



Wyle Laboratories, Inc.
7800 Highway 20 West
Huntsville, Alabama 35806
Phone (256) 837-4411 • Fax (256) 721-0144
www.wylelabs.com

REPORT NO.: T70049.01-01

WYLE JOB NO.: T70049.01

CLIENT P.O. NO.: TA018

CONTRACT:

TOTAL PAGES (INCLUDING COVER): 113

DATE: April 24, 2012

TEST REPORT

NATIONAL CERTIFICATION TEST REPORT
FOR
CERTIFICATION TESTING
OF THE
ELECTION SYSTEMS & SOFTWARE
UNITY 3.2.0.0 REVISION 3 VOTING SYSTEM

for

Election Systems & Software
11208 John Galt Boulevard
Omaha, NE 68137

STATE OF ALABAMA
COUNTY OF MADISON }

Robert D. Hardy, Department Manager, being duly sworn, deposes and says: The information contained in this report is the result of complete and carefully conducted testing and is to the best of his knowledge true and correct in all respects.

SUBSCRIBED and sworn to before me this 24 day of April 2012

Notary Public in and for the State of Alabama at Large

My Commission expires

Wyle shall have no liability for damages of any kind to person or property, including special or consequential damages, resulting from Wyle's providing the services covered by this report.

PREPARED BY: 4/24/12
Stephen Han, Project Engineer Date

APPROVED BY: 4/24/12
Frank Padilla, Voting Systems Manager Date

WYLE Q. A.: 4/24/12
Raul Terceno, Q. A. Manager Date



NVLAP LAB CODE 200771-0



EAC Lab Code 0704

COPYRIGHT BY WYLE LABORATORIES. THE RIGHT TO REPRODUCE, COPY, EXHIBIT, OR OTHERWISE UTILIZE ANY OF THE MATERIAL CONTAINED HEREIN WITHOUT THE EXPRESS PRIOR PERMISSION OF WYLE LABORATORIES IS PROHIBITED. THE ACCEPTANCE OF A PURCHASE ORDER IN CONNECTION WITH THE MATERIAL CONTAINED HEREIN SHALL BE EQUIVALENT TO EXPRESS PRIOR PERMISSION.



Revisions

REVISION Initial
REPORT NO. T70049.01-01
DATE April 24, 2012

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page No.</u>
1.0 INTRODUCTION.....	1
1.1 Scope	1
1.2 Objective	1
1.3 Test Report Overview	1
1.4 Customer	2
1.5 References	2
2.0 SYSTEM IDENTIFICATION AND OVERVIEW.....	3
2.1 System Overview	3
2.2 System Identification	3
2.2.1 Hardware.....	3
2.2.2 Software	4
2.3 Test Support Materials	4
2.4 Deliverable Materials	5
2.5 Vendor Technical Data Package	5
3.0 CERTIFICATION TEST BACKGROUND.....	10
3.1 General Information about the Certification Test Process	10
3.2 Certification Testing Scope.....	10
3.3 Wyle Quality Assurance	13
3.4 Test Equipment and Instrumentation	13
3.5 Terms and Abbreviations	13
4.0 TEST FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS.....	15
4.1 Summary Findings and Recommendations.....	15
4.1.1 Discrepancy testing	15
4.1.2 Functional Configuration Audit Results	16
4.1.3 Accuracy Test Results.....	16
4.1.4 Volume and Stress Test Results.....	17
4.1.5 System Integration Test Results.....	17
4.1.6 Physical Configuration Audit Results.....	18
4.1.7 Source Code Results	18
4.1.8 Technical Data Package Results	19
4.1.9 Security Test Results.....	19
4.1.10 Maintainability	20
4.2 Anomalies and Resolutions.....	20
4.3 Recommendation for Certification.....	21

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)

	<u>Page No.</u>
<u>APPENDICES</u>	
APPENDIX A– Photographs	A-1
APPENDIX B– Notices of Anomaly	B-1
APPENDIX C – EAC Formal Investigation Report and ES&S Summary of Modifications	C-1
APPENDIX D – Wyle’s Certification Test Plan No. T70049.01-01 REV B	D-1

1.0 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Scope

This report presents the test results for Certification Testing of the Election Systems & Software (ES&S) Unity 3.2.0.0 Revision 3 Voting System. ES&S submitted the Unity 3.2.0.0 Rev. 3 System to Wyle Laboratories, Inc. for certification to the United States Federal Election Commission (FEC) 2002 Voting System Standards (VSS). Per Section 4.4.2.3 of the EAC Testing and Certification Program Manual, all testing on the modifications to the system were tested to the EAC 2005 Voluntary Voting Systems Guidelines (VVSG); however, the system will only be granted a 2002 VSS certification since the system, as a whole, was not tested to the 2005 VVSG.

The focus of this test campaign was to test all additions and modifications made to the system's software, hardware and firmware since the certification of Unity 3.2.0.0. Wyle performed full-functional testing on the DS200 with the primary focus on the modifications of the DS200 firmware to fix the anomalies addressed specifically in the EAC's Formal Investigation Report. These include:

- Intermittent screen freezes, the system lockups and shutdowns which prevents the voting system from operating in the manner in which it was designed.
- Failure to log all normal and abnormal voting system events.
- Skewing of the ballot resulting in a negative effect on system accuracy.

Wyle also tested the additional enhancements stated in Section 5 of ES&S's Summary of Modifications' document provided in the Technical Data Package (TDP).

1.2 Objective

The ES&S Unity 3.2.0.0 Revision 3 System Certification was tested to the United States Federal Election Commission (FEC) 2002 Voting System Standards (VSS) and all applicable EAC 2005 Voluntary Voting Systems Guidelines (VVSG).

1.3. Test Report Overview

This test report consists of four main sections and appendices:

- 1.0 Introduction – Provides the architecture of the National Certification Test Report (hereafter referred to as Test Report); a brief overview of the testing scope of the Test Report; a list of documentation, customer information, and references applicable to the voting system hardware, software, and this test report.
- 2.0 System Identification – Provides information about the equipment tested.
- 3.0 Certification Test Background – Contains information about the certification test process and a list of terms and nomenclature pertinent to the Test Report and system tested.
- 4.0 Test Findings and Recommendation – Provides a summary of the results of the testing process.
- Appendices– Information supporting reviews and testing of the voting system are included as appendices to this report.

(The remainder of this page intentionally left blank)

1.0 INTRODUCTION (Continued)

1.4 Customer

Election Systems & Software
11208 John Galt Boulevard
Omaha, NE 68137

1.5 References

The documents listed were utilized to perform certification testing.

- Election Assistance Commission 2005 Voluntary Voting System Guidelines, Volume I, Version 1.0, "Voting System Performance Guidelines," and Volume II, Version 1.0, "National Certification Testing Guidelines," dated December 2005
- United States Federal Election Commission Voting System Standards Volume I, "Performance Standards" and Volume II, "Test Standards" dated April 2002
- Election Assistance Commission Testing and Certification Program Manual, Version 1.0, effective date January 1, 2007
- Election Assistance Commission Voting System Test Laboratory Program Manual, Version 1.0, effective date July 2008
- National Voluntary Laboratory Accreditation Program NIST Handbook 150, 2006 Edition, "NVLAP Procedures and General Requirements (NIST Handbook 150)," dated February 2006
- National Voluntary Laboratory Accreditation Program NIST Handbook 150-22, 2008 Edition, "Voting System Testing (NIST Handbook 150-22)," dated May 2008
- United States 107th Congress Help America Vote Act (HAVA) of 2002 (Public Law 107-252), dated October 2002
- Wyle Laboratories' Test Guidelines Documents: EMI-001A, "Wyle Laboratories' Test Guidelines for Performing Electromagnetic Interference (EMI) Testing," and EMI-002A, "Test Procedure for Testing and Documentation of Radiated and Conducted Emissions Performed on Commercial Products"
- Wyle Laboratories' Quality Assurance Program Manual, Revision 5
- ANSI/NCSL Z540-1, "Calibration Laboratories and Measuring and Test Equipment, General Requirements"
- ISO 10012-1, "Quality Assurance Requirements for Measuring Equipment"
- EAC Requests for Interpretation (listed on www.eac.gov)
- EAC Notices of Clarification (listed on www.eac.gov)
- EAC Formal Investigation Report for Unity 3.2.0.0 - dated December 20, 2011
- ES&S DS200 Summary of Modifications Unity 3.2.0.0 Revision 3 – print date April 10, 2012
- iBeta Quality Assurance ES&S Unity 3.2.0.0 VSTL Certification Test Plan Version 2.0
- iBeta Test Report No. (V)2009-30Jun-001(D), Version 4.0, "ES&S Unity 3.2.0.0 Voting System VSTL Certification Test Report"

2.0 SYSTEM IDENTIFICATION AND OVERVIEW

2.1 System Overview

The ES&S Unity 3.2.0.0 Revision 3 Voting System is a modification to the certified Unity 3.2.0.0 Voting System. The full ES&S Unity 3.2.0.0 Voting System description can be found in Section 3.0 of iBeta Quality Assurance ES&S Unity 3.2.0.0 Voting System VSTL Certification Test Plan, Version 2.0. For the ES&S Unity 3.2.0.0 Revision 3 Voting System, Wyle only tested the DS200 and its interface with the EMS; therefore, Wyle only documented the configuration used during testing conducted at Wyle.

2.2 System Identification

The materials required for testing of the Unity 3.2.0.0 Revision 3 Voting System included software, hardware, test materials, and deliverable materials shipped directly to Wyle by ES&S. The materials documented in the following sections are the materials used during Wyle's testing of only the DS200 and its interface with the EMS and are not a complete list of materials used in the previously-certified Unity 3.2.0.0 Voting System.

