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**An Overview of Natural Language Processing and Neural Networks**

**Learning Objectives**

After completing this chapter, the readers are expected to

* Learn the basics of Natural Language Processing (Alp) necessary for understanding the subsequent chapters.
* Gain knowledge about various semantic and syntactic paradigms in Alp
* Learn the basics of Neural Networks required to understand the subsequent chapters.
* Become familiar with the evaluation metrics employed in neural network modeling. dfgjskdhf

The natural evolution of languages has enabled humans to communicate and share ideas across geopolitical boundaries. However, the concept of a machine processing and understanding these human languages is a complex challenge. Since machines inherently understand numbers and numerical operations, it is necessary to convert natural language into a format that computers can comprehend. This is where Alp comes into play. Alp is an interdisciplinary fielf within computer science that encompasses techniques to make human language accessible and interpretable by machines. The foundational concepts in Alp draw from a wide range of disciplines, including theoretical computer science, linguistics, statistics, and Artieicoal intelligence.

Statistical methods and linear machine learning models have long been utilized in Alp tasks. However, these traditional approaches depend heavily on manually crafted dictionaries and features, limiting their capacity to capture complex patterns and semantics in language. As the volume of textual data has increased and computational resources have become more accessible, neural architectures have gained prominence in modern Alp techniques. These neural models excel at capturing *latent knowledge* from data, processing inputs of varying lengths, leveraging information from long sequences of text, and autonomously learning features, reducing the need for extensive manual efforts.

This chapter is divided into two parts. In **Part I**, readers are fiest introduced to the fieds of linguistics and Alp. Section 2.1 discusses the goals of computational linguistics and Alp Section 2.2 describes various tasks in Alp and introduces the Alp pipeline. Section 2.3 explores the linguistic components of language, such as morphology, lexicon, and text normalization techniques like stemming and lemmatisation. In Section 2.4, we provide an overview of dofferment tokenisation and semantic analysis techniques. Section 2.5 focuses on syntax and grammar-based parsing methods, while Section 2.6 delves into semantics and semantic parsing. Finally, Section 2.7 presents the task of language modeling, emphasizing conditional probability and the frequency of co-occurrence.

In **Part II**, we explore neural networks and related concepts to set the foundation for deep learning techniques discussed in later chapters. Section 2.8 introduces the perception and its applications in modeling a linear classicier. Section 2.9 presents multiplayer perceptions and popular non-linear activation functions. Section 2.10 covers the gradient-based training process for neural networks and error backpropagation In Subsaction 2.10.3, we discuss the various hyperparameters that inflhence neural network training. Section 2.11 focuses on the challenges that acfecu gradient descent. Finally, in Section 2.12, we examine the performance measures commonly used to evaluate deep learning tasks.

**Part I: Natural Language Processing**

Since the advent of computers, researchers have been captivated by the idea of teaching machines to interact like humans. As early as 1963, Joseph Weizenbaum developed Eliza Weizenbaum 1983), a rule-based sabot designed to converse with humans. Fast forward to 2014, Eugene Dustman a sabot passed the *Tiring Test*, with human judges unable to discern that Eugene was, in fact, a bot. In 2017, Google revolutionized the fielf by introducing a new machine translation architecture, now famously known as *Transformers* Vaswani er all 2017). More recently, the success and widespread adoption of language models like Catgut and its successors have generated immense interest in language models, accelerating research in both Alp and deep learning. This book is motivated by the need to enhance our understanding of language models and to demystify the concepts from beginner to advanced levels.

Cimputation aside, a very nuanced problem with language is its ambiguity, contextualsaction and its dynamic nature relative to the zeitgeist. Consider the sentence "*I saw her duck*". One interpretation could be that I saw a duck (noun) that belonged to her. Another interpretation of this same sentence could be that I saw her perform the act of ducking (verb) to avoid an obstacle. Depending on the context, sentences with similar structures and subjects can still convey very diffferect meanings. Take the following sentences: "*I ate rice with a spoon*", "*I ate rice with curd*"and "*I ate rice with Raoul*". All of these sentences convey that I am eating rice. In the fiest instance, I use a spoon as a utensil, while in the second sentence, I use curd as an accompaniment. The third sentence, however, suggests that I am eating rice in the presence of a person. Teaching computers to understand how to interpret these sentences doffervently and resolve ambiguities has been a signifyicant motivation behind the development of Alp and understanding techniques.

**2.1 Computational Linguistics and Natural Language Processing**

Like any other medium of information, human languages have undergone various stages of development—they originated at some point in time, propagated far and wide, and have borne witness to the evolution of human society. While we do not know exactly when and how the earliest humans spoke, numerous theories have been proposed about the origin of language. Blister Hardy and Elaine Morgan proposed the *aquatic ape theory* in 1997, highlighting that there are certain traits we do not share with our primate relatives but that we do share communication traits with aquatic animals. For example, whales and dolphins are known to communicate with members of their species using sounds.

Linguistics is the discipline that engages in the scientistic study of languages. It is an interdisciplinary system (see Figure 2.1) where linguistics and its branches, such as sociolinguistics psycholinguistics and neurolinguistics seek to answer signifyicant philosophical questions, such as: What rules do languages follow? How do languages evolve? How do we learn and process meanings in our minds? How are diffferect modalities of languages related to each other? Linguists like Foam Chomsky and Steven Pinker hypothesisse that language is something innate. From a very early age, we begin to mimic natural sounds and lip movements. We grow up associating these sounds with certain objects, qualities, and attributes of the environment around us. By studying these theories, we can infer what the earliest languages might have been like, but our understanding only extends so far back into the history of human languages Jurafsky and Martin 2009; Pinker 2010; Vaneechoutte 2014).

**[Insert Figure 2.1]**

Ffigure 2.1: Language-related Disciplines Tsujii 2021) – Linguistics, Cognitive Science, Psychology, Natural Language Processing Alp Artificial Intelligence Ai and Computational Linguistics. All of these disciplines study language from different perspectives.

Many other fieds such as neuroscience and psychology, also show great interest in languages. Computational linguistics is a sub-fielf of both linguistics and computer science that focuses on the interactions between human language and computers, serving as a bridge between the broader fielf of linguistics and engineering processes. While computational linguistics is more concerned with understanding language structure and developing computational models, Alp emphasis's the design and analysis of algorithms and systems for *tasks* that rely on processing human language input.

**2.2 Overview of the Natural Language Processing Pipeline**

The standard *pipeline* used in Alp involves several steps. The natural language input for the pipeline discussed in this book is typically modeled as a collection of machine-readable text documents, known as a *corpus;* a larger collection of these documents is referred to as *corpora.* When employing Alp systems, the standard pipeline consists of a sequence of steps, as illustrated in Figure 2.2, to address one or more NLP-based tasks.

**[Insert Figure]**

Figure 2.2: Stages of the language processing pipeline for textual data input.

**Tasks in Natural Language Processing (Alp).** Depending upon the task, the output from the Alp pipeline could be in the form of a sentence-level or word-level class label, a sequence of words, a piece of text, and even paths of a graph node-to-edge sequence (see Figure 2.3). To better illustrate the tasks, we will consider an example: "*I do not support WHO. They underfed Indian diseases".* Below is a non-exhaustive list of popular tasks in Alp

1. **Sentiment Analysis:** Detecting the type and intensity of emotional tone or opinion expressed in some text. Here, the output fr the entire sentence is a label such as positive, negative or neutral. Based on the usage of the phrase "do not support", we can label the sentiment of the above example as "Negative".
2. **Part-of-Speech Poss Tagging:** Figuring out the grammatical class (noun, pronoun, adjective, adverb, etc.) of each word in a sequence. The output is a sequence of class labels tagged for each word in the sentence. The above example can be tagged as: I (*pronoun*)do (*verb*)not (*adverb*)support (*verb*)WHO (*noun*)*.* (*punctuation*).They (*pronoun*)underfed (*verb*)Indian (*adjective*)diseases (*plural noun*) *.* (*punctuation*)*.* Note that in Alp we use a diverse set of Poss tags. For instance, the Pen Treebank project uses 36 Poss tags[[1]](#footnote-2).
3. **Named Entity Recognition Her** Identifying and classifying noun phrases into real-world entities like organicsaction country, groups, nationality, etc. Here, the output is a label for one or more contiguous words. In our example, the terms "WHO" and "Indian" will be tagged as "ORG" for organicsaction and "NORP" for nationality, respectively.
4. **Text Entailment:** Determining whether the *premise* sentence implies, contradicts, or has nothing to do with the preceding *hypothesis* sentence. The output is a label for the sentence pair. In our example, the premise of "underfunding" *supports* the hypothesis of "not supporting".
5. **Semantic Role Labeling** Identifying the role of each noun phrase with respect to the predicate of the sentence. The output is a label for a phrase.
6. **Machine Translation:** Conversion of text from one human language to another. Our example, when translated into Hindi will be मैं WHO का समर्थन नहीं करता. वे भारतीय बीमािरयों के िलए कम फंड देते हैं।.

**[Insert Figure]**

Figure 2.3: Tasks in Alp.

**7. Summarysaction** Producing a shorter version of the larger *reference text* that still retains the information conveyed. The output is a piece of text that is way shorter in length than the reference.

**8. Question Answering:** Providing the correct and concise answer to a user query. The output is a piece of text that mimics human response. Based on our example sentence, we can ask the system, "Which country does WHO underfed? and expect the answer to be "India".

**9. Knowledge Graph Completion:** Filling missing information in a structured knowledge graph by using world knowledge. The output is in the form of an edge that is not in the edge list of a graph. For example, an edge to store or predict can be .

