Table 1: Comparison of Performance on Additional Metrics with FeatLLM.

dataset	shot	acc		precision		recall		f1_score	
		FeatLLM	${\bf ProtoLLM}$	FeatLLM	${\bf ProtoLLM}$	FeatLLM	${\bf ProtoLLM}$	FeatLLM	${\bf ProtoLLM}$
Adult	4	44.82±24.99	$56.24{\pm}5.04$	63.36±16.94	$66.84{\pm}1.09$	57.89±9.49	$70.35{\pm}2.85$	38.77±21.95	$55.77{\pm}4.65$
	8	48.24 ± 20.09	$58.75 {\pm} 4.84$	53.38 ± 24.08	$67.33{\pm}1.08$	64.52 ± 11.75	$71.75{\pm}2.66$	45.69 ± 21.04	$58.05{\pm}4.35$
	16	$64.95{\pm}18.48$	$62.86{\pm}4.58$	$71.42{\pm}6.46$	$68.25{\pm}1.13$	68.34 ± 9.21	$73.97{\pm}2.42$	58.84 ± 15.99	$61.70{\pm}4.02$
Bank	4	$32.42{\pm}13.68$	$73.21 {\pm} 6.48$	55.40 ± 1.12	$61.31{\pm}1.86$	58.32 ± 4.73	$72.55{\pm}3.60$	30.66 ± 10.73	$60.72{\pm}4.08$
	8	38.50 ± 12.31	$75.26 {\pm} 3.93$	55.72 ± 0.76	$61.72 {\pm} 1.69$	60.57 ± 4.47	$73.04{\pm}3.09$	35.69 ± 10.40	$62.17{\pm}2.82$
	16	$45.21{\pm}10.61$	$76.67{\pm}3.83$	$56.35 {\pm} 0.96$	$62.92 {\pm} 1.38$	63.57 ± 3.65	$75.07 {\pm} 1.75$	41.25 ± 7.75	$63.92 {\pm} 2.65$
Blood	4	62.94 ± 7.12	$63.11 {\pm} 9.33$	60.73 ± 3.94	$62.86{\pm}5.37$	63.31±4.29	$65.55{\pm}6.81$	58.55±5.35	58.74 ± 7.57
	8	$66.36{\pm}4.89$	$64.98{\pm}6.98$	60.85 ± 3.39	$63.60{\pm}5.10$	$62.96{\pm}5.46$	$67.67{\pm}6.42$	59.31 ± 3.92	$61.25{\pm}6.58$
	16	64.06 ± 8.43	$65.29{\pm}4.88$	60.60 ± 3.78	$63.20{\pm}3.52$	62.21 ± 4.73	$67.43 {\pm} 4.43$	57.85 ± 6.10	$61.65{\pm}4.38$
Credit-g	4	64.97 ± 4.43	$69.97{\pm}2.97$	51.13±7.60	$64.35{\pm}10.74$	51.01±2.55	$51.77{\pm}1.45$	47.33±4.63	46.23 ± 2.84
	8	64.13 ± 4.97	$69.90{\pm}3.32$	52.76 ± 5.10	$63.59 {\pm} 9.91$	51.59 ± 3.15	$52.72{\pm}1.93$	50.39 ± 3.59	48.56 ± 3.79
	16	63.43 ± 4.68	$69.67{\pm}3.04$	55.71 ± 6.59	61.11 ± 3.87	54.39 ± 5.12	$55.48{\pm}2.32$	53.03 ± 5.84	54.23 ± 3.45

Table 2: Performance of Weighted ProtoLLM and Weighted ProtoLLM with Half of the Features Dropped.

dataset shot		Weighted-protoLLM	Weighted-protoLLM(del features)		
	4	84.42±0.77	82.50 ± 0.77		
adult	8	84.56 ± 1.11	82.50 ± 1.06		
aduit	16	84.63 ± 1.04	$82.41{\pm}1.12$		
	4	81.08±0.55	81.64 ± 0.59		
bank	8	81.06 ± 0.76	$81.81 {\pm} 0.68$		
	16	81.05 ± 0.67	81.76 ± 0.56		
	4	76.26 ± 4.76	74.73 ± 3.69		
blood	8	76.35 ± 4.70	75.01 ± 3.87		
	16	76.25 ± 4.36	$75.02{\pm}4.25$		
	4	81.63±2.27	$80.60{\pm}2.06$		
diabetes	8	81.61 ± 2.32	$80.61 {\pm} 2.04$		
	16	81.36 ± 2.36	80.39 ± 2.09		
	4	98.18±0.93	98.37 ± 0.94		
NHANES	8	98.44 ± 1.04	$98.57 {\pm} 0.97$		
	16	99.31 ± 0.44	99.15 ± 0.50		

Table 3: Performance of ProtoLLM with Poor or Missing Feature Descriptions.

dataset	shot	full-desc	poor-desc	no-desc
	4	86.01±0.78	$83.36 {\pm} 0.67$	83.63 ± 0.55
Adult	8	86.12 ± 0.92	83.68 ± 0.67	83.90 ± 0.59
	16	86.28 ± 0.77	83.91 ± 0.82	84.08 ± 0.81
	4	$80.85{\pm}2.58$	71.99 ± 1.93	61.15 ± 4.91
Bank	8	81.41 ± 2.58	72.98 ± 1.90	$62.86{\pm}4.98$
	16	83.26 ± 1.40	75.39 ± 1.65	65.53 ± 4.67
	4	75.98 ± 4.99	72.78 ± 7.12	62.44 ± 9.80
Blood	8	76.35 ± 4.61	$74.69{\pm}6.58$	64.18 ± 8.88
	16	75.46 ± 4.12	$74.88{\pm}4.75$	66.54 ± 5.84
	4	$62.25{\pm}2.86$	51.32 ± 4.75	51.39 ± 4.85
Credit-g	8	63.26 ± 2.87	$52.34{\pm}4.54$	52.74 ± 4.27
	16	64.52 ± 3.28	54.59 ± 4.66	55.48 ± 4.73