a) Results Present the top-ranked image-review pairs along with the cosine similarity scores.

Query:

Image Retrieval:

https://images-na.ssl-images-amazon.com/images/I/81q5+IxFVUL. SY88.jpg

Review Text:

Loving these vintage springs on my vintage strat. They have a good tension and great stability. If you are floating your bridge and want the most out of your springs than these are the way to go.

Results from Text Retrieval:

```
Top 3 documents retrieved based on text similarity:

**Graduat 3D: 3522
**Text Cosino Similarity: 1.00
**Text Cosino Similar
```

Results from Image Retrieval:

The product ID: 302

**Review Total: Loving these valtages paragraph on any vintage strat. They have a good tension and great stability. If you are floating your bridge and want the nost out of your springs than these are the way to go.

**Review Total: Loving these valtages paragraph on any vintage strat. They have a good tension and great stability. If you are floating your bridge and want the nost out of your springs than these are the way to go.

**Review Total: Loving these valtages paragraph on any vintage strat. They have a good tension and great stability. If you are floating your bridge and want the nost out of your springs than these are the way to go.

**Review Total: Union a pain from any closer and britter in a law for adaptive floating floating

Results from Composite Retrieval:

b) Observe which out of the two retrieval techniques gives a better similarity score and arque the reason.

I observe that image cosine similarity performs better in this case as it considers the overall visual content of the image. The features extracted from the CNNs capture high-level visual concepts that enable the recognition of objects, shapes and patterns. Moreover, Since the data is augmented with variations of the images being accounted for, the image cosine similarity technique is robust to variations.

On the other hand, the TF-IDF cosine similarity for text produces a very small cosine similarity score in most cases as the vocabulary is extremely large, resulting in very sparse representations.

c) Discuss the challenges faced and potential improvements in the retrieval process.

Scalability: The CNNs took a lot of time to extract features from the given augmented image dataset. For an even larger dataset, it may take a huge amount of time, demanding a lot of computational resources and time, hence making it difficult to scale.

Data Quality and Noise: Lack of good quality data and having to deal with noisy data can significantly affect the results. Better data cleaning and pre-processing techniques, along with using hybrid scores from multi-modal retrieval techniques, can help improve the results.