Criteria	Points possible	Points earned
Writing		
Abstract	5	3
Introduction	5	5
Methods	5	4
Results	5	5
Discussion	5	5
References	5	5
Code		
Document is fully reproducible	25	21
Demonstrate use of inline code	5	5
At least two data visualizations	10 (5 pts each)	10
Demonstrate tidying messy data using:		
`gather()`	5	5
`separate()`	5	5
`select()`, **and** `filter()`	5	5
`spread()`	5	5
At least one table of descriptive statistics	10	10
`group_by()`	5	5
`summarize()`	5	5
Total	110	103

- I removed two points from the abstract because it's well beyond standard APA length (which should be between 150-250 words) and is multiple paragraphs, but should be a single paragraph. See here: https://writingcommons.org/open-text/writing-processes/format/apa-format/1100-formatting-the-abstract-page-apa-sp-770492217

- I didn't remove any points, but I would have liked to have seen the YAML modified to remove the extra spacing. Specifically, you could have included

```
header-includes  :
  - \raggedbottom
  - \setlength{\parskip}{0pt}
```

- In the methods section, I removed one point for the incorrect use of Level 3 headers. They ended up rendering the same, but if you were in a situation where you needed a table of contents (which you probably wouldn't have for an APA manuscript but…) then these headers would not have shown up, as you had them.

- I removed 2 points from reproducibility because on your introduction header you failed to have a space after the pound sign/hashtag which, on my computer, resulted in the header not being rendered. Overall, though, it looks great.

- I removed an additional 2 points from reproducibility because, although it didn't bite you, the hard-coding of your model results is not really a reproducible workflow. I provided an alternative to consider (there are numerous other options, but the main point is you want to pull results from your model dynamically, rather than hard-code them).

- Overall, really well done! Let me know if you want to chat about any of this.