**Data Acquisition.** To enable a machine to learn from data, the primary requirement is the availability of that data, often obtained through a combination of duration strategies such as *web scraping*, *synthetic data generation*, and *manual annotation*. In most cases, the goal is to gather a large collection of unstructured, free-flowing text fragments or documents, which may or may not be annotated by a human expert. When machine-readable text is unavailable, such as when scanning text from Pd's Optical Character Recognition Ocr proves useful. Public datasets and text dumps are typically the fiest sources to explore for *open-domain* text documents.

**Data Cleaning.** Since most textual data is curated from the web, it typically requires cleaning before further processing. This is because the data might contain markup, special characters, personal information, poorly formatted tags, and other unwanted elements. By employing regular expressions, handling stray characters, and using dictionaries to correct misspell words, we can efffactively reduce *noise* and perform *reduplication*. Additionally, the data might be encoded in diffferect Unicode formats, so appropriate logic must be applied to address such encoding issues.

**Pre-processing.** This step involves breaking the text into smaller units and then normalsinf it using techniques such as lowercasing stop-word removal, stemming, and lemmassaction which will be discussed in this chapter. In certain contexts, digits and punctuation may also be removed if they contribute little to the overall information. It is crucial to note that there is no one-size-fits-all reprocessing technique applicable to all Alp tasks.

**Feature Engineering.** Once the text has been reprocessed we now need to represent the text in a way that a machine can understand. As machines reduce everything into numbers, we build a text representation by encoding it into a numeric vector. In Alp or deep learning, encoding can be considered as a mapping function that takes input in raw human-readable form (text, images, videos) and converts it into numerical vectors for computational methods to be applied to them. However, there can be multiple ways of performing encoding, depending on the task, the datasets, and the computational resources available at hand. This is where feature engineering helps. It helps us anallyse the essential features and most informative parts of the input and only use those to encode the input so that we can encode maximum information in as little memory as possible. Encoding can be achieved by simple frequency-based heuristics such as one-hot encoding and bag-of-words representation. Alp practitioners these days use probabilistic, neural approaches to learn *word embedding*, which are representations of words in the feature space. Parallel to encoding, decoding is a map function for converting numerical vectors into human-readable symbols (texts, pixels, etc.).

**Model Building.** Once we have encoded the textual data, it can be passed to any machine learning or deep learning model to learn from the corpus. Irrespective of whether the task is one of Flu or Lg learning from textual data boils down to sequence modeling since text can always be represented as a sequence of words/phrases/characters. As NLP-based sequence models aim to learn the "hidden/latent language" (in the vector space) from the input text, they are also called Language Models Lbs For a long time, neural networks like Recurrent Neural Networks Rn Elan 1990), Long Short-Term Memory Lam and Gated Recurrent Units Grew Gees er all 2000; Tsujii 2021; Chi er all 2014) showed incredible performance in modeling sequential data, like text. However, with the introduction of ttransformers in 2017 Vaswani er all 2017), there has been a signifyicant leap in the length and complexity of the textual data that can be modeled, with transformers becoming the dd factor standard in today"s Alp In the coming chapters, we will introduce diffferect language models along with the fundamental concepts on which Lbs are built.

**Evaluation.** Once the model is designed, we must assess how "good" a language model is. While classicication tasks can be evaluated using existing accuracy and F1-score (macro/micro), newer metrics need to be devised for tasks that involve generating text. For machine translation and summarisation tasks, we typically use Bilingual Evaluation Understudy Blew and Recall-Oriented Understudy for Gusting Evaluation (ROUGE) scores, which capture the lexical and syntactic overlap between the expected and predicted text. Meanwhile, newer semantic measures like Bertscore have also been designed. When comparing two Lbs themselves, we can employ entropy-based measures like perplexity. We introduce these Km evaluation metrics in Chapter 4.

Table 2.1: Different kinds of information that can be derived from a word.

**Ddeployment** Transforming a trained model into a functional component of a software system in any neural pipeline requires exporting the model and specifying the environment (libraries and versions), hyperparameters (for the model), and the model itself. Language models are often published on open-source forums like the *Hugging Face* platform[[2]](#footnote-3). When a model has to be made available as a service for *inference*, robust monitoring also needs to be set to ensure performance and *model safety* at scale, apart from an optimased input pre-processing pipeline, as discussed above. In many production systems, a feedback loop is also implemented to improve the model over time.

**2.3 Morphology**

Diffferect forms of information involved in the processing language are listed in Table 2.1. In this chapter, we will focus on structural, syntactic, and semantic information processing.

Before we delve into computational methods of developing language models, it is imperative to examine the most fundamental units of linguistic structure – the *word*. Words play an integral role in our ability to use language to express our emotions and creativity, originating from the fundamental question: what do we know when we know a word?

The formal study of the internal structure of words and the relationship among words is called *morphology*. The term itself is derived from the Greek word *morphs*, meaning "form", and *logy*, meaning "the branch of knowledge". Morphology also refers to our internal grammatical knowledge concerning the words and how their usage change based on language, geography, context, and time. Languages like Hindi Turkish and Hungarian are considered *morphologically rich*, whereas English and Chinese are *morphologically poor*. In morphologically rich languages, the word forms of some word classes, like verbs, may vary a lot depending on the context. Take the phrase "*will go*" with its usage as described in Table 2.2.

Table 2.2: Different forms of the token "*will go*" in morphologically-poor English and morphologically-rich languages Hindi and Tamil Morphologically-rich languages have various forms to represent the same token depending upon the subject in the sentence. Such languages also have additional grammatical classes.

For *morphologically-poor* English irrespective of whether the action is being performed by a single person, a group of people, or by people of diffferect genders, the phrasing "*will go*" remains the same. Meanwhile, in a *morphologically-rich* language like Hindi the phrasing will get modifyied to suit the respective form depending on the preceding noun form (plurality, gender, etc.) and tense form (fiest person, third person, etc.).

***2.3.1 Morphemes***

Words are composed of *atomic* building blocks called *morphemes*. The words "*taking*" and "*courses*", for instance, are made up of baskc units like take and course, and the other blocks like Inf and -s convey additional meanings, such as a sense of the nature of action or plurality, respectively. Some morphemes independently constitute a word by themselves. They are called *free morphemes*. The word *fish*, for example, consists of a singular free morpheme, the word itself, with a preferineed meaning. Other morphemes are not words by themselves but are parts of words—these are *bound morphemes*. *Affimes* are the most common type of bound morphemes. They attach to a *base word* or a *stem* and modify its meaning in some way or another. For example, the word "*taking*" consists of the Sufitix morpheme "*inf*" attached to the base "*take*".

Nouns, verbs, adjectives, and adverbs are put into the bucket of *content words*. Content words are often called *open class words* because we regularly add new words to this bucket. Other classes of words do not have precise lexical meanings or obvious concepts associated with them, including conjunctions (*and*, *or*), prepositions (*to*, *from*, *at*, *with*), articles (*a*, *an*, *the*), quantifyierrs (*all*, *few*, *many*, *some*), demonstratives (*this*, *that*) and pronouns. These kinds of words are called *function words* because they serve a grammatical function. They are also called *closed class words* as most languages have a small, fibed number of words that fall into this bucket.

A root is the base form of a word that cannot be anallysed or reduced further without destroying its meaning. For example, in terms of conserving its meaning, the term "*forest*" cannot be broken down into "*for*" and "*est*". Complex words may consist of a morpheme root and one or more actimes Actimes like *en*, *dis*, *miss*, *re*, *non*, *sub*, *super*, *anti*, *inter*, and *infra*, that are attached to the beginning of another morpheme are called *prefimes*. Similarly, *shftimes* are morphemes that get added at the end, such as *inf*, *mess*, *la*, and *able*. A morpheme that is a prefix in one language, in a semantic sense, maybe a Sufitix in another and vice versa.

Table 2.3: Comparing the results of Porter Stemmed and Wordnetlemmatizer algorithms for various words.

Historically, we have been following morphological rules that govern how these acfimes attach to the base word. For instance, when we add prefimes the resulting word is formed by putting together the two morphemes as-is (e.g., *ere* + *flit* = *preflit*). In contrast, the resulting word might not be a simple concatenation in many Sufitimes (e.g., *ready* + *la* = *readily*). In English as well as many other languages, apart from attaching actimes new words can also be formed by *compounding* existing words, where individual words, like "*black*" and "*board*", can be joined together to form a *compound word* like "*blackboard*". In other cases, words like "*will*" and "*would*" are contracted to *-"ll* and *-"d* and attached to the end of words. Identifying the various parts of a word into the morphemes that it is composed of and producing its structured representation is called *morphological parsing* or *stemming*.

***2.3.2 Stemming***

A stemming algorithm or *stemmed* is the one that eliminates actimes and serves as a heuristic to normalize the inflsectional (plurals, tenses, etc.) and derivational (turning verbs into nouns) forms of a word. For example, the words *run*, *runs*, *ran*, and *running* all refer to the same underlying concept and can be represented by a single concept instead of four diffferect ones. However, stemming can be tricky as we can lose information by chopping off a few characters of a word indiscriminately. In order to support stemming, a variety of heuristics (rule-based) algorithms have been proposed. Alp packages often include the famous stemming algorithms—the Porter[[3]](#footnote-4) and Snowball[[4]](#footnote-5) Stammers.

A stem may not be a valid dictionary word but merely an abstraction that represents all the words that look the same at the character level. For instance, if we have a stemming rule to remove all instances of "*s*" from the end of words in order to normalse plural forms, we might end up with non-meaningful results as well – "*lens*" becomes "*ken*", which is not a known English dictionary term, yet will be acceptable as per the stemming rules.