2.2.1 Hardware

This subsection categorizes the equipment the manufacturer submitted for testing listed in Table 2-1. Each test element is included in the list of the equipment required for testing of that element, including system hardware, general purpose data processing and communications equipment, and any required test instrumentation.

Table 2-1 Unity 3.2.0.0 Revision 3 Test Equipment

Equipment	Description	Serial Numbers
DS200 (Hardware Revision 1.2)	Precinct Count Optical Scanner	ES0107360007, DS0110340903, DS0110340830, DS0110340728
Ballot Box	Plastic Ballot Box	E076, E096, BOX-57936-02
Ballot Box	Metal Box with Diverter	4905-76246-10
Dell Latitude E6410 (EMS Laptop)	Processor: Intel Core VPro I5-520 2.4 Ghz Memory: 2x 1GB, 1067 Mhz Ram Hard Drive Capacity: 250 GB	C42F0M1
Transport Media (USB Flash Drives)	SanDisk 2GB Cruzer Micro Delkin 512MB Delkin 4GB Delkin 8GB	Wyle-assigned: TM-XXX
Compact Flash	Delkin Devices 1 GB Compact Flash	Wyle-assigned: CF-XXX

(The remainder of this page intentionally left blank)

2.0 SYSTEM IDENTIFICATION AND OVERVIEW (Continued)

2.2 System Identification (Continued)

2.2.2 Software

The software evaluated was limited to the firmware build for the DS200. Only the changes incorporated since the iBeta Unity 3.2.0.0 test campaign were evaluated by Wyle. The “Build” software environments were constructed by Wyle. Wyle utilized an EMS laptop to load election information onto transport media and received voted election data from the tabulators. Wyle did not test the EMS for any other functionality. Wyle used a total of fourteen election definitions for this test campaign. Of the fourteen election definitions, Wyle developed six and ES&S developed eight.

Table 2-2 Software Required for Testing

Software Required For Testing	Software Version
DS200 Firmware	1.6.1.0*
Scanner Board Firmware	2.22.0.0
Power Management Board	1.2.4.0
Audit Manager (AM)	7.5.2.0
Election Data Manager (EDM)	7.8.1.0
ES&S Ballot Image Manager (ESSIM)	7.7.1.0
Hardware Programming Manager (HPM)	5.7.1.0
Election Reporting Manager (ERM)	7.5.4.0
Log Monitor Service	1.0.0.0
AIMS	1.3.157
VAT Previewer	1.3.2906

*The final version tested was 1.6.1.0; however, tests were performed on multiple previous versions.

2.3 Test Support Materials

This subsection enumerates any and all test materials needed to perform voting system testing. The scope of testing determines the quantity of a specific material required.

The following test materials were required to support the Unity 3.2.0.0 Revision 3 test campaign:

Table 2-3 Test Support Equipment

Test Material	Quantity
Paper Rolls	25 rolls total
Pre Printed Ballots	2,630 total (all supported sizes were tested: 11”, 14”, 17”, 19”)

(The remainder of this page intentionally left blank)

2.0 SYSTEM IDENTIFICATION AND OVERVIEW (Continued)

2.4 Deliverable Materials

The materials delivered by ES&S as part of the Unity 3.2.0.0 Revision 3 Voting System to the user are documented in Section 3.4, “Deliverable Materials,” of iBeta Quality Assurance ES&S Unity 3.2.0.0 Voting System VSTL Certification Test Plan, Version 2.0.

2.5 Vendor Technical Data Package

The Technical Data Package (TDP) contains information about requirements, design, configuration management, quality assurance, and system operations. The EAC 2005 VVSG requirements state that, at a minimum, the TDP shall contain the following documentation: system configuration overview; system functionality description; system hardware specifications; software design and specifications; system test and verification specifications; system security specifications; user/system operations procedures; system maintenance procedures; personnel deployment and training requirements; configuration management plan; quality assurance program; and system change notes.

(The remainder of this page intentionally left blank)

2.0 SYSTEM IDENTIFICATION AND OVERVIEW (Continued)

2.5 Vendor Technical Data Package

Table 2-4 ES&S Unity 3.2.0.0 Rev 3 Voting System TDP

Unity 3.2.0.0 Revision 3 TDP Documents	Version	Doc #	Document Code
<i>System Overview</i>			
Voting System Overview	1.0	01-01	U3200r3_OVR00
System Limitations	2.0	01-02	U3200r3_OVR02_SystemLimitations
DS200 Summary of Modification	2.0	01-04	U3200r3_OVR04_SummaryofModification
<i>System Functionality Description</i>			
System Functionality Description – Audit Manager	1.0	02-01	U3200r3_SFD00_AM
System Functionality Description – Election Data Manager	1.0	02-02	U3200r3_SFD00_EDM
System Functionality Description – ES&S Ballot Image Manager	2.0	02-03	U3200r3_SFD00_ESSIM
System Functionality Description – Hardware Programming Manager	1.0	02-04	U3200r3_SFD00_HPM
System Functionality Description – Election Reporting Manager	1.0	02-05	U3200r3_SFD00_ERM
System Functionality Description – DS200	3.0	02-06	U3200r3_SFD00_DS200
System Functionality Description – Model 650	1.0	02-07	U3200r3_SFD00_M650
System Functionality Description – Log Monitor Service	1.0	02-08	U3200r3_SFD00_Log Monitor
<i>System Hardware Specification</i>			
System Hardware Specification – DS200	3.0	03-01	U3200r3_SHS00_DS200
System Hardware Specification – Model 650	1.0	03-02	U3200r3_SHS00_M650
<i>Software Design and Specification</i>			
Software Design and Specification – Audit Manager	1.0	04-01	U3200r3_SDS00_AM
Software Design and Specification – Election Data Manager	4.0	04-02	U3200r3_SDS00_EDM

(The remainder of this page intentionally left blank)

2.5 Vendor Technical Data Package (Continued)

Table 2-4 ES&S Unity 3.2.0.0 Rev 3 Voting System TDP (Continued)

Unity 3.2.0.0 Revision 3 TDP Documents	Version	Doc #	Document Code
<i>Software Design and Specification</i>			
Software Design and Specification – ES&S Ballot Image Manager	1.0	04-03	U3200r3_SDS00_ESSIM
Software Design and Specification – Hardware Programming Manager	1.0	04-04	U3200r3_SDS00_HPM
Software Design and Specification – Election Reporting Manager	1.0	04-05	U3200r3_SDS00_ERM
Software Design and Specification – DS200	4.0	04-06	U3200r3_SDS00_DS200
Software Design and Specification – Model 650	1.0	04-07	U3200r3_SDS00_M650
Software Design and Specification – Log Monitor Service	1.0	04-09	U3200r3_SDS00_LogMonitor
<i>System Security Specification</i>			
System Security Specification	4.0.0.0	05-01	U3200r3_SSS00
SS Appendix – Jurisdiction Security Procedures Template	1.0.0.1	05-02	U3200r3_SSS01_JSP Template
SSS Appendix – System Hardening Procedures	1.0	05-03	U3200r3_SSS08_Hardening Procedures
<i>System Test/Verification Specification</i>			
Unity 3.2.0.0 Revision 3 System Test Plan	1.0	06-01	U3200r3_STP00
System Test Cases – Audit Manager	3.13.2009	06-02	U3200r3_TC00_AM
System Test Cases – Election Data Manager	3.31.2011	06-03	U3200r3_TC00_EDM
System Test Cases – ES&S Ballot Image Manager	3.31.2011	06-04	U3200r3_TC00_ESSIM
System Test Cases – Hardware Programming Manager	3.31.2011	06-05	U3200r3_TC00_HPM
System Test Cases – Election Reporting Manager	3.31.2011	06-06	U3200r3_TC00_ERM
System Test Cases – DS200	1.13.2011	06-07	U3200r3_TC00_DS200
System Test Cases – M650	10.17.2008	06-08	U3200r3_TC00_M650
System Test Cases – DS200 SanDisk USB Media	6.1.2009	06-09	U3200r3_TC00_DS20001_SanDiskUSBMedia

(The remainder of this page intentionally left blank)

2.5 Vendor Technical Data Package (Continued)

Table 2-4 ES&S Unity 3.2.0.0 Rev 3 Voting System TDP (Continued)

Systems Operations Procedures			
System Operations Procedures – Audit Manager	1.13.2012	07-01	U3200r3_SOP00_AM
System Operations Procedures – Election Data Manager	4.10.2012	07-02	U3200r3_SOP00_EDM
System Operations Procedures – ES&S Ballot Image Manager	1.13.2012	07-03	U3200r3_SOP00_ESSIM
System Operations Procedures – Hardware Programming Manager	1.13.2012	07-04	U3200r3_SOP00_HPM
System Operations Procedures – Election Reporting Manager	3.16.2012	07-05	U3200r3_SOP00_ERM
System Operations Procedures – DS200	4.11.2012	07-06	U3200r3_SOP00_DS200
System Operations Procedures – Model 650	1.13.2012	07-07	U3200r3_SOP00_M650
Systems Operations Procedures-Log Monitor Service	1.13.2012	07-09	U3200r3_SOP00_LogMonitor
System Maintenance Manuals			
System Maintenance Manual – DS200	4.10.2012	08-01	U3200r3_SMM00_DS200
System Maintenance Manual – Model 650	1.13.2012	08-02	U3200r3_SMM00_M650
Personnel Deployment and Training Manuals			
Personnel Deployment and Training Recommendations	1.0	09-01	U3200r3_TRN00_Training Requirements
Training Manual – Election Data Manager	2.20.2009	09-02	U3200r3_TRN00_EDM_TrainingManual
Training Manual – ES&S Ballot Image Manager	2.20.2009	09-03	U3200r3_TRN00_ESSIM_TrainingManual
Training Manual – Hardware Programming Manager	2.20.2009	09-04	U3200r3_TRN00_HPM_TrainingManual
Training Manual – Election Reporting Manager	6.22.2009	09-05	U3200r3_TRN00_ERM_PreElection
Training Manual – Election Reporting Manager	6.22.2009	09-06	U3200r3_TRN00_ERM_Election
Configuration Management Plan			
ES&S Configuration Management Plan	1.0	10-1	U3200r3_CMP00
CM Plan Appendices	---	10-2	Multiple Documents

