# Algorithms for Graphical Models (AGM)

# Variable elimination

**\$Date:** 2008/10/16 10:18:07 **\$** 

AGM-07

# In this lecture

• Variable elimination

• Cluster Forests

#### Computing marginal distributions

- The basic inference problem: Given a joint distribution compute the marginal distribution for a given variable.
- One option (which is only useful conceptually) is to multiply all factors to get one (often enormous) factor and then marginalise on that factor as previously explained.
- For factored distributions it is better to *interleave* multiplication and addition, this is the basis of the *variable elimination* algorithm.

# **Exploiting common factors**

- Suppose we had to compute xy + xw + xz + xu.
- Doing so 'directly' involves 4 multiplications and 3 additions.
- Rewriting as x(y+w+z+u) involves only 1 multiplication and 3 additions.
- This is the elementary arithmetic fact that variable elimination exploits.

#### **Principles of variable elimination**

- 1. Replacing several factors by the single factor which is their product does not alter the distribution represented. (Multiplication is associative.)
- 2. To sum out several variables we can sum them out—'eliminate them'—one at a time. (Addition is associative.)
- 3. If a variable appears in *only one* factor then to sum it out of the distribution it is enough to sum it out of that factor. (This is the key to variable elimination . . . )

# Summing out a variable occurring in only one factor

- Let  $P = \prod_{i=1}^m f_i$  involve variables  $X_1, X_2, \dots X_n$ . Suppose wlog that we want to sum out  $X_1$  and that  $X_1$  only appears in factor  $f_1$ .
- Informally, write the desired marginal distribution as:  $P(X_2, ..., X_n) = \sum_{X_1} P(X_1, X_2, ..., X_n)$
- Claim:  $P(X_2,...,X_n) = (\sum_{X_1} f_1) \times (\prod_{i=2}^m f_i)$

# Summing out a variable occurring in only one factor (ctd)

$$P(X_{2} = x_{2}, X_{3} = x_{3}, ..., X_{n} = x_{n})$$

$$= \sum_{x_{1} \in \mathcal{I}_{X_{1}}} P(X_{1} = x_{1}, X_{2} = x_{2}, ..., X_{n} = x_{n}) \text{ [by definition]}$$

$$= \sum_{x_{1} \in \mathcal{I}_{X_{1}}} \left[ f_{1}(X_{1} = x_{1}, ..., X_{n} = x_{n}) \prod_{i=2}^{m} f_{i}(X_{2} = x_{2}, ..., X_{n} = x_{n}) \right]$$

$$= \left[ \sum_{x_{1} \in \mathcal{I}_{X_{1}}} f_{1}(X_{1} = x_{1}, ..., X_{n} = x_{n}) \right] \left[ \prod_{i=2}^{m} f_{i}(X_{2} = x_{2}, ..., X_{n} = x_{n}) \right]$$

So 
$$P(X_2,...,X_n) = (\sum_{X_1} f_1) \times (\prod_{i=2}^m f_i)$$

AGM-07

# How to eliminate a single variable

- 1. Grab all the factors containing the variable.
- 2. Compute their product factor and then delete them.
- 3. Marginalise away the variable from the product factor and add the resulting factor to the distribution.

# (Simplified) gPy source ...

```
# A method of the FR class
def eliminate_variable(self,variable):
    prod_factor = 1
    hyperedges = self._hypergraph.star(variable)
    for hyperedge in hyperedges:
        prod_factor *= self.factor(hyperedge)
        self.remove(hyperedge)
    message = prod_factor.sumout([variable])
    self *= message
```

#### gPy specific stuff

- Factored distributions are Models.FR objects.
- Each object is determined by two attributes: a hypergraph \_hypergraph and a dictionary \_factors.
- The \_factors dictionary maps each hyperedge to its corresponding factor.
- (It's a bit more complex if we allow different factors to use exactly the same variables.)