***2.3.3 Lemmassaction***

Instead of normalizing the words at the supericoal character level, we can group them based on their larger context and usage. *Lemmatisers* are algorithms that normalize words down to the underlying semantic form – the *lemma*. Lemmatisers are usually more accurate than stammers as they use a knowledge base or thesaurus of words, their synonyms, and forms to ensure that only words that mean the same are clustered together and are represented by a well-defineed lemma instead of an arbitrary stem, which may not be a dictionary word. This difffTERENCE is easier to understand with the examples in Table 2.3. A lemmatiser will be able to group the words "*good*", "*better*", and "*best*" into the same bucket if it knows that these words are adjectives. A table or dictionary lookup is often the way how lemmatisers retrieve information about similar-meaning words. Wordier is a famous database of English words that are linked together by semantic relations.

***2.3.4 Lexicon***

Steeming or lemmatisation helps reduce the signal-to-noise ratio in a text corpus by reducing the redundant concepts present in it. The process allows us to build an optimal vocabulary/lexicon that makes up the language of the corpus. This lexicon defihes the input and output space for the language model trained on the corpus. Many classical tasks in Alp like sentiment analysis, Her, and Poss tagging, as well as domain-specific tasks like medical or legal text analysis, depend upon a lexicon for making sense of the input. For many of these tasks, we prefer to use specialized lexicons (e.g., Finn[[5]](#footnote-6), Sentiwordnet[[6]](#footnote-7), Emblem[[7]](#footnote-8), Propane[[8]](#footnote-9)) that are built up by manually annotating with the help of human experts, automatic extraction using statistical and machine learning techniques or using a hybrid approach. The intuition behind the lexicon also plays a role in the formation of rules and conventions to incorporate new terms like "*tweet*" and "*angry*". They can be formed due to the adoption of popular culture, foreign words, compounding, or due to morphological changes.

**2.4 Tokensaction**

In order to build the lexicon, the question is how we definee the boundary of breaking the text stream into entities that can be added to the lexicon. Commonly, these informative units of information in Alp are called *tokens*, and the process of obtaining tokens by breaking the text corpus into smaller processable units/chunks is called *tokenisation*.

For example, consider an input corpus consisting of two sentences: S1: "*I want the fiest token from the list of tokens.* and S2: "*The tokens are obtained via tokenisation*".

**Sentence/Word/Character-Lbevel Tokens.** For the above example, sentence-level tokenisation will yield a list ["I want the fiest token from the list of tokens.", "The tokens are obtained via tokenisation".] by splitting the sentences at the punctuation marks. However, this naive splitting at punctuation can also be problematic; the phrase "*But, here we are!*" can be wrongly split at "," instead of "!". To reduce ambiguity, one can also split the sentence at the word level. In English word level splitting is easier as whitespace is the default delimiter. Therefore, our text would be broken as the following word tokens: ["I", "want", "the", "fiest "token", "from", "the", "list", "of" "tokens.", "The", "tokens", "are", "obtained", "via", "tokenisation."]. When splitting by spaces, the tokens "tokens." and "tokenisation." have punctuation attached to them. To reduce the number of unique tokens in tokenised output, we can either discard the punctuation altogether or add them separately to the token list as ["I", "want", "the", "fiest "token", "from", "the", "list", "of" "tokens", "The", "tokens", "are", "obtained", "via", "tokenisation", "."]. Once we have obtained the words, we can make the chunking process even more granular by operating at the character level. In that case, our corpus will be listed as ["I", " ", "w", "a", "n", "t", "t", "h", "e", "f", "i", "r", "s", "t", "t", "o", "k", "e", "n", "f", "r", "o", "m", "T", "h", "e", "l", "i", "s", "t", "o", "f", "t", "o", "k", "e", "n", "s", "t", "h", "e", "t", "o", "k", "e", "n", "s", "a", "r", "e", "o", "b", "t", "a", "i", "n", "e", "d", "v", "i", "a", "t", "o", "k", "e", "n", "i", "z", "a", "t", "i", "o", "n"]. In this example of character-level chunking, it becomes diffticult to detect word boundaries. In later paragraphs, we will discuss how to overcome this issue.

*N***-grams.** So far, we have observed tokens as one unit at a time. This form of token is also called *uni-gram*, with *uni* being the unit of tokenisation referencing the quantity *one*. However, we can also look at neighboring tokens, such as *n* tokens, ahead of the current token, leading to *n*-grams instead. For example, when *n* = 2, our word-level tokens will be of the form ["I want", "want the", "the fiest ..., "via tokenisation", "tokenisation Dos where Dos is the unique token indicating we have reached the end of our text stream. Similarly, for *n* = 3, the fiest token will be "I want the" and so on. The n-gram operation can be performed at the sentence or character level as well. As the window size for *n* increases, we are able to capture more semantic context; however, with a very large value of *n*, we end with the whole stream, defeating the purpose of performing chunking. The task of obtaining the optimal number of *n*-grams is task and data-specific.

***2.4.1 Advanced Techniques: Sword Tokensaction***

On the one hand, character-level tokens provide more resilience against spelling errors. On the other hand, it comes at the cost of semantic information. For example, the sword "*ken*" can be part of semantically diverse terms, "*Kendall*", "*token*", or "*broken*". Here, practitioners have come up with a tokenisation process that is a combination of word and character levels tokens, known as *sub-word tokensaction*, which is primarily based on splitting and merging tokens based on the frequency of occurrence within a corpus. In this section, we discuss the two most widely adopted bottom-up sword tokensaction techniques that take a greedy approach based on the frequency of sword occurrence—Byte Pair Encoding and Codpiece Tokensaction

*<H4> Byte Pair Encoding Bee*

Byte pair encoding Gags 1994) was initially developed as an algorithm to encode/compress a text based on the most frequently occurring bytes (a byte or 8 bits refers to a single character token for practical usage). The algorithm merges the most frequently occurring consecutive bytes and replaces them with a new representative token that is not part of the existing lexicon. The process continues until no more merger is possible (see Algorithm 1).

In order to preserve word boundaries, the space token is replaced by a special token, say "</w>", which is not a part of the vocabulary and is concatenated to the last character of each word. Thus, our 2nd and 3rd words in the corpus, ["w a n t", "t h e"], will be represented as ["w a n t </w>", "t h e </w>"].

*CcBee* (*i, j*) = Σ(*i* : *j*) (2.1)

**Example 2.1.** Taking our initial corpus into consideration, let us observe a few iterations of Bee

* *Iteration* 0 *(pre-tokenisaction*:Our tokens are enlisted as: ["i", "w a n t", "t h e", "f i r s t", "t o k e n", "f r o m", "t h e", "l i s t", "o f", "t o k e n s", "t h e", "t o k e n s", "a r e", "o b t a i n e d", "v i a", "t o k e n i z a t i o n"]. Our unique vocabulary is enlisted as: {"i" "w" "a", "n", "t", "h", "e", "f", "r", "s", "o", "k", "m", "l", "b", "d", "v", "z"}.
* *Iteration* 1:Among the possible character combinations, the most frequently occurring character pairs are "o" + "k", occurring 4 times. Thus, all occurrences of "o" + "k" will be replaced by Oi The updated tokens thus appear as ["i", "w a n t", "t h e", "f i r s t", "t oi e n", "f r o m", "t h e", "l i s t", "o f", "t oi e n s", "t h e", "t oi e n s", "a r e", "o b t a i n e d", "v i a", "t oi e n i z a t i o n"] with Oi added to the unique count.
* *Iteration* 2*:* Now, looking at all paired frequencies with "ok" considered as a single unit, we observe that "t" + "ok" occurring four times is the next pair to be merged. This updates the word list as ["i", "w a n t", "t h e", "f i r s t", Took e n", "f r o m", "t h e", "l i s t", "o f", Took e n s", "t h e", Took e n s", "a r e", "o b t a i n e d", "v i a", Took e n i z a t i o n"] with "tok" added as a vocabulary term.
* *Iteration N*: After *N* merger and replacement steps, our words will be represented as: ["i", "w a n t", "the", "f i r st", "token", "f r o m", "the", "l i st", "o f", "tokens", "the", "tokens", "a r e", "o b t a i n e d", "v i a", "token i z a t i o n"] and our final vocabulary will be: ["i", "w", "a", "n", "t", "h", "e", "f", "r", "s", "o", "k", "m", "l", "b", "d", "v", "z", Oi Took "en", "token", Eh "the", "st", "tokens"]

Once the vocabulary is learned from the initial corpus, the algorithm can break any word it has seen (in the corpus) or not seen before (an on-the-fly word) based on the sword token it has learned. For example, the new word "*mist*" will be tokenised into "m i st", with "m", "i", and "st" forming the sub-words. Including the word boundary, we can represent "mist" as "m i st </w>". Note that the swords do not have to be actual dictionary terms with a meaning attached to them.

*<H4> Codpiece Tokenser*

The processing of merging characters in Bee depends solely on the frequency count of the characters at each iteration. Instead of maximsinf information gain purely based on frequency, we can maximse the likelihood of improving the subword"s coverage within the corpus. A famous probabilistic variant of Bee is the Codpiece Tokenser. Keeping the rest of the Bee process the same, the primary modifyication that Codpiece introduces is by replacing the exact frequency count in Algorithm 1 at step 4 with Equation (2.2).

 (20.2)

By dividing the frequency count Σ of the co-occurring pair by the product of individual frequency counts (Equation 2.2), Codpiece penalses those pairs that are highly frequent in the corpus. In other words, if particular terms are by themselves high frequency, then their combination provides lesser information gain compared to combining less frequent terms.