(The remainder of this page intentionally left blank)

2.5 Vendor Technical Data Package (Continued)

Table 2-4 ES&S Unity 3.2.0.0 Rev 3 Voting System TDP (Continued)

<i>QA Program</i>			
Quality Assurance Program - Manufacturing	1.0	11-01	U3200r3_QAP00_MNF
Quality Assurance Program – Software and Firmware	1.0	11-02	U3200r3_QAP00_SWF
QAP Program Appendices	---	11-03	Multiple Documents
<i>Other VSTL Reports</i>			
ES&S Ballot Production Guide	12.06.2011	13-01	U3200r3_OVSTR_BallotProductionGuide

(The remainder of this page intentionally left blank)

3.0 CERTIFICATION TEST BACKGROUND

Wyle Laboratories is an independent testing laboratory for systems and components under harsh environments, including dynamic and climatic extremes as well as the testing of electronic voting systems. Wyle holds the following accreditations:

- ISO-9001:2000
- OSHA Accredited
- NVLAP Accredited ISO 17025:2005
- EAC Accredited VSTL, NIST 150,150-22
- A2LA Accredited (Certification No.'s 845.01, 845.02, and 845.03)
- FCC Approved Contractor Test Site (Part 15, 18, 68)

3.1 General Information about the Certification Test Process

All testing performed as part of the test effort was performed at the Wyle Labs Huntsville, AL facility. Qualification/Certification testing was limited to the ES&S Unity 3.2.0.0 Revision 3 Voting System components previously identified in this report.

All hardware used during testing for this test campaign was configured “As Used” for voting. Each tabulator was placed on a ballot box and loaded with the proper firmware. The Unity 3.2.0.0 Revision 3 EMS suite was loaded on a COTS laptop. All media used during testing was loaded from this EMS laptop. All hardware used to build the DS200 firmware was configured by Wyle.

3.2 Certification Testing Scope

As stated previously, the certified ES&S Unity 3.2.0.0 Voting System resulted in an EAC Formal Investigation Report, dated December 20, 2011. The primary objective of the tests for the Unity 3.2.0.0 Revision 3 Voting System conducted by Wyle was to:

- Perform testing on the issues documented in the EAC Formal Investigation Report
 - Freeze/Shutdown
 - Failure to Log
 - Ballot Skew
 - Unresponsive Touch Screen

(The remainder of this page intentionally left blank)

3.0 CERTIFICATION TEST BACKGROUND (Continued)

3.2 Certification Testing Scope (Continued)

- Perform full-functional testing on the DS200 with the new firmware and its interface with the EMS
 - Functional Configuration Audit (FCA)
 - Accuracy
 - Volume and Stress
 - System Integration
 - Physical Configuration Audit
 - Source Code Review
 - Technical Data Package (TDP) Review
 - Regression
 - Security
 - Maintainability
- Perform testing on the additional enhancements to the DS200 documented by ES&S.
 - Implemented a change to stop turning off the backlight when on battery power to avoid a user accidentally pressing a hot spot (button) on a blank screen that causes an action that begins before the screen is bright enough to see again.
 - Added a query override function for overvote or blank ballot rejection so that poll workers can easily feed any ballots in the emergency bin at the end of the day. (The voters are no longer present.)
 - Added a function to print the machine ID and poll number at end of results tape so that a person can identify the source of the machine with the need to unroll the paper from a long report.
 - Added an early voting Ballot Styles per Precinct Report so that election workers can account for ballots in the bin on a regular basis during an extended period such as early voting. This report tallies the number of ballot pages deposited to the ballot bin and does not include contest results.
 - Added a protected counter at the request of the Florida-based ES&S customers.
 - Added code to eject any ballots in transport during start up and log the action in case a user had shut down the machine with a ballot still in the transport.

(The remainder of this page intentionally left blank)

3.0 CERTIFICATION TEST BACKGROUND (Continued)

3.2 Certification Testing Scope (Continued)

Due to the prevalence of the DS200 component across the ES&S Unity line of products, and the fact that the DS200 was tested in part by three separate VSTLs, Wyle performed full regression testing on the DS200 for all functional requirements set forth in the EAC 2005 VVSG with the following requirements listed in Table 3-1 of Volume I identified by the EAC as being of particular interest:

Table 3-1 Test Requirements

Test Requirement 2005 VVSG Volume I	WOP/Test Case
2.1.1 (b)	WOP3, WOP 6d
2.1.2	VOTE_TC-ESS200-16 Accuracy
2.1.3 (a)	WOP 26 VOTE_TC-ESS200-15 Log Analysis
2.1.4 (e, g, h, i, j)	WOP 26 VOTE_TC-ESS200-15 Log Analysis
2.1.5.1 (a), (i), (b), (i, ii, iii, iv, v, vi)	VOTE_TC-ESS200-15 Log Analysis VOTE_TC-117 Error Handling Ballots VOTE_TC-118 Error Handling Election Media VOTE_TC-119 Election Media Full
2.1.7.1 (a), (c)	VOTE_TC-ESS200-15 Log Analysis VOTE_TC-ESS200-16 Accuracy VOTE_TC-ESS200-22 System_Integration
2.3.1.1 (b)	WOP 26 VOTE_TC-ESS200-22 System_Integration
2.3.1.2 (f)	VOTE_TC-ESS200-22 System_Integration
2.3.3.1 (c)	VOTE_TC-ESS200-16 Accuracy VOTE_TC-ESS200-22 System_Integration
4.1.1	VOTE_TC-ESS200-16 Accuracy
4.1.4.2 (a), (iii), (b) (all)	VOTE_TC-ESS200-16 Accuracy VOTE_TC-ESS200-22 System_Integration WOP 3
4.1.5.2 (all)	VOTE_TC-ESS200-16 Accuracy VOTE_TC-ESS200-22 System_Integration
4.1.6.1 (all)	VOTE_TC-ESS200-16 Accuracy
4.3.4.2 (a), (b)	WOP 27, WOP 3
5.4 (all)	WOP 26, WOP 3 VOTE_TC-ESS200-15 Log Analysis VOTE_TC-ESS200-16 Accuracy VOTE_TC-ESS200-22 System_Integration

Additionally, the following WOPs were used to support the test campaign but were not mapped to additional test requirements: WOP 2 (Receipt Inspection), WOP 4 (Test Plan Preparation), WOP 7 (Trusted Build), and WOP 34 (Test Report).

(The remainder of this page intentionally left blank)

3.0 CERTIFICATION TEST BACKGROUND (Continued)

3.3 Wyle Quality Assurance

All work performed on this program was in accordance with Wyle Laboratories' Quality Assurance Program and Wyle Laboratories' Quality Program Manual, which conforms to the applicable portions of International Standard Organization (ISO) Guide 17025.

The Wyle Laboratories, Huntsville Facility, Quality Management System is registered in compliance with the ISO-9001 International Quality Standard. Registration has been completed by Quality Management Institute (QMI), a Division of Canadian Standards Association (CSA).

3.4 Test Equipment and Instrumentation

All instrumentation, measuring, and test equipment used in the performance of this test program was calibrated in accordance with Wyle Laboratories' Quality Assurance Program, which complies with the requirements of ANSI/NCSL 2540-1, ISO 10012-1, and ISO/IEC 17025. Standards used in performing all calibrations are traceable to the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) by report number and date. When no national standards exist, the standards are traceable to international standards, or the basis for calibration is otherwise documented.

3.5 Terms and Abbreviations

Table 3-2 in this subsection defines all terms and abbreviations applicable to this Test Report.

Table 3-2 Terms and Abbreviations

Term	Abbreviation	Definition
Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990	ADA	ADA is a wide-ranging civil rights law that prohibits, under certain circumstances, discrimination based on disability
Configuration Management	CM	---
Commercial Off the Shelf	COTS	Commercial, readily available hardware or software
Direct Record Electronic	DRE	---
United States Election Assistance Commission	EAC	Commission created per the Help America Vote Act of 2002, assigned the responsibility for setting voting system standards and providing for the voluntary testing and certification of voting systems.
Election Management System	EMS	---
Equipment Under Test	EUT	---
Functional Configuration Audit	FCA	Verification of system functions and combination of functions cited in the manufacturer's documentation.
Help America Vote Act	HAVA	Act created by United States Congress in 2002.

