

SE(3) operations

N. Mansard

1 Rigid transformation

$$m : p \in \mathcal{E}(3) \rightarrow m(p) \in E(3)$$

Transformation from B to A:

$${}^A m_B : {}^B p \in \mathcal{R}^3 \cong \mathcal{E}(3) \rightarrow {}^A p = {}^A m_B ({}^B p) = {}^A M_B {}^B p$$

$${}^A p = {}^A R_B {}^B p + {}^A AB$$

$${}^A M_B = \begin{bmatrix} {}^A R_B & {}^A AB \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Transformation from A to B:

$${}^B p = {}^A R_B^T {}^A p + {}^B BA, \quad \text{with } {}^B BA = - {}^A R_B^T {}^A AB$$

$${}^B M_A = \begin{bmatrix} {}^A R_B^T & - {}^A R_B^T {}^A AB \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

For Featherstone, $E = {}^B R_A = {}^A R_B^T$ and $r = {}^A AB$. Then:

$${}^B M_A = \begin{bmatrix} {}^B R_A & - {}^B R_A {}^A AB \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} E & -Er \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$${}^A M_B = \begin{bmatrix} {}^B R_A^T & {}^A AB \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} E^T & r \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

2 Composition

$${}^A M_B {}^B M_C = \begin{bmatrix} {}^A R_B {}^B R_C & {}^A AB + {}^A R_B {}^B BC \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$${}^A M_B^{-1} {}^A M_C = \begin{bmatrix} {}^A R_B^T {}^A R_C & {}^A R_B^T ({}^A AC - {}^A AB) \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

3 Motion Application

$${}^A\nu = \begin{bmatrix} {}^Av \\ {}^A\omega \end{bmatrix}$$

$${}^B\nu = {}^BX_A {}^A\nu$$

$${}^AX_B = \begin{bmatrix} {}^AR_B & {}^AB_{\times} {}^AR_B \\ 0 & {}^AR_B \end{bmatrix}$$

$${}^AX_B^{-1} = {}^BX_A = \begin{bmatrix} {}^AR_B^T & -{}^AR_B^T {}^AB_{\times} \\ 0 & {}^AR_B^T \end{bmatrix}$$

For Featherstone, $E = {}^BR_A = {}^AR_B^T$ and $r = {}^AB$. Then:

$${}^BX_A = \begin{bmatrix} {}^BR_A & -{}^BR_A {}^AB_{\times} \\ 0 & {}^BR_A \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} E & -Er_{\times} \\ 0 & E \end{bmatrix}$$

$${}^AX_B = \begin{bmatrix} {}^BR_A^T & {}^AB_{\times} {}^BR_A^T \\ 0 & {}^BR_A^T \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} E^T & r_{\times} E^T \\ 0 & E^T \end{bmatrix}$$

4 Force Application

$${}^A\phi = \begin{bmatrix} {}^Af \\ {}^A\tau \end{bmatrix}$$

$${}^B\phi = {}^BX_A^* {}^A\phi$$

For any ϕ, ν , $\phi \dot{\nu} = {}^A\phi^T {}^A\nu = {}^B\phi^T {}^B\nu$ and then:

$${}^AX_B^* = {}^AX_B^{-T} = \begin{bmatrix} {}^AR_B & 0 \\ {}^AB_{\times} {}^AR_B & {}^AR_B \end{bmatrix}$$

(because ${}^AB_{\times}^T = -{}^AB_{\times}$).

$${}^AX_B^{-*} = {}^BX_A^* = \begin{bmatrix} {}^AR_B^T & 0 \\ -{}^AR_B^T {}^AB_{\times} & {}^AR_B^T \end{bmatrix}$$

For Featherstone, $E = {}^BR_A = {}^AR_B^T$ and $r = {}^AB$. Then:

$${}^BX_A^* = \begin{bmatrix} {}^BR_A & 0 \\ -{}^BR_A {}^AB_{\times} & {}^BR_A \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} E & 0 \\ -Er_{\times} & E \end{bmatrix}$$

$${}^AX_B^* = \begin{bmatrix} {}^BR_A^T & 0 \\ {}^AB_{\times} {}^BR_A^T & {}^BR_A^T \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} E^T & 0 \\ r_{\times} E^T & E^T \end{bmatrix}$$

