18F ARL Key Questions

Upstream considerations ← **Initial focus** → **Downstream considerations**

Upstream considerations								Initial focus	Downstream considerations
What are leadership(s) priorities for OSS?	Why share knowledge?	How much code should be shared?	What do we have legal guidance on and what don't we?	What is safe vs unsafe data sharing?	What is ARL's OSS succession plan?	How would projects be classified by OSS risk for review?	What are key players' needs regarding OSS at ARL?	How do we balance requirements with ease of use?	What type of policy [revisions] is the organization ready for?
How much influence do downtrace groups have?		How much sharing do researchers want and need?	What is public release vs OSS?	How do you store captured data in a way that's easier for those in need to find it?	How do we use technology to make it easier to capture data for eventual sharing?	How do we differentiate OSS release involving gvt vs contractors?	Who is ARL's OSS "product"/process owner?		What type of contract [revisions] is the organization ready for?
				How do we do it internally and externally?	When are policy revisions necessary?	Whose responsibility is it to make sure ARL releases OSS?	Who are the key players involved in OSS at ARL?		Who should fund OSS efforts at ARL now/in the future?
					How are policy revisions implemented?	How much of OSS should be outsourced vs owned by ARL?	What alignment and clarity is there on the current policy and procedures?		How do we gauge organizational readiness for change?
						What is the legal afterlife of OSS?	Where would automation help/hinder OSS?		
							How do we know which projects involve(d) OSS?		
							How does one track activity on a current project releasing OSS?		