(The remainder of this page intentionally left blank)

3.0 CERTIFICATION TEST BACKGROUND (Continued)

3.5 Terms and Abbreviations (Continued)

Table 3-2 Terms and Abbreviations (Continued)

National Institute of Standards and Technology	NIST	Government organization created to promote U.S. innovation and industrial competitiveness by advancing measurement science, standards, and technology in ways that enhances economic security and improves our quality of life.
Physical Configuration Audit	PCA	Review by accredited test laboratory to compare voting system components submitted for certification testing to the manufacturer's technical documentation, and confirmation the documentation meets national certification requirements. A witnessed build of the executable system is performed to ensure the certified release is built from tested components.
Quality Assurance	QA	---
Technical Data Package	TDP	Manufacturer documentation related to the voting system required to be submitted as a precondition of certification testing.
Voting System Standards	VSS	Published by the FEC, second iteration of national level voting system standards.
Voluntary Voting System Guidelines	2005 VVSG	Published by the EAC, the third iteration of national level voting system standards.
Wyle Operating Procedure	WOP	Wyle Test Method or Test Procedure

(The remainder of this page intentionally left blank)

4.0 TEST FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

4.1 Summary Findings and Recommendation

The ES&S Unity 3.2.0.0 Revision 3 Voting System components, as listed in Section 2.0, were subjected to the tests described in Section 3.2 of this report. The results of those tests are summarized in the sections below. All hard copy data generated by the performance of these tests is retained by Wyle as raw data.

4.1.1 Discrepancy Testing

In order to address the specific discrepancies documented in the EAC Formal Investigation Report, Wyle developed and performed a number of test cases specifically designed to verify that the discrepancies have been resolved. Table 4-1 below shows the discrepancy, the test case and its objective, and the results of those test cases.

4-1 Discrepancy Test Cases

Discrepancy	Test Case	Objective/Description	Result
Freeze/ Shutdown	TC-Freeze Shutdown	This test investigates the EAC discrepancy where the DS200 initiates a shutdown and freezes preventing the DS200 from operating in a manner in which it was designed.	Pass
Failure to Log	TC-Log Analysis	To evaluate and verify the DS200 audit logging system for consistency and accuracy to VVSG requirements. Both the physical and digital logs.	Pass
	TC-Error Handling Ballots TC-Error Election Media TC-Election Media Full	Negative test cases to induce error messages as described in manufacturer documentation and verify that they are properly logged and recorded.	Pass
	TC-Admin Menu Logging	Test the ability to log changes made in the administration menu to the USB and/or CF as stated in the manufacturer documentation.	Pass
	TC-Ballot Skew 1	To investigate the EAC discrepancy for ballot skew. All supported ballot sizes in all four orientations were used.	Pass
Ballot Skew	TC-Ballot Skew 2	To investigate the EAC discrepancy for ballot skew. The ballots for this test case were pre-printed with a certain degree of skew.	Pass
	TC-Unresponsive Touch Screen	This test investigates the EAC discrepancy where the DS200 fails to function with an unresponsive touch screen, referred to as the “sys.log issue”, whereby the DS200 screen calibration settings become inaccessible due to an unmanaged diagnostic file.	Pass

Summary Findings: A Discrepancy Test on the DS200 was performed during which the modifications to resolve all of the discrepancies documented in the EAC Formal Investigation Report operated as described in the system's technical documentation. During the test, three discrepancies (Nos. 1, 3, and 4) were discovered. Notice of Anomaly No. 3, documenting these discrepancies, are found in Appendix B of this report. All discrepancies noted were corrected prior to the conclusion of the test campaign.

4.0 TEST FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS (Continued)

4.1 Summary Findings and Recommendation (Continued)

4.1.2 Functional Configuration Audit

An abbreviated Functional Configuration Audit (FCA) was performed on the ES&S Unity 3.2.0.0 Revision 3 Voting System in accordance with Section 6.7 of Volume II of the VVSG. The purpose of the FCA was to verify the modification to the DS200 performed as documented in the ES&S-supplied technical documentation and validate that the modifications meet the requirements of the EAC 2005 VVSG.

To perform the FCA, the Unity 3.2.0.0 Revision 3 Voting System was subjected to a series of tests to regression test all modifications to the certified system and retest areas around the modification to ensure that those areas continue to function properly. The modifications included firmware enhancements to address the issues and added features previously described in Section 3.2 of this report.

Summary Findings: A Functional Configuration Audit of the DS200 was performed during which the modification and added functionality operated as described in the system's technical documentation. During the FCA, three discrepancies (Nos. 2, 5, and 6) were discovered. Notice of Anomaly No. 3, documenting these discrepancies, are found in Appendix B of this report. All discrepancies noted were corrected prior to the conclusion of the test campaign.

4.1.3 Accuracy Test

Per the VVSG, data accuracy is defined in terms of ballot position error rate. This rate applies to the voting functions and supporting equipment that capture, record, store, consolidate, and report the selections (or absence thereof) made by the voter for each ballot position. To meet the requirements of this test, the voting system must be subjected to the casting of a large number of ballots to verify vote recording accuracy, i.e. at least 1,549,703 ballot positions correctly read and recorded.

The ES&S-provided ballots that are pre-marked with their specific declared level for 20% of the ballots were used during the accuracy test. Wyle hand marked equal to or greater than the manufacturers' declared level, but less than 100%, the other 80% of the ballots were used during the test. The combined pre-marked and hand-marked ballots were used to achieve the 1,549,703 ballots positions necessary for the voting system to be accepted.

(The remainder of this page intentionally left blank)

4.0 TEST FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS (Continued)

4.1 Summary Findings and Recommendation (Continued)

4.1.3 Accuracy Test (Continued)

Table 4-2 shows the breakdown of how many ballots of the different sizes that was ran during the accuracy test.

Table 4-2 Unity 3.2.0.0 Revision 3 Accuracy Test

Ballot size	# of Ballots	# Vendor Marked	# Hand Marked	# Ballot Positions per Ballot	# of Machines in Test	# of times Voted per machine	Total Ballot Positions
11 inch	50	10	40	216	3	8	259200
14 inch	50	10	40	288	3	8	345600
17 inch	50	10	40	360	3	8	432000
19 inch	50	10	40	408	3	9	550800
Total	200	40	160	N/A	N/A	33	1587600

Summary Findings: The DS200 successfully met the requirements of the Data Accuracy Test by scanning and processing at least 1,549,703 ballot positions. Wyle also imported the results successfully to the EMS. No anomalies were noted during the performance of the Accuracy test.

4.1.4 Volume and Stress Test

Testing was performed by running a special volume test that would process numerous ballots through the system at higher than expected rate. Two DS200s were utilized in this test. One DS200 processed 10,000 ballots utilizing a “shoeshine” mode. The other DS200 processed 3,110 hand fed ballots. Wyle developed and executed two special Volume and Stress election definitions for this test. (PrecinctsContestsParties and BallotstylesCandidates)

Summary Findings: The DS200 successfully scanned and processed 13,110 ballots during the Volume and Stress Test. No anomalies were noted during the performance of this test.

4.1.5 System Integration Test

System Integration Testing was performed to test system hardware, software, and peripherals. System Integration Testing focused on the DS200 and its interface with the EMS including all proprietary software, proprietary hardware, proprietary peripherals, COTS software, COTS hardware, and COTS peripherals configured as a precinct count unit as described in the ES&S-submitted TDP for the Unity 3.2.0.0 Revision 3 Voting System. To perform the System Integration Testing, Wyle developed specific procedures and test cases designed to test the system as a whole. These procedures demonstrated compliance of the Unity 3.2.0.0 Revision 3 Voting System to Sections 2, 3, 4, 5, and 6 of Volume I of the VVSG.

(The remainder of this page intentionally left blank)

4.0 TEST FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS (Continued)

4.1 Summary Findings and Recommendation (Continued)

4.1.5 System Integration Test (Continued)

The six election definitions exercised during the System Integration Testing are listed below:

- PRIM-01
- PRIM-02
- PRIM-03
- GEN-01
- GEN-02
- GEN-03

Summary Findings: Through System Integration Testing, it was demonstrated that the system performed as documented with all components performing their intended functions. No anomalies were noted during testing.

4.1.6 Physical Configuration Audit

A focused Physical Configuration Audit (PCA) of the Unity 3.2.0.0 Revision 3 Voting System was performed in accordance with Section 6.6 of Volume II of the VVSG. The PCA compares the voting system components submitted for certification with the vendor's technical documentation and confirms that the documentation submitted meets the requirements of the Guidelines. The purpose of the PCA is to: establish a configuration baseline (both hardware and software) of the system to be tested; verify that the reviewed source code conforms to the vendor's specification; and assess the adequacy of user acceptance test procedures and data.

The PCA performed on the Unity 3.2.0.0 Revision 3 Voting System consisted of inspecting the DS200 scanner and firmware/software and the TDP used in the Unity 3.2.0.0 Revision 3 Voting System.

Summary Findings: A focused PCA was performed to baseline the system's hardware and software components prior to commencement of the test campaign. No discrepancies were noted during the PCA.

4.1.7 Source Code Review

The source code for the modifications made to the DS200 for the Unity 3.2.0.0 Revision 3 Voting System was reviewed for conformance with the requirements set forth in Section 5.4 of the EAC 2005 VVSG coding standards and the vendor supplied coding standards. The review was conducted as part of the pre-testing activities and was performed per the guidelines described in the following paragraphs.

Wyle used the source code from the original EAC Certification for Unity 3.2.0.0 effort as a baseline to compare against the modified source code. As source code was received, a SHA1 hash value was created for each source file. The source code team then conducted a visual scan of every line of modified source code. Each identified violation was recorded by making notes of the standard violation along with directory name, file name, and line number.

