KDD99Cup dataset summery

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | 10% KDD | KDD | Stmp+http |
| Size | 73M | 725M | 101M |
| Training (normal) | 6.8M |  |  |
| Validation (normal) 1 & 2 | 2M & 2M |  |  |
| Validation (anomaly) | 14M |  |  |
| Test (normal) | 2M |  |  |
| Test (anomaly) | 42M |  |  |

Related works

* **LSTM-based Encoder-Decoder for Multi-sensor Anomaly Detection (Autoencoder, Anomaly detection,batch)[1]**

The EncDecAD (short for Encoder-Decoder scheme for Anomaly Detection) is Long Short Term Memory Networks(LSTMs) based anomaly detection architecture for time series. The utilization of LSTMs enabled the model to avoid missing the temporal information in the time series. The EncDecAD model consists of two parts, namely an encoder and a decoder, which have identical LSTMs based structure. The encoder takes a mini-batch of time series as input and outputs a hidden vector, and then follows the decoder, taking the hidden vector as input and trying to reconstruct the original input mini-batch as output. The reconstruction error is the main criterion of anomaly likelihood, while the model is only trained with normal instances, so for unacquainted anomalous data, the reconstruction error is considered to be relative higher.

According to their experimental results, the EncDecAD model could separate normal and anomalous points with a large margin. It works on different kinds of datasets, even non-periodic unpredictable data. However, The model is designed only for batch data, and need to train on the whole dataset. Time series data always comes continuously along with time, and sometime also with concept drift. If we consider the velocity and volume feature of time series data, an online incremental model is then necessary. Also, in the online fashion, it is challenging to learn from a massive stream of data the optimal number of features.

* **Online Incremental Feature Learning with Denoising Autoencoders**

**(Autoencoders over streams)**

The authors introduced an incremental feature learning algorithm to determine the optimal model complexity for streaming data based on the denoising autoencoder. The main idea is feature adding and merging. Specifically, it adds new features to minimize the objective function’s residual, and if features are redundant, then merge them to prevent overfitting and obtain a more compact feature representation. The result shows that it’s a good way to learn features from a large dataset by starting with a small set of initial features and automatically adjusting the number of features. And this method leads comparable or lower reconstruction and classification error than the stationary fashion.

* **Threaded ensembles of autoencoders for stream learning**

**(outlier detection over streams, streaming autoencoders, concept drift)**

This paper proposes a multi-threaded neural network model to deal with streaming data. On each thread running a autoencoder model and two buffers connecting data stream and model, in order to avoid model being idle or data stream being delayed. The thread ensemble enables the model with continuous learning capacity. They use a reconstruction error based criterion for anomaly detection, and maintain a buffer containing only normal data for decision of anomaly threshold. In order to distinguish between anomaly and concept drift, they check the points before and after a specific data point, while continuous founded anomalies indicate the possibility to be concept drift.

However, they didn’t talk about how the arrival rate of data stream and the fluctuations in the volume of data would impact the detection of anomalies. In addition, how emergent of the concept drift happens could also be a influence factor.

**(new/emergent class detection over streams)**

Structure
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Abstract

Data stream is a data format appears in plenty of big data research scenarios, for example, manufactural sensors, production line data etc. Here anomaly detection plays an important role for use cases like predictive maintenance, event detection, and could potentially avoid large amount of financial costs. However, different from traditional anomaly detection tasks, anomaly detection in streaming data is especially difficult while data comes along the time with latent changes, so the model doesn’t fit the data all the time.

In this paper, we introduce a novel autoencoder based anomaly detection methods specially designed for streaming data. The model takes mini-batches of data from the stream as input, and try to reconstruct it using autoencoder, and the anomaly likelihood is informed from the reconstruction error. Experimental results shows that our model can sufficiently detect anomaly from data stream and update model online to fit the latest data.

**Key words**
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Introduction­

(Problem introduction, problem importance)

Anomaly detection is an important problem in data mining, and widely used in the manufacturing industry, commercial world, internet company etc. It could avoid or reduce lose in many scenarios like machine health monitoring, credit card fraud detecting and spam email classification, and could also be used as a preprocessing step to remove anomalies for datasets. There are already plenty of anomaly detection and outlier detection techniques proposed in literature, that solve this problem from variety perspectives, e.g. distance-based methods, clustering analysis, density-based methods etc.

(why problem hard, short coming of previous works)

There is no lack of approaches that perform really good for anomaly detection, however, most of them are focusing on batch data, which means, all data should be available in advance. This becomes a shortcoming under today’s big data background. With the rapid development of hardware in the last decade, the situation of data acquisition and analysis has also been changed. Specifically, the IoT application. Assume that we collect data from sensors attached to IoT devices, the data comes continuously and everlasting. During data analysis, we should always consider the volume and velocity of data, which means, on one hand, with traditional batch-based classifier, the infinity data stream will lead to out of memory, on the other hand, streaming data usually comes with a high speed that leaving the system few processing time. In addition, the statistical property of data may also change over time, which is formally called ‘concept drift’. The model should always learn new knowledge from the stream and update its definition of normal and anomalous automatically. To this end, an anomaly detection system for streaming data should be able to 1) be initialized with only a small subset, 2) process streaming data and make prediction in real-time, 3) adapt data evolution over time.