5 Inertia

5.1 Inertia application

$${}^A Y : {}^A \nu \rightarrow {}^A \phi = {}^A Y {}^A \nu$$

Coordinate transform:

$${}^B Y = {}^B X_A^* {}^A Y {}^B X_A^{-1}$$

since:

$${}^B \phi = {}^B X_A^* {}^B \phi = {}^B X_A^* {}^A I {}^A X_B {}^B \nu$$

Cannonical form. The inertia about the center of mass c is:

$${}^c Y = \begin{bmatrix} m & 0 \\ 0 & {}^c I \end{bmatrix}$$

Expressed in any non-centered coordinate system A :

$${}^A Y = {}^A X_C^* {}^c I {}^A X_C^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} m & m {}^A A C_{\times}^T \\ m {}^A A C_{\times} & {}^A I + m {}^A A C_{\times} {}^A A C_{\times}^T \end{bmatrix}$$

Changing the coordinates system from B to A :

$$\begin{aligned} {}^A Y &= {}^A X_B^* {}^B X_C^* {}^c I {}^B X_C^{-1} {}^A X_B^{-1} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} m & m[{}^A A B + {}^A R_B {}^B B C]_{\times}^T \\ m[{}^A A B + {}^A R_B {}^B B C]_{\times} & {}^A R_B {}^B I {}^A R_B^T - m[{}^A A B + {}^A R_B {}^B B C]_{\times}^2 \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

Representing the spatial inertia in B by the triplet $(m, {}^B B C, {}^B I)$, the expression in A is:

$${}^A m_B : {}^B Y = (m, {}^B B C, {}^B I) \rightarrow {}^A Y = (m, {}^A A B + {}^A R_B {}^B B C, {}^A R_B {}^B I {}^A R_B^T)$$

Similarly, the inverse action is:

$${}^A m_B^{-1} : {}^A Y \rightarrow {}^B Y = (m, {}^A R_B^T ({}^A A C - {}^A A B), {}^A R_B^T {}^A I {}^A R_B)$$

Motion-to-force map:

$$Y \nu = \begin{bmatrix} m & m c_{\times}^T \\ m c_{\times} & I + m c_{\times} c_{\times}^T \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} v \\ \omega \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} m v - m c \times \omega \\ m c \times v + I \omega - m c \times (c \times \omega) \end{bmatrix}$$

Nota: the square of the cross product is:

$$\begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{bmatrix}_{\times}^2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -z & y \\ z & 0 & -x \\ -y & x & 0 \end{bmatrix}^2 = \begin{bmatrix} -y^2 - z^2 & xy & xz \\ xy & -x^2 - z^2 & yz \\ xz & yz & -x^2 - y^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

There is no computational interest in using it.

5.2 Inertia addition

$$Y_p = \begin{bmatrix} m_p & m_p p_{\times}^T \\ m_p p_{\times} & I_p + m_p p_{\times} p_{\times}^T \end{bmatrix}$$

$$Y_q = \begin{bmatrix} m_q & m_q q_{\times}^T \\ m_q q_{\times} & I_q + m_q q_{\times} q_{\times}^T \end{bmatrix}$$

6 Cross products

Motion-motion product:

$$\nu_1 \times \nu_2 = \begin{bmatrix} v_1 \\ \omega_1 \end{bmatrix} \times \begin{bmatrix} v_2 \\ \omega_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} v_1 \times \omega_2 + \omega_1 \times v_2 \\ \omega_1 \times \omega_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

Motion-force product:

$$\nu \times \phi = \begin{bmatrix} v \\ \omega \end{bmatrix} \times \begin{bmatrix} f \\ \tau \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \omega \times f \\ \omega \times \tau + v \times f \end{bmatrix}$$

A special form of the motion-force product is often used:

$$\begin{aligned} \nu \times (Y\nu) &= \nu \times \begin{bmatrix} mv - mc \times \omega \\ mc \times v + I\omega - mc \times (c \times \omega) \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} m\omega \times v - \omega \times (mc \times \omega) \\ \omega \times (mc \times v) + \omega \times (I\omega) - \omega \times (c \times (mc \times \omega)) - v \times (mc \times \omega) \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

Setting $\beta = mc \times \omega$, this product can be written:

$$\nu \times (Y\nu) = \begin{bmatrix} \omega \times (mv - \beta) \\ \omega \times (c \times (mv - \beta) + I\omega) - v \times \beta \end{bmatrix}$$

This last form cost five \times , four $+$ and one 3×3 matrix-vector multiplication.

7 Joint

We denote by 1 the coordinate system attached to the parent (predecessor) body at the joint input, and by 2 the coordinate system attached to the (child) successor body at the joint output. We neglect the possible time variation of the joint model (ie the bias velocity $\sigma = \nu(q, 0)$ is null).

