Q6

Let p be the population proportion of stores carried the brand a)

$$H_0: p \ge 0.19$$

 $H_1: p < 0.19$

$$H_1: P < 0.1$$

$$\therefore n = 85$$

$$n\hat{p}=11.9>5$$

$$n\hat{p}=11.9>5$$
 $n(1-\hat{p})=73.1>5$

.. Sampling distribution of p is approximately normal.

$$\alpha = 0.05$$

Critical Value
$$= -Z_{\alpha} = -Z_{0.05} = -1.645$$

Reject H_0 if Z < -1.645

$$Z = \frac{\hat{p} - P}{\sqrt{\frac{P(1-P)}{n}}} = \frac{0.14 - 0.19}{\sqrt{\frac{0.19 \times 0.81}{85}}} = -1.1751$$

$$\therefore Z = -1.1751 > -1.645$$

- \therefore We do not reject H_0 . There is insufficient evidence that Grant has poorer distribution in Mainland China than it does in Hong Kong.
- There is insufficient evidence that Grant has poorer distribution in Mainland China than b) it does in Hong Kong.
- p-value= $P(Z \le -1.18) = 0.1190$ c)
 - Reject H_0 if p-value < 0.05
 - \therefore p-value = 0.1190> 0.05
 - \therefore We do not reject H_0 and making the same decision as in (a)
- d) Choose $\alpha = 0.1$

For a fixed sample size, a larger value of α would correspond to a smaller value of β , that can decrease the penalty of committing type II error.

Q7

a) Standard error of sample proportion=
$$\sqrt{\frac{p(1-p)}{n}} = \sqrt{\frac{0.42 \times 0.58}{300}} = 0.0285$$

b) :
$$n = 300$$
 $n = 300 \times 0.42 = 126 \ge 5$ $n(1-p) = 300 \times 0.58 = 174 \ge 5$

 \therefore Sampling distribution of \hat{p}_s is approximately normal.

$$P(0.4 \le \hat{p}_s \le 0.45) = P(\frac{0.4 - 0.42}{0.0285} \le Z \le \frac{0.45 - 0.42}{0.0285}) = P(-0.70 \le Z \le 1.05)$$

= 0.8531-0.242 = 0.6111

- The range of 0.41 to 0.43 is more likely to lie because this range contains the population c) proportion that is 0.42
- d) In the sample size 300, 61.11% of sample will be expected to have the sample proportions between 0.4 and 0.45.

a) Let \hat{p} be the proportion of unemployment rate of Hong Kong in 2002

$$n = 8500$$

$$n\hat{p} = 8500 \times (\frac{618}{8500}) = 618 \ge 5$$

$$n(1 - \hat{p}) = 85000 \times \left(1 - \frac{618}{85000}\right) = 7882 \ge 5$$

sampling distribution \hat{p} is normal assume population follows binomial.

For 95% Confidence Interval,

$$=0.0727\pm1.96\sqrt{\frac{0.0727(0.9273)}{8500}}$$

= [0.0672, 0.0782]

 \therefore We are 95% confident that the population proportion of Hong Kong unemployment rate is estimated to be between 0.0672 and 0.0782.

b) Sample size

$$n = \frac{Z_{0.05}^2 \hat{p}(1-\hat{p})}{E^2} = 64750$$

c) Let p be the proportion of unemployment rate of Shatin in 2002

$$H_0: P \ge 0.073$$

$$H_1: p < 0.073$$

$$\therefore n = 620$$

$$n\hat{p} = 34 > 5$$

$$n(1-\hat{p}) = 586 > 5$$

 \therefore the sampling distribution of \hat{p} is approximately normal

$$\hat{p} = \frac{34}{620} = 0.0548$$

$$\hat{p} \sim N(0.073, \sqrt{\frac{0.073(1-0.073)}{620}}^2)$$

Reject H_0 if Z < -1.645

$$Z = \frac{\hat{p} - P}{\sqrt{\frac{P(1-P)}{n}}} = \frac{0.0548 - 0.073}{\sqrt{\frac{0.073(1 - 0.073)}{620}}} = -1.738 < -1.645$$

Therefore we reject H_0 . There is sufficient evidence that the unemployment in Shatin is lower than Hong Kong in 2002.

Q9

a)
$$p = \frac{1}{4} = 0.25$$

b) Possible value of sample proportion of preferring the brand:

	Not prefer	Not prefer	Not prefer	prefer
Not prefer	0	0	0	0.5
Not prefer	0	0	0	0.5
Not prefer	0	0	0	0.5
prefer	0.5	0.5	0.5	1

Probability distribution of the sample proportion:

p	0	0.5	1
P(p̂)	$\frac{9}{16} = 0.5625$	$\frac{6}{16} = 0.375$	$\frac{1}{16} = 0.0625$

Possible value of \hat{p} from the above table: 0, 0.5, 1

c)
$$\mu \hat{p} = 0*0.5625+0.5*0.375+1*0.0625=0.25$$

From part (a), $p = 0.25$
=> $\mu \hat{p} = p$

=> sample distribution of \hat{p} is an unbiased estimator for p

d)
$$n=2$$
, $n\hat{p}=2*0.25=0.5<5$, $n(1-\hat{p})=2*(1-0.25)=1.5<5$
=> sample distribution of \hat{p} does not follow a normal distribution

Q10

a) H_0 : $p \le 5\%$ vs H_1 : p > 5%

Type I error: let unhealthy patient with $\leq 5\%$ white blood cells leave, resulting in unhealthy patients are not treated

Type II error: refer healthy patient with > 5% white blood cells to doctor, resulting in more cost is incurred or more patients are sent to doctor

Comparing the above type I and II error, type I error is more serious. We would rather make a type II error.

b) If there is no information available from past data,

$$\alpha$$
=1-90%=0.10, $Z_{\frac{0.10}{2}} = 1.645$

E=1.95%=0.0195
$$p \approx 0.5$$

Sample size,
$$n = \frac{1.645^2 \times 0.5 \times (1 - 0.5)}{0.0195^2} = 1779.11 \cong 1780$$
 (round up)

Q11

X is the number of passengers responded to the survey p is the population proportion of passenger responded to the survey

a)
$$n = \frac{(1.96)^2 (0.13)(1 - 0.13)}{(0.06)^2} = 120.69 = 121$$
 (round-up)

b)
$$H_0: p \ge 0.13$$

H₁:
$$P < 0.13$$

As
$$n = 350$$
 $n\hat{p} = 28 > 5$; $n(1-\hat{p}) = 322 > 5$
 $\Rightarrow \hat{p} \sim N$
 $\Rightarrow \text{ use Z test}$

At
$$\alpha = 0.05$$
, reject H₀ if Z < -1.645

$$\hat{p} = \frac{28}{350} = 0.08$$

$$Z = \frac{\hat{p} - p_0}{\sqrt{\frac{p_0 (1-p_0)}{n}}} = \frac{0.08 - 0.13}{\sqrt{\frac{0.13(1-0.13)}{350}}} = -2.7815$$

As
$$Z = -2.7815 < -1.645$$
, reject H_0 .

There is sufficient evidence that the response rate has been dropped.

$$= \hat{p} \pm Z_{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \sqrt{\frac{\hat{p}(1-\hat{p})}{n}} = 0.08 \pm 1.96 \sqrt{\frac{0.08(1-0.08)}{350}} = 0.08 \pm 0.0284 = [0.0516, 0.1084]$$

We are 95% confident that the true unknown population proportion of passengers who are willing to response to the survey is between 0.0516 and 0.1084 (i.e. 5.16% or 10.84%).