(The remainder of this page intentionally left blank)

4.0 TEST FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS (Continued)

4.1 Summary Findings and Recommendation (Continued)

4.1.7 Source Code Review (Continued)

Summary Findings: Other than the coding standards noted in the technical summary reports, no other deficiencies or significant problems were found during the source code review. A technical summary report of all identified standards violations was sent to ES&S for resolution. ES&S then corrected all standards violations and re-submitted the source code for re-review. This process was repeated as many times as necessary, until all identified standards violations were corrected. Notice of Anomaly No. 2, documenting these discrepancies, are found in Appendix B of this report.

4.1.8 Technical Data Package Review

The ES&S Unity 3.2.0.0 Revision 3 Voting System Technical Data Package (TDP) was reviewed to the 2005 VVSG. This review was performed as part of the pre-testing activities. The modified TDP documents were reviewed to ensure that all modifications to the system are described as applicable. The TDP documents were reviewed for accuracy, completeness, and compliance to the VVSG. The TDP documentation served as the basis for design and development of all functional tests.

Summary Findings: The review results were recorded in a worksheet that provided the pass/fail compliance to each applicable VVSG requirement. ES&S corrected nonconformance observations and resubmitted the associated documents for review. This process continued until the TDP complied with TDP Standards.

A summary of the TDP issues encountered is provided below.

- Some descriptive information included was inconsistent with descriptions in other TDP documents
- Not all VVSG requirements were initially addressed in some of the documents
- Some of the individual user guides included information which conflicted with the actual information encountered when verified during the testing process.

All TDP issues listed were resolved prior to review conclusion. Notice of Anomaly No. 1, documenting these discrepancies, are found in Appendix B of this report.

4.1.9 Security

A Security Test which focused on the DS200 of the Unity 3.2.0.0 Revision 3 Voting System was performed as part of this campaign. The Security Test was performed to verify that the modifications made to the DS200 did not compromise the security of the system.

The Security Test performed on the DS200 consisted of TDP Review, Source Code Review, and WOP 6d.

Summary Findings: A limited Security Test was performed to verify that the modifications to the DS200 did not compromise the system's security. No discrepancies were noted during the Security Test.

(The remainder of this page intentionally left blank)

4.0 TEST FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS (Continued)

4.1 Summary Findings and Recommendation (Continued)

4.1.10 Maintainability

All maintenance-required actions listed in the TDP were performed by Wyle Laboratories personnel to determine the ability to perform the actions required.

4.2 Anomalies and Resolutions

Three Notices of Anomaly were issued during the test campaign. A Notice of Anomaly (NOA) is generated upon occurrence of a verified failure, an unexpected test result, or any significant unsatisfactory condition. All anomalies encountered during certification testing were successfully resolved prior to test completion. The Notices of Anomaly generated during testing are presented in their entirety in Appendix B and are summarized below.

Notice of Anomaly No. 1: Technical Data Package (TDP) Review

Review of the submitted documentation revealed discrepancies between the TDP and the EAC 2005 VVSG requirements. Functional testing also identified text in the TDP that conflicted with the actual operation of the system. Each noted discrepancy was documented in detail in the Wyle-generated TDP review reports on file as raw data. The review results were recorded in a worksheet that provided the pass/fail compliance to each applicable EAC 2005 VVSG requirement. ES&S corrected each nonconformance observation and resubmitted the associated documents for review. This process continued until the TDP complied with all applicable requirements.

Notice of Anomaly No. 2: Source Code Review

Review of the submitted source code modules comprising the ES&S Unity 3.2.0.0 Revision 3 Voting System revealed deviations from the standard as well as issues with the commenting. These anomalies are documented in detail in the Wyle-generated review reports on file as raw data. Upon completion of the review for each source code submission, a technical summary report of all identified standards violations was sent to ES&S for resolution. ES&S then corrected the reported violations and re-submitted the source code for re-review. This process was repeated as many times as necessary until all identified standards violations were corrected.

Notice of Anomaly No. 3: FCA

During the FCA of the Unity 3.2.0.0 Revision 3 Voting System, Wyle discovered six discrepancies with the DS200.

1. The digital and paper system audit log did not log the last time clock change on the unit. It was determined that the only way the last clock change event log changed was when a user changed the time using the pre-defined time zones that are on the DS200.
2. The REPT button on the on-screen keyboard did not have a function.
3. The paper audit log did not show a power off event logged on the DS200. The power off event was being logged on the digital audit log, but not the paper audit log.
4. There was no ability for a user to print the audit log until the polls are opened and then closed.

4.0 TEST FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS (Continued)

4.2 Anomalies and Resolutions (Continued)

Notice of Anomaly No. 3: FCA (Continued)

5. The shift key on the on-screen keyboard behaved exactly like the caps lock key. The user could lock both keys resulting in an unknown output.
6. When an election was programmed for a two sided ballot, and a one sided ballot was scanned by the DS200, the wrong error message appeared.

Wyle reported the discrepancies to ES&S. ES&S revised the system source code to resolve these discrepancies to the DS200 resident firmware. During regression testing, Wyle verified that these discrepancies were fixed.

4.3 Recommendation for Certification

Wyle performed conformance and regression testing on all modifications submitted for the ES&S Unity 3.2.0.0 Revision 3 Voting System. Wyle only tested the DS200 for the modifications and its interface with the EMS. These modifications meet the requirements of the EAC 2005 VVSG and the manufacturer's technical documentation. These modifications resolve all of the specific issues documented in the EAC Formal Investigation Report. As such, Wyle recommends the EAC grant the ES&S Unity 3.2.0.0 Revision 3 Voting System certification to the EAC 2005 VVSG.

This report is valid only for the equipment identified in Section 2 of this report. Any changes, revisions, or corrections made to the system after this evaluation shall be submitted to the EAC to determine if the modified system requires a new application, or can be submitted as a modified system. The scope of testing required was determined based upon the degree of modification.

Due to the varying requirements of individual jurisdictions, it is recommended by the EAC 2005 VVSG that local jurisdictions perform pre-election logic and accuracy tests on all systems prior to their use in an election within their jurisdiction.

APPENDIX A
PHOTOGRAPHS



Photograph 1: ES&S Unity 3.2.0.0 Revision 3 Test Equipment Setup



Photograph 2: ES&S Unity 3.2.0.0 Revision 3 FCA Test Setup



Photograph 3: ES&S Unity 3.2.0.0 Revision 3 Skew v.1 Test Setup



Photograph 4: ES&S Unity 3.2.0.0 Revision 3 Skew v.2 Test Setup



Photograph 5: ES&S Unity 3.2.0.0 Revision 3 Accuracy Test Setup



Photograph 6: ES&S Unity 3.2.0.0 Revision 3 Volume & Stress Test Setup



Photograph 7: ES&S Unity 3.2.0.0 Revision 3 System Integration Test Setup



Photograph 8: ES&S Unity 3.2.0.0 Revision 3 Regression Test Setup



Photograph 9: ES&S Unity 3.2.0.0 Revision 3 Security Test Setup

APPENDIX B

NOTICES OF ANOMALY



ORIGINAL	NOTICE OF ANOMALY	DATE: 04/17/2012
NOTICE NO: 1	P.O. NUMBER: TA018	CONTRACT NO: N/A
CUSTOMER: Election Systems and Software (ES&S)	WYLE JOB NO: T70049.01	
NOTIFICATION MADE TO: Ben Swartz	NOTIFICATION DATE: 04/09/2012	
NOTIFICATION MADE BY: Stephen Han	VIA: e-mail	
CATEGORY: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SPECIMEN <input type="checkbox"/> PROCEDURE <input type="checkbox"/> TEST EQUIPMENT	DATE OF ANOMALY: 01-24-2012 to 4-13-2012	
PART NAME: Unity 3.2.0.0 Rev 3	PART NO. ---	
TEST: TDP Review	I.D. NO. ---	
SPECIFICATION: EAC 2005 VVSG, Volume I	PARA. NO. Section 2	
REQUIREMENTS:		
The ES&S Unity 3.2.0.0 Rev 3; System Technical Data Package (TDP) shall be reviewed for accuracy, completeness, and compliance to the EAC 2005 VVSG.		
DESCRIPTION OF ANOMALY:		
Review of the submitted documentation revealed discrepancies between the TDP and the EAC 2005 VVSG requirements. Functional testing also identified text in the TDP that conflicted with the actual operation of the system. Each noted discrepancy was documented in detail in the Wyle-generated TDP review reports on file as raw data.		
DISPOSITION • COMMENTS • RECOMMENDATIONS:		
The review results were recorded in a worksheet that provided the pass/fail compliance to each applicable EAC 2005 VVSG requirement. ES&S corrected each nonconformance observation and resubmitted the associated documents for review. This process continued until the TDP complied with all applicable requirements.		
Safety Related <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO Potential 10 CFR Part 21 <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N/A		
RESPONSIBILITY TO ANALYZE ANOMALIES AND COMPLY WITH 10 CFR PART 21: <input type="checkbox"/> CUSTOMER <input type="checkbox"/> WYLE		
CAR Required: <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO CAR No.		
VERIFICATION:	PROJECT ENGINEER: <i>Ryan D Clift</i> 04/17/2012	
TEST WITNESS: N/A	PROJECT MANAGER: <i>Stephen Han</i> 4/17/12	
REPRESENTING:	INTERDEPARTMENTAL COORDINATION:	
QUALITY ASSURANCE: <i>Bonita Mays</i> 4/17/12		



