

WHAT IS A BASIS (OR ORDERED BASIS) GOOD FOR?

1. SMALL VS HUGE VECTOR SPACES

If V is a vector space that is not “too big” we can find

$$B = \{\mathbf{b}_1, \mathbf{b}_2, \dots, \mathbf{b}_n\}$$

that is a *basis for V* . The **definition** is that B is a finite set of vectors in V so that

- (1) the span of $\mathbf{b}_1, \mathbf{b}_2, \dots, \mathbf{b}_n$ is V , and
- (2) the $\mathbf{b}_1, \mathbf{b}_2, \dots, \mathbf{b}_n$ are linearly independent.

So to show vectors form a basis for some vector space, you show:

- (1) every vector in the space equals some linear combination of the supposed basis vectors.
- (2) the only linear combination of the supposed basis vectors that equals zero is the one with all zero scalars.

But why would you even want a basis?

First of all, really big vector spaces, like $C[0, 1]$ don't have a basis. The ones that have a basis are called *finite dimensional* and certainly that sounds like something that will make life easier.

The real point is that once you have a basis for V , you can turn all questions about V into questions about \mathbb{R}^n . A basis will get you out of the abstract and back to dealing with columns of numbers.

2. A BASIS NEEDS AN ORDER

A basis on its own is a set of n vectors, and really we want to fix an order for the vectors. That way, we are not dealing with

$$2 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix} + 5 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

one second and the next staring at

$$9 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} + 11 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix}.$$

That's like working with a system

$$\begin{aligned} x - y &= 1 \\ y + 2x &= 2 \end{aligned}$$

and wondering why you are making errors trying to add the equations.

An ordered basis is just a basis $B = \{\mathbf{b}_1, \mathbf{b}_2, \dots, \mathbf{b}_n\}$ plus an agreement that \mathbf{b}_1 is to come first in formulas, and so on. Leon uses the notation

$$\mathcal{B} = [\mathbf{b}_1, \mathbf{b}_2, \dots, \mathbf{b}_n]$$

(If you know about ordered pairs and ordered n -tuples, you have to wonder.)

So you've checked that a set spans of V , you've checked it has linear independence, and you've agreed on an order in which the \mathbf{b}_k should be appear. Congratulation, you have an ordered basis.

Here's why you care:

Theorem 1. *If $\mathcal{B} = [\mathbf{b}_1, \mathbf{b}_2, \dots, \mathbf{b}_n]$ is an ordered basis for V , then:*

- (1) *given any abstract vector \mathbf{v} in V , there is a unique list of n scalars β_k to solve*

$$\mathbf{v} = \beta_1 \mathbf{b}_1 + \beta_2 \mathbf{b}_2 + \dots + \beta_n \mathbf{b}_n.$$

We call

$$\begin{bmatrix} \beta_1 \\ \beta_2 \\ \vdots \\ \beta_n \end{bmatrix}$$

the coordinate vector of \mathbf{v} with respect to \mathcal{B} .

- (2) *Every "linear algebra" question about vectors in V can be settled by asking the same question about the corresponding coordinate vectors in \mathbb{R}^n .*

I need to be vague, not defining "linear algebra questions," but basically this theorem is telling you:

- (1) A finite dimensional vector space V acts just like \mathbb{R}^n ,
- (2) Any ordered basis you find gives you a coordinate system for V . A coordinate system gives you a way to translate back and forth between V and \mathbb{R}^n .

Most books prove the following, but students seem to miss the significance:

Theorem 2. *If a vector space V has a basis with n vectors, then any other basis of V will have n vectors. The dimension of V is n .*

If V behaves like \mathbb{R}^3 , it cannot also behave like \mathbb{R}^2 . If I report to my boss there are "three degrees of freedom" in the solution to a problem, no new hire is going to correctly tell the boss "I've found a way to get four degrees of freedom."

3. ONE TELLING EXAMPLE (I HOPE)

You've noticed that solving

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix} = r \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} + s \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 4 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$$

is not so different from solving

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix} = r \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} + s \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 4 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix},$$

and not so different from solving

$$x^3 + 2x^2 + 3x + 4 = r(x^3 + 2x^2 + x + 2) + s(4x + 4).$$

The point is that the ordered basis

$$\left[\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right]$$

gives coordinates to the 2-by-2 matrices so that

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$$

has coordinate vector

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Likewise, the ordered basis

$$[x^3, x^2, x^1, x^0]$$

gives coordinates to \mathcal{P}_3 so that

$$x^3 + 2x^2 + 3x + 4$$

has coordinate vector

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix}.$$