MATH888: High-dimensional probability and statistics

Fall 2021

Lecture 15 — October 11, 2021

Sebastien Roch, UW-Madison

Scribe: Daniel Szabo

1 Overview

In the last lecture we started to apply our tail bounds for the norm of sub-gaussian vectors by using the covariance of a random vector to bound how fast mean estimation of i.i.d sub-gaussians can converge.

In this lecture we begin by reviewing the basics of linear regression, and then discuss some applications of these bounds in the context of regression.

2 Linear Regression

The setting for linear regression is as follows.

- Let $\Theta^* \in \mathbb{R}^p$ be an unknown random vector that we are trying to recover.
- Let $f(X) = X^T \Theta^*$ for $X \in \mathbb{R}^p$.
- Make n noisy observations (X_i, y_i) where $y_i = f(X_i) + \varepsilon_i$ for ε_i a mean zero real valued random variable for i = 1, 2, ..., n.

Our goal is then to use these observations to recover Θ^* . For us, this will mean finding a $\hat{\Theta} \in \mathbb{R}^p$ that minimizes the mean squared error of $\hat{f}_n(X) = X^T \hat{\Theta}$ where

$$MSE(\hat{f}_n) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (\hat{f}_n(X_i) - f(X_i))^2.$$
 (1)

There are other potential goals, such as restricting to sparse $\hat{\Theta}$ by looking at the 0 norm or the 1 norm, or even minimizing the expected MSE over a random input X that we won't consider. There is an exact characterization of this $\hat{\Theta}$.

2.1 Least Squares Solution

Let $\mathbb{X} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times p}$ be the matrix with X_i in each row, and $Y = [y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n]$. Then minimizing equation (1) is equivalent to finding

$$\min_{\hat{\Theta} \in \mathbb{R}} \|Y - \mathbb{X}\hat{\Theta}\|_2^2. \tag{2}$$

The following theorem characterizes the solutions to this equation.

Theorem 1. Let $\hat{\Theta}^{LS}$ be a solution to (2). Then it must satisfy the normal equations, namely

$$\mathbb{X}^T \mathbb{X} \hat{\Theta}^{LS} = \mathbb{X}^T Y. \tag{3}$$

We can also choose a unique $\hat{\Theta}^{LS} = \mathbb{X}^{\dagger}Y$ that minimizes $\|\hat{\Theta}^{LS}\|_2$.

Recall the pseudoinverse of a matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times p}$ with singular value decomposition $A = USV^T$ is $A^{\dagger} = V^T S^{\dagger} U$ where S^{\dagger} is the diagonal matrix made up of $s_{ii} = \begin{cases} 1/s_{ii} & \text{if } s_{ii} > 0 \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Here $U \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ and $V \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times p}$ are orthogonal matrices.

Proof. Because of the convexity of $\|\cdot\|_2^2$, (2) is just minimizing a convex function composed with a linear one and therefore a convex problem itself. Thus the minimum satisfies $\nabla_{\Theta} \|Y - \mathbb{X}\Theta\|_2^2 = 0$. Simple calculations show

$$\nabla_{\Theta} \|Y - \mathbb{X}\Theta\|_{2}^{2} = \nabla_{\Theta} (\|Y\|_{2}^{2} - 2\mathbb{X}^{T}\Theta^{T}Y + \Theta^{T}\mathbb{X}^{T}\mathbb{X}\Theta) = 2\mathbb{X}^{T}\mathbb{X}\Theta - 2\mathbb{X}^{T}Y$$

and thus $\nabla_{\hat{\Theta}^{LS}} \|Y - \mathbb{X}\hat{\Theta}^{LS}\|_2^2 = 0 \iff \mathbb{X}^T \mathbb{X}\hat{\Theta}^{LS} = \mathbb{X}^T Y$, which is exactly the normal equations (3).