(short coming of approaches similar to this paper, problems need to be solved, lead to inspiration & good ideas)

Malhotra et al. introduced similar autoencoder based anomaly detection approaches in [1],[2], and achieved good performance in multiple different time series dataset. However, in this approach, they assume that the whole datasets are available beforehand, and didn’t considered the aforementioned online learning difficulties. Hence, we enhanced this kind of autoencoder based anomaly detection approaches with the online learning ability by using incremental knowledge learning and model updating strategies based on the streaming data.

(high level ideas and concepts of approach in this paper)

In this paper, we introduce a novel and robust incremental autoencoder-based anomaly detection model, which designed specifically for time series data in a streaming fashion using Long Short-Term memory (LSTM) units as neurons, with also online learning ability for model updating. The model need only one pass of the streaming data. For each accumulated mini-batch of streaming data, the autoencoder try to reconstruct it with previous knowledge learned from normal data. Anomaly data (never used for training) is expected to cause significant larger reconstruction error than normal data. In addition, the model update itself online according to criterions based on performance.

(summary of tested examples, summary of results)

(Todo: about label: semi-supervised, label for scoring, one-class for training)(Todo: we experimented with datasets: \_, \_ , \_. The model shows robustness )

Related works

There is already pretty much research based on anomaly detection, some of them referred to deal with streaming data.

**Common machine learning based approaches**

As an important component of data mining and machine learning, anomaly detection has been investigated using plenty efficient models.

**LOF**

In anomaly detection, the Local Outlier Factor(LOF) is a common distance-based approach. LOF shares some concepts with DBSCAN such as ‘core distance’ and ‘reachability distance’, in order to estimate local density. Here, points with substantially lower local density than their neighbors are considered as anomalies. LOF shows competitive performance in many anomaly detection tasks, especially when dealing with data with unevenly density distribution. However, when use get a numerical factor from LOF model, it is actually hard to define a threshold automatically for the judgement of anomaly.

**OCSVM**

Another widely used model is the domain based One-class Support Vector Machine. As an unsupervised one-class classifier, OCSVM takes only normal data as input, and generates a decision surface to separate them from the anomaly states. By analyzing anomalies, the datasets are always bias to the normal part, and anomaly appear only rarely. So, this kind of one-class classifiers avoid making balance between the two classes. Besides, they also take advantage of classical support vector machine, with the help of kernel method, they can also deal with linearly not separable data.

Although classical machine learning approaches can handle most of the normal anomaly detection, only few of those approaches could be directly or after some modification used for time series or streaming data, while they ignore the temporal dependency between samples.

**Autoencoder-based anomaly detection approaches**

Autoencoders are widely used in text data and speech processing in order to represent or encoder temporally dependent words using RNN based architecture. LearningPhraseRepresentationsusingRNNEncoder–Decoder forStatisticalMachineTranslation

Furthermore, anomaly detection borrows similar idea that train an autoencoder with only normal data, and anomaly data as unknown patterns. Then the autoencoder can only reconstruct normal patterns, large reconstruction error indicates anomaly.

Electric Power System Anomaly Detection Using Neural Networks (RMSE, 6000epochs) use the vanilla autoencoder to detect abnormal status of the electric power system. As the signal data acquiring from the power network is time dependently, they apply non-overlapped sliding window upon the input data to capture temporal information. After reconstruction, the difference measurement is based on the first norm of autoencoder output and the desired value. And finally, the anomaly score is on the window level granularity that acquiring from applying smoothing and averaging on the distance vector.

Malhotra et al. proposed a LSTM-based encoder-decoder architecture EncDecAD for sensor data anomaly detection. The model feeds sequential input data with a specific window length to the LSTM neurons in the input layer (encoder), as expect exactly same sequence in the output layer (decoder). And the hidden layer will learn a static representation vector of the temporal window sequence. It is proved in the experiments that the LSTM RNN captures the temporal dependency in sensor time series well and is able to detect subtle anomalies from quasi-periodic time-series and not predictable sequences. As scoring method, they assuming that the reconstruction error obeys gaussian distribution, and used this property to estimate the anomaly score.

