

To the Privacy and Civil Liberties Oversight Board,

I would like to comment on the body that now claims to effectively and independently govern a jurisdiction that primarily includes the central portion of the North American Continent, of which my homestead is a parcel of and which I a full-fledged citizen subject. That governing body currently continues to publicly present itself as staying true to its initial founding belief system. That initial founding belief system states that the citizens subject to the governing body in question are allowed an earnest sense of privacy and are allowed to act and speak freely. That initial founding belief system also states the subsequent presiding governing bodies hold systematic "free and fair elections" and that the subsequent presiding governing bodies proactively subject themselves to "checks and balances" in order assume an identity of purity. I believe that these civil liberties and systems described have been impinged upon to the point where the initial governing body's founding belief system is no longer honored or practiced with any sense of sincerity by the governing body in question. I believe that advanced technology, biotechnology, and hyper-electioneering have aided in desecration of the initial governing body's founding belief system.

I understand that the governing body now in question has become preoccupied with identifier labels including Terrorist(s), Illegal Alien(s), and Person(s) of Interest. I believe that these identifier

labels should be exclusively reserved to describe the following individuals: Terrorist(s)", should be used to identify a person(s) associated with a Muslim extremist Jihadist movement; Illegal Alien(s) should be used to identify a person(s) that has entered into the jurisdiction of the area governed by the governing body currently in question without proper authorization; Person(s) of Interest, should be used to identify a person(s) that are not merely subject to simply being characterized as an interesting person(s). I believe that according to the statutes noted by the initial founding belief system creators, that such identifiers labels would under no circumstance be apropos when denoting rooted, outspoken, creative, nonviolent, innocent citizens. I believe that the governing body in question incorrectly appropriates these identifiers to innocent citizens so that the civil liberties of those citizens might be reduced to that of a person(s) that are subject to these identifier labels. I believe that the governing body in question has abused its power by knowingly placing these incorrect identifier labels on innocent citizens in order to act against certain citizens in order retain power and associated resources. I also believe that the laws or orders that the governing body in question does purportedly recognize allows for the civil liberties of innocent citizens to be reduced without being appropriated any identifier label. I believe that the governing body in question has so impinged on the privacy and civil liberties of its citizens, that the governing body must either be dissolved, explicitly revoke its citizen's rights to privacy and civil liberties publically in layman's terms with clear and easy to comprehend examples, or grant all

of its innocent citizen's uninhibited access to those civil rights that the governing body in question currently publically prides its self on.

I believe that the upper echelon of the governing body in question is primarily comprised of and supported by privileged individuals that choose to invade the privacy of its innocent disadvantaged citizens in an attempt to contextualize the lifestyle of the innocent disadvantaged citizen. I believe that these contextualizations are then broadcast to the public audience by way of multiple media tubes in an attempt by the governing body in question and its supporters to publically present themselves as sympathizing with its disadvantaged citizens and/or to captivate its non-disadvantaged citizens. I believe that such invasions of privacy are done in a shameless manner without any regards for the individual disadvantaged citizen's civil liberties or feelings. I believe that identifier labels might be appropriated to disadvantaged citizens by the governing body in question so as to justify such invasion of privacy. I believe that identifier labels are appropriated to disadvantaged citizens by the governing body in question without that citizen(s) being suspected of having committed a criminal action or being suspected of plotting to commit a criminal action.

I believe that the governing body in question places noetic pressures on its disadvantaged citizens in an attempt to forge the subject citizen into a pleasant representative muse capable of being shown in the public

eye or into a citizen that doesn't directly represent the governing body, a "Government Mule". This despite the possible will of the disadvantaged citizen to speak and act freely in the public eye. I believe that noetic pressure might also be placed on certain disadvantaged citizens in an attempt to have them divulge their intellectual property, with the governing body in question being the primary recipient and benefactor of that intellectual property.

I am terrified to present this notation to a person associated with the governing body in question for fear of initiating retaliatory measures that might be directed my way. However; the initial founding belief system the governing body in question operates on, states that I in no way should have a sense of fear, even for such poignant commentary, but I do. This is because I believe that the governing body in question presides over a program designed to keep the free thinkers and actors out of the public eye.

Sincerely,

Kyle Edward Lennox