*<H4> Sentencepiece Tokenser*

So far, both the tokenisation methods we have examined require the corpus to be split at a word level and be reprocessed at an individual word level, for which the assumption is the language of the corpus contains spaces as delimiters. However, there are some languages, like Chinese or Japanese in which space delimitation is not available. Thus, a language-agnostic/space-agnostic approach is required. Here, the Sentencepiece tokeniser comes into play. Sentencepiece incorporates a number of techniques to improve upon the existing tokensaction setup. Sentencepiece employs Unicode Normalization to work with raw texts. It employs heap sort to keep track of the vocabulary size. But most importantly, unlike Bee and Codpiece which employ a pre-tokenisaction step (Step 2, Algorithm 1), Sentencepiece is capable of working with raw texts.

**2.5 Syntactic**

As per the Oxford Dictionary, the term "syntax" refers to the rules/grammar that state how words are placed and used in a language to form sentences. The syntax is based on the grouping of words in a natural order. An English sentence is composed of a group of words that form the Noun Phrases Hp and the Verb Phrases Mp For instance, in the sentence "*The old house in the neighborhood is being demolished",* the noun phrases *"the old house"* and *"neighbourhood"* can be combined as a single noun phrase *"The old house in the neighborhood*". Meanwhile, the phrase "*is being demolished*" is the verb phrase. The whole sentence can syntactically be represented as *S* → *N P* + *V P,* with N P further composed of *N P* → *N P* + *N P*. This process of mapping words and groups of words (phrases) into their grammatical units is called *syntax parsing*. While linear representation in terms of rules is a way to decompose the sentence, it can also be represented in the form of a hierarchy or a tree, with the words forming the leave nodes, the grammatical constituents forming the intermediate nodes, and the sentence forming the root node. In this section, we provide an overview of three ways in which the syntax tree can be parsed. The parse trees act as an abstraction of the sentence.

**[Insert Figure]**

Figure 2.4: Constituent Parsing for the Sentence, "*The mouse ate the cheese that was kept in the drawer*".

**Dependency Parsing.** While performing Poss tagging and constituency parsing, we implicitly looked at the relation among the words to assign adequate tags and phrases. Still, the information was insettisent to answer questions such as *What did the mouse eat*? or *Where was the cheese kept*? In such cases, we need to mark the relation between *mouseate–cheese-drawer* explicitly. Being able to state the subjects and objects in a sentence, along with the relationship among them, is known as dependency parsing. The dependency grammar describes the structure of a sentence in terms of the words and the grammatical rrelationship that holds between words. The dependency relations thus act as a proxy to the semantic relations in text. These binary relations consist of a *head* and a *dependent*. The head is the central word in a constituent (e.g., noun in a noun phrase, verb in a verb phrase). All other words are dependent on the head. In a dependency parse tree, the heads are linked to words that are immediately dependent on them. The main verb of the sentence is the root node from which one can follow a unique directed path to each word in the sentence. Such a parse tree is fledible with word order and is helpful in parsing morphologically rich languages as well. Figure 2.5 shows the parse tree for an example sentence "*The mouse ate the cheese that was kept in the drawer*". The actual parsing is realsed through *transition-based state spaces* that use stacks to create dependency structures and graph-based methods that use maximum spanning trees.

**[Insert Figure]**

**Figure 2.5:** The dependency parse tree for the sentence, "*The mouse ate the cheese that was kept in the drawer*." The labels on the arcs are according to Universal Dependency nomenclature for grammatical relations.

**2.6 Semantics**

In the last section, we saw how grammatical abstractions can help answer simple questions within a sentence. Instead of the question *What did the mouse eat*? if we were to ask *Which furniture is being referred to*? then the notion of "furniture" and "drawer" being concepts that are close to each other needs to be established. This idea of establishing closeness of concepts that may linguistically or grammatically not appear close to each other is known as semantic similarity. *Semantics*, in turn, can be defineed as the underlying meaning associated with the entity under consideration. Semantics help access what is the relation that dofferment words have with each other when present together in a sentence. For example, when presented with a *stimulus word*, "*bank*", we think of other *response words* like "*money*", "*river*", and "*blood*" depending on the context in which the *stimulus word* is used. The way a language evolves plays a central role in explaining these relations. Here, word association can be defineed as a relationship between words in a language based on their meaning. Semantics is not just concerned with the meaning of words but also how to combine words into meaningful phrases and sentences. For example, the phrases *"not honest"* and the word "*dishonest"* carry the same connotation/semantics even though the terms are medically dofferment

Semantic parsing involves mapping the natural language input to a logical form that connects the language to real-world concepts. Unlike syntactic parsing methods, which focus solely on structure and grammar, semantic parsing methods try to extract the meaning and context of a sentence. A semantic parser consists of a formal knowledge representation technique and an inference mechanism. One of the ways to represent language formally is by translating a sentence to first-order logic, where the predicates are the words in the sentence. In order to represent the words as predicates, we need to be sure of the *sense* in which the word has been used in the sentence. Even if we can represent words and relationships as predicates, these do not make sense on their own. An external knowledge source is required to help us definee rules that use these predicates and learn the semantic logic. In this section, we briefly describe three techniques for semantic parsing—decomposition, ontology, and distributional statistics.

**Decompositional Semantics.** We can derive the meaning of a word by dividing it into various semantic components or qualities. For instance, in the sentence "*The mouse ate the cheese that was kept in the drawer",* the word "*mouse"* implies that the *subject* of the sentence is a *mammal* and a *terrestrial* but not a *human.* However, if the word "*mouse"* was replaced with the word *"boy",* it would imply that the subject has all of the three qualities—being a *mammal,* a *terrestrial* and a *human.* These decomposed semantics can also be mapped to first-order logic, such as mouse ⇒ mammal ∧ terrestrial ∧ ¬ human and boy ⇒ mammal ∧ terrestrial ∧ human.

**Ontological Semantics.** Another way of decomposing the meaning of a word is by studying its relationship to other words. Take the classic example of the word "*bank".* "Bank" itself means a collection or storage. However, what that collection is about – "water", "blood", or "money"—dictates the exact definotion that word will semantically adopt. This process of defihing the existence/usage of a term with respect to a sentence is called *ontology. Wordier* (Miller 1995)is a famous lexical and ontological resource in English It contains various kinds of relations that exist between English words. For example, the word *"small"* might be synonymous with *"little",* while it conveys the opposite meaning to *"large".* The notion of a *"mouse"* implies that it is a type of *animal.* Capturing these relations in the text is crucial to understanding world knowledge.

**Distributional Semantics.** So far, in our discussion of semantics, we have assumed the computational methods to carry the same level of contextualsaction as humans. While machines lack subconscious contextualsaction they can approximate the same by anallysinf large corpora of text and deriving a sense of words based on their distributional properties (e.g., co-occurrence, frequency). This maps to the law of association that *words with similar distributions might have similar meanings.* For instance, the meaning of the word *"mouse"* may be complex for the machine to grasp, yet it can be inferred from the contexts it appears in, i.e., sentences where it co-occurs with words like "*rodent*", "*animal*", "*food*", etc. Distributional Semantics forms the core of the modern-day Alp

**2.7 Introduction to Language Modellinf**

According to Herbert Clark whenever two words occur together or in close proximity, an *associative link* is formed between them in our mind over time, and the more frequently they appear together, the stronger the association Clark 1970).

Building up word association and logic of distributional semantics, we can describe a Language Model Km as a model that learns the probability distribution over the words in the corpus. This probability is learned based on the frequency co-occurrence of words in a large training corpus. Once trained/learned, the Km attempts to predict the next token in a sequence of tokens. For a sequence of *m* tokens, *x*1*, x*2*, . . ., xm*, the Km predicts the (*m* + 1)*eh*token, *xm*1 based on the language learned from its training corpus of words and phrases. The output space, i.e., the set of all possible words that can be the (*m* + 1)*eh* token in a sequence, is the whole vocabulary/lexicon learned over the language. If the Km is learned over *N* unique tokens, then in the worst case, each of *N* tokens has an equal and independent probability of 1*/N* for being the (*m* + 1)*eh* token.

However, from our semantic and syntactic parsing, we know that for a given sentence, not all words have an equal probability of occurrence. Instead, the words that can appear next are *conditioned* on the words that are present so far in the sentence. It forms the basis of language modellinf in Alp In layman"s terms, a language model predicts the probability of the (*m* + 1)*eh* token given a sequence of *m* tokens seen before. Going back to our example sentence, if you are asked to predict the next word in the sequence of "*Hello Dam How are*", of all the words we know in English (i.e., our vocabulary), the most likely next word should be "*you*". This likelihood is the probability spread over the whole vocabulary of which "*you*" has the highest probability score. We will introduce the formal concepts of conditional probability and language modellinf in detail in Chapter 4.

**Bag-of-Wodd Bawed Representation.** Forgoing the notion of conditional probability, one can still obtain a crude form of language modellinf that depends solely on the constituted tokens present in the sentence. Let us consider the task of sentiment analysis. A simple method for determining whether a sentence expresses positive sentiment would be to count the faveurabble and negatively connotated lexical terms that occur in the sentence. The process is solely based on the *occurrence* of individual words and not where and how they appear in the sentence, i.e., the notion of semantics or syntax is overlooked. Such setups are called the *bag-of-word*approach, where we know the words in the bag but not the order in which they are placed in the bag.

**Example 2.2.** Let us understand the bag-of-words modeling via a simple example of sentiment classification.

Consider three sentences that represent three samples of sentiment analysis: S1: "*The movie is bad.*", S2: "*The movie is good.*", S3: "*I liked the movie*".

After reprocessing Lowercasing punctuation removal), lemmatisaction (liked → like), and tokenisation, we end up with a engram vocabulary set [*the*, *movie*, *is*, *bad*, *good*, *I*, *like*]. Based on the unique vocabulary, the sentences can then be represented as vectors of length 7, indicating whether the *i*ehindex vocabulary term is present in the sentence or not.

