GUIDE TO OSCAL-BASED FEDRAMP CONTENT

Version 1.0

June 1, 2020



DOCUMENT REVISION HISTORY

Date	Description	Version	Author
6/1/2020	Initial Publication	1.0	FedRAMP PMO
<date></date>	<revision description=""></revision>	<version></version>	<author></author>
<date></date>	<revision description=""></revision>	<version></version>	<author></author>

How to Contact Us

For questions about FedRAMP, or for technical questions about this document including how to use it, contact <u>info@FedRAMP.gov</u>.

For more information about FedRAMP, see https://FedRAMP.gov.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Dod	umen	t Revisio	on History	i
1.	Over	view		1
	1.1.	Who S	hould Use This Document?	1
	1.2.	Relate	d Documents	1
	1.3.	Basic T	erminology	1
	1.4.	XML ar	nd JSON Formats	2
	1.5.	OSCAL	-based FedRAMP Templates	2
	1.6.	XML ar	nd JSON Technology Standards	2
		1.6.1.	NIST OSCAL Syntax Validation Mechanisms	2
		1.6.2.	NIST OSCAL Format Conversion Mechanisms	3
	1.7.	XPath (Queries and References	3
2.	Wor	king wit	h OSCAL Files	5
	2.1.	File Co	ntent Concepts	5
		2.1.1.	Resolved Profile Catalogs	6
	2.2.	Hierard	chy and Sequence	7
		2.2.1.	Typical OSCAL Assembly Structure	7
	2.3.	Multip	le Layers of Validation	8
	2.4.	OSCAL	's Minimum File Requirements	9
		2.4.1.	UTF-8 Character Encoding	10
		2.4.2.	OSCAL Syntax Version	10
		2.4.3.	OSCAL File Changes	11
		2.4.4.	Cryptographic Integrity (Future)	11
		2.4.5.	Useful XPath Queries for Document Changes and OSCAL Syntax	11
		2.4.6.	OSCAL Syntax Versions	12
	2.5.	Assigni	ing Identifiers	13
		2.5.1.	Uniqueness of Identifiers	13
		2.5.2.	Referencing UUID Values	14
	2.6.	Handli	ng of OSCAL Data Types	15
		2.6.1.	Date and Time in OSCAL Files	15
		2.6.2.	Working With href Flags	16
		2.6.3.	Markup-line and Markup-multiline Fields in OSCAL	17
		2.6.4.	Working with Markup-multiline Content	18
		2.6.5.	Special Characters in OSCAL	
	2.7.	Citatio	ns, Attachments, and Embedded Content in OSCAL Files	
		2.7.1.		
		2.7.2.	General Handling of Multiple/Mixed Links and Base64 Content	
		2.7.3.	FedRAMP's Handling of Multiple/Mixed Links and Base64 Content	
3.	FedR	AMP Ex	ttensions, Conformity Tags, Defined Identifiers, and Accepted Values	21

DRAFT Guide to OSCAL-based FedRAMP Content

	3.1.1.	FedRAMP Extensions	21
	3.1.2.	FedRAMP Conformity Tagging	22
	3.1.3.	OSCAL and FedRAMP-Defined Identifiers	23
	3.1.4.	OSCAL and FedRAMP Accepted Values	23
4. Expr	essing Co	ommon FedRAMP Template Elements In OSCAL	24
4.1.	Title Pa	age	25
4.2.		ed By (Third Party)	
4.3.	Prepar	ed By (CSP Self-Prepared)	27
4.4.	Prepar	ed For (CSP)	28
4.5.	Docum	nent Revision History	29
4.6.	How to	Contact Us	30
4.7.	FedRAI	MP Standard Attachments (Acronyms, Laws/Regulations)	31
4.8.	Additio	onal Laws, Regulations, Standards or Guidance	32
4.9.	Attachi	ments and Embedded Content	33
Appendic	ies		34
Appendix	A.	OSCAL-Based FedRAMP Baselines	34
Appendix	В.	Modifying a FedRAMP Baseline	36
Appendix	C.	Working with Roles, People, and Organizations	40

I. OVERVIEW

I.I. Who Should Use This Document?

This document is intended for technical staff and tool developers implementing solutions for importing, exporting, and manipulating Open Security Controls Assessment Language (OSCAL)-based FedRAMP content, such as system security plans (SSP), security assessment plans (SAP), security assessment reports (SAR), and plans of action and milestones (POA&M).

It provides guidance and examples for an organization producing and using OSCAL-based, FedRAMP-compliant files. Our goal is to enable your organization to develop tools that will seamlessly ensure these standards are met so your security practitioners can focus on content and accuracy rather than formatting and presentation.

1.2. Related Documents

This document does not stand along. It provides foundational information and core concepts, which apply to the following four guides:

- Guide to OSCAL-based FedRAMP System Security Plans (SSP)
- Guide to OSCAL-based FedRAMP Security Assessment Plans (SAP)
- Guide to OSCAL-based FedRAMP Security Assessment Reports (SAR)
- Guide to OSCAL-based FedRAMP Plan of Action and Milestones (POA&M)

Each of those documents will refer back to this one for common concepts.

1.3. Basic Terminology

XML and JSON use different terminology. Instead of repeatedly clarifying format-specific terminology, we use the following format-agnostic terminology through these documents.

TERM	XML EQUIVALENT	JSON EQUIVALENT
Field	A single element or node that can hold a	A single object that can hold a value or
	value or an attribute	property
Flag	Attribute	Property
Assembly	A collection of elements or nodes. Typically, a parent node with one or more child nodes.	A collection of objects. Typically, a parent object with one or more child objects.

These terms are used by the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) in the creation of OSCAL syntax.

Throughout these documents, the following words are used to differentiate between requirements, recommendations, and options.

TERM	MEANING
must	Indicates a requirement.
should	Indicates a recommendation, which is not necessarily required.
may	Indicates an available optional, which may be used at the organization's discretion.

1.4. XML and JSON Formats

The examples provided here are in XML; however, FedRAMP accepts XML or JSON formatted OSCAL content. NIST offers the ability to convert OSCAL-files between XML and JSON in either direction without data loss.

You may submit your SSP, SAP, SAR, and POA&M to FedRAMP using either XML or JSON. If necessary, FedRAMP's tools will convert the files for processing.

For more information on converting OSCAL files between XML and JSON, see Section 1.6.2 <u>NIST</u> OSCAL Format Conversion Mechanisms.

1.5. OSCAL-based FedRAMP Templates

FedRAMP offers OSCAL-based templates in both XML and JSON formats for the SSP, SAP, SAR, and POA&M. These templates contain many of the FedRAMP required content and placeholders to help get you started. This document is intended to work in concert with those templates. The OSCAL-based FedRAMP templates are available here:

https://github.com/GSA/fedramp-automation/raw/master/templates

I.6. XML and JSON Technology Standards

For OSCAL compliance, mechanisms that interpret or generate OSCAL content must honor the core syntax described at https://pages.nist.gov/OSCAL/documentation/schema/.

While not mandatory, organizations adopting OSCAL are strongly encouraged to use the NIST-published validation and translation mechanisms. The validation mechanism ensures XML and JSON files are using OSCAL-compliant syntax, while the translation mechanism converts OSCAL content from either format to the other. NIST has an automated governance process, which ensures these mechanisms remain aligned with the latest OSCAL syntax.

TIP: There are comments in the XML versions of the FedRAMP Templates. Unfortunately, JSON does not formally support comments. JSON users may wish to review the comments in the equivalent sections of the XML files.

1.6.1. NIST OSCAL Syntax Validation Mechanisms

The latest version of NIST OSCAL schema validation files are always available here:

XML: https://github.com/usnistgov/OSCAL/tree/master/xml/schema
JSON: https://github.com/usnistgov/OSCAL/tree/master/json/schema

Validating XML-based OSCAL files using the NIST-published schema validation requires:

XML Schema Definition Language (XSD) 1.1 [https://www.w3.org/TR/xmlschema11-1/]

Validating JSON-based OSCAL files using the NIST-published schema validation requires:

JSON Schema, draft-07

[https://json-schema.org/]

There are several open-source and commercial tools that will process XSD 1.1 or JSON Schema, draft-07, either as stand-alone capabilities or as programming libraries.

FedRAMP and NIST are unable to endorse specific products.

1.6.2. NIST OSCAL Format Conversion Mechanisms

The latest version of NIST OSCAL format conversion files are always available here:

XML to JSON: https://github.com/usnistgov/OSCAL/tree/master/json/convert
JSON to XML: https://github.com/usnistgov/OSCAL/tree/master/xml/convert

Converting between XML and JSON in either direction using the NIST built the XML conversion files requires:

Extensible Stylesheet Language Transformation (XSLT) 3.0 [https://www.w3.org/TR/2017/REC-xslt-30-20170608/]

and:

XPath 3.1

[https://www.w3.org/TR/xpath-31/]

There are several open-source and commercial tools that will process XSLT3.0 and XPath 3.1, either as stand-alone capabilities or as programming libraries.

FedRAMP and NIST are unable to endorse specific products.

1.7. XPath Queries and References

Except where noted, all XPath queries in this document are based on XPath 2.0.

Most modern programming languages make XPath 1.0 available by default. XPath 2.0 can typically be added with third-party libraries, or calls to external command-line utilities.

The XPath queries *in this document* have been designed to easily convert from XPath 2.0 to 1.0 using a function similar to the one below, which enables developers to use native XML capabilities.

Sample PHP Code to Prepend Namespace (Converts most XPath 2.0 to 1.0) // \$query is the XPath 2.0 query // \$ns is the namespace value which much be prepended to element names in the query // RETURNS: An XPath 1.0 query function AddNamespace2xpath(\$query, \$ns) { \$result = ""; \$q len = strlen(\$query); \$prev char = ""; for($$i=0; $i < $q len; $i++) {$ \$cur char = substr(\$query, \$i, 1); if (\$prev char === '/') { if (ctype alpha(\$cur char)) { \$result .= \$ns . ":"; }; \$result .= \$cur char; \$prev char = \$cur char; return \$result;

Even if you do not use XPath to query the data file, the XPath queries provide a concise and non-ambiguous way to communicate where the data is within the file.