If the solution to this is not unique, we want to find min $\|\Theta\|^2$ subject to (3). To do so we can use the SVD of $\mathbb{X} = USV^T$ to see

$$\mathbb{X}^{T} \mathbb{X} \Theta = \mathbb{X}^{T} Y$$

$$\iff V S U^{T} U S V^{T} \Theta = V S U^{T} Y$$

$$\iff V^{T} V S^{2} V^{T} \Theta = S U^{T} Y$$

$$\iff S^{2} V^{T} \Theta = S U^{T} Y.$$

Substituting $Z = V^T \Theta$, $W = U^T Y$ we can see (3) is equivalent to $S^2 Z = SW$ with $||Z||_2^2 = ||\Theta||_2^2$ because V is orthogonal. For any i = 1, ..., n, if s_{ii} is 0, we have no constraint on the corresponding z_i which means we would choose $z_i = 0$ to minimize $||\Theta||_2^2$. Otherwise we need $z_i = \frac{1}{s_{ii}} w_i$, which is exactly the formula for S^{\dagger} . Thus

$$Z = S^{\dagger}W \implies \Theta = VS^{\dagger}U^TY = \mathbb{X}^{\dagger}Y.$$

3 Assessing Least Squares on Sub-Gaussian Errors

We can now start applying the bounds from previous lectures to analyze how accurate the MSE of $\hat{\Theta}$ will be. Recall for our setting equation (1) is equivalent to

$$MSE(\mathbb{X}\hat{\Theta}^{LS}) = \frac{1}{n} \|\mathbb{X}\hat{\Theta}^{LS} - \mathbb{X}\Theta^*\|_2^2. \tag{4}$$

Theorem 2. Suppose $\varepsilon = [\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \dots, \varepsilon_n]^T$ is sub-gaussian with $\|\varepsilon\|_{\psi_2} \leq K$. Then

$$MSE(\mathbb{X}\hat{\Theta}^{LS}) \lesssim \frac{K^2}{n} \left(rank(\mathbb{X}) + \log(1/\delta) \right) \quad w.p. \ 1 - \delta$$

Note that in the high dimensional case when $p \gg n$, rank(X) $\approx n$, so this bound is not very meaningful; all it says is the error is bounded by a large constant that does not converge to 0.

Proof. Plugging the result of Theorem (1) into equation (4),

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{X}\hat{\Theta}^{LS} - \mathbb{X}\Theta^* &= \mathbb{X}\mathbb{X}^\dagger Y - \mathbb{X}\Theta^* \\ &= \mathbb{X}\mathbb{X}^\dagger (\mathbb{X}\Theta^* + \varepsilon) - \mathbb{X}\Theta^* \\ &= (USV^TVS^\dagger U^TUSV^T - \mathbb{X})\Theta^* + \mathbb{X}\mathbb{X}^\dagger \varepsilon \\ &= (USV^T - \mathbb{X})\Theta^* + \mathbb{X}\mathbb{X}^\dagger \varepsilon \\ &= \mathbb{X}\mathbb{X}^\dagger \varepsilon. \end{split}$$

In other words, minimizing (4) is equivalent to minimizing $\|\mathbb{X}\mathbb{X}^{\dagger}\varepsilon\|_{2}^{2}$, which is exactly the form we saw in the previous two lectures. There we showed

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\frac{1}{n}\|\mathbb{X}\mathbb{X}^{\dagger}\varepsilon\|_{2}^{2} \geq \frac{CK^{2}}{n}\|\mathbb{X}\mathbb{X}^{\dagger}\|_{F}^{2} + \frac{t}{n}\right) \leq \exp\left(-\frac{ct}{K^{2}\|\mathbb{X}\mathbb{X}^{\dagger}\|_{2}^{2}}\right)$$

which is equal to δ when $t=\frac{1}{c}K^2\|\mathbb{X}\mathbb{X}^{\dagger}\|_2^2\log(1/\delta)$. Recall that $\|\mathbb{X}\mathbb{X}^{\dagger}\|_F^2$ is just the sum of the squared singular values, which for $\mathbb{X}\mathbb{X}^{\dagger}=USS^{\dagger}U^T$ just counts each nonzero element of S which is exactly the rank of \mathbb{X} . Meanwhile $\|\mathbb{X}\mathbb{X}^{\dagger}\|_2^2=1$ is just the maximum singular value. Plugging in we have that

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\frac{1}{n}\|\mathbb{X}\hat{\Theta}^{LS} - \mathbb{X}\Theta^*\|_2^2 \ge \frac{CK^2}{n}\mathrm{rank}(\mathbb{X}) + \frac{\frac{1}{c}K^2\log(1/\delta)}{n}\right) \le \delta$$

$$\Longrightarrow \mathbb{P}\left(MSE(\mathbb{X}\hat{\Theta}^{LS}) < \frac{CK^2}{n}\left(\mathrm{rank}(\mathbb{X}) + \log(1/\delta)\right)\right) > 1 - \delta.$$