

(1)

Let $d = \sqrt{B_1(t)^2 + B_2(t)^2}$, let $y = d^2$, we have $\frac{Y}{t} = z_1^2 + z_2^2 + z_3^2 \sim \chi_2^2$, where z_1, z_2 are standard normal distribution.

$$P(D < x) = P(Y < x^2) = P\left(\frac{Y}{t} < \frac{x^2}{t}\right) = F_{\chi_2^2}\left(\frac{x^2}{t}\right)$$

$$f_{d(x)} = f_{\chi_2^2}\left(\frac{x^2}{t}\right) \cdot \frac{2x}{t} = \frac{x}{t} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{x^2}{t}} \mathbf{1}_{x>0}$$

(2). Solution:

- Because $B_1(t)$ and $B_2(t)$ are continuous, $X(t)$ is a linear combination of them. Thus, $X(t)$ is also continuous.
- $X(0) = \rho B_1(0) + \sqrt{1 - \rho^2} B_2(0) = 0$
- for each $m \in N$ and $t_0 = 0 < t_1 < \dots < t_m$

$$X(t_1) - X(t_0) = \rho(B_1(t_1) - B_1(t_0)) + \sqrt{1 - \rho^2}(B_2(t_1) - B_2(t_0))$$

$$X(t_2) - X(t_1) = \rho(B_1(t_2) - B_1(t_1)) + \sqrt{1 - \rho^2}(B_2(t_2) - B_2(t_1))$$

.....

$$X(t_m) - X(t_{m-1}) = \rho(B_1(t_m) - B_1(t_{m-1})) + \sqrt{1 - \rho^2}(B_2(t_m) - B_2(t_{m-1}))$$

Because $B_1(t_1) - B_1(t_0), B_1(t_2) - B_1(t_1), \dots, B_1(t_m) - B_1(t_{m-1})$ are independent random variables; $B_2(t_1) - B_2(t_0), B_2(t_2) - B_2(t_1), B_2(t_m) - B_2(t_{m-1})$ are independent random variables; $B_1(t)$ and $B_2(t)$ are independent.

Thus, $X(t_1) - X(t_0), X(t_2) - X(t_1), X(t_m) - X(t_{m-1})$ are independent random variables.

- For all $s > 0$, and $t \geq 0$, $B_1(t)$ and $B_2(t)$ are independent, their covariance = 0

$$E[X(t+s) - X(t)] = \rho E[B_1(t+s) - B_1(t)] + \sqrt{1 - \rho^2} E[B_2(t+s) - B_2(t)] = 0$$

$$\text{var}[X(t+s) - X(t)] = \rho^2 \text{var}[B_1(t+s) - B_1(t)] + (1 - \rho^2) \text{var}[B_2(t+s) - B_2(t)] = s$$

Because $[B_1(t+s) - B_1(t)] \sim N(0, s)$ and $[B_2(t+s) - B_2(t)] \sim N(0, s)$,

the increment $X(t+s) - X(t)$ also has a normal distribution with mean 0 and variance s

So we can conclude that $X(t)$ is a Brownian motion.

- $\text{corr}[X(t), B_1(t)] = \text{corr}[\rho B_1(t) + \sqrt{1 - \rho^2} B_2(t), B_1(t)]$

$$= \frac{E[(\rho B_1(t) + \sqrt{1 - \rho^2} B_2(t)) * B_1(t)]}{t}$$

$$= \frac{\rho E[B_1(t) * B_1(t)] + \sqrt{1 - \rho^2} E[B_2(t) * B_1(t)]}{t}$$

$$= \frac{\rho t + 0}{t}$$

$$= \rho$$

(3).

(a) $X(t) = -B(t)$

- The negative multiplication maintains the continuity and independence of increments
- $X(0) = -B(0) = 0$
- $E[X(t+s) - X(t)] = E[-(B(t+s) - B(t))] = 0$
 $\text{var}[X(t+s) - X(t)] = \text{var}[-(B(t+s) - B(t))] = \text{var}[B(t+s) - B(t)] = s$
 Because $B(t+s) - B(t)$ has normal distribution, $X(t)$ still maintains normal distribution with mean 0 and variance s
 Thus, $(-B(t))_{t \geq 0}$ is a Brownian motion.

