsilon + \epsilon \\
 &= 2\epsilon
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_n = S \quad \square$$

(b) the sequence $\{S_n\} = (-1)^n$ does not converge, although $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_n = 0$ \square

(c) yes, Let $S_n = \begin{cases} 0 & n=0 \\ \log n & n \geq 1, \text{ } n \text{ is a perfect square.} \\ \frac{1}{n} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$

since S_n has terms that increase and unbounded, $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_n$ is infinity.

However, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{0 + \log 1 + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} + \log 4 + \frac{1}{5} + \frac{1}{6} + \frac{1}{7} + \frac{1}{8} + \log 9 + \dots}{n+1}$

$$= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n+1} \left(0 + 1 + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} + \dots + \frac{1}{n} \right) + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n+1} (1 + 2 + 3 + \dots + \lceil \log n \rceil)$$

~~$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n+1} \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} + \dots + \frac{1}{n} \right) + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n+1} (\log 1 + \log 2 + \dots + \log n)$$~~

~~$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n+1} \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} + \dots + \frac{1}{n} \right) +$$~~

For $(m+1)^2 \leq n \leq m^2$, we can reformulate above inequality as follows:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_n \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n+1} \left(0 + 1 + \frac{1}{2} + \dots + \frac{1}{n} \right) + \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{(m+1)^2} (\log 1 + \log 2 + \dots + \log m)$$

$$\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n+1} \left(0 + 1 + \frac{1}{2} + \dots + \frac{1}{n} \right) + \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{m + \frac{1}{m} - 2} \cdot \log m$$

$$= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n+1} \left(0 + 1 + \frac{1}{2} + \dots + \frac{1}{n} \right) + \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{m \log m}{m^2 - 2m + 1}$$

By the exercise 3.14 (a) and the fact $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{m \log m}{m^2 - 2m + 1} = 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_n &\leq 0 + 0 \\ &= 0 \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

(d) Let $a_n = s_n - s_{n-1}$ and $n \geq 1$, then followings are satisfied.

$$s_n - b_n = s_n - \left(\frac{s_0 + s_1 + \dots + s_n}{n+1} \right)$$

$$= \frac{s_n - s_0 + s_n - s_1 + \dots + s_n - s_n}{n+1}$$

$$= \frac{(s_n - s_{n-1} + s_{n-1} - s_{n-2} + \dots + s_1 - s_0) + (s_n - s_{n-1} + s_{n-1} - s_{n-2} + \dots + s_2 - s_1) + \dots}{n+1}$$

$$= \frac{(a_n + a_{n-1} + \dots + a_1) + (a_n + a_{n-1} + \dots + a_2) + (a_n + a_{n-1} + \dots + a_3)}{n+1}$$

$$= \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=1}^n k a_k$$

By the 3.14 (a), If $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n a_n = 0$, then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=1}^n k a_k$ is also 0.

Above condition says that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_n - b_n$ is 0 and the condition

$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_n = b$ says that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_n$ is also b .

★
(e)

Exercise 3.17

$$(a) \quad x_{n+2} = \frac{\alpha + x_{n+1}}{1 + x_{n+1}} = \frac{\alpha + \frac{\alpha + x_n}{1 + x_n}}{1 + \frac{\alpha + x_n}{1 + x_n}} = \frac{\alpha + \alpha x_n + \alpha + x_n}{2x_n + \alpha + 1} = \frac{2\alpha + (\alpha + 1)x_n}{2x_n + \alpha + 1}$$

$$= x_n + 2 \left(\frac{\alpha - x_n^2}{\alpha + 1 + 2x_n} \right)$$

If $x_n > \sqrt{\alpha}$, then $x_{n+2} < x_n$.

Since $\alpha > 1$ be satisfied, above recurrence relation satisfies following inequality.

$$x_{n+2} = x_n + 2 \left(\frac{(\sqrt{\alpha} - x_n)(\sqrt{\alpha} + x_n)}{\alpha + 1 + 2x_n} \right)$$

$$\geq x_n + \frac{2(\sqrt{\alpha} - x_n)(\sqrt{\alpha} + x_n)}{2(\sqrt{\alpha} + x_n)}$$

$$= x_n + \sqrt{\alpha} - x_n$$

$$= \sqrt{\alpha}$$

\therefore For $x_1 > \sqrt{\alpha}$, $x_1 > x_3 > x_5 > \dots$ is satisfied \square .

(b) let $x_1 > \sqrt{\alpha}$ and $x_2 = \frac{\alpha + x_1}{1 + x_1} = x_1 + \frac{\alpha - x_1^2}{1 + x_1}$.

Since $x_1 > \sqrt{\alpha}$, x_2 should be less than x_1 thus $\sqrt{\alpha}$.

By the results of (a), $x_{n+2} = x_n + 2 \frac{\alpha - x_n^2}{\alpha + 1 + 2x_n}$ should be satisfied.

Using same way in (a), we can demonstrate $x_2 < x_4 < x_6 \dots \square$

(c)

By the result (a), (b), we can say that the upper and lower bound of $\{x_{2n}\}, \{x_{2n+1}\}$ is $\sqrt{2}$.

let L_1, L_2 be the $\inf \{x_{2n+1}\}$ and $\sup \{x_{2n}\}$, then following should be satisfied.

$$L_1 = L_1 + 2 \left(\frac{\alpha - L_1^2}{\alpha + 1 + 2L_1} \right) \Rightarrow \frac{\alpha - L_1^2}{\alpha + 1 + 2L_1} = 0$$

$$L_2 = L_2 + 2 \left(\frac{\alpha - L_2^2}{\alpha + 1 + 2L_2} \right) \Rightarrow \frac{\alpha - L_2^2}{\alpha + 1 + 2L_2} = 0$$

$\therefore L_1 = L_2 = \sqrt{\alpha}$ and we can conclude that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = \sqrt{\alpha} \square$.