**Online incremental learning with autoencoders**

Zhou et al. proposed an online incremental updating method for denoising autoencoders by modifying the hidden layer neurons in order to deal with the non-stationary streaming data properties. The kern ideal are two steps, merging hidden layer neurons if there are information redundancy, and adding hidden layer neurons to capture new knowledge. Their experimental result shows comparable or better reconstruction result than non-incremental approaches with only few data used during initialization. And they show that their incremental feature learning methods performs more adaptively and robustly to highly non-stationary input distribution.

Dong et al proposed a 2-step anomaly detection mechanism with incremental autoencoders. The implemented the system with ensembled autoencoders in multithreads to leverage parallel computing when large volumes of data arrive. Besides their 2-step mechanism check anomaly in the first step and verify anomaly data with previous and subsequent data (to differ between anomalous state and concept drift) to reduce false-positive rate in anomaly detection. In the experimental results, they show that their model outperforms commonly used tree-based anomaly detection model especially when concept drift presents and speed up the online processing speed with mini-batch learning and online learning in multithreads.

Preliminaries

1. **Definition of a stream(time series, dimensionality, volume, velocity, label)**

Assuming that there are some devices or data warehouse that generate data continuously with a velocity **V** (here we only taking about numerical data). The data stream from 1st timestamp until ith timestamp is descripted as:

i=1,2,3….

Where represent the instance at timestamp t in the data stream. And we assume the volume of data stream is infinity, which means, there are always available data instances generated by the data source.

To be more generally, we consider as either univariate or multivariate, is defined as

N = 1,2….

Where is the feature space of the data stream with size N. For each instance , the label = {0,1} tells either the instance is normal or abnormal.

1. **Method of processing the stream(sliding window)**

For further online processing and detection, we generate mini-batches upon the data stream. The streaming data is accumulated as window **W,** and a mini-batch consist of one or more windows.

t=1,2,3…. , **WN**= 1,2,...

t=1,2,3…. , **WN**= 1,2,... **BN**=1,2,…

Where is a window with length **WN** start from instance at timestamp **t**, is a mini-batch consists of **BN** windows starting from window .

1. **Definition of an outlier (point & window)**

**Pointwise**

A data point (instance) is anomalous if this point is distant from other observations according to some specific measurement metrics. This is used in fine-grained anomaly detection tasks, that need to find out every single anomalous instance, e.g. credit card fraud detection, spam email detection.

**Window-based**

A window is anomalous if the window contains one or more anomalous data points. For most of the window-based anomaly detection algorithm, they only calculate the anomaly score of a given window, it’s hard and sometimes not necessary to find out which data points of this window are anomalous.

1. **LSTMs and autoencoder**

Recurrent neural networks(RNNs) are widely used for speech, video recognition and prediction due to its recurrent property that captures the temporal dependency between data in compare with feed forward networks. However, the volume of RNN’s memory is limited, and vanishing gradient is also a difficulty by training RNNs. Therefore, the long short-term memory networks (LSTMs) are a kind of reinforced RNN that is able to remember meaningful information in arbitrary time interval. A LSTM network is a recurrent neural network with neurons being LSTM units.

A single LSTM unit can be unfolded over time. The LSTM unit take a data window as input, one data point at a specific time point for each step. Therefore, the LSTM unit extracts useful and drop useless temporal information for the window of data.

Deep LSTM RNNs are built by stacking multiple LSTM layers. Note that LSTM RNNs are already deep architectures in the sense that they can be considered as a feed-forward neural network unrolled in time where each layer shares the same model parameters. It has been argued that deep layers in RNNs allow the network to learn at different time scales over the input. ( TrainingandAnalyzingDeepRecurrentNeural Networks)

An autoencoder is an artificial neural network with symmetrical structure. Normally an autoencoder has at least one hidden layer that consists of less neurons than input and output layers. And the basic aim of autoencoders is to reconstruct its own input and learn a lower dimensional representation (encoding) of input data in the hidden layer. Moreover, the autoencoders are also used for anomaly detection by measuring the reconstruction error between inputs and predictions.

Normally the component between input layer and hidden layer is called encoder () of the autoencoder, and the symmetrical component between hidden layer and output layer is called decoder (). For input X, the objective function is to find weight vectors for encoder and decoder to minimize the reconstruction error.



**Proposed model**

**Overview / architecture of the framework**

The proposed model is a full flow from data stream generation, anomaly detection with autoencoder-based model and online model incremental updating. The first received batched of streaming data are used for decision of model hyperparameters and the initialization. Hyperparameters includes the hidden layer size, batch size, input window length as well as the number of epochs. Once the hyperparameters are learned, an autoencoder will be constructed and initialized with random weights. A subset of the streaming data is used for initial model training (only normal data used for training). Furthermore, the model is used for online anomaly detection, and will be retrained when the retraining condition is triggered.