Most XPath queries in this document are absolute paths from the root of the document. In other words, it is clear from the XPath query which of the major file sections described in Section 2.3 is being referenced, and where the field is located within the section.

JSON Users: There are several JSON query technologies available, such as JSONPath [https://restfulapi.net/json-jsonpath/]; however, no one technology has emerged as a clear standard as of this publication.

Database Users: Some tool developers prefer to manage OSCAL data by first importing it into a database. This is an acceptable approach, provided any resulting OSCAL file generated form the database follows the OSCAL syntax. If the file is intended for delivery to FedRAMP, it must also follow the guidance in this document.

There are also database-like XML servers, such as the open-source tool BaseX

[http://www.basex.org/], which allow OSCAL files to remain in their native format, yet be queried more like a traditional database. The queries are also optimize for performance.

2. WORKING WITH OSCAL FILES

This section covers several important concepts and details that apply to OSCAL-based FedRAMP files.


2.1. File Content Concepts

Unlike the traditional MS Word-based SSP, SAP, and SAR, the OSCAL-based versions of these files are designed to make information available through linkages, rather than duplicating information. In OSCAL, these linkages are established through import commands.



Each OSCAL file imports information from the one before it

For example, the assessment objectives and actions that appear in a blank test case workbook (TCW), are defined in the FedRAMP profile, and simply referenced by the SAP and SAR. Only deviations from the TCW are captured in the SAP or SAR.



Baseline and SSP Information is referenced instead of duplicated.

For this reason, an OSCAL-based SAP points to the OSCAL-based SSP of the system being assessed. Instead of duplicating system details, the OSCAL-based SAP simply points to the SSP content for information such as system description, boundary, users, locations, and inventory items.

The SAP also inherits the SSP's pointer to the appropriate OSCAL-based FedRAMP Baseline. Through that linkage, the SAP references the assessment objectives and actions typically identified in the FedRAMP TCW.

The only reason to include this content in the SAP is when the assessor documents a deviation from the SSP, Baseline, or TCW.

2.1.1. Resolved Profile Catalogs

The resolved profile catalog for each FedRAMP baseline is the result of pre-processing the profile and catalog to produce the resulting data. This can reduce overhead for tools by eliminating the need to open and follow references from the profile to the catalog. It also includes only the catalog information relevant to the baseline, reducing the overhead of opening a larger catalog.

Where available, tool developers have the option of following the links from the profile to the catalog as described above, or using the resolved profile catalog. At this time catalogs and profiles remain relatively static. As OSCAL gains wider adoption, there is a risk that profiles and catalogs will become more dynamic, and a resolved profile catalog becomes more likely to be out of date.

Early adopters may wish to start with the resolved profile catalog now, and plan to add functionality later for the separate profile and catalog handling later in their product roadmap.

FedRAMP
Base line
(OSCAL Resolved Profile Catalog)

System Security
Plan

Security
Assessment Plan
(OSCAL Assessment
Plan)

Security
Assessment Report
(OSCAL Assessment
Results)

The Resolved Profile Catalog for each FedRAMP Baseline reduce tool processing

2.2. Hierarchy and Sequence

For both XML and JSON, the hierarchy of syntax is important and must be followed. For example, the metadata assembly must be at the top level of the OSCAL file, just below its root. If it appears within any other assembly, it is invalid.

The same field name is interpreted differently in different assemblies. For example, the title field under metadata is the document title, while the title field under role gives a human-friendly name to that role.

For XML, the sequence of syntax within an assembly is also important; however, JSON is flexible.

For example, within the metadata assembly, XML must find title, published, last-modified, version, and oscal-version in exactly that order. The published field is optional and may be omitted, but if present, it must be in that position relative to the other fields. When using JSON, these fields are allowed in any order within the metadata assembly.

Fortunately, NIST's documentation presents the fields and assemblies in the correct sequence.

Always use the hierarchy found here:

- SSP: https://pages.nist.gov/OSCAL/documentation/schema/ssp/xml-model-map/
- SAP: https://pages.nist.gov/OSCAL/documentation/schema/assessment-plan/xml-model-map/
- SAR: https://pages.nist.gov/OSCAL/documentation/schema/assessment-results/xml-model-map/
- POA&M: https://pages.nist.gov/OSCAL/documentation/schema/poam/xml-model-map/

2.2.1. Typical OSCAL Assembly Structure

Most assemblies in OSCAL follow a general pattern as follows:

- title (field typically one, sometimes zero or one)
- description (field typically one, sometimes zero or one)
- prop (fields zero or more also used to extend OSCAL)
- annotation (assemblies zero or more also used to extend OSCAL)
- assembly-specific fields
- remarks (field zero or one)

While this is not universal in OSCAL, when any of these fields are present, they follow this pattern.

2.3. Multiple Layers of Validation

There are several layers at which an OSCAL file can be considered valid.

FedRAMP requires all layers be satisfied.

LAYER	DESCRIPTION
Well-Formed	The XML or JSON file follows the rules defined for that format.
	Any tool that processes the format will recognize it as "well-formed," which
	means the tool can proceed with processing the XML or JSON.
	XML: https://www.w3.org/TR/REC-xml/
	JSON: https://json.org/
OSCAL Syntax	The XML or JSON file only uses tagging that is defined by NIST for OSCAL.
	NIST publishes schema validation tools to verify syntax compliance based on the
	following standards:
	XML Syntax Validation: XML Schema Definition Language (XSD) 1.1
	JSON Syntax Validation: <u>JSON Schema, draft 07</u>
OSCAL Content	Currently NIST only enforces certain declarations using the validation files above.
	This means defining a limited set of acceptable values for certain fields.
	For example, NIST declared the following as the only acceptable values for the
	impact levels for information types: fips-199-low, fips-199-moderate,
	and fips-199-high within the SSP syntax. Any other value will cause an error
	when validating the file using the NIST syntax validation capabilities above.
	In the future, NIST intends to publish content enforcement mechanisms, such as Schematron . This will enforce more complex rules such as, "If field A is marked 'true', field B must have a value." FedRAMP is also exploring the use of Schematron for enhanced validation, and may publish these in the future.
FedRAMP Syntax	NIST built a language they believe represents the commonality of most
Extensions	cybersecurity frameworks and provided the ability to extend the language for
	framework-specific needs. FedRAMP makes use of these extensions.
	NIST provides prop and annotation fields throughout most of its assemblies,
	always with a name, class, and ns (namespace) flag:
	<pre><pre></pre></pre>
	In the core OSCAL syntax, the ns flag is never used. Where FedRAMP extends
	OSCAL, the value for ns is always:
	https://fedramp.gov/ns/oscal (case sensitive).
	(5555 55555)
	When ns='https://fedramp.gov/ns/oscal' the name flag is as defined by
	FedRAMP. If the class flag is present, that is also defined by FedRAMP.
FedRAMP Content	Today, FedRAMP content is enforced programmatically. FedRAMP will evaluate
	the use of Schematron (above) for future FedRAMP validation efforts.

2.4. OSCAL's Minimum File Requirements

Every OSCAL-based FedRAMP file must have a minimum set of required fields/assemblies, and must follow the core OSCAL syntax found here:

https://pages.nist.gov/OSCAL/documentation/schema/

In addition to the core OSCAL syntax, the following FedRAMP-specific implementation applies:

- The root element of the file indicates the type of content within the body of the file.
 The recognized OSCAL root element types are as follows:
 - catalog: Contains a catalog of control definitions, as well as related assessment goals and activities, such as NIST SP 800-53 and 800-53A.
 - profile: Contains a control baseline, such as FedRAMP Moderate
 - component: Contains information about a product, service, or other security capability, such as the controls it can satisfy and what settings to use to meet the requirements of a particular baseline.
 - system-security-plan: Describes a system and its security capabilities, including its authorization boundary and a description of how each control is satisfied.
 - assessment-plan: Describes the plan for assessing a specific system.
 - assessment-results: Describes the actual activities performed in the assessment of a specific system, as well as the results of those activities.
 - plan-of-actions-and-milestones:
 Describes and tracks known
 vulnerabilities of a system, as well as the plan for remediation.

Every OSCAL File

Root Element

Universally Unique Identifier (UUID)

Metadata

Must be at the start of every OSCAL file.

Syntax is the same, regardless of root element.

- Title, Modified Date, OSCAL Syntax Version
- Document Date and Version
- Roles, People, Organizations, Locations

Body

Syntax is different for each root element.

Back Matter

May be at the end of any OSCAL file.

Syntax is the same, regardless of root element.

- External Links and Citations
- Attachments and Embedded Images

Anatomy of an OSCAL-based file

- The Universally Unique ID (UUID) at the root must be changed every time the content of the file is modified.
- Every OSCAL file must have a metadata section and include a title, last-modified date, and OSCAL syntax version.

The table below shows an empty OSCAL file, based purely on the NIST syntax; however, FedRAMP requires much more in a minimum file. The latest OSCAL-based FedRAMP template files can be found here in JSON and XML formats:

https://github.com/GSA/fedramp-automation/tree/master/templates

```
An Empty OSCAL File Representation

<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>

<OSCAL-root-element xmlns="http://csrc.nist.gov/ns/oscal/1.0"
    id="[generated-uuid]">
        <metadata>
        <title>Document Title</title>
        <last-modified>2019-11-27T00:00:00.00-05:00Z</last-modified>
        <version>0.0</version>
        <oscal-version>1.0-Milestone3</oscal-version>
        </metadata>

<!-- body cut -->
        <back-matter />
        </oscal-root-element>
```

2.4.1. UTF-8 Character Encoding

OSCAL uses UTF-8 character encoding. JSON files are always UTF-8 character encoded.

In XML, the first line in the example above ensures UTF-8 encoding is used. Other encoding options will create unpredictable results.

2.4.2. OSCAL Syntax Version

Tools designed to read an OSCAL file must verify the oscal-version field to determine which published syntax is used.

Tools designed to create or manipulate an OSCAL file must specify the syntax version of OSCAL used in the file in the oscal-version field.