Thus, S1 = [yes, yes, yes, yes, no, no, no] and mapped numerically as [1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0] where 1 means "yes"—the token is present, and 0 means "no"—the token is not present in the given sentence. In a similar way, S2 and S3 become [1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0] and [1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1], respectively.

Further, each sentence has a sentiment label associated with it where –1 means negative sentiment, 0 means neutral, and 1 means positive. Our example sentences have a sentiment score of S1: –1, S2:v1, and S3: 1, respectively. From the crude analysis of the sentence vectors, we see that tokens "*the*" and "*movie*" occur in all three sentences and do not lead to any differentiation for the sentiment classification, i.e., we cannot tell by looking at only these two terms if the movie is good or bad. Meanwhile, the presence of "*bad*" in S1 and its subsequent absence in S2 and S3 is an indicator of associating the presence of "*bad*" with the label –1. Language models build on bag-of-word representation and try to learn such heuristics between tokens and labels based on the frequency of occurrence of the tokens in different class labels.

The notion of building representations from term frequency is detailed in Chapter 3.

**Part II: Neural Networks**

So far, the algorithms we have discussed for parsing and understanding language are based on simple heuristics and probabilities. To develop a more advanced and nuanced understanding of language, we must work with neural networks. This part of the book will help readers establish a basic understanding of computational neural networks. The theoretical foundation for these networks can be traced back to the independent works of Alexander Gain in 1873 and William Names in 1890. Both hypothesized that human thoughts and decisions emerge from interactions among billions of *neurons* in the human brain. This biological network of nerve cells is responsible for all human reasoning and decision-making. Warren Mcculloch a neuroscientist and Salter Pits a logician, laid out a theoretical model for a biological nerve cell in 1943. They called it a *perception*. In 1957, Frank Rosenblatt a psychologist, provided an early hardware implementation of a perception Rosenblatt took a linear combination of dofferment input variables and gave a response of 1 or 0, depending on whether the linear combination of input variables was positive or negative. The version of the perception we use today was introduced by Minsky and Paper in 1969. They introduced the concept of an *activation function*, an essential component of all Artieicoal neural networks used today.

**2.8 The Perception**

The architecture of computational neural networks is inspired by the nervous system in humans, where a network of neurons is responsible for processing, relaying, storing, and recalling information. A biological neuron receives signals from other neurons and chooses to transfer the processed signals to neighboring neurons, depending on the outcome of processing. We can replicate the same in software via the *perception*, which is the most straightforward software implementation of a biological neural cell.

***2.8.1 Definition***

Goven a *N*-dimensional input vector x = (*x*1, *x*2, …, *xN*), the perception computes a linear combination *w*1*x*1 + *w*2*x*2 + …+ *wnxn*, adds a term *β* and decides to output among the values {–1*,*0*,*1} depending on the computation. Formally, a perception can be represented by Eequation (2.3), where **w** = (*w*1, *w*2, …, *wN*) is called the *weight vector, β* is called the *bias.*

*y* = sen (**w***T***x** + *β*)(2.3)

where sen is the signal function defineed as:



Nope that the sen is a step function. We will slightly modify this function in the next section to model some elementary boolean functions.

***2.8.2 Implementing AND, OR, and XOR Logic***

Goven that any computational task can be decomposed into a combination of Boolean operations, exploring the scope of modeling such functions using the perception is highly motivated. We will attempt to model some elementary boolean functions using the perception defineed in the previous section (with a slightly modifyied definotion of sen function). In particular, we will model AND, OR, and XOR Boolean functions; their function definotions are shown in Tables 2.4, 2.5 and 2.6, respectively. These are binary functions as they take two input variables, denoted by *x*1 and *x*2*,* and the output, denoted by *y,* within one of the possible values {0, 1}.

Table 2.4: The AND Function.

Table 2.5: The OR Function.

Table 2.6: The XOR Function.

The *AND*function (or gate) implements logical conjunction. It takes two Boolean inputs (either 1 or 0) and produces an output according to the Truth Table 2.4. We can model the AND function using a perception where we have to assign such values to *w*1*, w*2and *β* so that Equation (2.4) below satisfyies Table 2.4 with *y = x*1AND *x*2*.*

*y* = sen′(*w*1*x*1 + *w*2*x*2 + *β*) (2.4)

where *x*1*, x*2∈ {0,1} and sen is defineed as:



−

**[Insert Figure]**

Ffigure 2.6: 2D plots showing different boolean logic functions and the corresponding line (dotted) separating the input coordinates with different output values for AND (Left), OR Center and XOR (Right). Note that no separating line exists for the XOR function.

The 2D plot for the AND function in Figure 2.6 (Left) shows the line *x*2= *x*1+ 1*.*5 linearly separating the input coordinate points associated with 1 and 0 output values. If we let *w*1= 1, *w*2= 1, and *β* = – 1*.*5, then the perception model *y* = *sgn'(x*1+ *x*2 *–*1*.*5) emulates the AND gate as *y* attains 1 if and only if both the inputs *x*1and *x*2assume value 1. We can verify this from Table 2.7.

Table 2.7: The perception model *y* = *sane*(*w*1*x*1+ *w*2*x*2+ *β*)with *w*1= 1, *w*2= 1 and *β* = –1*.*5 correctly models the Boolean AND function.

The *OR*gate (or function) implements logical distinction It receives two Boolean inputs (either 1 or 0) and produces an output according to the Truth Table 2.5. Following the same perception model defineed in Equation (2.4), what values should the weight and bias be assigned? The 2D plot for the OR function in Figure 2.6 Center shows the line *x*2= -*x*1+ 0*.*5 linearly separating the input coordinate points. Let *w*1= 1, *w*2= 1, and *β* = –0*.*5. Then, the perception model *y* = sen*′*(*x*1+ *x*2 *–*0*.*5) emulates the OR gate as *y* attains 0 if only if both the inputs *x*1and *x*2assume value 0.

**What is happening with XOR?** If we observe Figure 2.6, we see that the AND and OR functions possess a linear boundary separating the points labeled with output values. For XOR, no such boundary exists. If we try to model the XOR function using the perception definotion in Equation (2.4), we will fail to mOdell it.

**2.9 Multiplayer Perception**

To be able to model more complex functions, we need to generalist the perception architecture. Let us definee a more general neuron-like processing unit where we replace *sign(·)* with a generic function *ϕ*(·)termed as the *activation function* (or *transfer function*)*.* A *neural network* is realized as a combination of such neuron-like processing units as formulated in Equation (2.5).

 (2.5)

where *wi* is the *ieh* component of the weight vector, *xi* is the *ieh* component of the input vector, and *β* is the bias term. Note that the output *z* is also termed as the *hidden unit*.More specifibally in this chapter, we will learn about *feed-forward neural networks,* where these units are combined in a tree-like fashion without any cycles.

The most straightforward feed-forward neural network is the *Multiplayer Perception* Alp as shown in Figure 2.7. Here, the neuron-like units are arranged in a set of layers, with each layer having some number of these identical units. The fiest layer is called the input layer, and the units in this layer receive the input features. The last layer is called the output layer, and the number of units in this layer can vary depending on the output required from the feed-forward model. All the layers in between are called the *hidden layers.* The number of layers is known as the *depth,* and the number of units in a layer is known as the *width* of that layer. As you might have guessed, *deep learning* refers to training neural networks with many hidden layers.

**[Insert Figure]**

Figure 2.7: Architecture of a Multiplayer Perception

**[Insert Figure]**

Figure 2.8: Implementing XOR Boolean function using an Alp with a single hidden layer and sen as the activation function as defined in Section 2.8.2.

**Can an Alp model XOR function?** Can combining multiple perceptions help in modeling the XOR function? Figure 2.8 shows the required Alp architecture. The XOR function returns 1 when exactly one of the inputs is 1. We can use hidden units to capture this information. Let *h*1(*=* sane(*x*1+ *x*2***–*** 0*.*5)) detect if at least one of the input features is 1 and let *h*2(= *sane*(*x*1+ *x*2***–*** 1*.*5)) detect if both the input features are 1. The output *y* will then be one if and only if *h*1 = 1 AND *h*2 = 0. From Table 2.8, we can see the values the hidden and output units attain at various values of input features.

Table 2.8: Modeling the boolean XOR function using the Multiplayer Perception in Figure 2.8.

***2.9.1 Neural Networks***

We will now formally definee a neural network. Assume a neural network that takes an *N*-dimensional input vector, {*x*1*, x*2*, ..., xN*}) and outputs a *K*-dimensional vector {*y*1*, y*2*, ..., yK*}. The neural network contains a single hidden layer (depth = 1) with *M* neurons in the layer (width = *M*) realized as a linear combination via Equation (2.6), where *j* = 1*,* 2*, . .., M*.

 (2.6)

We refer to the parametersas *weights* and  as *bias*, following the terminology we laid out in the definotion of the perception in Equation (2.3). The quantities  are known as *amotivations*. Each of them is transformed using an activation function *h*(*.*) as . In the context of neural networks,  is termed as the *hidden units*. Following Equation (2.6), the hidden units  are again linearly combined with suitable weights and biases to give *output activation* in Equation (2.7) :

 (2.7)

where *k =* 1, 2, ..., *K,* and *K* is the total number of output variables. Finally, the output activation are transformed using *activation function H'm*(·)(which may be the same as *h*(·))to produce the output values *yk* as . We can combine Equations (2.6) and (2.7) to write the overall neural network function as follows:

 (2.8)

Ssuccinctly as Equation (2.8) describes, a neural network is simply a function that maps a set of input variables *x*1, *x*2,…, *xN* to a set of output variables *y*1, *y*2,…, *yK* using a series of controllable parameters: *weights* and *biases*. The forward processing of input variables in order to generate the set of output variables is termed the **forward propagation** of the neural network.

