#### Interest and Credit Models

7. Default Swaptions, Index Options, and CMDS

Andrew Lesniewski

Baruch College New York

Spring 2019

#### Outline

- Default swaptions
- 2 Index options
- 3 Constant maturity default swaps (CMDS)

# Default swaption

- A default swaption or CDS option is an OTC instrument which grants the holder the right, but not obligation, to enter into a (single name) CDS on a contractually specified date T<sub>0</sub> and at a contractually specified spread C.
- An option to buy protection is called a payer swaption, while an option to sell protection is called a receiver swaption.
- It is common (especially outside of the USD market) that default swaptions have a knock out feature built in.
- Namely, if the reference name underlying the CDS defaults prior to T<sub>0</sub>, the swaption is knocked out and the contract is nullified.

# Default swaption

- As a result of this knock out provision, single name default swaptions are rather illiquid and, typically, only short dated contracts trade.
- The knock out feature inserts digital risk into a single name swaption, which may have unpleasant consequences.
- For example, a holder of a payer swaption sees its value go through the roof as
  the spread blows out (and the swaption is deep in the money), and drop to zero if
  the underlying name defaults prior to T<sub>0</sub>.

 Consider a payer swaption (with the knock out provision), i.e. an option to buy protection, struck at K. Its payoff (exercise value) is

$$V_{pay}(T_0, T) = 1_{\tau > T_0} (V_{prot}(T_0, T) - KA(T_0, T))^+$$
  
=  $1_{\tau > T_0} A(T_0, T) (C(T_0, T) - K)^+,$ 

where  $C(T_0, T) = V_{prot}(T_0, T)/A(T_0, T)$  is the forward par spread at  $T_0$ .

- This expression looks very much like the payoff of an interest rate swaption, except that for the presence of the indicator function 1<sub>τ > T<sub>0</sub></sub> (reflecting the knock out provision).
- We can include the knock out feature in the risky annuity by defining

$$\mathcal{A}^*(t, T_0, T) = \mathbf{1}_{\tau > t} \mathcal{A}(t, T_0, T),$$

so that the payoff takes the form

$$V_{pay}(T_0, T) = A^*(T_0, T)(S_0 - K)^+.$$



- This expression looks exactly like the payoff of an interest rate swaption, and we are inclined to use the methods of arbitrage pricing theory.
- However, while A\* represents the price of a traded asset (namely a stream of risky cash payments), strictly speaking, it is not a a numeraire, as it is not positive.
- From a practical perspective, this is not a problem because the value of the swaption is zero when the numeraire turns zero.
- In order to formulate this mathematically, we start with the valuation formula under the risk neutral measure.

Using the results presented in Lecture Notes #2, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} V_{\textit{pay}}\left(0\right) &= \mathsf{E}\Big[\mathbf{1}_{\tau > T_0} \mathcal{A}(T_0, T) (C(T_0, T) - K)^+ e^{-\int_0^{T_0} r(s) ds}\Big] \\ &= \mathsf{E}\Big[\mathcal{A}(T_0, T) (C(T_0, T) - K)^+ e^{-\int_0^{T_0} (r(s) + \lambda(s)) ds}\Big]. \end{aligned}$$

• In the risk neutral measure, we have thus transformed the (non-strictly positive) indicator process  $\mathbf{1}_{t>\tau}$  into the (strictly positive) process  $e^{-\int_0^t (r(s)+\lambda(s))ds}$ .

• The factor  $e^{-\int_0^{T_0} (r(s)+\lambda(s))ds}$  can be combined with  $\mathcal{A}(T_0,T)$ , yielding the following numeraire:

$$\mathcal{N}(t) = \mathcal{A}(t, T_0, T)$$

$$= \sum_{1 \le i \le N} \delta_i \mathcal{P}(t, t, T_i).$$

- Note that this numeraire does not correspond to an actual financial asset (as the risky zero coupon bonds are not modeled correctly), but serves as a useful "pseudo-asset".
- ullet We let  $Q_{T_0,T}$  denote the EMM associated with this numeraire, called the *forward survival measure*.



 Using Girsanov's theorem and changing to Q<sub>T0,T</sub>, we can write the swaption price in the familiar form

$$V_{pay} = A_0(T_0, T) \mathsf{E}^{\mathsf{Q}_{T_0, T}} [(C(T_0, T) - K)^+].$$

Note that, under the survival measure Q<sub>T0,T</sub>, the par spread

$$C(t, T_0, T) = \frac{V_{prot}(t, T_0, T)}{A(t, T_0, T)}$$

is a martingale.