NIST ensures backward compatibility of syntax where practical; however, this is not always possible. Some syntax changes between milestone releases leading up to OSCAL version 1.0 are unavoidable. NIST intends to keep all formally published schema validation files available, which keeps validation and conversion tools available for older versions of OSCAL. See Section 2.4.6 OSCAL Syntax Versions for more information.

2.4.3. OSCAL File Changes

Any time a tool changes the contents of an OSCAL file, it must also:

- update the file's id flag (/[OSCAL-root-element]/@id) with a new UUID; and
- update the last-modified field (/*/metadata/last-modified) with the current date and time. (Using the OSCAL date/time format, as described in Section 2.6.1 <u>Date and Time in OSCAL</u> Files)

Tools that work with updates to an OSCAL file should rely on the UUID value provided by the id flag, and last modified field as easy methods of knowing the file has changed.

2.4.4. Cryptographic Integrity (Future)

NIST intends to add a cryptographic hash feature to OSCAL during calendar year 2020. Once added by NIST, FedRAMP will update this section.

While tool developers are encouraged to perform their own integrity checking, it is important to note cryptographic hash algorithms will produce a different result for inconsequential file differences, such as different indentation or a change in the sequence of flags.

2.4.5. Useful XPath Queries for Document Changes and OSCAL Syntax

Below are a few important queries, which enable a tool to obtain critical information about any OSCAL file.

```
XPath Queries

OSCAL syntax version used in this file:
   /*/metadata/oscal-version

Last Modified Date/Time:
   /*/metadata/last-modified


Unique Document ID:
   /*/@id

Document Title:
   /*/metadata/title
```

2.4.6. OSCAL Syntax Versions

NIST's approach to OSCAL development ensures the syntax validation and format conversion tools remain available for each milestone and full release of OSCAL. As of this publication, the following syntax versions and associated resources are or will be made available:

SYNTAX VERSION	RESOURCES
Version 1.0.0 Full Release	Expected August/September 2020
Identifier:	
1.0.0	
Version 1.0.0 Pre-Release Milestone #3	Expected June 2020
	XML Schema Validation
Identifier:	JSON Schema Validation
1.0.0-milestone3	XML to JSON Conversion
	JSON to XML Conversion
	Links above will not work until NIST publishes MS3.
Version 1.0.0 Pre-Release Milestone #2	Published October 1, 2019
	XML Schema Validation
Identifier:	JSON Schema Validation
1.0.0-milestone2	XML to JSON Conversion
	JSON to XML Conversion
Version 1.0.0 Pre-Release Milestone #1	Published June 15, 2019
	XML Schema Validation
Identifier:	JSON Schema Validation
1.0.0-milestone1	XML to JSON Conversion
	JSON to XML Conversion



2.5. Assigning Identifiers

There are three ways identifiers are typically used in OSCAL:

- ID flag: uniquely identifies a field or assembly.
- UUID flag: A <u>RFC-4122</u> compliant version 4 universally unique identifier.
- ID Reference: a flag or field that points to another field or assembly using its unique ID or UUID flag value.
- Uniform Resource Identifier (URI) Fragment: A value in a flag or field with a <u>URI data type</u>. A URI fragment starts with a hashtag (#) followed by a unique ID value.

identifiers appear as an "id" or "uuid" flag to a data field or assembly. Examples include:

- <interconnection id="ic-001">: Uniquely identifies the interconnection
- <party id="csp">: Uniquely identifies the party

An ID reference typically appears with a name and hyphen in front of the "id" (name-id). It is typically a flag, but sometimes a field. The name of an ID reference flag/field typically reflects the name of the field to which it points.

Examples include:

- <responsible-party role-id="prepared-by">: points to a role identified by "prepared-by".
- <implemented-requirement id="imp-req-01" control-id="ac-2">: points to the control identified by "ac-2".

NIST provides some standard identifiers. Where appropriate, FedRAMP has adopted those and defined additional identifiers as needed. To ensure consistent processing, FedRAMP encourage content creators to use the NIST and FedRAMP-defined identifiers to the greatest

degree practical. Deviation is likely to result in processing errors.

2.5.1. Uniqueness of Identifiers

OSCAL syntax validation tools currently require an identifier to be unique among like-named fields. For example, the same ID value

must not be present for two different role fields; however, the ID value for a party field may be the same as the ID value for a role field without conflict.

As a best practice, the FedRAMP Program Management Office (PMO) recommends ensuring IDs are unique within the file as a whole and not just within the scope of like-named elements.

For UUID fields, if using a tool that properly generates version 4 UUID values, no two will be alike; however, buggy tools have been known to create unexpected duplicate values. In an abundance of caution, tool developers may want to check for duplicates whenever generating a new UUID values, or at least do so during the testing phase of your development lifecycle.

with their OSCAL Milestone 3 release. Tools must generate a UUIDv4 values and assign them anyplace a UUID flag exists.

IDs and UUIDs are intended to be managed by tools "behind

the scenes," and should not

typically be exposed to users.

NIST is introducing UUID flags

2.5.2. Referencing UUID Values

In general, it is very simple to query for a UUID value in an XML file. Simply use the following XPath query:

```
//*[@uuid='1c23ddee-7001-4512-9de1-e062faa69c0a']
```

Of course, you would replace the value in this example with your own.

Often flags that reference OSCAL information using it's UUID will have a name and context that clarifies the expected target. For example, a flag may appear as follows:

```
<field-name component-id='1c23ddee-7001-4512-9de1-e062faa69c0a' />
```

To ensure this UUID value actually points to a component, use the following XPath query:

```
boolean(//*[@uuid='1c23ddee-7001-4512-9de1-e062faa69c0a']/name()='component')
```

If the above expression returns true, the UUID points to a component as intended.

2.6. Handling of OSCAL Data Types

OSCAL fields and flags have data types assigned to them. NIST provides important information about these data types here:

https://pages.nist.gov/OSCAL/documentation/schema/datatypes/

The following sections describe special handling considerations for data types that directly impact FedRAMP content in OSCAL.

2.6.1. Date and Time in OSCAL Files

Except where noted, all dates and times in the OSCAL-based content must be in an OSCAL dateTime-with-timezone format as documented here:

https://pages.nist.gov/OSCAL/documentation/schema/datatypes/#datetime-with-timezone

This means all dates and times must be represented in the OSCAL file using following format, unless otherwise noted:

```
"Y-m-d\TH:i:s.uP" (See <u>HERE</u> for formatting codes.)
```

For example, a publication date of 5:30 pm EST, January 10, 2020 must appear as 2020-01-10T17:30:00.00-05:00

This includes:

- Numeric Year: Four-digits
- A dash
- Numeric Month: Two-digit, zero-padded
- A dash
- Numeric Day: Two digit, zero padded
- The capital letter "T" (Do not use lower case)
- Hour: Two digit, zero-padded, 24-hour clock (Use 18 for 6:00 pm)
- A colon
- Minute: Two digit, zero-padded
- A colon
- Seconds: Two digit, zero-padded
- A decimal point
- Fractions of a second: two or three digits, zero padded

Followed by either:

A capital letter Z to indicate the time is expressed in Coordinated Universal Time (UTC)

OR:

- A plus or minus representing the offset from UTC
- Hour Offset: Difference from UTC, two-digit, padded
- A colon
- Minutes Offset: Difference from UTC, two-digit, padded

This is only for *storing* dates in the OSCAL file. NIST syntax verification tools will generate an error if this format is not found.

Tool developers are encouraged to *present* dates as they have historically appeared in FedRAMP documents. In other words, tools should convert "2020-03-04T00:00:00.00-05:00" to "March 4, 2020" when presenting the publication date to the user.

Please use the appropriate UTC offset in your region. If you are storing a date and padding the time with zeros, you may also pad the UTC offset with zeros.

2.6.2. Working With href Flags

Several OSCAL fields contain href flags. All OSCAL-based href flags are URIs formatted according to section 4.1 of RFC3986. When assembling or processing an OSCAL-based FedRAMP file, please consider the following:

Absolute Paths: When using an absolute path within a FedRAMP OSCAL file, the path must be publicly accessible from any location on the Internet, to ensure agency and FedRAMP reviewers can reach the information.

Tool developers are encouraged to validate paths before storing them in OSCAL files and raise issues to users if paths are not reachable.

Relative Paths: All relative paths are assumed to be based on the location of the OSCAL file, unless tools are explicit as to other handling. Sensitive external documents should travel with the OSCAL file and be linked using a relative path.

Internal Locations: These URI fragments appear as just a hashtag (#) followed by a name, such as #att-diagram-1. The notation points to a location internal to the document itself and is most commonly used in a FedRAMP OSCAL file as a pointer to resource assemblies.

If only a fragment is present, the OSCAL tools must strip the hashtag (#) and treat the remaining string as an ID internal to the OSCAL file itself. For example, the following OSCAL content contains an href flag with a URI fragment:

When a tool processes the above example, it should look inside the document for a field or assembly with an ID of "att-diagram-boundary-1". This can be accomplished with the following XPath query: //* [@id="att-diagram-boundary-1"]

If this is found to point to a resource assembly, see the *Attachments and Embedded Content in OSCAL Files* section for additional handling.

The name of the field or assembly referenced by the above URI fragment can be determined using the following XPath 2.0 query:

```
//*[@id="att-diagram-boundary-1"]/name()
```

To express this in XPath 1.0, you must use the following:

```
name (//*[@id="att-diagram-boundary-1"])
```

The above query will return "resource", if the ID points to a resource assembly.

2.6.3. Markup-line and Markup-multiline Fields in OSCAL

As with most machine-readable formats, most of OSCAL's fields are intended to capture short, discrete pieces of information; however, sometimes users require content to be formatted using features such bold, underline, and italics.

OSCAL provides two types of fields for this purpose:

- markup-line: Allows some formatting within a single line of text.
- Markup-multiline: Allows all the markup-line formatting, plus allows multiple lines, ordered/ unordered lists, and tables.

A subset of HTML is used to format XML-based OSCAL files, while Markdown is used to format JSON-based OSCAL files.

NIST has implemented only a subset of formatting tags from these standards. This is to ensure formatted content converts between XML and JSON consistently.