ORIGINAL		NOTICE OF ANOMALY	DATE: 04/17/2012		
NOTICE NO:	2	P.O. NUMBER:	TA018	CONTRACT NO:	N/A
CUSTOMER:	Election Systems and Software (ES&S)			WYLE JOB NO:	T70049.01
NOTIFICATION MADE TO:	Ben Swartz			NOTIFICATION DATE:	04/09/2012
NOTIFICATION MADE BY:	Stephen Han			VIA:	e-mail
CATEGORY:	[x] SPECIMEN [] PROCEDURE [] TEST EQUIPMENT		DATE OF ANOMALY: 1-24-2012 to 4-3-2012		
PART NAME:	Unity 3.2.0.0 Rev 3			PART NO.	---
TEST:	Source Code Review			I.D. NO.	
SPECIFICATION:	EAC 2005 VVSG, Volume I			PARA. NO.	Section 5
REQUIREMENTS: Software used in voting systems shall meet the essential design and performance characteristics detailed in Section 5 of the EAC 2005 VVSG.					
DESCRIPTION OF ANOMALY: Review of the submitted source code modules comprising the ES&S Unity 3.2.0.0. Rev 3 System revealed deviations from the standard as well as issues with the commenting. These anomalies are documented in detail in the Wyle generated review reports on file as raw data.					
DISPOSITION • COMMENTS • RECOMMENDATIONS: Upon completion of the review for each source code submission, a technical summary report of all identified standards violations was sent to ES&S for resolution. ES&S then corrected the reported violations and re-submitted the source code for re-review. This process was repeated as many times as necessary until all identified standards violations were corrected.					
Safety Related		<input type="checkbox"/> YES	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO	Potential 10 CFR Part 21	
		<input type="checkbox"/> YES	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO	<input type="checkbox"/> YES	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO
RESPONSIBILITY TO ANALYZE ANOMALIES AND COMPLY WITH 10 CFR PART 21: <input type="checkbox"/> CUSTOMER <input type="checkbox"/> WYLE					
CAR Required:		<input type="checkbox"/> YES	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO	CAR No.	
VERIFICATION:		PROJECT ENGINEER: <i>Lynn J. Clunis</i> 04/17/2012			
TEST WITNESS:		PROJECT MANAGER: <i>Steph B.</i> 04/17/2012			
REPRESENTING:		INTERDEPARTMENTAL COORDINATION:			
QUALITY ASSURANCE: <i>Burroa Mirea Alvaro</i>					



ORIG/NAI		NOTICE OF ANOMALY	DATE: 04/17/2012
NOTICE NO: 3	P.O. NUMBER: TA018	CONTRACT NO: N/A	
CUSTOMER: Election Systems and Software (ES&S)		WYLE JOB NO: T70049.01	
NOTIFICATION MADE TO: Ben Swartz		NOTIFICATION DATE: 04/09/2012	
NOTIFICATION MADE BY: Stephen Han		VIA: e-mail	
CATEGORY: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SPECIMEN <input type="checkbox"/> PROCEDURE <input type="checkbox"/> TEST EQUIPMENT	DATE OF ANOMALY: 02-24-2012 to 03-31-2012		
PART NAME: Unity 3.2.0.0. Rev 3	PART NO. ---		
TEST: FCA (Functional Review)	I.D. NO. ---		
SPECIFICATION: EAC 2005 VVSG, Volume I	PARA. NO. Section 2		
REQUIREMENTS: Modifications to the functionality DS200 of the ES&S Unity 3.2.0.0. Rev 3 voting system shall be tested for compliance to the EAC 2005 VVSG.			
DESCRIPTION OF ANOMALY: During the FCA of the Unity 3.2.0.0 Rev 3, Wyle discovered six discrepancies with the DS200. <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. The digital and paper system audit log did not log the last time clock change on the unit. It was determined that the only way the last clock change event log changed was when a user changed the time using the pre-defined time zones that are on the DS200.2. The REPT button on the on-screen keyboard did not have a function.3. The paper audit log did not show a power off event logged on the DS200. The power off event was being logged on the digital audit log, but not the paper audit log.4. There was no ability for a user to print the audit log until the polls are opened and then closed.5. The shift key on the on-screen keyboard behaved exactly like the caps lock key. The user could lock both keys resulting in an unknown output.6. When an election was programmed for a two sided ballot, and a one sided ballot was scanned by the DS200, the wrong error message appeared.			
DISPOSITION • COMMENTS • RECOMMENDATIONS: Wyle reported the discrepancies to ES&S. ES&S revised the system source code to resolve these discrepancies to the DS200 resident firmware. During regression testing, Wyle verified that these discrepancies were fixed.			
Safety Related <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO		Potential 10 CFR Part 21 <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N/A	
RESPONSIBILITY TO ANALYZE ANOMALIES AND COMPLY WITH 10 CFR PART 21: <input type="checkbox"/> CUSTOMER <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> WYLE			
CAR Required: <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO		CAR No.	
VERIFICATION: TEST WITNESS: _____ N/A		PROJECT ENGINEER: <i>Lynn J. Clift</i> 04/17/2012 PROJECT MANAGER: <i>Stephen Han</i> 4/17/12 <i>Jmp</i>	
REPRESENTING: _____		INTERDEPARTMENTAL COORDINATION: _____	
QUALITY ASSURANCE: <i>Eunice</i> 4/17/12			


APPENDIX C

**EAC FORMAL INVESTIGATION REPORT FOR UNITY 3.2.0.0
AND
ES&S SUMMARY OF MODIFICATIONS DOCUMENT**

Below is the link to the EAC Formal Investigation Report for Unity 3.2.0.0 - dated December 20, 2011

http://www.eac.gov/assets/1/Documents/Formal_Investigation_ESS_Unity_3200_FINAL_12.20.11.pdf

MAINTAINING VOTER CONFIDENCE.
ENHANCING THE VOTING EXPERIENCE.



A HERITAGE OF PARTNERSHIP AND INNOVATION

Election Systems & Software

DS200 Summary of Modification Unity 3.2.0.0 Revision 3

Print Date
April 12, 2012



Election Systems & Software LLC
DS200 Summary of Modification
Unity 3.2.0.0 Revision 3

Election Systems & Software, LLC
11208 John Galt Blvd.
Omaha, NE 68137

© 2012 by Election Systems & Software, LLC. 11208 John Galt Blvd., Omaha, NE 68137-2364.

All rights reserved. Printed in the USA

This document, as well as the product described in it, is furnished under license and may be used or copied only in accordance with the terms of such license. The content of this document is furnished for informational use only, is subject to change without notice, and should not be construed as a commitment by Election Systems & Software, LLC. Election Systems & Software, LLC., assumes no responsibility or liability for any errors or inaccuracies that may appear in this document. Except as permitted by such license, no part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, recording, or otherwise, without the prior written permission of Election Systems & Software, LLC.

Disclaimer

Election Systems & Software, LLC. ("ES&S") does not extend any warranties by this document. All product information and material disclosure contained in this document is furnished subject to the terms and conditions of a purchase or lease agreement. The only warranties made by ES&S are contained in such agreements. Users should ensure that the use of this equipment complies with all legal or other obligations of their governmental jurisdictions.

All ES&S products and services described in this document are registered trademarks of ES&S. All other products mentioned are the sole property of their respective manufacturers.

Proprietary Information

ES&S has identified and appropriately marked relevant portions of this document, which it considers confidential and proprietary. We request confidential treatment by the U.S. Election Assistance Commission ("EAC") of such information and would expect that such information is exempt from required disclosure. In the event that a third party requests disclosure of information which ES&S considers confidential and proprietary, we would ask that the EAC notify ES&S of such requested disclosure in order to provide us with an opportunity to seek exemption from disclosure.

The document sections referenced below contain Election Systems and Software, LLC. confidential information, which is provided for the sole purpose of permitting the recipient, to evaluate the ES&S voting system submitted herewith. The following sections are designated as "Proprietary and Confidential" by ES&S.

Document Section	Description
None	

Table of Changes		
Revision	Date of Change	Description of Change
1.0	3.05.2012	Initial Document.
2.0	4.5.2012	Updated the document code appearing in the document footer.
3.0	4.12.2012	Updated document footers for the correct file name/document ID and document revision number.

Contents

Contents

I. Introduction	1
A. Purpose	1
B. Scope	1
C. Definitions and Acronyms	2
1. Acronyms.....	2
1. Freeze/Shutdown	2
1.1. Changes to Cure Freeze/Shutdown	2
2. Failure to Log	3
2.1. Changes to Cure Logging/Messaging	3
3. Ballot Skew	5
3.1. Changes to Cure Mark Reading/Skew	5
4. Unresponsive Touch Screen.....	6
5. Additional Enhancements	6

I. INTRODUCTION

The *DS200 Summary of Modification* describes changes to the ES&S DS200 precinct ballot scanner ("DS200") developed to respond to the findings detailed in the *U.S. Election Assistance Commission Formal Investigation Report for Unity 3.2.0.0* ("EAC Report"). The following DS200 issues cited by the EAC are addressed by the DS200 changes detailed within this document:

- Intermittent screen freezes, system lockups and shutdowns that prevent the DS200 voting system from operating in the manner in which it was designed.
- Failure to log all normal and abnormal DS200 voting system events.
- Skewing of the ballot resulting in a negative effect on the DS200 system accuracy.
- Random unresponsive touch screen; commonly referred to as the "Sys.log" issue where the DS200 screen calibration settings were inaccessible due to an unmanaged diagnostic log file added during the initial investigation of the freeze/shutdown anomaly introduced in Unity 3.2.1.0.

The code changes cataloged in this document were implemented between DS200 system firmware version 1.3.10.0 and version 1.6.1.0.

A. PURPOSE

The DS200 updates described in this document detail the system modifications completed to cure all defects identified within the EAC Report.