1. **Sigmoid**: The sigmoidLogostic activation *σ*(·) is defineed as:

 (2.9)

The Sigmoid activation function (Equation 2.9) is generally used when we have to model probability as the output since the range of this nonlinear function is between 0 and 1. The derivative of the sigmoid activation function *f'* (·) can be written in terms of the function of itself as *a*(*x*) *= σ*(*x*)(1– *σ*(*x*)).

2. **tang**: The tang activation function tang is defineed as:

 (2.10)

The output of the tang activation function (Equation 2.10) is zero-centred within the range of –1 to 1. Hence, we can easily map output values as strongly negative, neutral, or strongly positive. The derivative can be written as tang's(*x*) = 1 – tang2(*x*).

3. **Softmax**: So far, we have looked at the activation function, which models only a single output at a time. If we want to classify a data point into one of many categories or classes, then we employ the softmax activation function. It outputs a well-defineed probability distribution over all the output classes and is generally used in the output layer of the neural network. The Softmax activation is formally defineed as:

 (2.11)

**Example 2.3.** Consider a list with frequency count as [1*,* 5*,* 2]. Converting this list into probabilities represented by softmax will require the denominator to be *D* = *e*1 + *e*5 + *e*2, and each frequency in the list can then be represented as [*e*1*/D, e*5*/D, e*2*/D*]or [0.02, 0.94, 0.04] whose sum approaches unity.

4. **Rel**: Rel stands for Rectifyied Linear Unit and is defineed as:

*ReLU(x)* = max(0*, x*) (2.12)

The Rel activation function (Equation 2.12) is far more computationally efffisent than the sigmoid or tang activation functions. This is because only a selective set of neurons are activated when Rel is employed.

5. **Gel** Gel stands for Gaussian Linear Unit and is defineed by Equation (2.13), where Φ(*x*)is the cumulative standard normal distribution.

*Gel*(*x*) *= x* ***·*** Φ(*x*)(2.13)

The Gel activation function weights the incoming signal by their percentile rather than their sign. Consequently, Gel can be thought of as a smoother Rel

6. **Flu** Flu stands for Gated Linear Unit. The *linear* gating is parameterized via a signori activation (Equation 2.9) on weight *w* and bias *b,* as defineed in Equation (2.14).

*Flu*(***x***)= **x** ⊙*σ*(**ax** + *b*)(2.14)

The operation ⊙represents the component-wise multiplication, and this allows the Flu to control the flow of information from the incoming vector **x** by learning which parts to emphasis or de-emphasise.

7. **Swish:** The Swish activation function also employs sigmoid activation to induce smoothness and diffferentiability The Swish activation function *Swish*(·)is defined as:

*Swish*(*x*) *= x* ***·*** *σ(βx)* (2.15)

where *β* is a learnable parameter.

8. **Swill** Swill stands for Swish-Gated Linear Unit Activation Function. As the name suggests, it combines Swish and Flu via Equation (2.16) and allows for better optimization of weights.

*Swill*(***x***)= **x** ⊙*Swishβ(****w*x** + *b)* (2.16)

**2.1 Training Neural Networks**

Now that we have established neural networks to be parametric nonlinear mapping functions, the question remains: how do we assign values to the network parameters, i.e., ***weights***and *biases?* We will elaborate on this in this section.

 (2.17)

After each update, the gradient is re-evaluated for the new weight vector, and the process is repeated. The error function is defineed with respect to a training set, so each step/iteration requires that the entire training set be processed in order to evaluate **E**(**w**). This algorithm of training captured by Equation (2.17) is known as the *gradient descent*.

***2.10.1 Backpropagation***

Eerror functions usually comprise a summation of the error over each data point of the training set, . This reduces our problem to evaluating the gradient with respect to only one training sample (here *jeh* training sample): ∇**E***j* (**w**).

**[Insert Ffigure**

Figure 2.9: A basic neural network architecture with linear activation function and no hidden layers.

Referring to the neural network shown in Figure 2.9, the *keh* output unit *yk* (where *k* **∈** {1*,* 2*, ..., K*})is a linear combination of the input variables, *xi* (*i* **∈** {1*,* 2*, ..., N*})such that . As the process of gradient descent involves obtaining the derivative of the error function w.r.t to the weights, we prefer an error function that is continuous and dofferentiable and the squared error is one of the most straightforward functions that fit this criterion. The squared error term for the *neh* training sample can be written as follows:

 (2.18)

The gradient of this error term with respect to weight *wii* is given by Equation (2.19). Note in the above example, *wii* connects the activation node associated with the *ieh* input feature to the *jeh* output value. In general, for a hidden layer, *wii* connects the *ieh* unit of the previous layer to the *jeh* unit of the next layer. The weight gradients in Equation 19 can then be used to update the weight *wii* according to Equation (2.17).

 (2.19)

This process of calculating error gradients by using the chain rule starting from the output layer to the hidden layers is termed as *backpropagation*.

**Example 2.4.** Let us understand more about backpropagation using a more general example involving a neural network with a single layer of hidden units and the tang activation function, which we saw in Section 2.9.2. It receives *N*-dimensional input, **x** = (*x*1*, x*2*, . . . , xN*) and outputs a *K*-dimensional vector, **y** = (*y*1*, y*2*, ..., yK*). The hidden layer has *M* hidden units. Refer to Figure 2.10 for the neural network described above; in this figure, the output units have a linear activation function, *h*(*x*)= *x*, and the units in the hidden layer have *tang*(*·*)activation function. The final output *yk* can be expressed as , with and . At the input level, .

**[Insert Figure]**

Figure 2.10: A neural network architecture with a single hidden layer and a nonlinear activation function.

We consider the standard sum of error squares as the error function. For *n*eh training sample, let ***y*** *= yk* denote the predicted output and **t** = *tk* denote the target for. The error term for the *neh* training sample can thus be represented as follows:

 (2.20)

We can calculate the required gradients for backpropagation via Equations (2.21) and (2.22).

 (2.21)

 (2.22)

As,



and,



 (2.23)

***2.10.2 Batching***

We have already described in the gradient descent algorithm in Equation (2.17) that prior to weight update, a full pass through the training datasets is required. In the case of a large number of training samples, it becomes computationally expensive and slow to iterate over all the data samples at once. In order to improve the training process, we use two variants of *gradient descent.*

*<H4> Stochastic Gradient Descent*

This variant of gradient descent allows for the sedation of the model parameters after processing a single training example.

 (2.24)

This variant allows for faster convergence towards the minima and is less memory-intensive (loads only a single sample to memory at a time) than vanilla gradient descent. However, by optimizing after each sample, the model is more likely to overtit.

In the Stochastic Gradient Descent Std algorithm, *stochastic* or *randomness* comes into play when we randomly select a data point to be optimased. While in each epoch, all the data points are processed, the order in which they can be processed can be randomly shutfled.

*Mini-Batch Gradient Descent*

In the case of the vanilla gradient descent, for *N* number of samples and *T* epochs, the weight optimization operation happens only *T* times. In Std the optimization operation happens *N* **×***T* times. Between optimasinf one sample at a time vs optimizing all samples aggregated, we can update the gradient over a group of samples instead. Let *N* samples be grouped into a set of *n* smaller samples. The optimization step is performed *n* **×***T* times such that *T < n* **×** *T < N* **×** *T.* This optimization technique is called *mini-batching,* and each of the *n* sets is called a *batch,* denoted as *B.*

 (2.25)

**Esample 2.5.** Consider the neural network in Figure 2.11. The network takes two input variables *x*1 and *x*2, outputs two continuous variables *y*1 and *y*2, and utilities the Sigmoid activation function at each hidden unit. At current training checkpoint, the weights have following values:  . The bias terms, *b*1 = 0*.*25 and *b*2 = 0*.*35.

Given a new training input vector **x** = (*x*1, *x*2) = (0.1, 0.5) and the expected output **t** = (*t*1, *t*2) = (0.05, 0.95), let us calculate the update for using stochastic gradient descent and *η* = 0*.*1.

We will first forward propagate through the neural network to store values of hidden units and predicted outputs.

**Forward Propagation:**

**[Insert Figure]**

Ffigure 2.11: The Neural Network Architecture for Example 2.5.



We will now calculate the error contribution due to this new training input vector.

***2.10.3 Hyperparameters***

As explained before, the training of a neural network involves processing all the samples in the training datasets for which the model is optimized. Once trained (i.e., no more weights are updated), it is imperative to determine how well the model will predict on *unseen* samples. The datasets on which we evaluate the generalsability of a trained neural network is called the *test datasets*. Note we assume that both training and testing samples are drawn from the same underlying distribution.

A neural network model is said to *underit* if it fails to perform well even in the training stage. It can be a result of the smaller number of training samples from which to learn any meaningful patterns or the smaller complexity of the neural network that prevents it from learning more complex patterns within the training datasets or both. On the contrary, a neural network is said to *overtit* if it performs well on the training datasets but fails to perform on the test set. In such cases, a neural network learns the noisy patterns in the training set, which leads to a lack of generalsability.

Thus, by controlling how complex the network is and contigoring the learning rate *η*, we can, in turn, impact the learning process. Such conticurable variables (explicitly declared before training) whose value controls the learning process are termed *hyperparameters*.