(b) $X(t) = (cB(t/c^2))_{t \geq 0}$ where $c > 0$ is a constant

- Continuity and independence of increments still maintains.
- $X(0) = cB(0) = 0$
- Because $B\left(\frac{t+s}{c^2}\right) - B\left(\frac{t}{c^2}\right)$ has normal distribution,
 $X(t+s) - x(t)$ still maintains normal distribution
 $E[X(t+s) - X(t)] = E\left[c\left(B\left(\frac{t+s}{c^2}\right) - B\left(\frac{t}{c^2}\right)\right)\right] = 0$
 $\text{var}[X(t+s) - X(t)] = \text{var}\left[c\left(B\left(\frac{t+s}{c^2}\right) - B\left(\frac{t}{c^2}\right)\right)\right]$
 $= c^2 * \text{var}\left[B\left(\frac{t+s}{c^2}\right) - B\left(\frac{t}{c^2}\right)\right]$
 $= c^2 * \frac{s}{c^2}$
 $= s$

Thus, $(cB(t/c^2))_{t \geq 0}$ is a Brownian motion.

(c) $X(t) = (\sqrt{t}B(1))_{t \geq 0}$

- $\text{var}[X(t+s) - X(t)] = \text{var}[\sqrt{t+s}B(1) - \sqrt{t}B(1)]$
 $= (t+s)\text{var}[B(1)] + t * \text{var}[B(1)] - 2\sqrt{t+s}$
 $\quad * \sqrt{t} \text{cov}(B(1), B(1))$
 $= 2t + s - 2\sqrt{t+s} * \sqrt{t}$

The variance of increments is still related to t, so it's not a Brownian motion.

(d) $X(t) = (B(2t) - B(t))_{t \geq 0}$

- for all $s > 0, t \geq 0$
 $\text{var}[X(t+s) - X(t)] = \text{var}[B(2t+2s) - B(t+s) - B(2t) + B(t)]$
 $= \begin{cases} \text{var}[B(2t+2s) - B(t+s)] + \text{var}[B(2t) - B(t)] & s \geq t \\ \text{var}[B(2t+2s) - B(2t)] + \text{var}[B(s+t) - B(t)] & s < t \end{cases}$
 $= \begin{cases} s + 2t & s \geq t \\ 3s & s < t \end{cases}$

Thus, the variance of increments is still related to t for $s \geq t$. It's not a Brownian motion.

(e) $X(t) = (B(s) - B(s - t))_{0 \leq t \leq s}$, where s is fixed

- Because $X(t)$ is a linear combination of Brownian motions, it maintains the independence of increments and is almost surely continuous. The increments are also normally distributed.

- For all $m > 0$, $t + m \leq s$

$$\begin{aligned} E[X(t + m) - X(t)] &= E[B(s) - B(s - t - m) - B(s) + B(s - t)] \\ &= E[B(s - t) - B(s - t - m)] \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{var}[X(t + s) - X(t)] &= \text{var}[B(s - t) - B(s - t - m)] \\ &= m \end{aligned}$$

Thus, it's a Brownian motion.

(4).

$$P(B^*(t) \geq a, B(t) \leq x) = P(B(t) \leq x) - P(B^*(t) < a, B(t) \leq x) = P(B(t) \leq x) - P(\tau_a > t)$$

We apply reflection principle,

$$P(\tau_t > t) = 1 - P(\tau_a < t) = 1 - 2P(B(t) > a)$$

$$P(B^*(t) \geq a, B(t) \leq x) = P(B(t) \leq x) - 1 + 2P(B(t) > a) = 2N\left(\frac{-a}{\sqrt{t}}\right) - N\left(\frac{-x}{\sqrt{t}}\right)$$

Where $N(\cdot)$ is cumulative function of standard normal distribution.

