

[Borrow rules violation with disjoint borrows](#)

[help](#)

[ytausky](#) March 4, 2019, 12:27pm 1

I have the following code:

```
struct A {
    field: Option<i32>,
}

impl A {
    fn foo(&mut self) -> &i32 {
        if let Some(field) = self.field.as_ref() {
            return field;
        }
        self.field = Some(42);
        self.field.as_ref().unwrap()
    }
}
```

([Playground link](#))

I'm getting the following error:

```
error[E0506]: cannot assign to `self.field` because it is borrowed
--> src/lib.rs:10:9
|
6 |     fn foo(&mut self) -> &i32 {
|         - let's call the lifetime of this reference ``1``
7 |         if let Some(field) = self.field.as_ref() {
|             ----- borrow of `self.field` occurs here
8 |             return field;
|             ----- returning this value requires that `self.field` is borrowed for ``1``
9 |         }
10|         self.field = Some(42);
|         ^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^ assignment to borrowed `self.field` occurs here
```

On the one hand, I understand what's going on in terms of lifetimes, but on the other hand, it seems like there should be a way to make this work, since the assignment to `self.field` is mutually exclusive with the return statement. How can I solve this?

[dodomorandi](#) March 4, 2019, 12:37pm 2

This is exactly what you need for this simple case:

```
fn foo(&mut self) -> &i32 {
    self.field.get_or_insert(42)
}
```

But I am not sure for a more general case.

[ytausky](#) March 4, 2019, 12:41pm 3

This is just a simplified version of my real code, but `Option::get_or_insert`'s [implementation](#) hints at the solution. I'd still be interested in a nicer way to avoid this problem, though...

[jonh](#) March 4, 2019, 4:05pm 4

Without function style you typically have to change code around.

```
if self.field.is_none() {
    self.field = Some(42);
}
self.field.as_ref().unwrap()
```

[Yandros](#) March 4, 2019, 10:07pm 5

Hmm, if we change `if let Some(field) = self.field.as_ref()` to `if let Some(ref field) = self.field` the code compiles o_O

```

struct A {
    field: Option<i32>,
}

impl A {
    fn foo(&mut self) -> &i32 {
        if let Some(ref field) = self.field {
            return field;
        }
        self.field = Some(42);
        self.field.as_ref().unwrap()
    }
}

```

I think this is easier to see with an unsugared match

```

impl A {
    fn foo(&mut self) -> &i32 {
        match self.field.as_ref() { // preemptive borrow starts here ...
            Some(field) => {
                field
            },
            _ => { self.field = Some(42); self.field.as_ref().unwrap() },
        } // ... and only ends at the end of the whole match
        // hence the error
    }
}

impl A {
    fn foo(&mut self) -> &i32 {
        match self.field {
            Some(ref field) => { // borrow starts here ...
                field
            },
            _ => { self.field = Some(42); self.field.as_ref().unwrap() },
        }
        // hence valid
    }
}

```

2 Likes

[harmic](#) March 5, 2019, 1:03am 6

I would have thought this:

```

if let Some(field) = self.field.as_ref() {
    return field;
}

```

would desugar into this:

```

match self.field.as_ref() {
    Some(field) => {
        field
    },
    _ => (),
}

```

in which case the scope of the borrow should end at the end of the scope of the `if let`?

I found [this similar issue](#) but it is talking about what happens in the `else` arm of an `if let`.

[vitalydv](#) March 5, 2019, 2:21am 7

I don't think what [@Yandros](#) wrote is the actual desugaring. The issue really is what [Polonius](#) will hopefully address one day.

[Yandros](#) March 5, 2019, 8:40am 8

return statements make the analysis more complicated (your error message mentions that); I think that a decent "approximation" in this case is how the compiler thinks is to rewrite your function without it.

```

impl A {
    fn foo(&mut self) -> &i32 {
        if let Some(field) = self.field.as_ref() {
            field
        } else {
            self.field = Some(42);
            self.field.as_ref().unwrap()
        }
    }
}

```

And then my desugaring does apply.

Aside: imperative return

If we really wanted to see how non-polonius thinks about return values, I'm pretty sure it is something along these lines:

```

impl A {
    fn foo(&mut self) -> &i32 {
        let ret_value: &i32; // always the first local
        if let Some(field) = self.field.as_ref() {
            ret_value = field;
        } else {
            self.field = Some(42);
            ret_value = self.field.as_ref().unwrap();
        }
        ret_value
    }
}

```

Which is why, when a value is returned, its lexical scope does not end before the very bottom of the function (and this is the counter-intuitive part about it, that polonius should address)

1 Like

Related topics

Topic	Replies	Views	Activity
Returning mutable references to optional self fields	3	425	November 7, 2023
help			
Borrow checker false positive?	5	1024	May 16, 2020
help			
E0502 when borrowing in if let	6	152	December 28, 2024
help			
Modifying and returning reference in match statement	9	179	October 20, 2025
help			
How to return a reference to a `Vec`	4	855	August 6, 2022
help			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Home • Categories • Guidelines • Terms of Service 			