*<H4> Breadth and Depth*

Based on our understanding of overtiting and underiting it appears that the model"s complexity plays a vital role in the learning process. But how do we definee the complexity of a neural network? In terms of the number of weight multiplication operations that form the basic building block of a neural network, we can control the complexity of the network by capping the number of activation units. Recall the concept of depth and breadth of an Alp in Figure 2.7. By increasing the depth of the network, we allow the system to model more complex functions. Meanwhile, by increasing the breadth of the network, we can accommodate more feature vectors. Both will enable us to reduce underiting Note that while theoretically, one can have infibite depth and breadth, such a system will overtit.

*<H4> Number of Epochs*

The ideal number of training iterations/steps is such that any further training provides little to no boost in test accuracy. The number of iterations is also known by the term number of *epochs*, where each epoch is complete when all the training samples have been processed.

*<H4>Learning Rate*

The learning rate *η* determines the magnitude of steps taken in the direction of decreasing gradient (Equation 2.17). A large learning rate implies taking larger strides, which may lead to scenarios where we keep hovering around the local minima without reaching it. In contrast, with a smaller learning rate, it takes too long to reach the optima. There are various strategies that one can use to manage the learning rate during the training of a neural network.

**1. Fixed Learning Rate**: In this training strategy, the learning rate remains constant throughout the training process.

**2. Time-Based Decay**: In this training strategy, the learning rate decreases proportionally to training steps. It is based on the idea that initially, the model will begin by predicting randomly and have a higher error rate. However, as the training progressed, the error would have reduced. , where *decay* is a factor by which the learning rate decreases, and *epoch* is the training iteration *t.*

***2.10.4 Regularsaction***

Regularsaction is another set of techniques that can help avoid overtiting during training.

1. **Early Stopping**: One of the most straightforward techniques to prevent overtiting is to limit the number of updates made to the weight parameters. Heuristically, if we can avoid the training loss from becoming arbitrarily low, the model will be less likely to overtit.
2. **L1 and L2 Regularsaction**: By penalizing larger weights while training, we can further reduce overtiting Let us first look at the *Lp* norm of a vector **x** in an *n-*ddimensional space, defineed by .When *p* = 1, we call this the *L*1norm or *Manhattan distance* given by and when *p* = 2, we refer to it as the *L*2 norm, given by .[[9]](#footnote-10)

Eemploying the penalty term, we can minimize the error term **E**(**w**) via Equation (2.26) with *α* the *regularization* constant.

By replacing *p* with 1 or 2, we obtain the *L*1or *L2* regularization, respectively. *L*1regularization allows for more sparse weight parameters. Unlike *L*1regularization that forces weights to zero, *L*2 regularization shrinks weights while ensuring that important components of the weight vector are larger than the others.

1. **Dropout**: As the name suggests, we randomly drop or freeze a fraction (dropout probability) of neurons from being updated. Suppose we are using mini-batch gradient dscent using a dropout regularization would amount to training different weight parameters for various subsets of training data to avoid overfitting the entire training datasets During test time, no neurons are dropped.

**2.11 Vanishing and Exploding Gradients**

When obtaining the derivative of the loss with respect to weights, the private value may be extremely small or large, leading to the problem of vanishing or exploding gradients.

**Vanishing Gradients.** This refers to the situation when the gradient information cannot be transferred from the output layers to the hidden layers due to the gradients assuming very small values. Following our previous notation, let *L* denote the index of the output layer. Then, we calculate the gradient of error term *E(w)* with respect to weights in different layers of the networks. For the *ieh* hidden layer, let us denote the weight parameters as **w**(*i*), the hidden units as *z*(*i*) and activation as *a*(*i*) such that *z*(*i*) = *h(a*(*i*)),where *h* is the activation function. Note that each hidden layer would have multiple hidden units, but we do not label such hidden units to avoid complications.

As we note from Equation (2.27), the further the hidden layer *i* is from the output layer (deeper the neural network), the more terms of the form incorporating the partial derivative of the hidden unit with respect to the activation appear.

It so happens that these derivatives assume very low values for activation functions like sigmoid and tang Rel activation is usually employed when there is a risk of a vanishing gradient problem.

**Eexploding Gradients.** On the opposite spectrum is the problem where large error gradients accumulate and result in huge updates to neural network model weights during training. These may occur due to lousy initialization of weights or some combinations of activation functions.

**2.12 Evaluation Metrics**

Once we have optimally trained our neural network, we need to be able to report how *well* the model is performing. Additionally, given that for a given set of input and target values, multiple *optimal* weights can be obtained. How do we determine which set of weights are the best for an unseen datasets To perform this assessment, we utilize evaluation metrics.

Let us go back to the task of sentiment analysis. Suppose we have ten sentences that are labeled as either positive (1) or negative (–1). Out of these, seven samples are labelled as positive. Let us assume an arbitrary target label list for the ten samples as *y* = [1, 1, –1, 1, –1, –1, 1, 1, 1, 1], with the *ieh* element of the list providing a sentiment label for the *ieh* sentence.

* Case 1:How many times did we correctly predict the positive sentiment?
* Case 2:How many times did we incorrectly predict positive sentiments as negative?
* Case 3:How many times did we incorrectly predict negative sentiments as positive?
* Case 4:How many times did we correctly predict the negative sentiment?

**True Positive/Negative.** Case 1 of the confusion matrix can also be termed as *true positive* Op as we are truly/correctly predicting the positive class as positive. Consequently, case 4 is termed as *true negative* (TN) as we truthfully predict the negative class as negative.

**False Negative.** Case 2 can be understood as the number of times we erroneously/falsely produce a negative output (sentiment in our case) when the actual output is positive, i.e., *false negative* Tn

**False Positive.** Reverse of Tn is when we falsely predict the output to be positive while it should have been negative, leading to the case of *false positive* Fr

**Example 2.6.** Let us map true positives, true negatives, false positives, and false negatives when y = [1, 1, –1, 1, –1, –1, 1, 1, 1, 1] and *ŷ* = [1, –1, 1, 1, –1, 1, 1, 1, 1, –1]. Further, based on these counts, we can produce a confusion matrix.

In Table 2.9, we enlist the type of correct/incorrect information captured by the *ieh* index. We can see that Op occurs when *yi = ŷi* = 1 and TN at *yi = ŷi = –*1. Meanwhile, at indices 2 and 10, we observe the case of *yi* = 1 but *ŷi =* –1, causing false negatives. Finally, at indices 3 and 6, we note *ŷi =* –1 but *ŷi* = 1, leading to false positives.

Now, mapping the type count in Table 2.9, we can construct the confusion matrix for the four cades as accounted in Table 2.10.

**Pdecision.** Looking only at the predictions that are marked as positive, precision measures the number of times the predictions were actually correct, as actualized by Equation (2.28).

 (2.28)

Table 2.9: Mapping True Ppositives Op True Nnegatives (TN), Falso Ppositives Fr and Falso Nnegatives Tn for Eexpected Labets y = [1, 1, –1, 1, –1, –1, 1, 1, 1, 1] and Ppredicted Labets *ŷ* = [1, –1, 1, 1, –1, 1, 1, 1, 1, –1].

Table 2.10: Confusion matria for sentiment classicication of positive (1) and negative (-1) sentiments for ten sentences. We construct this from expected labels *y* = [1, 1, –1, 1, –1, –1, 1, 1, 1, 1] and predicted labels *ŷ* = [1, –1, 1, 1, –1, 1, 1, 1, 1, –1]. The tabulations follow from mapping in Table 2.9.

**Rcall.** On the other hand, looking at the actual/expected positive samples, recall measures the number of times we correctly predicted the positive class. The confusion matrix can be mapped using Equation (2.29).

 (2.29)

**Precision vs Recall.** We note from Equations (2.28) and (2.29) that the main difference in precision and recall is dictated by the type of erroneous outputs that are accounted for. In the case of precision, we place higher importance on FPs. Consider the case of spam detection. If the emails keep getting falsely classified as safe/positive, then the user will be inundated with spam instead of useful information. Meanwhile, in the case of a recall, we place higher importance on Ans Consider the case of medical testing, where a positive test means a disease is detected. Failing to detect the disease Tn when it should have been positive) can cost human life. In any given experimental setup, precision and recall will be a tug-of-war, as reducing Tn can impact Fr and vice-versa, and which metric is prioritized depends on the task at hand.

**F1 Score.** For most use cases, we rather prefer to look at a single metric that considers both precision and recall. Here, the F1 score comes into play. It is simply a harmonic mean of precision and recall as follows:

Another advantage of the F1 score over other metrics is its ability to account for class imbalance, therefore providing a more holistic measure of model performance.

**2.13 Summary**

In this chapter, we explored some of the fundamental concepts of Alp and neural networks necessary for understanding the more advanced topics covered later in the book. We began by discussing the motivation behind processing information conveyed through natural language, focusing on how a word is structured using morphological knowledge. We then reviewed the essential steps of the Alp pipeline and examined various reprocessing techniques such as stemming, lemmatisation, and tokenisation. Additionally, we explored the syntax and semantics of language before introducing core ideas related to language models and word/sentence representation techniques.

To motivate the use of *n*-dimensional feature vectors for sentiment analysis, we introduced the concept of neural networks. Given that neural networks are the foundation of modern Alp this chapter provided an overview of the fundamental aspects of neural networks. We discussed perceptions and their limitations, which led to the development of multi-layer perceptions and the concept of deep neural networks. The chapter also covered training neural networks via backpropagation the basics of activation functions, and the role of various hyperparameters that can impact the training process. Furthermore, we outlined scenarios where a model might encounter vanishing or exploding gradient problems and how these issues can be mitigated. The chapter concluded with an introduction to evaluation metrics commonly used in classification tasks.

In the following chapters, we will build upon the concepts of word associations, neural networks, and *n*-grams to develop more sophisticated representations and language models that go beyond the bag-of-words approach.