(5).

Since for square-integrable mean zero random variables X and Y , their inner product is defined to be $E(XY)$, then following the general procedure of Gram-Schmidt orthogonalization, we have

$$\begin{aligned} Y_1 &= X_1 \\ Y_2 &= X_2 - \frac{E(X_2, Y_1)}{E(Y_1^2)} Y_1 \\ Y_3 &= X_3 - \frac{E(X_3, Y_1)}{E(Y_1^2)} Y_1 - \frac{E(X_3, Y_2)}{E(Y_2^2)} Y_2 \\ &\dots \dots \\ Y_n &= X_n - \frac{E(X_n, Y_1)}{E(Y_1^2)} Y_1 - \frac{E(X_n, Y_2)}{E(Y_2^2)} Y_2 - \dots - \frac{E(X_n, Y_{n-1})}{E(Y_{n-1}^2)} Y_{n-1} \end{aligned}$$

Then $E(Y_i Y_j) = 0, \forall i \neq j$, and the collection of all random variables from set $\{Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_n\}$ forms an orthogonal basis of the span of X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n :

$$\begin{aligned} X_1 &= Y_1 \\ X_2 &= \frac{E(X_2, Y_1)}{E(Y_1^2)} Y_1 + Y_2 \end{aligned}$$

$$X_3 = \frac{E(X_3, Y_1)}{E(Y_1^2)} Y_1 + \frac{E(X_3, Y_2)}{E(Y_2^2)} Y_2 + Y_3$$

.....

$$X_n = \frac{E(X_n, Y_1)}{E(Y_1^2)} Y_1 + \frac{E(X_n, Y_2)}{E(Y_2^2)} Y_2 + \dots \frac{E(X_n, Y_{n-1})}{E(Y_{n-1}^2)} Y_{n-1} + Y_n$$

For simplicity, we write $X = AY$, where $X = (X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)^T$, $Y = (Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_n)^T$, and Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_n are independent normal random variables, $Y_i \sim N(0, \sigma_i^2)$.

(a)

First, let's assume $E(X) = 0$, then according to the discussion above, we have

$$X = AY$$

Where $Y = (Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_n)^T$, and Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_n are independent normal random variables, $Y_i \sim N(0, \sigma_i^2)$.

Write Y as $Y = \text{diag}(\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_n)Z$, where $\text{diag}(\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_n)$ is a diagonal matrix and Z is a standard normal vector, $Z \sim N(0, I)$, then we have

$$X = A \cdot \text{diag}(\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_n)Z$$

Let $B = A \cdot \text{diag}(\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_n)$, then apply Singular Value Decomposition to B , we have

$$B = U \cdot D \cdot V$$

where U, V are orthogonal matrices and $D = \text{diag}(\tilde{\sigma}_1, \tilde{\sigma}_2, \dots, \tilde{\sigma}_n)$ is a diagonal matrix, then we have

$$X = BZ = U \cdot D \cdot VZ$$

Since V is orthogonal, $Z \sim N(0, I)$, we have $\text{Var}(VZ) = V \cdot \text{Var}(Z) \cdot V^T = V \cdot V^T = I$, which means VZ is also a standard normal vector.

Thus, let $\tilde{Y} = DV \cdot Z$, then it's easy to see that $\tilde{Y} \sim N(0, D^2)$, where $D^2 = \text{diag}(\tilde{\sigma}_1^2, \tilde{\sigma}_2^2, \dots, \tilde{\sigma}_n^2)$.

In conclusion, we have

$$X = U \cdot \tilde{Y}$$

Where U is an orthogonal matrix, $\tilde{Y} = (\tilde{Y}_1, \tilde{Y}_2, \dots, \tilde{Y}_n)$, and $\tilde{Y}_i \sim N(0, \tilde{\sigma}_i^2)$ are independent normal random variables.