 The precise nature of this martingale is, of course, unknown, and we have to use approximations.



## Lognormal model for default swaptions

• The market convention for option pricing is to assume that C(t) follows a lognormal process:

$$\frac{dC(t)}{C(t)} = \sigma dW(t).$$

As consequence, the swaption price is given by a Black-Scholes type formulas:

$$V_{pay} = \mathcal{A}_0(T) (C_0 N(d_1) - KN(d_2)),$$
  
 $V_{rec} = \mathcal{A}_0(T) (KN(-d_2) - C_0 N(-d_1)).$ 

where

$$d_1 = \frac{\log \frac{C_0}{K} + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 T_0}{\sigma \sqrt{T_0}},$$

$$d_2 = \frac{\log \frac{C_0}{K} - \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 T_0}{\sigma \sqrt{T_0}}.$$

# Mechanics of an index option

- There is a liquid market for short dated European options on standardized credit indices.
- Since the indices roll every 6 months, and the off-the-run indices are less liquid, most of the option activity is in the 1 through 3 months.
- At expiration, the option holder has the right to exercise into a basket of CDSs at a specified spread.
- The content of the basket consists of the components of the underlying index, at the time of option trade.

# Mechanics of an index option

- Options on indices do not have the knock out feature.
- If there are substitutions or defaults in the basket during the life of the option, this
  does not affect the deliverable basket in the option contract.
- In particular, all defaulted CDSs should be delivered at option expiration, with values of one minus recovery.
- This is in contrast with single name CDS swaptions.

- Consider a call option on an index struck at K. For simplicity, we assume that each component CDS has a notional of \$1 (rather than 1/N of the face value).
- The payoff of this option is given by

$$\begin{aligned} V_{call}(T_0) &= \Big(\sum_{j=1}^N V_{prot}^j(T_0, T) - K\Big)^+ \\ &= \Big(\sum_{j \notin \mathcal{D}} V_{prot}^j(T_0, T) + \sum_{j \in \mathcal{D}} (1 - R_j) - K\Big)^+, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\mathcal{D}$  denotes the set of all index components that defaulted prior to  $T_0$ .

Under the risk neutral measure,

$$E\left[\sum_{j \notin \mathcal{D}} V_{prot}^{j}(T_{0}, T)\right] = E\left[\sum_{j=1}^{N} 1_{\tau_{j} > T_{0}} \mathcal{A}^{j}(T_{0}, T)(\mathcal{O}^{j}(T_{0}, T) - \mathcal{C})\right]$$

$$= P_{0}(T_{0})^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \mathcal{A}^{j}(0, T_{0}, T)(\mathcal{O}^{j}(T_{0}, T) - \mathcal{C}),$$

where  $C^{j}$  is the par spread for name j, and C is the spread on the index.

Also,

$$E\left[\sum_{j\in\mathcal{D}} (1 - R_j)\right] = E\left[\sum_{j=1}^{N} 1_{\tau_j < T_0} (1 - R_j)\right]$$
$$= \sum_{j=1}^{N} Q_j(0, T_0)(1 - R_j).$$

Let us now write the payoff as

$$V_{call}(T_0) = (\Phi(T_0) - K)^+.$$

As a result of the calculations above, we know that

$$\mathsf{E}[\Phi(T_0)] = P_0(T_0)^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^N \mathcal{A}^j(0, T_0, T) (\mathcal{C}^j(T_0, T) - \mathcal{C}) + Q_j(0, T_0) (1 - R_j).$$

- To compute the option value V<sub>call</sub>(T<sub>0</sub>), we need more information about the distribution of Φ(T<sub>0</sub>) beyond its expected value.
- This can be done by means of the following approximations.
- We assume that  $\Phi(T_0)$  can be expressed terms of a suitable "effective" spread  $C(T_0)$ .



A simple approach consists in writing:

$$\Phi(T_0) = p(C(T_0)) = NA_C(T_0, T)(C(T_0) - C),$$

where  $A_C(T_0, T)$  is the "effective" risky annuity given in terms of the "effective" survival probabilities  $S_C(T_0, T_i)$  introduced below.

- The survival probabilities  $S_C(T_0, T_i)$  are defined as follows.
- We use the credit triangle  $C_0 \approx \lambda(1 R)$  to write

$$S_C(T_0, T_j) = e^{-(C(T_0)/(1-R_{eff}))(T_j-T_0)},$$

where  $R_{eff}$  is an effective recovery rate (usually set to 40%).