Both markup-line and markup-multiline support:

- emphasis and important text
- inline code and quoted text
- sub/super-script
- images and links

Markup-multiline also supports:

- Paragraphs
- Headings (Levels 1-6)
- Preformatted text
- Ordered and Unordered Lists
- Tables

For a complete list of markup-line and markup-multiline features, please visit: https://pages.nist.gov/OSCAL/documentation/schema/datatypes/#markup-data-types

For markup-line and markupmulti-line, a subset of HTML is used to format XML-based OSCAL files, while Markdown is used to format JSON-based OSCAL files.

2.6.4. Working with Markup-multiline Content

No special handling is required to work with markup-multiline content in JSON, which is based on Markdown syntax; however, XML-based markup-multiline fields require all content to be enclosed in , <h1> - <h6>, <o1>, <u1>, , or tags. You may mix several of these within the field.

The following examples offer correct and incorrect representations of markup-multiline content.

The example below is a common misuse of markup-multiline. The description contains text, but the text is not enclosed in one of the required tags. This will produce an error when checked with the OSCAL schema.

The simplest way to correct the error is to enclose the text in tags, within the description field.

The example below demonstrates a correct use of markup-multiline in XML. Please note, the inclusion of a <p /> tag on a line by itself inserts an empty paragraph. Within XML and HTML, this is treated as a shortcut, and is interpreted as "<p></p>"

```
Correct Markup-multiline Representation
  <system-characteristics</pre>
     <!-- cut -->
     <description>
        The <b>xyz system</b> performs ... 
        The xyz system further supports ... as follows:
             Cell A1
             Cell B1
          Cell A2
             Cell B2
          /table>
        (h1>Big Header</h1>
        More detail
        <img alt="alt text" src="url" title="title text"/>
     </description>
  </system-characteristics>
```

Please also note, all content is enclosed in one of the supported high-level tags (, <h1> - <h6>, , , , or).

For more information, please visit:

https://pages.nist.gov/OSCAL/documentation/schema/datatypes/#markup-data-types

2.6.5. Special Characters in OSCAL

While OSCAL itself does not directly impose special character handling requirements, XML and JSON do. Characters, such as ampersand (&), greater than (>), less than (<), and quotes (") require special treatment in OSCAL files, depending on the format. For a complete list of special characters and the appropriate treatment for each format, please visit:

https://pages.nist.gov/OSCAL/documentation/schema/datatypes/#specialized-character-mapping

2.7. Citations, Attachments, and Embedded Content in OSCAL Files

All citations, attachments, and embedded content are handled in the back-matter section of the OSCAL file as a resource assembly. This includes logos, diagrams, policies, procedures, plans, and interconnection security agreements (ICAs). Each resource may be referenced from anywhere in the OSCAL file, using its citation ID or resource ID. FedRAMP prefers Base64-encoding for diagrams, such as those related to the authorization boundary, network architecture, and data flows.

The media-type flag should be an <u>Internet Assigned Numbers Authority (IANA) Media Type</u> when one exists.

2.7.1. Resource Usage Concept

OSCAL is designed so that all attachments, external links, and embedded content may be defined and attached once within the back-matter portion of the file. These resources should then be linked using a URI fragment where referenced within the OSCAL file. This enables a tool to update the back-matter content once and have the change reflected everywhere it is referenced.

For example, a policy document that satisfies several control families is attached in the back-matter, with an ID of "att-policy-1". Each control covered by that policy, links to the policy using a URI fragment as follows:

```
<link href="#att-policy-1" rel="policy" />
```

2.7.2. General Handling of Multiple/Mixed Links and Base64 Content

Resources may be expressed as:

- one or more links to external files (rlink);
- one or more base64-encoded data within the OSCAL file;
- any combination of the above; or
- neither.

OSCAL allows multiple rlink fields for the same resource, which are intended to provide options such as links to the same content in different formats, or low- and high-resolution versions of the same graphic.

This may also be used to capture an absolute path to the authoritative location of a file, and a relative path to a local copy of the same file. This is useful when sharing the OSCAL file with reviewers who may not have access to the authoritative location, while preserving the link to that location.

For now, NIST has left multiple/mixed links and base64 content as a topic for developers to address as they see fit. As such, there is currently **no** OSCAL rule which governs how a tool should handle a situation where both the rlink and base64 fields exist, or where there is more than one of either field for a single resource.

2.7.3. FedRAMP's Handling of Multiple/Mixed Links and Base64 Content

FedRAMP tools will always give preference to base64-encoded content. If the base64 field is present with valid content, FedRAMP tools will ignore all rlink fields.

If the base64 field is missing or invalid, FedRAMP tools will try the first rlink field. If that works, all remaining rlinks will be ignored. If the first one does not work, FedRAMP tools will try the second link, then the third. It will keep going until either a valid rlink is found, or no rlink fields remain.

XML tools typically respect the sequence of fields in a file. Unfortunately, many JSON tools do not present date in the same sequence present in the file. Please consider prompting the user to select the appropriate field when more than one valid version is available and automated tools are unable to determine the best choice.

Cloud Service Providers (CSPs) should take care when using rlink fields to ensure paths are relative to the OSCAL file's location itself, and the referenced items are delivered to FedRAMP with the OSCAL file.

The resource assembly could be used with neither rlink nor base64 embedded content. If citing a document that may be attached or embedded later, this approach may be used as a placeholder, or for referencing something in the physical world that has no electronic presence.

Using the resource assembly with FedRAMP's additional properties (publication, and version) enables a CSP to cite a document by title, version, and date in one place, then reference it where needed throughout the OSCAL file. This way, the title, version and date can be updated in one place later, and will appear updated everywhere it is referenced.

3. FEDRAMP EXTENSIONS, CONFORMITY TAGS, DEFINED IDENTIFIERS, AND ACCEPTED VALUES

NIST designed the core OSCAL syntax to meet model cybersecurity information that is common to any organization and compliance framework. They recognized that each framework and organization may have unique needs. Instead of trying to provide a language that meets each of those unique needs, NIST gave organizations the ability to tailor OSCAL to address specific needs.

A summary of the FedRAMP extensions, conformity tags, defined identifiers, and accepted values appears in the FedRAMP OSCAL Registry.

FedRAMP has tailored OSCAL by specifying:

- **Extensions**: allow FedRAMP's OSCAL-based content to capture information that is not available in the core OSCAL syntax.
- **Conformity Tags**: This is a special extension that allows FedRAMP processing tools to find required information without human intervention.
- Defined Identifiers: FedRAMP defines several unique ID values that must be used in the ID flag
 of certain OSCAL fields and assemblies.
- **Accepted Values**: For many fields, FedRAMP specifies a case-sensitive set of values. Only these values are recognized by FedRAMP processing tools.

3.1.1. FedRAMP Extensions

There are several pieces of information required in FedRAMP templates that cannot be modeled using OSCAL's core syntax. NIST wanted to limit the core OSCAL syntax to those elements that

All FedRAMP extensions include a namespace (ns) flag set to "https://fedramp.gov/ns/oscal".

are universal across most cybersecurity frameworks. They designed OSCAL to be extended where unique needs existed.

NIST allows organizations to extend OSCAL anyplace prop fields, part assemblies, or annotation assemblies exist in the core syntax. (Please note, there are currently no part assemblies in the SSP, SAP, SAR, or POA&M.) There are two fundamental requirements for extending OSCAL:

- The organization must establish a unique namespace (ns) identifier, such as (ns="http://domain.tld/ns/oscal"), and use it to consistently tag all prop, part, and annotation extensions from that organization.
- The organization is responsible for defining, managing, and communicating all names (name="scan-type") defined and tagged with the above name space identifier.

NIST's core OSCAL prop fields and annotation assemblies have no ns flag. If an ns flag is present, it is an organization-defined extension. This allows each industry standards body or organization to create their own extensions in their own name space without concern for overlapping names.

The above approach ensures two different organizations can create their own extensions without concern for reusing the same name values. At some point in the future, NIST may provide a registry for organizational extensions. For now, FedRAMP is publishing its own <u>registry</u> to document its extensions.

All FedRAMP extensions include a namespace (ns) flag set to "https://fedramp.gov/ns/oscal".

For example, if the core OSCAL syntax has a status field, but both FedRAMP and the payment card industry (PCI) require their own framework-specific status field, each may define an extension with the name="status", and assign their own ns flag. This results in three possible status fields as follows:

When searching an OSCAL file for a prop or annotation extensions that is part of the core OSCAL syntax, developers must filter out any with an ns flag using the syntax above.

Tool developers must always refer to extensions using **both** the name and ns flags as a pair.

All FedRAMP extensions will appear as either:

or:

```
<annotation name="___" ns="https://fedramp.gov/ns/oscal" value="Value">
    <remarks>A possible remark about the value</remarks>
</annotation>
```

NOTE: The catalog and profile OSCAL models also allow the part assembly to be used for extensions. This is not currently the case for the OSCAL SSP, SAP, SAR, or POA&M.

FedRAMP extensions are cited in relevant portions of this document and summarized in the FedRAMP OSCAL Registry.

3.1.2. FedRAMP Conformity Tagging

Some FedRAMP-required content is easily found by processing tools using the core OSCAL syntax; however, OSCAL's versatility makes other required FedRAMP content difficult to identify or ambiguous.

^{*} This is an example, and is not intended to represent an actual PCI extension.

FedRAMP Conformity Tagging is a special extension that allows FedRAMP processing tools to find required information without human intervention, where the core OSCAL syntax alone is not sufficient for validation.

For example, a contingency plan is typically provided in OSCAL as a resource attachment in the back-matter portion of an OSCAL file. The attachment would include a conformity tag with a value of "contingency-plan". This ensures FedRAMP tools know which attachment is the CSP's contingency plan.

Unlike defined identifiers (below), FedRAMP conformity tags are designed to co-exist with conformity tags from other organizations and compliance frameworks.

FedRAMP Conformity Tags are cited in relevant portions of this document and summarized in the FedRAMP OSCAL Registry.

3.1.3. OSCAL and FedRAMP-Defined Identifiers

In limited portions of the OSCAL syntax, such as roles, NIST provides typical *case-sensitive* identifiers common to most OSCAL applications.