B. SCOPE

This document responds to the findings reported in the EAC Report and provides a description of each DS200 system modification ES&S added in response to these findings. The description of modifications included in this document are provided in compliance with "Opportunity to Cure" requirements included under Section 7.7.1 of the *EAC Voting System Testing and Certification Manual*.



C. DEFINITIONS AND ACRONYMS

Definitions used in this document conform to company standards set forth herein and to definitions included in the EAC *Voluntary Voting System Guidelines version 1.0*. Other definitions are consistent with those found in *ANSI/IEEE Std 610.12-1990, IEEE Standard Glossary of Software Engineering Terminology* and *ISO 10007 Quality Management – Guidelines for Configuration Management*.

1. Acronyms

API Application Program Interface

HAL Hardware Abstraction Layer

IMR Intelligent Mark Recognition

VSTL Voting System Test Laboratory

1. FREEZE/SHUTDOWN

Section 2.1 of the EAC Report provides the following description of the DS200 Freeze/Shutdown issue.

"At random intervals, the DS200 initiates the shutdown process whereupon it will complete approximately 90% of the shutdown process and then freeze. The DS200 Unit will not accept ballots in the frozen state."

A description of ES&S actions to cure the Freeze/Shutdown issue appears in the following section.

1.1. CHANGES TO CURE FREEZE/SHUTDOWN

In discovering the cause and solving of the DS200 freeze/lockup issues, ES&S identified several instances where pointers used to allocate memory were not reset to NULL after a free call. ES&S resolved all of these instances; preventing a "double free" condition that would corrupt the heap.

ES&S code inspections also revealed several instances where pointers to statically-initialized data were being returned. Upon the first call, the pointer executed safely, afterwards these data pointers were no longer initialized to NULL and the calling functions potentially ended up freeing uninitialized memory. These instances were resolved with updated DS200 firmware.

The root cause of this problem was discovered to be x-windows exiting a process. ES&S corrected this issue by implementing version 7.5 of the x-windows system and incorporating the updated version of x-windows into the DS200 OS. This change was executed between versions 1.0.1.0 to 1.0.2.0 of the DS200 OS.

The same modifications that resolved the Freeze/Shutdown condition in Unity 3.2.1.0 were carried forward with the Unity 3.2.0.0 Rev 3 and Unity 3.4.0.0 releases currently in testing with the EAC.



2. FAILURE TO LOG

Section 2.2 of the EAC Report defines the DS200 failure to log issue with the following description:

“...The freeze/shutdown event did not result in any record of its occurrence in the system logs. In addition, records of numerous other normal and abnormal events were absent from the system logs.”

Changes to DS200 system log implemented to address this issue are detailed in the following section.

2.1. CHANGES TO CURE LOGGING/MESSAGING

The following log events were added to the DS200:

- User Event: Admin Password Entry Successful
- User Event: Admin Password Entry Failure
- User Event: Scan Ballots Test Selected
- User Event: Auto-Read Settings Accessed
- User Event: Status Report Selected
- User Event: System Settings Accessed
- User Event: Top CIS Threshold Accessed
- User Event: Bottom CIS Threshold Accessed
- User Event: Calibrate Scanner Accessed
- Scanner Calibrated Successfully
- Scanner Calibration Failed
- User Event: Date And Time Accessed
- Date And Time Changed
- User Event: Ballot Diagnostics Accessed
- User Event: Digital Table Accessed
- Digital Table Printed
- User Event: Mark Code Accessed
- Mark Code Printed
- User Event: Ballot Style Selected
- User Event: Set Cycle Count Accessed
- User Event: Top Sensor Image Accessed
- User Event: Bottom Sensor Image Accessed
- User Event: Diverter Diagnostics Accessed
- User Event: Printer Diagnostics Accessed
- User Event: Firmware Update Accessed
- User Event: Diagnostic Reports Accessed

- User Event: Password Entry Cancel Selected
- User Event: Password Entry Try Again Selected
- User Event: Reopen Password Entry Successful
- User Event: Reopen Password Entry Failure
- Ballot Cast
- Firmware Update has Failed (To System Log and screen message – the screen message appears on start up and won't let the user continue until the unit has a successful update)
- Touch Screen Calibration Completed (System Log)

Note: The following 6 messages address when and how the machine is powered on and shut down. In the case of a normal shutdown the user would select the Shutdown button. In case a Shutdown button is not available such as an unexpected halt of a process or program the user would press and hold the power switch forcing the system to shut down

- User Event: Shutdown Button Selected
- User Event: POWER Switch Pressed And Held
- User Event: Lid Closed, Shutting Down
- Power On (To System Log – Not the low level OS logs but the one we've referred to as the system log from the beginning that we use to store entries for when a stick is not present)
- Power Off
- Power Management Board Requested Emergency Shutdown

Note: The official wording of the screen message for the following 2 log messages is:

"WARNING:

Ballot found in scanner during start up. Ejecting ballot now.

Please see the election administrator for instructions." If unable to eject the message will read "Unable to eject the ballot" instead of "Ejecting ballot now". (This is also logged)

- Ballot found in scanner during startup is ejected.
- Ballot found in scanner during startup could not be removed.

- Ballot Read Error. Turn Ballot Over and Try Again. (Screen Message Only)
- Ballot has been counted but not saved to the bin
- Ballot Not Detected After Scan: Ballot Not Counted
- Ballot Not Detected After Scan: Ballot Counted
- Ballot Transport Error After Scan: Ballot Not Counted
- The Path To The Ballot Bin Is Not Clear

All DS200 events identified in the EAC Formal Investigation Report are now logged by the DS200 firmware included with the Unity 3.2.0.0 Rev 3 and Unity 3.4.0.0 system releases currently in testing with the EAC.



3. BALLOT SKEW

The DS200 ballot skew issue is described in Section 2.3 of the EAC Report:

"When a 17" ballot is inserted incorrectly into the unit, the lower left and right hand corners of the ballot are not accurately read."

A description of the firmware revisions ES&S undertook to resolve DS200 ballot skew appear in the following section.

3.1. CHANGES TO CURE MARK READING/SKEW

In October 2009, Cuyahoga County reported that an extra vote was recorded on a DS200 unit during logic and accuracy testing with the Unity 3.2.0.0 system in their warehouse. Further investigation by ES&S engineers confirmed that the DS200 had the potential to record a mark present in row 44 and row 45 of column D on the back of a ballot when no actual mark was present. This condition was corrected in both Unity 3.2.0.0 Rev 1 and Unity 3.2.1.0 and approved by the EAC. Adjustments were implemented in these releases to detect; and either adjust for or reject ballots printed with excessive horizontal skew. In June 2011, Cuyahoga County then reported a missing mark from 1 of the 1,200 DS200 units undergoing acceptance testing of Unity 3.2.1.0, again in their warehouse.

Since those events, ES&S has continued to develop additional ballot skew handling techniques in the DS200 firmware.

With Unity 3.2.0.0 Rev 3 and Unity 3.4.0.0, both currently in testing with the EAC, ES&S has implemented our next generation image processor to the DS200 firmware and added a number of additional improvements such as noise filtering, advanced oval centering and mark recognition techniques.

To add the new code, ES&S deleted the following files from the previous code set:

- AppLegacy/include/decode.h
- AppLegacy/include/imr.h
- AppLegacy/src/decode.c
- AppLegacy/src/imr.c

ES&S then built the files comprising the new image processor into a library and wrote an API to connect the new library with the existing code.

The new API appears in the following files:

- AppLegacy/include/imageProcessor_client.h
- AppLegacy/src/imageProcessor_client.c

In addition to the new code, ES&S modified the tables used in the Intelligent Mark Recognition (IMR) section of the image processor to work with the centered and masked ovals in the voter response positions to improve mark reading performance.

ES&S also changed the default setting for the scanner's black sensing threshold from 166 to 150. This is a refinement of an earlier sensitivity change to this value, which was initially set at 140.

4. UNRESPONSIVE TOUCH SCREEN

Section 5.1 of the EAC Report provides the following description of the DS200 touch screen becoming unresponsive at random times:

"In June 2011, an EAC Computer engineer witnessed the upgrade from Unity 3.2.0.0 to Unity 3.2.1.0 in Cuyahoga County, OH. During the testing of the upgrade, the EAC discovered that the DS200 touch screen interface became unresponsive at random times."

A description of the ES&S actions to cure the unresponsive touch screen is described in the following paragraph:

In addition to the log updates detailed in Section 2.1 of this document, ES&S added log "rotation" file management to all low level logs generated with the OS syslog utility and stopped routing the excessive number of entries from HAL to one of these low level logs. This code update solved the random unresponsive touch screen problem; commonly referred to as the "Sys.log" issue where screen calibration settings were rendered inaccessible due to an unmanaged diagnostic log file filling up the file system partition.

These modifications have been incorporated in the Unity 3.2.0.0 Rev 3 and Unity 3.4.0.0 releases currently in testing with the EAC.

5. ADDITIONAL ENHANCEMENTS

In addition to addressing the items identified in the EAC Report for Unity 3.2.0.0 and Unity 3.2.1.0, ES&S added the following enhancements to the DS200 while the system was under revision:

- Implemented a change to stop turning off the backlight when on battery power to avoid a user accidentally pressing a hot spot (button) on a blank screen that causes an action that begins before the screen is bright enough to see again.
- Added an override for over vote or blank ballot rejection so that poll workers can easily feed any ballots in the emergency bin at the end of the day. (The voters are no longer present).
- Added a function to print the machine ID and poll number at end of results tape so that a person can identify the source of the machine with the need to unroll the paper from a long report.
- Added an Early Voting Ballot Styles per Precinct Report available to election workers when DS200 polls are open. This report records the number of ballots in the ballot bin on a regular basis during an extended period such as early voting.
- Added a protected counter at the request of Florida based ES&S customers.
- Added code to eject any ballots in transport during start up and log the action in case a user had shut down the machine with a ballot still in the transport.