- The expression for S<sub>C</sub> is similar to the way a CDS index is quoted by assuming that all names have identical characteristics.
- We write the payoff of the option as

$$V_{call}(T_0) = (p(C(T_0)) - K)^+,$$

and so

$$V_{call}(0) = P_0(T_0) \mathsf{E}[(p(C(T_0)) - K)^+].$$

If we know the density φ of the effective coupon C(T<sub>0</sub>), we can compute this
option value by numerical evaluation of the integral:

$$V_{call} = P_0(T_0) \int_0^\infty (p(c) - K)^+ \varphi(c) dc.$$

This is subject to the constraint on the expected value:

$$\begin{split} \mathsf{E}\big[p(C(T_0))\big] &= \int_0^\infty p(c)\varphi(c)dc \\ &= P_0(T)^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^N \mathcal{A}_j(C_0^j - C) + Q_j(0, T_j)(1 - R_j). \end{split}$$

The market convention is to assume the lognormal distribution, and so we write

$$C(T_0) = \mu e^{\sigma_C \sqrt{T_0} x - \frac{1}{2} \sigma_C^2 T_0}$$
, where  $x \sim N(0, 1)$ .

- The index spread volatility  $\sigma_C$  is a market observable.
- The mean  $\mu = E[C(T_0)]$  is found by enforcing the condition for  $E[p(C(T_0))]$  above.

To summarize the above calculations, the call price is given by

$$\textit{V}_{\textit{call}} = \frac{\textit{P}_0(\textit{T})}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \, \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left( \textit{p} \big( \mu \textit{e}^{\sigma_{\textit{C}} \sqrt{\textit{T}} \, \textit{x} - \frac{1}{2} \, \sigma_{\textit{C}}^2 \textit{T}} \big) - \textit{K} \right)^+ \textit{e}^{-\frac{1}{2} \, \textit{x}^2} \textit{dx},$$

subject to the condition for  $\mu$ :

$$\mathsf{E}[p(C(T_0))] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p(\mu e^{\sigma_C \sqrt{T} x - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\sigma_C^2 T}{C}}) e^{-\frac{1}{2} x^2} dx,$$

where  $E[\Phi(T_0)]$  is defined above.

- The integrals can be calculated numerically.
- Note that the strike *K* is often given in spread terms rather than in price terms.
- The conversion from spread to strike follows the algorithm presented in Lecture Notes #3 (which is also similar to the definition of C(T<sub>0</sub>) above).



#### **CMDS**

- A CMDS is a variation on the CDS in which one leg pays a periodic floating (rather than fixed) coupon.
- This coupon is linked to the fixing of a reference spread on the previous coupon date.
- Denote the coupon dates on the swap by  $T_i$ , i = 1, ..., M. On the date  $T_i$  the coupon leg pays the par yield  $C(T_{i-1})$  of a reference CDS maturing, say, 5 years later.
- Since the maturity of the reference CDS remains constant throughout the life of the contract, it i referred to as a constant maturity default swap.

#### **CMDS**

- Typically, the other leg on the swap is the standard protection leg.
- It may also be a standard premium leg, or a CMDS leg corresponding to a different maturity CDS (say, 10 years).
- To develop a pricing model, consider first a single coupon setting at time  $T_0$ , on a par rate corresponding to a CDS with payment dates  $T_1, \ldots, T_N = T$ , so that the maturity of the reference swap is  $T T_0$ . The CMDS coupon is paid on  $T_1$ .
- For simplicity, we assume that there is no payment at time  $T_1$  if  $\tau < T_1$  (no accrued interest).

#### Valuation of a CMDS

The value of this payment on the settlement date is

$$V_{cpn}(T_0) = 1_{\tau > T_0} \mathcal{P}(T_0, T_1) C(T_0) \delta,$$

where  $\delta$  is a day count fraction.

Today's value of the payment is

$$\begin{aligned} V_{cpn}(0) &= \mathsf{E}[\mathbf{1}_{\tau > T_0} \mathcal{P}(T_0, T_1) C(T_0) e^{-\int_0^{T_0} r(s) ds}] \delta \\ &= \mathcal{A}(0) \mathsf{E}^{\mathsf{Q}_{T_0, T}} [\mathcal{P}(T_0, T_1) \mathcal{A}(T_0)^{-1} C(T_0)] \delta, \end{aligned}$$

where  $Q_{T_0,T}$  is the survival measure.

- We know that C(t) is a martingale under the measure  $Q_{T_0,T}$ .
- The quantity  $\frac{\mathcal{P}(t,T_1)}{\mathcal{A}(t)}$  is also a martingale.