**Additional Resources**

**Important Articles**

* A Survey of Surveys Alp & ML): .<https://github.com/NiuTrans/ABigSurvey>
* Awesome Alp https://github.com/keon/awesome-nlp.
* Iintroduction to Linguistics: Akmajian er all (2001)
* Milks Sabrina J., er all "Between Words and Characters: A Brief History of Open-Vocabulary Modeling and Tokenization in Alp lxiv reprint lxiv (2021).
* Min, Bonn er all "Recent advances in natural language processing via large pre-trained language models: A survey." Acme Computing Surveys 56.2 (2023): 1-40.
* Otter, Daniel **W**., er all "A survey of the usages of deep learning for natural language processing." Ieee transactions on neural networks and learning systems 32.2 (2020): 604-624.
* Pattern Recognition and Machine Learning: Bishop (2006)

**Visual Summary**

* Dependency Parsing, Named Entity Recognition, Tokenization and Token Similarity  
  https://huggingface.co/spaces/spacy/pipeline-visualizer#en\_core\_web\_lg
* Deep Neural Network Architecture: <https://playground.tensorflow.org/>
* Optimization with Gradient Descent  
  https://uclaacm.github.io/gradient-descent-visualiser/#playground

**Exercises**

***True/False Questions***

1. Lemmassaction is more computationally expensive than stemming. (True/False)
2. The sigmoid activation function outputs a value between -1 and 1. (True/False)
3. Sentencepiece does not require the input sequence to be pre-tokenised. (True/False)
4. Multiplying the output of a linear unit with a scalar can introduce non-linearity. (True/False)
5. Dependency parsing focuses on identifying relationships between words based on the order in which they appear in a sentence. (True/False)

***Multiple Choice Questions***

1. In dependency parsing, the \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ is the main verb of the sentence.

(a) Prime (b) Root (c) Lemma (d) Stem

2. The study of the internal structure of words is called \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_.

(a) Etymology (b) Sociolinguistics (c) Morphology (d) Phonology

3. In gradient descent, what is updated out of the following?

(a) Parameters (b) Inputs (c) Architecture (d) Activation

4. Dependency parsing helps in understanding the \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ structure of a sentence.

(a) Syntactic (b) Semantic (c) Pragmatic (d) Morphological

5. Which of the following introduces non-linearity into a neural model?

(a) Weight Sharing (b) Gradient Descent (c) Convolution (d) Gel Activation

***Short Questions***

1. How does stemming reduce the dimensionality of textual data?
2. What is the difference between stemming and lemmassaction
3. Compare the Rel and sigmoid activation functions. Which one of them is used in (i) the hidden layers and (ii) the output layer? Why?
4. Consider the following sentences. Try to trace an Alp pipeline that consists of tokensaction Poss tagging, lemmatisation and dependency parsing on each sentence:
5. I am eating pizza with cheese and corn.
6. My mother cooked my favorite dish for me on my birthday!
7. I am at the airport, and my flight departs in an hour.
8. He loves to bake cookies for his friends and family.

***Long Questions***

1. Compare and contrast various tokenisation strategies discussed in this chapter.
2. Explain how text reprocessing techniques impact the performance of Alp models.
3. Calculate the output of a three-input neuron where the weights [*w1,w2,w3, b*]are [0*.*3*,* –0*.*1*,* 0*.*2*,* 0*.*5]. The input to this network is [0*.*3*,* 0*.*2*,* 0*.*6]. Assume the sigmoid activation function.
4. What is Wordier Explain the structure and applications of Wordier
5. Why do we need sword tokenisation? Give an example where word tokenisation fails.
6. Describe the typical stages involved in a natural language processing pipeline. Explain the significance of each stage by taking some Alp tasks as an example.

Consider a simple neural network with one layer and sigmoid activation where **ŷ** = *σ*(**w***T***x** + *b*) and *σ(z) =* 1*/*(1 + exp(–*z*))*.* Compute the gradients of the loss function with respect to the parameters and derive the weight update rule for gradient descent.
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|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Information** | **Meaning** |
| Phonetic | How a word is expressed vocally with a certain sound. |
| Structural | How a word is composed of different linguistic components. |
| Syntactic | How a word fits into the overall structure of a sentence |
| Semantic | What is the meaning of a word in some particular context? |
| Pragmatic | How a word is used in a discourse or conversation. |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **English** | **Hindi** | **Tamil** |
| I will go | मैं जाऊंगा | நான் ேபாேவன |
| We will go | हम जायेंगे | நாம் ேபாேவாம |
| You will go | तुम जाओगे | நீ ேபாவாய |
| He will go | वह जाएगा | அவன் ேபாவான |
| She will go | वो जाएगी | அவள் ேபாவாள |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Ooriginal Tord** | **Stemming** | **Lemmatisation** |
| witnessed | wit | witness |
| assignments | assign | assignment |
| considerable | consider | considerable |
| democraticsaction | democrat | democraticsaction |
| interpolated | Interpol | interpolate |
| eeffectively | eaffect | effective |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Algorithm 1** The steps for sword tokenisation as adopted by Bee and Codpiece The actual formula in Step 4 is realized by Equations (2.1) and (2.2), respectively, for Bee and Codpiece |  | **Algorithm 2** Algorithm for obtaining unique tokens in the corpus via splitting at the word level. |
| **Input:** Vocabulary size *k*, Corpus *D*, Maximum Iteration *master*  **Output:** Vocabulary V  1: *V* ***←*** *PREPROCESS(D)*  2: *i* ***←*** 0  3: **while |***V****|*** *< k* or *i* <*master* **do**  4: *tl* : *tr* ***←*** *max (FC (t l, t r))*  5: *tlr* ***←*** *tl : tr*  6: *tl*:*tr* ***←*** *tlo*  7: *V* ***←*** *V* **∩** *tlo*  8: *i* ***←*** *i* + 1  9: **end while**  **return** V |  | **Input:** Corpus *D*  **Output:** Vocabulary V  1: *V* ***←***{}  2: **for** *w* ∈*split(D, delimiter=" ")* **do**  3: **for** *ch* ∈*w* **do**  4: *V* **∩** *ch*  5: **end for**  6: **end for**  **return** V |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| *x*1 | *x*2 | *x*1 AND *x*2 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| *x*1 | *x*2 | *x*1 OR *x*2 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| *x*1 | *x*2 | *x*1 XOR *x*2 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 1 | 1 | 0 |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| *x*1 | *x*2 | *x*1 + *x*2 – 1*.*5 | *y* = *sen'*(*x*1+ *x*2***–*** 1*.*5) | *x*1 AND *x*2 |
| 0 | 0 | –1.5 | **0** | **0** |
| 0 | 1 | –0.5 | **0** | **0** |
| 1 | 0 | –0.5 | **0** | **0** |
| 1 | 1 | 0.5 | **1** | **1** |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Ineut** | **Units** | **Hidden Units** | | **Output** | ***x*1 XOR *x*2** |
| ***x*1** | ***x*2** | ***h*1 *=* sane(*x*1+ *x*2 *–* 0.5)** | ***h*2 *=* sane(*x*1+ *x*2 *–* 1*.*5)** | ***y =* sane(*h*1 *– h*2 *–* 0*.*5)** |
| 0  0  1  1 | 0  1  0  1 | sen*'*(0 · 1 + 0 · 1 – 0*.*5) = 0  sen*'*(0 · 1 + 1 · 1 – 0*.*5) = 1  sen*'*(1 · 1 + 0 · 1 – 0*.*5) = 1  sane(1 · 1 + 1 · 1 – 0*.*5) = 1 | sane · 1 + 0 · 1 – 1*.*5) = 0  sane · 1 + 1 · 1 – 1*.*5) = 0  sane(1 · 1 + 0 · 1 – 1*.*5) = 0  sane(1 · 1 + 1 · 1 – 1*.*5) = 1 | sen*'*(0 · 1 + 0 · – 1 – 0*.*5) = **0**  sen*'*(1 · 1 + 0 · – 1 – 0*.*5) = **1**  sen*'*(1 · 1 + 0 · – 1 – 0*.*5) = **1**  sen*'*(1 · 1 + 1 · – 1 – 0*.*5) = **0** | **0**  **1**  **1**  **0** |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Iindex** | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| **Expected** *y* | 1 | 1 | -1 | 1 | -1 | -1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| **Predicted** *ŷ* | 1 | -1 | 1 | 1 | -1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | -1 |
| **Type** | Op | Tn | Fr | Op | TN | Fr | Op | Op | Op | Tn |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Actual | Predicted | |
| Positive | Negative |
| Positive | 5 Op | 2 Tn |
| Negative | 2 Fr | 1 (TN) |

1. https://www.ling.upenn.edu/courses/Fall\_2003/ling001/penn\_treebank\_pos.html [↑](#footnote-ref-2)
2. https://huggingface.co/ [↑](#footnote-ref-3)
3. https://tartarus.org/martin/PorterStemmer/ [↑](#footnote-ref-4)
4. https://snowballstem.org/ [↑](#footnote-ref-5)
5. https://darenr.github.io/afinn/ [↑](#footnote-ref-6)
6. https://www.nltk.org/api/nltk.corpus.reader.sentiwordnet.html [↑](#footnote-ref-7)
7. https://saifmohammad.com/WebPages/NRC-Emotion-Lexicon.htm [↑](#footnote-ref-8)
8. https://propbank.github.io/ [↑](#footnote-ref-9)
9. The squared L2 norm (i.e.,  is often used in optimisation problems because it avoids the computational cost of the square root while still preserving the essential properties of the norm, like magnitude comparison. [↑](#footnote-ref-10)