The joint geometry is expressed by the rigid transformation from the input to the output, parametrized by the joint coordinate system $q \in \mathcal{Q}$:

$${}^2m_1 \cong {}^2M_1(q)$$

The joint velocity (i.e. the velocity of the child wrt. the parent in the child coordinate system) is:

$${}^2\nu_{12} = \nu_J(q, v_q) = {}^2S(q)v_q$$

where 2S is the joint Jacobian (or constraint matrix) that define the motion subspace allowed by the joint, and v_q is the joint coordinate velocity (i.e. an element of the Lie algebra associated with the joint coordinate manifold), which would be $v_q = \dot{q}$ when \dot{q} exists.

The joint acceleration is:

$${}^2\alpha_{12} = S\dot{v}_q + c_J + {}^2\nu_1 \times {}^2\nu_{12}$$

where $c_J = \sum_{i=1}^{n_q} \frac{\partial S}{\partial q_i} \dot{q}_i$ (null in the usual cases) and ${}^2\nu_1$ is the velocity of the parent body with respect to an absolute (Galilean) coordinate system¹.

The joint calculations take as input the joint position q and velocity v_q and should output 2M_1 , ${}^2\nu_{12}$ and 2c (this last vector being often a trivial 0_6 vector). In addition, the joint model should store the position of the joint input in the central coordinate system of the previous joint 0m_1 which is a constant value.

The joint integrator computes the exponential map associated with the joint manifold. The function inputs are the initial position q_0 , the velocity v_q and the length of the integration interval t . It computes q_t as:

$$q_t = q_0 + \int_0^t v_q dt$$

For the simple vectorial case where $v_q = \dot{q}$, we have $q_t = q_0 + tv_q$. Written in the more general case of a Lie groupe, we have $q_t = q_0 \exp(tv_q)$ where \exp denotes the exponential map (i.e. integration of a constant vector field from the Lie algebra into the Lie group). This integration only consider first order explicit Euler. More general integrators (e.g. Runge-Kutta in Lie groupes) remains to be written. Adequate references are welcome.

8 RNEA

8.1 Initialization

$${}^0\nu_0 = 0; {}^0\alpha_0 = -g$$

In the following, the coordinate system i is attached to the output of the joint (child body), while $\lambda(i)$ is the central coordinate system attached to the parent joint. The coordinated system associated with the joint input is denoted by i_0 . The constant rigid transformation from $\lambda(i)$ to the joint input is then ${}^{\lambda(i)}M_{i_0}$.

¹The absolute velocity ν_1 is also the relative velocity wrt. the Galilean coordinate system Ω . The exhaustive notation should be $\nu_{\Omega 1}$ but ν_1 is preferred for simplicity.

8.2 Forward loop

For each joint i , update the joint calculation $\mathbf{j}_i.\text{calc}(q, v_q)$. This compute $\mathbf{j}.M = {}^{\lambda(i)}M_{i_0}(q)$, $\mathbf{j}.\nu = {}^i\nu_{\lambda(i)}(q, v_q)$, $\mathbf{j}.S = {}^iS(q)$ and $\mathbf{j}.c = \sum_{k=1}^{n_q} \frac{\partial {}^iS}{\partial q_k} \dot{q}_k$. Attached to the joint is also its placement in body $\lambda(i)$ denoted by $\mathbf{j}.M_0 = {}^{\lambda(i)}M_{i_0}$. Then:

$$\begin{aligned} {}^{\lambda(i)}M_i &= \mathbf{j}.M_0 \mathbf{j}.M \\ {}^0M_i &= {}^0M_{\lambda(i)} {}^{\lambda(i)}M_i \\ {}^i\nu_i &= {}^{\lambda(i)}X_i^{-1} {}^{\lambda(i)}\nu_{\lambda(i)} + \mathbf{j}.\nu \\ {}^i\alpha_i &= {}^{\lambda(i)}X_i^{-1} {}^{\lambda(i)}\alpha_{\lambda(i)} + \mathbf{j}.S\dot{v}_q + \mathbf{j}.c + {}^i\nu_i \times \mathbf{j}.\nu \\ {}^i\phi_i &= {}^iY_i {}^i\alpha_i + {}^i\nu_i \times {}^iY_i {}^i\nu_i - {}^0X_i^{-*} {}^0\phi_i^{ext} \end{aligned}$$

8.3 Backward loop

For each joint i from leaf to root, do:

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_i &= \mathbf{j}.S^T {}^i\phi_i \\ {}^{\lambda(i)}\phi_{\lambda(i)} &+= {}^{\lambda(i)}X_i^* {}^i\phi_i \end{aligned}$$

8.4 Nota

It is more efficient to apply X^{-1} than X . Similarly, it is more efficient to apply X^{-*} than X^* . Therefore, it is better to store the transformations ${}^{\lambda(i)}m_i$ and 0m_i than ${}^im_{\lambda(i)}$ and im_0 .