As for $E(X) = \mu = (\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_n)^T \neq 0$, where $\mu_i = E(X_i), \forall i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Denote $\tilde{X} = X - \mu$, then using the conclusion proven above, we know that there exists an orthogonal matrix A and independent normal random variables $Y_i \sim N(0, \sigma_i^2), i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, such that

$$\tilde{X} = \tilde{A}Y$$

so $X = \mu + X - \mu = \mu + \tilde{X} = \mu + \tilde{A}Y$. Thus, we have proven Theorem 1.6 from Lecture 1.

(b)

From the process of Gram-Schmidt orthogonalization, we know that $X_k = a_1 Y_1 + a_2 Y_2 + \dots + a_k Y_k$, where $a_i = \frac{E(X_k, Y_i)}{E(Y_i^2)}, \forall i = 1, 2, \dots, k$, thus

$$E(X_k | X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{k-1}) = \sum_{i=1}^k a_i E(Y_i | X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{k-1})$$

Also, $\forall i = 1, 2, \dots, k-1, Y_i$ is a linear combination of X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{k-1} , denote it as $Y_i =$

$\sum_{j=1}^{k-1} b_{ij}X_j$, then

$$E(Y_i|X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{k-1}) = \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} b_{ij}X_j, \forall i = 1, 2, \dots, k-1$$

Next, we prove that Y_k is independent with X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{k-1} .

Since $Y_k, X_i, \forall 1 \leq i \leq k-1$ is a linear combination of X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n , we can tell that they are jointly normal thus to prove that they are independent, we just need to prove that

$$\text{Cov}(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{k-1}, Y_k) = 0$$

For all $1 \leq i \leq k-1$,

$$\text{Cov}(X_i, Y_k) = E(X_i Y_k) = E\left[\left(\sum_{j=1}^i a_j Y_j\right) \cdot Y_k\right] = \sum_{j=1}^i a_j E(Y_j Y_k) = 0$$

Thus, $E(Y_k|X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{k-1}) = E(Y_k) = 0$.

In conclusion, we have proven that

$$E(X_k|X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{k-1}) = \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} a_i \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} b_{ij}X_j = \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} a_i b_{ij}\right)X_j$$

Is a linear function of X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{k-1} .

(c)

Denote $\mathbf{X}_1 = (X_1, X_2, \dots, X_k)$, $\mathbf{X}_2 = (X_{k+1}, X_{k+2}, \dots, X_n)$, then what we need to do is to prove $(\mathbf{X}_2|\mathbf{X}_1 = \mathbf{x}_1 = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k)^T)$ is Gaussian and that the dependence of the parameters on x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k is linear.

Denote the mean vector of $(\mathbf{X}_1, \mathbf{X}_2)$ as $(\boldsymbol{\mu}_1, \boldsymbol{\mu}_2)$, and the covariance matrix as $\Sigma = \begin{pmatrix} \Sigma_{11} & \Sigma_{12} \\ \Sigma_{21} & \Sigma_{22} \end{pmatrix}$,

then we claim that

$$(\mathbf{X}_2|\mathbf{X}_1 = \mathbf{x}_1) \sim N(\Sigma_{21}\Sigma_{11}^{-1}\mathbf{x}_1, \Sigma_{22} - \Sigma_{21}\Sigma_{11}^{-1}\Sigma_{12})$$

To prove it, denote $Z = \mathbf{X}_2 - \Sigma_{21}\Sigma_{11}^{-1}\mathbf{X}_1$, we want to show that Z is independent with \mathbf{X}_1 .

Obviously, X_1, Z are jointly normal, then we only need to show that $\text{Cov}(X_1, Z) = 0$.

$$\text{Cov}(\mathbf{X}_1, Z) = \text{Cov}(X_1, X_2 - \Sigma_{21}\Sigma_{11}^{-1}\mathbf{X}_1) = \text{Cov}(X_1, X_2) - \text{Cov}(X_1, X_1) \cdot (\Sigma_{21}\Sigma_{11}^{-1})^T = \Sigma_{12} - \Sigma_{12} = 0$$

Also we have $E(Z) = 0$, $\text{Var}(Z) = \text{Var}(\mathbf{X}_2) - (\Sigma_{21}\Sigma_{11}^{-1})\text{Var}(\mathbf{X}_1)(\Sigma_{21}\Sigma_{11}^{-1})^T = \Sigma_{22} - \Sigma_{21}\Sigma_{11}^{-1}\Sigma_{12}$,

then, according to the property of conditional expectation, we know that

$$Z|\mathbf{X}_1 \sim Z = N(0, \Sigma_{22} - \Sigma_{21}\Sigma_{11}^{-1}\Sigma_{12})$$

Where \sim denote identically distributed.