FedRAMP carefully expands this list for cloud-base systems, and NIST is evaluating the FedRAMP additions for inclusion in core OSCAL. As of this publication, NIST views all identifiers as draft. As such, they are subject to change until OSCAL 1.0 is released later in calendar year 2020.

For example, every system must have a system owner, and typically has an Information System Security Officer (ISSO). NIST defines specific identifiers for these roles, which enables tools to easily identify the individuals associated with these roles.

FedRAMP defined identifiers are cited in relevant portions of this document and summarized in the FedRAMP OSCAL Registry.

3.1.4. OSCAL and FedRAMP Accepted Values

To facilitate consistent processing, the value for some fields is limited to a list of *case-sensitive* acceptable values. For some fields, OSCAL defines acceptable values, which are enforced by OSCAL-based syntax validation mechanisms.

There are additional fields, where OSCAL syntax validation mechanisms will accept any value, but FedRAMP provides a limited list of *case-sensitive* acceptable values. Where defined, only these values are recognized by FedRAMP processing tools.

For example, every control requires an implementation status. FedRAMP only accepts one of five possible responses for this status, which must be provided using one of the specified choices.

FedRAMP accepted values are cited in relevant portions of this document and summarized in the FedRAMP OSCAL Registry.


4. EXPRESSING COMMON FEDRAMP TEMPLATE ELEMENTS IN OSCAL

While each FedRAMP template has a unique purpose, they share common information elements, such as title and publication date. These common elements are expressed using the same OSCAL syntax for the SSP, SAP, SAR, and POA&M. This section provides OSCAL syntax for these common elements, including:

- Document Basics:
 - Document Title
 - Document Publication Date
 - Document Version
 - Document Sensitivity & Ownership Markings
 - Prepared By
 - Prepared For
 - Document Revision History
- OSCAL-Additional Document Basics:
 - Last Modified Date
 - OSCAL Syntax Version
- Logos:
 - FedRAMP Logo
 - CSP Logo
 - Assessor Logo
 - Consulting 3PAO Logo
- Attachments:
 - FedRAMP Acronyms
 - FedRAMP Citations (Laws, Regulations, Standards, and Guidance)

The following pages are formatted for tabloid (11" x 17") paper in landscape orientation.





The **FedRAMP Logo** is base 64 encoded in the back-matter section of the OSCAL-based FedRAMP Templates, and can be referenced with the following XPath:

/*/back-matter/resource/prop[@name='conformity'][@ns='https://fedramp.gov/ns/oscal']
 [string(.)='fedramp-logo']/../base64

DRAFT Guide to OSCAL-based FedRAMP Content

4.1. Title Page

```
Representation
   <metadata>
      <title>FedRAMP System Security Plan (SSP)</title>
      <published>2020-06-01T00:00:00.00-04:00/published>
      <last-modified>2020-05-31T00:00:00.00-04:00/last-modified>
      <version>0.0
      <oscal-version>1.0-Milestone3</oscal-version>
   </metadata>
                                                              FedRAMP-Conformity Tag
   <!-- OSCAL File Body -->
                                                              FedRAMP Logo:
                                                                 • fedramp-logo
   <back-matter>
      <resource id="logo-fedramp">
         <desc>FedRAMP Logo</desc>
          prop name='conformity' ns='https://fedramp.gov/ns/oscal'>fedramp-logo
         <rlink href="" />
         <base64 filename="FedRAMP LOGO.png">
         <!-- Base64-encoded Logo Cut -->00000000
         </base64>
      </resource>
   </back-matter>
XPath Queries
Document Title:
 /*/metadata/title
Document Published Version #:
 /*/metadata/version
Document Published Date (will need to convert data for presentation):
 /*/metadata/published
FedRAMP's Logo:
 /*/back-matter/resource/prop[@name='conformity'][@ns='https://fedramp.gov/ns/oscal']
 [string(.)='fedramp-logo']/../base64
Document Sensitivity Label (may be more than one):
```

NOTES:

• There may be more than one *Document Sensitivity Label* if needed.

/*/metadata/prop[@name="marking"]

- Tools should display and/or notify the user of all sensitivity markings.
- The logos on older FedRAMP Templates are not necessary. This includes the NIST Logo, as well as the three Joint Authorization Board (JAB) Agency Logos.

l 25

FEDRAMP SYSTEM SECURITY PLAN (SSP) **BASELINE TEMPLATE**

CSP Name | Information System Name

Version #.#, Date

SYSTEM SECURITY PLAN

Prepared by

Identification of C	rganization the	at Prepared this Document
Organ	ization Name	<enter company="" organization=""></enter>
Stre	et Address	<enter address="" street=""></enter>
Suite/R	oom/Building	<enter building="" room="" suite=""></enter>
City	, State Zip	<enter code="" zip=""></enter>

Prepared for

Identification of Cloud S	ervice Provider
See Next Page for "	Prepared for"
Suite/Room/Building	<enter building="" room="" suite=""></enter>
City, State Zip	<enter code="" zip=""></enter>

<csp> FedRAMP A</csp>	innual SAP Template	<date modification="" of=""></date>
	Prepared by	
	Identification of IA that Prepared this I	Document
	Organization Name	
	Street Address	
<insert logo=""></insert>	Suite/Room/Building	
	City, State Zip	
	Prepared for	
	Harristania (Clarica)	
See	Next Page for Pre Organization Name	pared for"
<insert logo=""></insert>	Street Address	
	Suite/Room/Building	
	City, State Zip	

4.2. Prepared By (Third Party)

The FedRAMP SAP and SAR must always indicate the assessing organization using this Prepared By syntax.

```
Representation
   <metadata>
      <role id="prepared-by">
                                                                      NIST-Defined Identifier
         <title>Prepared By</title>
                                                                      Required Role ID:
         <desc>The organization that prepared this content.</desc>
                                                                         • prepared-by
      <!-- cut: other role assemblies-->
      <!-- cut: location assemblies-->
      <party id="party-1">
         <org>
            <org-name>Name of Consulting Org</org-name>
            <short-name>Acronym/Short Name</short-name>
            <address>
                                                                      FedRAMP-Conformity Tag
               <!-- address lines cut here for space -->
                                                                      Preparer's Logo:
            </address>
         </org>
                                                                         • prepared-by-logo
      </party>
      <!-- cut: other party assemblies -->
      <responsible-party role-id="prepared-by">
         <party-id>party-2</party-id>
      </responsible-party>
   </metadata>
   <!-- OSCAL File Body -->
   <back-matter>
      <resource id="logo-party-1">
         <desc>Preparer Logo</desc>
          prop name='conformity' ns='https://fedramp.gov/ns/oscal'>prepared-by-logo
         <!-- Use rlink and/or base64 -->
         <rlink href="./party-1-logo.png" media-type="image/png" />
         <base64>00000000
      </resource>
   </back-matter>
XPath Queries
```

DRAFT Guide to OSCAL-based FedRAMP Content

```
Preparer Organization's Logo:
 /*/back-matter/resource/prop[@name='conformity'][@ns='https://fedramp.gov/ns/oscal']
 [string(.)='prepared-by-logo']/../base64
 /*/back-matter/resource/prop[@name='conformity'][@ns='https://fedramp.gov/ns/oscal']
 [string(.)='prepared-by-logo']/../rlink/@href
Preparer Organization's Name and Address:
 /*/metadata/party[@id=[/*/metadata/responsible-party[@role-id='prepared-by']/party-
 id]]/org/org-name
NOTE: Replace "org-name" with "address/addr-line", "address/city", "address/state", or
 "address/zip" as needed. There may be more than one addr-line.
```

NOTES:

- The responsible-party assembly connects the role to the party and is required for compliance.
- If the content was prepared by an organization other than the CSP, their logo should also appear in back-matter.

1 26

FEDRAMP SYSTEM SECURITY PLAN (SSP) BASELINE TEMPLATE

CSP Name | Information System Name

Version #.#, Date

SYSTEM SECURITY PLAN

Prepared by

Identific	ation of Organization that	t Prepared this Document
	Organization Name	<enter company="" organization=""></enter>
-	Street Address	<enter address="" street=""></enter>
	Suite/Room/Building	<enter building="" room="" suite=""></enter>
	City, State Zip	<enter code="" zip=""></enter>

Prepared for

Identification of Cloud S	Service Provider
See Next Page for '	'Prepared for" <enter address="" street=""></enter>
Suite/Room/Building	<enter building="" room="" suite=""></enter>
City, State Zip	<enter code="" zip=""></enter>

<csp> FedRAMP Annual SAP Template</csp>		<date modification="" of=""></date>			
Prepared by					
	Identification of IA that Prepared this Do	ocument			
	Organization Name				
	Street Address				
<insert logo=""></insert>	Suite/Room/Building				
	City, State Zip				
Prepared for					
See Next Page for "Prepared for"					
	Organization Name				
<insert logo=""></insert>	Street Address Suite/Room/Building				
	City, State Zip				

4.3. Prepared By (CSP Self-Prepared)

This is applicable where the CSP creates or updates its own SSP or POA&M content. The FedRAMP SAP and SAR must never be CSP self-prepared.

DRAFT Guide to OSCAL-based FedRAMP Content

```
Representation
   <metadata>
      <role id="prepared-by">
                                                                      NIST-Defined Identifier
         <title>Prepared By</title>
                                                                      Required Role ID:
         <desc>The organization that prepared this content.</desc>
                                                                         • prepared-by
      </role>
      <!-- cut: other role assemblies-->
      <!-- cut: location assemblies-->
      <party id="csp">
         <org>
            <org-name>Cloud Service Provider (CSP) Name</org-name>
            <short-name>CSP Acronym/Short Name</short-name>
            <location-id>location-1</location-id>
      </party>
      <!-- cut: other party assemblies -->
      <responsible-party role-id="prepared-by">
                                                                      FedRAMP-Conformity Tag
         <party-id>csp</party-id>
                                                                      Preparer's Logo:
      </responsible-party>
   </metadata>
                                                                         • prepared-by-logo
   <!-- OSCAL File Body -->
   <back-matter>
      <resource id="logo-csp">
         <desc>CSP Logo</desc>
          prop name='conformity' ns='https://fedramp.gov/ns/oscal'>prepared-by-logo
          prop name="conformity" ns="https://fedramp.gov/ns/oscal" >csp-logo</prop>
         <!-- Use rlink and/or base64 -->
         <rlink href="./logo.png" media-type="image/png" />
         <base64>00000000
      </resource>
   </back-matter>
```

XPath Queries

NOTES:

- The responsible-party assembly connects the role to the party and is required for compliance.
- If the content was prepared by the CSP, the CSP's logo should contain two conformity tags: one to designate the "csp-logo", and another to designate the "prepared-by-logo".