**Kafka structured data stream generation**

We utilize Apache Kafka as the streaming platform. Kafka is a widely used Publish/Subscribe architecture streaming system. It different from classical message queue technique with its fault tolerant, durable and large capacity properties. In the experimental setting, our data source is static databases, Kafka generate real-time data stream pipeline as data source publish records to the specific topic (the data category mechanisms used in Kafka), and furthermore the stream of records will be consumed by different consumers like our analysis model, visualization model etc. This configuration can be easily scaled up to more complicated and demanding real world use cases. Each record in the Kafka stream pipeline is in the form of [Key, Value, Timestamp], where keys are used for positioning and values carry the data record.

**Autoencoder component initialization**

* + 1. EncdecAD based architecture (input, output, hidden layer)

The LSTMs-Autoencoder is consist of two LSTM units, one as encoder and the other one as decoder. The encoder inputs are fix length vectors with shape <Batch\_num, Step\_num, Elem\_num>, where Batch\_num is the number of data windows contained in a mini-batch, Step\_num is the numbers of data points within each data window, and Elem\_num represents the number of data dimensionality. Here, Batch\_num and Step\_num are learned as hyperparameter in the process beginning. And on the decoder side, it will output exactly the same format data vector for each mini-batch. As introduced in last section, the LSTM unit copies its cell state for itself as one of the cell input at next timestamp. At the last timestamp of encoder, the cell state of LSTM unit is the hidden representation of the input data vector and copied to the decoder unit as initial cell state, so the hidden information can be passed to the decoder. The size of hidden layer representation vector, namely the size of cell state is another hyperparameter need to be learn in the initialization phase. The larger the hidden vector, the more information can be captured during the process, so it is a feature highly depends on the data. Similar to previous study[sutskever et al 2014], we also train the encoder and decoder with time series in reverse order. For example, if the input data fragment are data points from timestamp t1 to t2, then the decoder will predict data point at t2 at first, and then back to t1 step by step, while this trick makes the gradient escarpment between last state of encoder and first state of decoder smaller and easier to learn.

* + 1. Reconstruction error, anomaly score, parameters

The autoencoder tries to reconstruct the input as decoder output with its knowledge of normal data, so if the input data contains anomalies, the reconstruction error will be obviously large due to the lack of anomalous knowledge.

**Online learning for batch-based outliers**

However, if we consider using the model for streaming data, the autoencoder might get outdated because of the relative small and simple initialization dataset and concept drift happed along with time. So the update of model is necessary. The main contribution of this paper is the incremental learning setting of the autoencoder model.

* + 1. Updating strategy

* + - Model
      1. Start from scratch -- if reconstruction error continuously being high
      2. Continue training with last-seen data -- if reconstruction error shortly high
    - Parameters(mu, sigma, threshold)
      1. Update, if prediction performance bad (e.g. F-beta low, miss alarm etc.)
      2. To avoid overfitting, previous parameter still as a part of the new parameter
    1. Maintenance of dataset for retraining
* Keep storing N batches streaming data in the buffer
* Store summarization of all seen batches
* Label data that the model mistakenly predicted, to pay more attention on them by retraining

1. Experimental setup
2. Datasets description
   1. Amount of anomaly
   2. Normal, anomaly proportion
   3. Periodicity
   4. Dimensionality

Parameter tuning for each dataset (window length, number of hidden neurons, epochs, batch size)

#neurons indicates the learning capacity of the neural network

Work on a validation set. Split into training set and test set (with labels)

Target: maximum anomaly reconstruction error & minimum normal reconstruction error

Transform the observations to have a specific scale. Specifically, to rescale the data to values between -1 and 1 to meet the default hyperbolic tangent activation function of the LSTM model. (from internet)

Each experiment 10 times, in order to reduce the impact of the random initial weights of LSTMs

Epochs: 100 -1000, 100

Hidden neurons: 1 – 100, 5

Window length: 5 – 100, 10

Batch size: 1 – 10, 1

1. Initialization with first n batches streaming data
   1. Wait until accumulated enough data for initializing the model
   2. Split into normal sets
2. Sn: Training normal set
3. Vn1: Validation normal set1 for early stopping
4. Vn2: Validation normal set2 for parameter learning
5. Tn: for testing of training

And anomaly sets

1. Va: Validation anomaly set for parameter learning
2. Ta: for testing of training
   1. Dropout rate of autoencoder
   2. Save to disk
3. Streaming data generation
   1. Apache Kafka
   2. Kafka setup and configuration
   3. Deal with latency problem
4. Evaluation metric
   1. #False alarm, # miss alarm
   2. F-beta for performance
   3. Reconstruction error of normal data for model fitness of data
   4. \*Area under the curve based on anomaly score

1. Experiment results
2. Hyperparameter grid search
3. Generally performance
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6. Comparasion of performance before/after updatng, with/without updating
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8. Conclusion
   1. How online learning helps the model to adjust the stream trend
   2. Is the general performance comparable to troditional batch approaches
   3. Possiable reasons of suboptimal performance during experiment
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