5. Dynamic Programming

When to use dynamic programming?

- A greedy dynamic programming algorithm proceeds by:
 - Making a choice based on a simple, local criterion.
 - Solving the subproblem that results from that choice.
 - Combining the choice and the subproblem solution.
- This technique is useful when problem instances contains overlapping subproblems.

When to use dynamic programming?

Overlapping subproblems:

- If S has subproblems A and B,
- Then some smaller subproblems are subproblems of both A and B.

So

- If you have a simple, local criterion to make a choice that leads to an optimal solution → Greedy.
- If you don't and subproblems overlap → Dynamic Programming.
- If subproblems don't overlap → Divide and Conquer.

- Step 1: determine the subproblems we may get by making a choice.
 - e.g.: intervals 1 to j (where j ≤ n) for the weighted interval scheduling problem.
 - e.g.: points p₁ to pᵢ (where i ≤ n) for the segmented least square problem.
 - e.g.: items 1 to i and weight w (where i ≤ n and w ≤ W) for the knapsack problem.

- Step 2: define a recurrence relation for
 - the optimal value of the objective function for the problem

in terms of

its optimal value(s) for one or more subproblems.

E.g. OPT(j) = max { v_j + OPT(p(j)), OPT(j-1) }

- Every DP algorithm relies on a table:
 - it contains the optimal values of the objective functions for the subproblems.
 - it's used to avoid solving each subproblem more than once.

M[0,0]	M[0,1]	M[0,2]	M[0,3]	M[0.4]	M[0.5]
M[1,0]	M[1,1]	M[1,2]	M[1,3]	M[1,4]	M[1,5]
M[2,0]	M[2,1]	M[2,2]	M[2,3]	M[2,4]	M[2,5]

- Step 3: determine the "shape" of the table
 - its dimensions will depend on the number and range of the parameters used to define the subproblems.
 - it is usually an array with as many dimensions as a subproblem has defining parameters.
 - e.g. M[1 ... n] for weighted interval scheduling.
 - e.g. M[0 ... n, 0 ... W] for knapsack.
 - sometimes we store the table information elsewhere
 - e.g. in the nodes of a graph if the algorithm is solving a problem on this graph.

- Step 4: implement the algorithm
 - Approach 1: memoization (recursion)
 - Like the straightforward recursive approach to compute the value given by the recurrence relation
 - But we first look at the table to see if this solution has already been computed.
 - If so, we return it immediately.

- Step 4: implement the algorithm
 - Approach 2: iteration (some people only call this approach "dynamic programming")
 - Loop over the subproblems
 - Start by base cases.
 - Then solve increasingly large subproblems.
 - Make sure that by the time subproblem S needs the solution to suproblem S', it's already been computed.
 - For each subproblem
 - Compute the optimal solution to the subproblem.
 - Using table lookups instead of recursive calls.

- Step 5: retrieve the optimal solution
 - Step 4 gives us the value of the objective function for the optimal solution, but not the solution.
 - To retrieve the solution:
 - start with the original problem and determine the last choice we made (to get the value of the objective function).
 - insert this choice into the solution (usually at the end).
 - then repeat starting from the subproblem we get after making that choice.
 - We do not want to store solutions for every subproblem, because it would increase the space (and possibly time) requirements by a linear factor.