Recall the property of iterated expected value:

$$E[E[X|Y]] = E[X].$$

Following Leif Andersen, we use this identity to write

$$V_{cpn}(0) = A(0) \mathsf{E}^{\mathsf{Q}_{T_0,T}}[f(C(T_0))C(T_0)]\delta,$$

where  $f(C(T_0))$  is the conditional expectation:

$$f(C(T_0)) \triangleq \mathsf{E}^{\mathsf{Q}_{T_0,T}}[\mathcal{P}(T_0,T_1)\mathcal{A}(T_0)^{-1} \mid C(T_0)].$$

Our goal is to find an effective approximation to f (x). Then we set

$$g(x) = f(x)x$$

and compute  $V_{cpn}(0)$  by integration:

$$V_{cpn}(0) = A(0)\delta \int_0^\infty g(x) \varphi(x) dx,$$

where  $\varphi$  is the probability density of  $C(T_0)$ .

- The density  $\varphi$  is often known from the assumed model.
- It can also be determined from the observed swaption prices by means of the replication formula, which we discussed in Lecture Notes #6.



Therefore

$$\varphi(K) = \mathcal{A}(0)^{-1} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial K^2} V_{call}(K),$$

so that

$$V_{cpn}(0) = \delta \int_{0}^{\infty} g(K) \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial K^{2}} V_{call}(K) dK.$$

- This shows that the CMDS payout can be replicated by taking positions in CDS swaptions at many different strikes.
- The weight on the swaption with strike K is g''(K).

- We still need to find an approximate form of f.
- To this end, we notice that the graph of  $\mathcal{P}(T_0, T_1)\mathcal{A}(T_0)^{-1}$  against  $C(T_0)$  is roughly a straight line (in reality, the ratio depends on the entire term structure of spreads...).
- We thus write

$$f(C) \approx aC + b$$
.

• To determine the constant b, we can use the fact that for C = 0,

$$\mathcal{P}(T_0, T_1)\mathcal{A}(T_0)^{-1} = P(T_0, T_1)\mathcal{A}(T_0)^{-1},$$

which implies that

$$b = P_0(T_1)A_0^{-1}$$
.



We can then find a from the basic requirement that P(t, T<sub>1</sub>)/A(t) be a
martingale in the annuity measure, such that

$$E^{Q_{T_0,T}}[aC(T_0) + b] = aC_0 + b$$
  
=  $\mathcal{P}_0(T_1)/\mathcal{A}(0)$ .

or

$$a=\frac{\mathcal{P}_0(T_1)/\mathcal{A}(0)-b}{C_0}.$$

So, as a reasonable approximation, we have the formula

$$V_{cpn}(0) = A(0)\delta \int_0^\infty (ax^2 + bx)\varphi(x)dx.$$

- This integral can be carried out analytically in various models.
- It can also, in principle, be computed model free, by means of the replication formula.



# Pricing CMDS with a cap

- The contract we have considered so far is somewhat simplified. For distressed names, their par spreads can reach very high levels and the CDSs will stop trading.
- As a consequence, it is a standard practice to impose a cap on the forward spread. Also, there is typically a notional scale  $\alpha$  on the coupon leg, to allow the total CMDS contract to be issued at par, i.e. at zero value.
- The coupon payment at time  $T_i$  is then

$$\alpha \delta 1_{\tau > T_i} \min(C(T_{i-1}), U),$$

where U is the cap level.

 This change can be easily accommodated: we replace the unconstrained payoff with

$$g(x) = \alpha f(x) \min(x, U),$$

where f(x) is the same function as before.



# Pricing CMDS with a cap

Using Andersen's method, this yields

$$V_{cpn}(0) = \alpha \delta \mathcal{A}(0) \int_0^\infty (ax+b) \min(x,U) \varphi(x) dx$$
$$= \alpha \delta \mathcal{A}(0) \int_0^U (ax^2+bx) \varphi(x) dx + \alpha \delta \mathcal{A}(0) U \int_U^\infty (ax+b) \varphi(x) dx.$$

- For CMDS contracts, the value of  $\alpha$  that renders the values of both legs of the swap identical is denoted  $\alpha_{par}$ ; this is the quoted value.
- For the lognormal model, CMDS caps can be priced in closed form.

#### References



Andersen, L.: Credit models, lecture notes (2010).



O'Kane, D.: Modelling Single-Name and Mult-Name Credit Derivatives, Wiley (2008).



Schönbucher, P. J.: Credit Derivatives Pricing Models, Wiley Finance (2003).