



[Course](#) > [Week 2](#) > [Proble...](#) > Value It...

Value Iteration (Double Integrator)

Value Iteration (Double Integrator)

0.0/30.0 points (graded)

In this problem, we'll consider the optimal control problem for the double integrator (unit mass brick on ice), described by

$$\ddot{q} = u, |u| \leq 1$$

using the Value Iteration algorithm. An implementation of that algorithm is available for you in Drake (see the `runValueIteration` function in `examples/DoubleIntegrator.m`). This is a complete implementation of the algorithm with discrete actions and volumetric interpolation over state.

(a) Run the value iteration code for the double integrator to compute the optimal policy and optimal cost-to-go for the minimum-time problem. Compare the result to the analytical solution we found in lecture (also available in Example 9.2 in the course notes) by answering the following questions.

1) Find an initial condition of the form $(2, \dot{q}_0)$ such that the value iteration policy takes an action in exactly the wrong direction from the true optimal policy. Type in your value of \dot{q}_0 below:

2) What is the true optimal time-to-go from this state (i.e., for the optimal bang-bang controller derived in class)?

3) What is the time-to-go from this state estimated by value iteration?

4) When implementing value iteration, one needs to be wary of several implementation details. Find a setting of the discretization (i.e., the variable `xbins` in `DoubleIntegrator.m`) that causes the code to NOT converge. The maximum distance between points in the \mathbf{q} and $\dot{\mathbf{q}}$ directions should still be at most 0.2, and the grid must still contain the square with sides of length 2 centered about the origin. (Hint: it might help to see how the minimum-time cost function is implemented).

```
1 q_bins =
2 qdot_bins =
3 xbins = {q_bins,qdot_bins};
4
```

Unanswered

Run Code

(b) Change the cost-to-go function to a combination of the quadratic regulator problem and the minimum-time problem:

$$g(\mathbf{q}, \dot{\mathbf{q}}, u) = c(\mathbf{q}, \dot{\mathbf{q}}) + Q_p q^2 + Q_d \dot{q}^2 + Ru^2,$$

where $c(\mathbf{q}, \dot{\mathbf{q}})$ is 0 when $(\mathbf{q}, \dot{\mathbf{q}}) = (0, 0)$ and 1 otherwise. Use $Q_p = Q_d = 1, R = 10$.

1) What is the cost-to-go from the point $(1.0, 1.0)$ estimated by the value iteration?

2) When implementing controllers on real robots, we have to be mindful of the fact that our models seldom capture all aspects of the behavior of the system. Supposing that in addition to the constraints imposed on the maximum and minimum control input (i.e.,

$|u| \leq 1$), our real physical brick "robot" also had constraints on the derivative of the control input (let's say $|\dot{u}| \leq 1$). Assuming that you only cared about stabilizing the system to the origin, which controller would you prefer to implement?

- ☐ Minimum-time (cost from part (a))
- ☐ Quadratic cost + minimum-time (cost from part (b))

You have used 0 of 3 attempts

© All Rights Reserved