Write Z as $Z = AW$, where $AA^T = \Sigma_{22} - \Sigma_{21}\Sigma_{11}^{-1}\Sigma_{12}$ and $W \sim N(0, I_{(n-k) \times (n-k)})$, so $\mathbf{X}_2 = Z + \Sigma_{21}\Sigma_{11}^{-1}\mathbf{X}_1$,

$$\mathbf{X}_2|\mathbf{X}_1 = (Z + \Sigma_{21}\Sigma_{11}^{-1}\mathbf{X}_1)|(\mathbf{X}_1 = \mathbf{x}_1) \sim N(\Sigma_{21}\Sigma_{11}^{-1}\mathbf{x}_1, \Sigma_{22} - \Sigma_{21}\Sigma_{11}^{-1}\Sigma_{12})$$

Hence, we have proven that the conditional distribution of

$$(X_{k+1}, X_{k+2}, \dots, X_n)|(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_k) = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k)$$

Is Gaussian and that the dependence of the parameters on x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k is linear.

(6).

The conditional distribution is Gaussian by the previous problem

we assume

$$X_2 - \mu_2 = \Lambda(X_1 - \mu_1) + W$$

where Λ is a non-random matrix and W is a mean zero Gaussian vector independent from X_1

$$(X_2 - \mu_2)(X_1 - \mu_1)^t = \Lambda(X_1 - \mu_1)(X_1 - \mu_1)^t + W(X_1 - \mu_1)^t$$

$$E((X_2 - \mu_2)(X_1 - \mu_1)^t) = E(\Lambda(X_1 - \mu_1)(X_1 - \mu_1)^t) + E(W(X_1 - \mu_1)^t)$$

We have X_1 and W are independent and C_{11} is non-degenerate, so

$$C_{21} = \Lambda C_{11}$$

$$\Lambda = C_{21} C_{11}^{-1}$$

$$(X_2 - \mu_2)(X_2 - \mu_2)^t = \Lambda(X_1 - \mu_1)(X_2 - \mu_2)^t + W(X_2 - \mu_2)^t$$

Then multiply both parts by

$$(X_2 - \mu_2)^t = (\Lambda(X_1 - \mu_1) + W)^t$$

$$E((X_2 - \mu_2)(X_2 - \mu_2)^t) = E(\Lambda(X_1 - \mu_1)(X_2 - \mu_2)^t) + E(W(\Lambda(X_1 - \mu_1) + W)^t)$$

$$C_{22} = \Lambda C_{12} + E(WW^t)$$

$$Cov(W) = C_{22} - \Lambda C_{12}$$

$$Cov(W) = C_{22} - C_{21} C_{11}^{-1} C_{12}$$

We have

$$W \sim N(0, C_{22} - C_{21} C_{11}^{-1} C_{12})$$

$$(X_2 | X_1 = x) = C_{21} C_{11}^{-1} (x - \mu_1) + W$$

$$E(X_2 | X_1 = x) = E(\Lambda(X_1 - \mu_1) + W + \mu_2 | X_1 = x)$$

$$E(X_2 | X_1 = x) = \Lambda(x - \mu_1) + \mu_2$$

$$E(X_2 | X_1 = x) = C_{21} C_{11}^{-1} (x - \mu_1) + \mu_2$$

Then

$$(X_2 | X_1 = x) \sim N(C_{21} C_{11}^{-1} (x - \mu_1) + \mu_2, C_{22} - C_{21} C_{11}^{-1} C_{12})$$