(d) Let $\epsilon_n = |x_n - \sqrt{\alpha}|$, $\beta = \frac{\sqrt{\alpha}-1}{1+\sqrt{\alpha}}$ be an error and real number.

Since for all positive integer n , $x_2 < x_{2n-1}$ and $x_2 \leq x_{2n}$,

the following inequality is always satisfied.

$$1 + x_2 \leq 1 + x_i \quad \text{for all positive integer } i$$

$$\epsilon_{n+1} = |x_{n+1} - \sqrt{\alpha}|$$

$$= \left| \frac{x + x_n}{1 + x_n} - \sqrt{\alpha} \right|$$

$$= \left| \frac{x_n - \sqrt{\alpha} - \sqrt{\alpha}(x_n - \sqrt{\alpha})}{1 + x_n} \right|$$

$$= \left| \frac{x_n(1 - \sqrt{\alpha}) + \sqrt{\alpha}(-1 + \sqrt{\alpha})}{1 + x_n} \right|$$

$$= \left| \frac{1 - \sqrt{\alpha}}{1 + x_n} \right| \cdot \epsilon_n$$

$$\leq \beta \epsilon_n.$$

Therefore $\epsilon_n \leq \beta \epsilon_{n+1} \leq \beta^2 \epsilon_{n+2} \leq \dots \leq \beta^{n-1} \cdot \epsilon$.

we cannot compare the speed of convergence due to the fact that

I do not calculate the speed of 3.16.

Exercise 3.19

To insist $x(a) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha_n}{3^n}$ is not Cantor set, we should show that

$$x(a) \in \left(\frac{3k+1}{3^m}, \frac{3k+2}{3^m} \right) \quad \text{where } m \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } k \in \{0\} \cup \mathbb{N}.$$

we can summarize above statement as follow:

$$x(a) \in \left(\frac{3k+1}{3^m}, \frac{3k+2}{3^m} \right)$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha_n}{3^n} \in \left(\frac{3k+1}{3^m}, \frac{3k+2}{3^m} \right)$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha_n}{3^{n-m}} \in (3k+1, 3k+2)$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{n=1}^{m-1} \frac{\alpha_n}{3^{n-m}} + \sum_{n=m}^m \frac{\alpha_n}{3^{n-m}} + \sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha_n}{3^{n-m}} \in (3k+1, 3k+2)$$

$$\Rightarrow 3A + \alpha_m + \sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha_n}{3^{n-m}} \in (3k+1, 3k+2), \quad A \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Since the lower bound of $\sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha_n}{3^{n-m}}$ is zero and upper bound is one,

for some $B = \sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha_n}{3^{n-m}}$ and $0 \leq B \leq 1$, $3A + \alpha_m + B$ should be

a term of interval $(3k+1, 3k+2)$.

By the fact that $\alpha_m = 0$ or 2 , there is no circumstance that

$3A + \alpha_m + B$ is an element of the interval $(3k+1, 3k+2)$.

$\therefore \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha_n}{3^n}$ is Cantor set.

Exercise 3.20

Let ϵ be all positive Real number, then the ^{Convergent} subsequence $\{p_{n_i}\}$ meets following Condition.

$$d(p_{n_i}, p) \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2}, \quad n_i \geq N, \text{ and } N \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Also for all $\epsilon > 0$, there exist positive integer M, n, m s.t

$$d(p_n, p_m) < \frac{\epsilon}{2} \text{ and } n, m \geq M.$$

By the triangular inequality, the following inequality is obvious.

$$d(p, p_m) \leq d(p, p_{n_i}) + d(p_{n_i}, p_m) = \epsilon.$$

$\therefore \{p_n\}$ converges to p .

Exercise 3.21

Let $\{E_n\}$ be a closed and bounded subset of complete metric space X

s.t $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \underbrace{E_n}_{\text{diam}}$ is zero.

By the theorem 3.9 and 3.12, The sequence E_n is Cauchy and Convergent.

Since E_n is $\hat{\cap}$ closed sequence, the $\hat{\cap}$ intersections of E_n ($\bigcap E_n$) are closed and all possible

every limit points of $\bigcap E_n$ is a point of $\bigcap E_n$.

Thus above statements say $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n$ has at least one point.

Suppose $E = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n$ has at least two points p, q, r, \dots s.t $d(p, q) > 0$.

Because $\text{diam } E$ is the supremum of the distances among all points in E , the following inequality should be satisfied.

$$0 < d(p, q) \leq \text{diam } E \leq \text{diam } E_n$$

But $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{diam } E_n$ should be zero, $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n$ has ^{an} only one point.

Exercise 3.23

For all arbitrary $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ s.t. $m, n \geq N$ and

$$d(p_m, p_n) \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

Same way, for all arbitrary $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $M \in \mathbb{N}$ s.t. $m, n \geq M$

$$\text{and } d(q_m, q_n) \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{By triangular inequality, } \forall n, m \geq \max(N, M), \\ d(p_n, q_n) &\leq d(p_n, p_m) + d(p_m, q_n) \\ &\leq d(p_n, p_m) + d(q_n, q_m) + d(q_m, p_m) \\ &\leq \varepsilon + d(p_m, q_m) \end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore d(p_n, q_n) - d(p_m, q_m) \leq \varepsilon \quad \square.$$