1 27

FEDRAMP SYSTEM SECURITY PLAN (SSP) BASELINE TEMPLATE

CSP Name | Information System Name

Version #.#, Date

SYSTEM SECURITY PLAN

Ident	ification of Organization that I	Prepared this Document
See	Previous Page for Street Address	"Prepared by" <enter address="" street=""></enter>
	Suite/Room/Building	<enter building="" room="" suite=""></enter>
	City, State Zip	<enter code="" zip=""></enter>

<csp> FedRAMP A</csp>	<date modification="" of=""></date>				
Prepared by					
Coo. [Identification of IA that Prepared this D				
See F	Previous Page for "P	repared by			
	Street Address				
<insert logo=""></insert>	Suite/Room/Building				
	City, State Zip				
Prepared for					
Identification of Cloud Service Provider					
	Organization Name				
	Street Address				
<insert logo=""></insert>	Suite/Room/Building				
	City, State Zip				

DRAFT Guide to OSCAL-based FedRAMP Content

4.4. Prepared For (CSP)

For FedRAMP SSP, SAP, SAR, and POA&M, the "Prepared For" is typically the CSP; however, it may be different if an unforeseen circumstance requires another party to be named. For this reason, "Prepared For" and CSP have separately defined roles.

```
Representation
   <metadata>
      <!-- prop -->
      <role id="prepared-for">
         <title>Prepared For</title>
         <desc>The CSP for FedRAMP SSP, SAP, SAR, and POA&M.</desc>
      <role id="cloud-service-provider">
                                                                  NIST-Defined Identifiers
         <title>Cloud Service Provider</title>
                                                                  Required Role IDs:
         <short-name>CSP</short-name>
                                                                     • prepared-for
      </role>
      <!-- cut: other role assemblies-->
                                                                     • cloud-service-provider
      <!-- cut: location assemblies-->
      <party id="csp">
         <org>
            <org-name>Cloud Service Provider (CSP) Name</org-name>
            <short-name>CSP Acronym/Short Name</short-name>
            <location-id>location-1</location-id>
         </org>
      </party>
      <!-- cut: other party assemblies -->
      <responsible-party role-id="prepared-for">
                                                                      FedRAMP-Conformity Tags
         <party-id>csp</party-id>
                                                                      Prepared For Logo:
      </responsible-party>
   </metadata>
                                                                         • prepared-for-logo
   <!-- OSCAL File Body -->
                                                                     CSP's Logo:
   <back-matter>
                                                                         • csp-logo
      <resource id="logo-csp">
         <desc>CSP Logo</desc>
          prop name="conformity" ns="https://fedramp.gov/ns/oscal">prepared-for-logo
          prop name="conformity" ns="https://fedramp.gov/ns/oscal">csp-logo
         <!-- Use rlink and/or base64 -->
         <rlink href="./logo.png" media-type="image/png" />
         <base64>00000000
      </resource>
   </back-matter>
XPath Queries
CSP's Logo:
 /*/back-matter/resource/prop[@name='conformity'][@ns='https://fedramp.gov/ns/oscal']
  [string(.)='csp-logo']/../base64
  /*/back-matter/resource/prop[@name='conformity'][@ns='https://fedramp.gov/ns/oscal']
  [string(.)='csp-logo']/../rlink/@href
Prepared For (CSP) Details:
 /*/metadata/party[@id=[/*/metadata/responsible-party[@role-id='prepared-for']/party-
 id]]/org/org-name
Prepared For Details:
  /*/metadata/party[@id=[/*/metadata/responsible-party[@role-id='prepared-for']/party-
  id]]/org/org-name
NOTE: Replace "org-name" with "address/addr-line", "address/city", "address/state", or
  "address/zip" as needed. There may be more than one addr-line.
```

1 28

FEDRAMP SYSTEM SECURITY PLAN (SSP)

BASELINE TEMPLATE

CSP Name | Information System Name

Version #.#, Date

DOCUMENT REVISION HISTORY

Date	Description	Version	Author	
<date></date>	<revision description=""></revision>	<version></version>	<author></author>	
<date></date>	<revision description=""></revision>	<version></version>	<author></author>	
<date></date>	<revision description=""></revision>	<version></version>	<author></author>	

The remarks field is Markup multiline, which enables the text to be formatted. This requires special handling. See Section 2.5.3 Markup-line and Markup-multiline Fields in OSCAL, or visit: https://pages.nist.gov/OSCAL/documentation/schema/datatypes/#markup-multiline

Controlled Unclassified Information

DRAFT Guide to OSCAL-based FedRAMP Content

4.5. Document Revision History

The OSCAL revision history requires one FedRAMP extension to fully meet FedRAMP's revision history requirements.

```
Representation
   <metadata>
     <!-- title, published, last-modified, version, oscal-version -->
     <revision-history>
       <revision>
          <published>2019-06-01T00:00:00.00-04:00/published>
          <version>1.0</version>
          <oscal-version>1.0-Milestone3</oscal-version>
          <remarks>Initial publication.</remarks>
       </revision>
       <revision>
          <published>2020-06-01T00:00:00.00-04:00/published>
          <version>2.0</version>
          <oscal-version>1.0-Milestone3</oscal-version>
          <remarks>Updated for annual assessment.</remarks>
       </revision>
       <!-- Additional revision assemblies as needed. -->
     </revision-history>
     <!-- doc-id, prop, link, role -->
                                        FedRAMP Extension (Author)
  <metadata>
                                        prop (ns="https://fedramp.gov/ns/oscal"):
                                        • name="party-id"
```

```
XPath Queries
Number of Revision Entries:
 count(/*/metadata/revision-history/revision)
Revision Date for Individual Entry:
 /*/metadata/revision-history/revision[1]/published
Description for Individual Entry:
 /*/metadata/revision-history/revision[1]/remarks/string()
Version for Individual Entry:
 /*/metadata/revision-history/revision[1]/version
Author for Individual Entry:
 /*/metadata/party[@id=/*/metadata/revision-history/revision[1]/prop[@name='party-id']
  [@ns='https://fedramp.gov/ns/oscal']]/org/short-name
                                                  Replace "[1]" with "[2]", "[3]", etc.
```

NOTES:

• The Revision History's Author field is addressed using a FedRAMP extension, which points to a metadata party.

1 29

- The published field requires the OSCAL data type, dateTime-with-timezone.
- FedRAMP only requires the publication date, not the time.
 - The time portion may be replaced with all zeros.
 - FedRAMP tools should present only the date, and use a more user-friendly format.

FEDRAMP SYSTEM SECURITY PLAN (SSP)

BASELINE TEMPLATE

CSP Name | Information System Name

Version #.#, Date

DOCUMENT REVISION HISTORY

Date	Description	Version	Author	
<date></date>	<revision description=""></revision>	<version></version>	<author></author>	
<date></date>	<revision description=""></revision>	<version></version>	<author></author>	
<date></date>	<revision description=""></revision>	<version></version>	<author></author>	

How to contact us

For questions about FedRAMP, or for technical questions about this document including how to use it, contact info@FedRAMP.gov

For more information about the FedRAMP project, see www.FedRAMP.gov

Controlled Unclassified Information

DRAFT Guide to OSCAL-based FedRAMP Content

4.6. How to Contact Us

The FedRAMP email and web site addresses are part of the organizational content for the FedRAMP PMO.

This content already exists in FedRAMP OSCAL Templates.

```
Representation
...<metadata>
      <!-- role -->
      <party id="fedramp-pmo">
         <org>
            <org-name>Federal Risk and Authorization Management Program: PMO</org-name>
            <short-name>FedRAMP PMO</short-name>
               <addr-line>1800 F St. NW</addr-line>
               <city>Washington</city>
                                                               FedRAMP-Defined Identifiers:
               <state>DC</state>
                                                                  • fedramp-pmo
               <postal-code></postal-code>
                                                                  • fedramp-jab
               <country>us</country>
            </address>
            <email>info@fedramp.gov</email>
            <url>https://fedramp.gov</url>
      </party>
      <party id="fedramp-jab">
        <org>
            <org-name>FedRAMP Joint Authorization Board
            <short-name>FedRAMP JAB</short-name>
        </org>
      </party>
      <!-- responsible-party -->
...<metadata>
XPath Queries
FedRAMP email address:
 /*/metadata/party[@id='fedramp-pmo']/org/email
FedRAMP web site:
```

```
/*/metadata/party[@id='fedramp-pmo']/org/url
```

12. LAWS, REGULATIONS, STANDARDS AND GUIDANCE

12.1. Applicable Laws and Regulations

The FedRAMP Laws and Regulations can be found on this web page: Templates.

Table 12-1 Information System Name Laws and Regulations includes additional laws and regulations specific to Information System Name.

12.2. Applicable Standards and Guidance

The FedRAMP Standards and Guidance be found on this web page: Templates

Table 12-2 Information System Name Standards and Guidance includes in this section any additional standards and guidance specific to Information System Name.

14. ACRONYMS

The master list of FedRAMP acronym and glossary definitions for all FedRAMP templates is available on the FedRAMP website Documents page.

Please send suggestions about corrections, additions, or deletions to info@fedramp.gov.

DRAFT Guide to OSCAL-based FedRAMP Content

4.7. FedRAMP Standard Attachments (Acronyms, Laws/Regulations)

The FedRAMP MS Word-based SSP, SAP and SAR templates included links to the FedRAMP Laws and Regulations file, as well as the FedRAMP Acronyms file.