#### The variable elimination algorithm

```
# A method of the FR class
def variable_elimination(self,variables,naive=True):
    """Alter a factored distribution by summing out variables"""
    for variable in variables:
        # code for when naive=False goes here
        self.eliminate_variable(variable)
```

- Not that complicated really.
- If you need to keep the original distribution, you need to store a copy first.

#### **Elimination orderings**

- The order in which variables are summed out is called an elimination ordering.
- The choice of elimination ordering makes no difference to the final result (commutativity of addition).
- But makes an immense difference to the *efficiency* of the variable elimination algorithm.
- Cue ve\_demo from gPy.Examples

#### Visualising variable elimination: cluster forests

- For any given elimination ordering, the variable elimination algorithm can be used to generate a *cluster forest*.
- For each variable summed out, there is a 'prod\_factor' (see slide 9), whose variables we will call prod\_factor.variables(). These sets of variables ('clusters') are the nodes of the cluster forest.
- There is an arrow from prod\_factor\_1.variables() to prod\_factor2.variables() if the 'message' (see slide 9 again) produced by prod\_factor\_1 is one of the products in prod\_factor2.

#### **Properties of cluster forests**

- Cue cluster\_tree from gPy.Examples.
- A forest is a collection of trees. A tree is a graph with no cycles.
- Since (1) each cluster produces exactly one message and (2) a message is deleted once it is used in another cluster . . .
- ...this is enough to ensure that the cluster forest is indeed a forest.

# Cluster forests and hypergraphs

- Clusters are, of course, nothing more than hyperedges.
- The nodes of a cluster forest thus form a hypergraph—generally with a lot of redundancy.
- Hypergraphs whose hyperedges form the nodes of forests will prove to be the key data structure for probabilistic inference in factored distributions.

#### Inference with evidence

• The general inference problem: Given a joint distribution **and some observed evidence** compute the marginal distribution for a given variable.

• How to compute P(Cancer|XRay = abnormal, Smoking = absent)?

#### **Delaying normalisation**

By definition we have:

$$P(L, A, T, X, D, B, S, E | X = abnormal, S = absent)$$

$$= \frac{P(L, A, T, X = abnormal, D, B, S = absent, E)}{P(X = abnormal, S = absent)}$$

So can work with

P(L,A,T,X=abnormal,D,B,S=absent,E) and delay normalising until the last moment.

#### **Setting zeroes**

- Imagine that P(L, A, T, X = abnormal, D, B, S = absent, E) were represented by one (enormous) factor.
- This factor has the same value as P(L, A, T, X, D, B, S, E) for those rows where X = abnormal and S = absent.
- But has a value of zero for those rows where it is not the case that X = abnormal and S = absent.
- Rows for instantiations which contradict the evidence have a value of 0.

#### **Setting zeroes in factors**

- We can define the same (unnormalised) distribution by altering the original factors:
- In each factor just set any row corresponding to an instantiation contradicting the evidence to zero and leave the other rows as they were.
- Then just run variable elimination as before.

#### **Avoiding pointless multiplication**

- Multiplying by zero is basically a waste of time.
- So it's a bit neater to simply delete any rows which are inconsistent with the evidence. Cue cond\_demo from gPy.Examples
- This amounts to not even acknowledging the existence of values which contradict the evidence!
- This is informal—in the real conditional distribution values don't just disappear—but is better algorithmically.

# Variable elimination with evidence

• Cue ve\_demo2 from gPy.Examples

#### gPy specifics

If you want to recover the original unconditioned distribution you need to save a copy first, since conditioning alters the distribution to which it is applied:

```
from Examples import asia
as = asia.copy(copy_domain=True)
asia.condition({'Bronchitis':['absent'],'XRay':['normal']})
print as
print '***********
print asia
```

AGM-07

#### On not being naïve

- The variable elimination algorithm presented here is overly simple, since it does not take advantage of any (conditional) independence relations.
- Suppose we want the marginal distribution of X.
- ullet If some factor contains only variables independent of X (perhaps because we have conditioned on some evidence) then just delete that factor.
- It's not too difficult to concoct scenarios where this gives you a massive speed-up. See the assessment from 06-07.