These are already included in the FedRAMP Templates.

```
Representation
<back-matter>
   <resource id="fedramp-1">
      <title>FedRAMP Applicable Laws and Regulations</title>
       prop name='conformity' ns='https://fedramp.gov/ns/oscal'>fedramp-citations
      <rlink href="https://www.fedramp.gov/assets/resources/templates/SSP-A12-FedRAMP-Laws-</pre>
                      and-Regulations-Template.xlsx" />
   </resource>
   <resource id="fedramp-2">
      <title>FedRAMP Master Acronym and Glossary</title>
       prop name='conformity' ns='https://fedramp.gov/ns/oscal'>fedramp-acronyms
      <rlink href=
          "https://fedramp.gov/assets/resources/documents/
                                                              FedRAMP-Conformity Tags
         FedRAMP Master Acronym and Glossary.pdf" />
                                                              FedRAMP Standard Citations:
   </resource>
                                                                 • fedramp-citations
</back-matter>
                                                              FedRAMP Acronyms and Glossary:
                                                                 • fedramp-acronyms
```

XPath Queries

```
Link to FedRAMP Applicable Laws and Regulations:
    /*/back-matter/resource/prop[@name='conformity'][@ns='https://fedramp.gov/ns/oscal']
    [string(.)='fedramp-citations']/../rlink/@href

Link to FedRAMP Acronyms and Glossary:
    /*/back-matter/resource/prop[@name='conformity'][@ns='https://fedramp.gov/ns/oscal']
    [string(.)='fedramp-acronyms']/../rlink/@href
```

I 31

FEDRAMP SYSTEM SECURITY PLAN (SSP) BASELINE TEMPLATE

CSP Name | Information System Name

Version #.#, Date

12. LAWS, REGULATIONS, STANDARDS AND GUIDANCE

12.1. Applicable Laws and Regulations

The FedRAMP Laws and Regulations can be found on this web page: Templates.

Table 12-1. Information System Name Laws and Regulations includes additional laws and regulations specific to Information System Name.

Instruction: The information system name is a repeatable field that is populated when the Title Page is completed. If the CSP does not have additional laws and regulations that it must follow, please specify "N/A" in the table.

Delete this and all other instructions from your final version of this document.

Table 12-1. Information System Name Laws and Regulations

Identification Number	Title	Date	Link	
<reference id=""></reference>	<reference title=""></reference>	<ref date=""></ref>	<reference link=""></reference>	
<reference id=""></reference>	<reference title=""> <ref date<="" td=""><td><ref date=""></ref></td><td>< Reference Link></td></ref></reference>	<ref date=""></ref>	< Reference Link>	
<reference id=""> <reference title=""></reference></reference>		<ref date=""></ref>	<reference link=""></reference>	

12.2. Applicable Standards and Guidance

The FedRAMP Standards and Guidance be found on this web page: Templates

Table 12-2. Information System Name Standards and Guidance includes in this section any additional standards and guidance specific to Information System Name.

Instruction: The information system name is a repeatable field that is populated when the Title Page is completed. If the CSP does not have additional standards or guidance that it must follow, please specify "N/A" in the table.

Delete this and all other instructions from your final version of this document.

Table 12-2. Information System Name Standards and Guidance

Identification Number	Title	Date	Link	
<reference id=""></reference>	<reference title=""></reference>	<ref date=""></ref>	<reference link=""></reference>	
<reference id=""></reference>	<reference title=""></reference>	<ref date=""></ref>	<reference link=""></reference>	
<reference id=""></reference>	<reference title=""></reference>	<ref date=""></ref>	<reference link=""></reference>	

DRAFT Guide to OSCAL-based FedRAMP Content

4.8. Additional Laws, Regulations, Standards or Guidance

In addition to the FedRAMP standard laws, regulations, standards, and guidance, the FedRAMP SSP, SAP and SAR templates have a section to cite additional laws and regulations, and another section to cite additional standards and guidance as they relate to the system described by the SSP.

These citations are added as follows:

```
Representation
   <back-matter>
     <resource id="cit-1">
        <title>[SAMPLE]Name or Title of Cited Law</title>
         prop name="type" ns="https://fedramp.gov/ns/oscal">law</prop>
        <doc-id type="doi">Identification Number</doc-id>
        <rlink href="https://domain.example/path/to/document.pdf" />
     </resource>
     <resource id="cit-2">
        <title>[SAMPLE]Name or Title of Privacy-Related Law Citation</title>
          name="type" ns="https://fedramp.gov/ns/oscal"
         prop name="type" ns="https://fedramp.gov/ns/oscal">pii
        prop name="publication" ns="https://fedramp.gov/ns/oscal">Document Date
         prop name="version" ns="https://fedramp.gov/ns/oscal">Document Version
        <doc-id type="doi">Identification Number</doc-id>
        <rlink href="https://domain.example/path/to/document.pdf" />
     <!-- repeat citation assembly for each law, regulation, standard or guidance -->
     <!-- resource -->
   </back-matter>
                                FedRAMP Extensions and Accepted Values
                                prop (ns="https://fedramp.gov/ns/oscal"):
                                • name="type"
                                 o Valid: law, regulation, standard, guidance, pii
                                • name="publication"
XPath Queries
                                               Replace "[1]" with "[2]", "[3]", etc.
Number of Laws and Regulations:
 count(/*/back-matter/resource/prop[@name="type"][@ns="https://fedramp.gov/ns/oscal"]
 [(string(.) = "law") or (string(.)="regulation")])
Laws and Regulations - Identification Number:
 (/*/back-matter/resource/prop[@name="type"][@ns="https://fedramp.gov/ns/oscal"]
 [(string(.) = "law") or (string(.)="regulation")])[1]/../doc-id
Laws and Regulations - Title:
 (/*/back-matter/resource/prop[@name="type"][@ns="https://fedramp.gov/ns/oscal"]
 [(string(.) = "law") or (string(.)="regulation")])[1]/../title
Laws and Regulations - Date:
 (/*/back-matter/resource/prop[@name="type"][@ns="https://fedramp.gov/ns/oscal"]
 [(string(.) = "law") or (string(.)="regulation")])[1]/
 ../prop[@name="publication"][@ns="https://fedramp.gov/ns/oscal"]
Laws and Regulations - Link:
 (/*/back-matter/resource/prop[@name="type"][@ns="https://fedramp.gov/ns/oscal"]
 [(string(.) = "law") or (string(.)="regulation")])[1]/../rlink/@href
For Standards and Guidance replace "law" with "standard" and "regulation" with
 "guidance" in the above queries.
```

1 32

FEDRAMP SYSTEM SECURITY PLAN (SSP) ______ BASELINE TEMPLATE

CSP Name | Information System Name

Version #.#, Date

15. ATTACHMENTS

A recommended attachment file naming convention is <information system abbreviation> <attachment number> <document abbreviation> <version number> (for example, "Information System Abbreviation A8 IRP v1.0"). Use this convention to generate names for the attachments. Enter the appropriate file names and file extensions in Table 15-1 to describe the attachments provided. Make only the following additions/changes to Table 15-1:

- The first item, Information Security Policies and Procedures (ISPP), may be fulfilled by multiple documents. If that is the case, add lines to Table 15-1. to differentiate between them using the "xx" portion of the File Name. Example Enter Information System Abbreviation A1 ISPP xx v1.0. Delete the "xx" if there is only one document.
- Enter the file extension for each attachment.
- Do not change the Version Number in the File Name in Table 15-1. (Information System Abbreviation, attachment number, document abbreviation, version number)

Attachment		FodPAMD Conformity Tags	
Information Security Policies and Procedures	Enter Informa v1.0	In this example: FedRAMP Privacy Impact Assessment: • privacy-impact-assessment See the FedRAMP OSCAL Registry for a complete list of Conformity Tags in back-matter	
User Guide	Enter Informa		
Digital Identity Worksheet	Included in Se		
PTA	Included in Se		
PIA (if needed)	Enter Informa		
Rules of Behavior	Enter Information System Abbreviation A5 ROB v1.0		. enter extension
Information System Contingency Plan	Enter Information System Abbreviation A6 ISCP v1.0		. enter extension
Configuration Management Plan	Enter Information System Abbreviation A7 CMP v1.0		. enter extension
Incident Response Plan	Enter Information System Abbreviation A8 IRP v1.0		. enter extension
CIS Workbook	Enter Information System Abbreviation A9 CIS Workbook v1.0		. enter extension
FIPS 199	Included in Section 15		
Inventory			

Table 15-1. Names of Provided Attachments

Conformity Tags are only used where OSCAL syntax alone is not specific enough for FedRAMP tools to clearly identify required content. For example, in an SSP, the authorization boundary diagram in backmatter should always be referenced by the authorization-boundary assembly, making its purpose clear. So no conformity tag is used. Other attachments must have a conformity tag to allow for different IDs and titles, yet ensure FedRAMP tools know which attachment satisfies each requirement.

See the FedRAMP OSCAL Registry for a complete list of conformity tags, and look for content-specific lists in each Guide.

4.9. Attachments and Embedded Content

There are several attachments in a classic FedRAMP MS Word based SSP, SAP, SAR document or Deviation Request (DR) form. Some lend well to machine-readable format, while others do not. Those that are readily modeled in machine-readable format are typically addressed within the OSCAL syntax, while attachments such as policies, procedures, plans, guides, and rules of behavior documents are all treated as attachments in OSCAL as well.

DRAFT Guide to OSCAL-based FedRAMP Content

Further, any diagrams or images that normally appear in context, such as the authorization boundary diagram, are attached in the back-matter and referenced from the body of the OSCAL file, as described in Section 2.7 <u>Citations, Attachments, and Embedded Content in OSCAL Files</u>. The following table represents attachments and embedded content.

```
FedRAMP Extensions and Accepted Values
Attachment Representation
                                     prop (ns="https://fedramp.gov/ns/oscal"):
                                     • name="type"
                                      o Valid: policy, procedure, guide, pia, rob, plan
<!-- cut -->
                                     • name="publication"
<back-matter>
                                     • name="version"
 <!-- citation -->
 <resource id="att-pia">
   <title>Privacy Impact Assessment</title>
   <desc>Privacy Impact Assessment</desc>
   prop name="publication" ns="https://fedramp.gov/ns/oscal">Document Date
   <!-- Use rlink and/or base64 -->
   <!-- Add rlink with relative path or embed with base64 encoding -->
   <rlink href="./pia.docx" />
   <base64>000000000/base64>
 </resource>
 <resource id="diag-boundary-1">
   <desc>The primary authorization boundary diagram.</desc>
   <!-- Use rlink and/or base64 -->
   <rli>k href="./boundary.png" />
   <!-- Add rlink with relative path or embed with base64 encoding -->
   <base64>000000000</pase64>
   <remarks>Set system-characteristics/authorization-boundary/diagram/link/@href =
              "#diag-boundary-1"</remarks>
 </resource>
</back-matter>
XPath Queries
                                                   Replace "policy" with "plan", "rob",
                                                   etc. for each attachment type.
PIA Attachment (Embedded Base64 encoded):
 /*/back-matter/resource/prop[@name='conformity'][@ns=
 [string(.)='privacy-impact-assessment']/.. /base64
PIA Attachment (Relative Link):
 /*/back-matter/resource/prop[@name='conformity'][@ns='https://fedramp.gov/ns/oscal']
 [string(.)='privacy-impact-assessment']/.. /rlink/@href
Publication Date of PIA:
 /*/back-matter/resource/prop[@name='conformity'][@ns='https://fedramp.gov/ns/oscal']
 [string(.)='privacy-impact-assessment']/../prop[@name="publication"]
  [@ns="https://fedramp.gov/ns/oscal"]
```

I 33

APPENDICIES

APPENDIX A. OSCAL-BASED FEDRAMP BASELINES

The system's identified security categorization level governs which FedRAMP baseline applies. This can be checked using the XPath syntax below.

Security Sensitivity Level XPath Query

```
Security Categorization Level:
   /*/system-characteristics/security-sensitivity-level
```

This determines which URL should be entered for the import-profile field in an OSCAL-based FedRAMP SSP.

Baseline Representation

```
<!-- metadata -->
<!-- This must point to the appropriate FedRAMP Baseline -->
<import-profile href="https://path/to/FedRAMP_MODERATE-baseline_profile.xml"/>
<!-- system-characteristics -->
```

FedRAMP validation tools will compare the identified security categorization level to the actual FedRAMP baseline specified in the SSP and raise a warning if a different baseline was used.

High

XML Version:

https://raw.githubusercontent.com/usnistgov/OSCAL/master/content/fedramp.gov/xml/FedRAMP HIGH-baseline profile.xml

JSON Version:

https://raw.githubusercontent.com/usnistgov/OSCAL/master/content/fedramp.gov/json/FedRAMP HIGH-baseline profile.json

Moderate

XML Version:

https://raw.githubusercontent.com/usnistgov/OSCAL/master/content/fedramp.gov/xml/FedRAMP MODERATE-baseline profile.xml

JSON Version:

https://raw.githubusercontent.com/usnistgov/OSCAL/master/content/fedramp.gov/json/FedRAMP MODERATE-baseline profile.json

Low

XML Version:

https://raw.githubusercontent.com/usnistgov/OSCAL/master/content/fedramp.gov/xml/ FedRAMP LOW-baseline profile.xml

JSON Version:

https://raw.githubusercontent.com/usnistgov/OSCAL/master/content/fedramp.gov/json/FedRAMP_LOW-baseline_profile.json

Do not copy and modify the FedRAMP baseline. FedRAMP will use the original, published file for validation, ignoring any modified copies.

If you require a modification to the FedRAMP baselines, such as may be required when directed to do so by an authorizing official, first contact FedRAMP to coordinate the modification, then follow the instructions in Appendix B.

FedRAMP Tailored

FedRAMP Tailored for Low Impact – Software as a Service (LI-SaaS) Appendix B merges SSP, SAP, and SAR information into a single document. The SSP portions of that document may be represented using the same OSCAL conventions described in this document with only a few minor differences.

Specific OSCAL-based FedRAMP Tailored guidance will be published at a later date; however, fully representing Appendix B in OSCAL requires the SSP, SAP, and SAR syntax, used the same way as they are explained for FedRAMP Low, Moderate, and High baselines.

For your convenience, FedRAMP has made the FedRAMP Tailored for LI-SaaS baseline available now in both XML and JSON formats as follows:

Low-Impact SaaS (Tailored)

XML Version:

https://raw.githubusercontent.com/usnistgov/OSCAL/master/content/fedramp.gov/xml/FedRAMP LI-SaaS-baseline profile.xml

JSON Version:

https://raw.githubusercontent.com/usnistgov/OSCAL/master/content/fedramp.gov/json
/FedRAMP LI-SaaS-baseline profile.json

Profile Resolution

Normally, OSCAL is designed to import each layer from the previous layer. While this ensures traceability of selected controls and modified content, it can also be processor intensive. Profile resolution flattens or merges a profile and its imported catalog(s) and profiles into a single OSCAL file using the catalog syntax.

This single file essentially pre-processes the profile -> catalog relationship. This is useful for the FedRAMP baselines given their static nature. Any tool that would normally open an OSCAL-based FedRAMP profile and process it against the NIST SP 800-53 catalog can instead simply use the resolved-profile catalog.

Each FedRAMP XML and JSON baseline profile has a resolved profile catalog in the same location. Where available, these may be used by tools to save processing time.

APPENDIX B. MODIFYING A FEDRAMP BASELINE

OSCAL is designed to allow modification of controls and baselines, while maintaining traceability through each layer of modification. This means you should never copy and modify a FedRAMP baseline.

If you require a change to a FedRAMP baseline, you should first coordinate that change with the FedRAMP JAB or PMO. Assuming FedRAMP agrees with the change, the correct way to implement the change is as follows:

- 1. Create a new, blank OSCAL Profile.
- 2. **Point to the FedRAMP Baseline**: Point it to the appropriate FedRAMP baseline using an import field.
- 3. **Select the Relevant Controls**: Use the include and exclude fields to identify the controls to include or exclude from the FedRAMP baseline.
 - a. For example, if you need all but one control, you can include all, then exclude the one.
- 4. **Specify How Controls Are Organized**: FedRAMP prefers you merge "as-is" using those merge fields. This is relevant when resolving the profile. See the *Profile Resolution* section of this appendix for more information.
- 5. **Modify the Selected Controls**: Use the modify assembly to make modifications to parameters and control definitions.

The next page contains an example profile, which accomplishes the following actions:

- Imports the FedRAMP Moderate baseline
- Includes all controls from that baseline
- Explicitly removes AT-4 from the baseline
- Indicates that if this profile is resolved, the organization of the controls should remain as-is. See the *Profile Resolution* section of this appendix for more information.
- Adds a constraint to the third parameter of AC-1 (ac-1_prm_3), which is more restrictive than
 the FedRAMP constraint, but changing it from "at least annually" to "at least every six months."
- Removes the additional FedRAMP requirement statement in AU-11 and replaces it with a more restrictive statement, which now requires online retention of audit records for at least 180 days instead of 90 days.


For more information on working with profiles, please visit the NIST OSCAL site at: https://pages.nist.gov/OSCAL

A complete OSCAL profile syntax reference is available here: https://pages.nist.gov/OSCAL/documentation/schema/profile/


Sample Profile to Modify a FedRAMP Baseline file xmlns="http://csrc.nist.gov/ns/oscal/1.0" id="uuid-xxxx"> <metadata> <title>[XYZ Org] Modification to FedRAMP Moderate Baseline</title> <last-modified>2019-10-01T11:03:27.392-04:00/last-modified> <version>1.1 <oscal-version>1.0.0-milestone2</oscal-version> </metadata> <import href="https://path/to/FedRAMP MODERATE-baseline profile.xml"> <include> <!-- Include every control in the Moderate baseline --> <all with-child-controls="yes" /> </include> <exclude> <!-- Remove Control AT-4 --> <call control-id="at-4" /> </exclude> </import> <merge><as-is>yes</as-is></merge> <modify> <set param-id="ac-1 prm 3"> <!-- Change the constraint from "at least annually" --> <constraint>at least every six months</constraint> </set> <remove id-ref="au-11 fr" /> <alter control-id="au-11"> <add position="ending"> <part id="au-11 fr" name="item"> <title>[XYZ Org]Modified Requirement</title> <part id="au-11 fr smt.1" name="item"> prop name="label">Requirement: The service provider retains audit records on-line for at 180 days and further preserves audit records off-line for a period that is in accordance with NARA requirements. </part> </part> </add> </alter> </modify> </profile>

Profile Resolution

Profiles are intended to identify upstream sources of control definition information and show only the changes to those upstream sources. This enables humans and computers to trace control definition changes back to their source framework.



An organization may prefer to work with a single, complete file containing only the final set of relevant control definitions. OSCAL provides a process for merging this collection of catalogs and profiles into a single catalog. This process is called "profile resolution."



The merge assembly within an OSCAL profile offers a profile creator control over how the final file is organized. To maintain the same organization, simply use the as-is field and set it to "yes."

The complete profile syntax is available here:

https://pages.nist.gov/OSCAL/documentation/schema/profile/

APPENDIX C. WORKING WITH ROLES, PEOPLE, AND ORGANIZATIONS

An OSCAL file defines roles, people, and organizations within the metadata as part of three separate assemblies:

- role: A role ID and role title are required. Other content, such as a short-name, description, or remarks are optional.
- party: People and organizations are defined next as parties. An organization is any collection of people, and can represent a company, agency, department, or team.
- **responsible-party**: Links roles to parties. The same role can have more than one party assigned to it. Also a party can be assigned to more than one role.

Working with Role Identifiers

All roles within the document are defined under the metadata element as follows:

To ensure consistent processing, FedRAMP has defined a specific set of roles that must exist with a FedRAMP SSP, SAP, SAR, or POA&M. **Most are pre-populated in the OSCAL-based FedRAMP Templates.** They vary by template. OSCAL-based FedRAMP tools must ensure these roles, titles, and descriptions exist within an OSCAL-based FedRAMP content. Additional roles may be added, provided these roles remain.

NIST-defined and FedRAMP-defined role-identifiers are cited in relevant portions of each guide, and summarized in the FedRAMP OSCAL Registry.

FedRAMP Defined Party Identifiers

To ensure compatibility with multiple compliance frameworks, FedRAMP has depreciated the use of FedRAMP-Defined Party Identifiers.