These modifications have been incorporated in the Unity 3.2.0.0 Rev 3 and Unity 3.4.0.0 releases currently in testing with the EAC.



APPENDIX D

WYLE'S CERTIFICATION TEST PLAN NO. T70049.01-01 REV B



7800 Highway 20 West
Huntsville, Alabama 35806
Phone (256) 837-4411
Fax (256) 721-0144
www.wylelabs.com

Job No. T70049.01
Test Plan No. T70049.01-01, Rev B
March 27, 2012

CERTIFICATION TEST PLAN

Prepared for:

Manufacturer Name	ES&S
Manufacturer System	Unity 3.2.0.0 Rev 3
EAC Application No.	ESS1201
Manufacturer	11208 John Galt Boulevard
Address	Omaha, NE 68137

 3/27/12
Michael Walker, Test Plan Preparer

 3/27/12
Frank Padilla, Voting Systems Manager

 3/27/12
Robert D. Hardy, Department Manager

 3/27/12
Raul Terceno, Q.A. Manager



COPYRIGHT BY WYLE. THE RIGHT TO REPRODUCE, COPY, EXTRACT, OR OTHERWISE UTILIZE ANY OF THE MATERIAL CONTAINED HEREIN WITHOUT THE EXPRESS PRIOR PERMISSION OF WYLE IS PROHIBITED. THE ACCEPTANCE OF A PURCHASE ORDER IN CONNECTION WITH THE MATERIAL CONTAINED HEREIN SHALL BE EQUIVALENT TO EXPRESS PRIOR PERMISSION. WYLE SHALL HAVE NO LIABILITY FOR DAMAGES OF ANY KIND, TO PERSON OR PROPERTY, INCLUDING SPECIAL CONSEQUENTIAL DAMAGES, RESULTING FROM WYLE'S PROVIDING THE SERVICES COVERED BY THIS REPORT.


EAC Lab Co. 804

Page No. i
Wyle Test Plan No. T70049.01-01 REV B

WYLE LABORATORIES, INC.
Huntsville Facilities

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.	INTRODUCTION.....	1
1.1	Scope.....	1
1.2	References.....	2
1.3	Terms and Abbreviations.....	3
1.4	Testing Responsibilities	5
1.4.1	Project Schedule.....	5
1.4.2	Owner Assignments	5
1.4.3	Test Case Development	5
1.4.4	Test Procedures Development and Validation.....	5
1.4.5	Third-Party Tests.....	5
1.4.6	EAC and Manufacturer Dependencies.....	5
1.4.7	VVSG	6
1.4.8	Beyond VVSG	6
1.5	Target of Evaluation Description.....	6
1.5.1	System Overview	6
1.5.2	System Hardware	7
1.5.3	System Software	12
1.5.4	System Operational Concept.....	14
1.5.5	System Limits	15
1.5.6	Supported Languages.....	16
1.5.7	Supported Functionality.....	16
2.0	PRE-CERTIFICATION TESTING AND ISSUES.....	17
2.1	Evaluation of Prior VSTL Testing	17
2.2	Known Field Issues.....	18
3.0	MATERIALS REQUIRED FOR TESTING.....	19
3.1	Software	19
3.2	Equipment	21
3.3	Test Support Materials	24
3.4	Deliverable Materials	25
4.0	TEST SPECIFICATIONS.....	27
4.1	Requirements (Strategy of Evaluation).....	29
4.2	Hardware Configuration and Design	32
4.3	Software System Functions.....	32
4.4	Test Case Design.....	32
4.4.1	Hardware Qualitative Examination Design	32
4.4.1.1	Mapping of Requirements to Specific Interfaces.....	32
4.4.2	Software Module Test Case Design and Data.....	33
4.4.3	Software Functional Test Case Design and Data.....	33
4.4.4	System Level Test Case Design.....	34
4.5	Security Functions	35

Page No. TOC-2 of 2
Wyle Test Plan No. T70049.01-01 REV B

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)

4.6	TDP Evaluation	35
4.7	Source Code Review.....	39
4.8	QA and CM System Review	41
5.0	TEST DATA	42
5.1	Test Data Recording.....	42
5.2	Test Data Criteria.....	42
5.3	Test Data Reduction.....	42
5.4	Test Operation Procedures	42
6.0	TEST PROCEDURE AND CONDITIONS.....	43
6.1	Facility Requirements	43
6.2	Test Set-Up	44
6.3	Test Sequence	51
6.3.1	Hardware Test Description	51
6.3.2	Software Test Description.....	51
6.3.3	System Testing.....	54
7.0	TEST OPERATIONS PROCEDURES.....	57
7.1	Proprietary Data	57

APPENDICES

APPENDIX A -	TestProcedure Description.....	A-1
APPENDIX B -	ES&S Project Schedule	B-1

1.0 INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this National Certification Test Plan (Test Plan) is to document the strategy Wyle will follow to perform certification testing of the Election Systems and Software (ES&S) Unity 3.2.0.0 Rev 3 Voting System. ES&S submitted the Unity 3.2.0.0 Rev. 3 System to Wyle Laboratories, Inc. for certification to the 2002 VSS. Per Section 4.4.2.3 of the EAC Testing and Certification Program Manual, all testing on the modifications to the system will be tested to the 2005 VVSG; however, pending successful completion of this test campaign, the system will only be granted a 2002 VSS certification since the system, as a whole, will not be tested to the 2005 VVSG.

The initial version of this system, Unity 3.2.0.0, has been fully tested and certified, to the FEC 2002 VSS. The modifications made since initial certification that resulted in the previous version of this system, Unity 3.2.0.0 Rev 1, have been tested to the EAC 2005 VVSG. As a result of this testing, the Unity 3.2.0.0 Rev 1 system was granted certification under EAC Certification No. ESSUnity3200Rev1. The Unity 3.2.0.0 Rev 2 System underwent testing and was dissolved prior to receiving certification. The 3.2.0.0 Rev 2 System was dissolved in order to create the 3.2.0.0 Rev 3 System to allow for complete testing of the DS200 which will encompass testing for resolution of all known issues and full-functional testing of the DS200 component. Since that time, the EAC has released a Formal Investigation Report on the Unity 3.2.0.0 System, dated December 20, 2011, which reports that three allegations of anomalies were identified.

A

The purpose of this modification is to provide resolution to the anomalies stated in the EAC Formal Investigation Report. To resolve the identified anomalies, ES&S submitted an update to the DS200 Firmware (Version 1.6.1.0). This firmware version will be utilized in all functional testing for the DS200 for the duration of the campaign. Any updates or changes to the firmware shall be noted and verified to include any necessary regression testing.

A

1.1 Scope

The focus of this test campaign will be to test all additions and modifications made to the system's software, hardware and firmware since the certification of 3.2.0.0 Rev 1. Wyle will perform full-functional testing on the DS200 with the primary focus to test that the modifications of the DS200 firmware fix the anomalies addressed specifically in the EAC's Formal Investigation Report. These include:

A

- Intermittent screen freezes, the system lockups and shutdowns which prevents the voting system from operating in the manner in which it was designed.
- Failure to log all normal and abnormal voting system events.
- Skewing of the ballot resulting in a negative effect on system accuracy.

The DS200 with the 1.6.1.0 Firmware has not been previously tested with the Unity 3.2.0.0 EMS. This test campaign will perform full-functional testing to include integration testing of the DS200 1.6.1.0 Firmware and the Unity 3.2.0.0 EMS; therefore, resulting in the Unity 3.2.0.0 Rev 3 Voting System. All other components of the Unity 3.2.0.0 Rev 3 System remain unchanged from the Unity 3.2.0.0 and Unity 3.2.0.0 Rev 1 certified systems.

A

(The remainder of this page intentionally left blank)

1.0 INTRODUCTION (Continued)

1.2 References

The documents listed below were used in the development of the Test Plan and are utilized to perform certification testing.

- Election Assistance Commission 2005 Voluntary Voting System Guidelines, Volume I, Version 1.0, "Voting System Performance Guidelines," and Volume II, Version 1.0, "National Certification Testing Guidelines," dated December 2005
- U.S. Election Assistance Commission Formal Investigation Report on Election Systems and Software Unity 3.2.0.0 dated December 20, 2011
- Election Assistance Commission Testing and Certification Program Manual, Version 1.0, effective date January 1, 2007
- Election Assistance Commission Voting System Test Laboratory Program Manual, Version 1.0, effective date July 2008
- National Voluntary Laboratory Accreditation Program NIST Handbook 150, 2006 Edition, "NVLAP Procedures and General Requirements (NIST Handbook 150)," dated February 2006
- National Voluntary Laboratory Accreditation Program NIST Handbook 150-22, 2008 Edition, "Voting System Testing (NIST Handbook 150-22)," dated May 2008
- United States 107th Congress Help America Vote Act (HAVA) of 2002 (Public Law 107-252), dated October 2002
- Wyle Laboratories' Test Guidelines Documents: EMI-001A, "Wyle Laboratories' Test Guidelines for Performing Electromagnetic Interference (EMI) Testing," and EMI-002A, "Test Procedure for Testing and Documentation of Radiated and Conducted Emissions Performed on Commercial Products"
- Wyle Laboratories' Quality Assurance Program Manual, Revision 5
- ANSI/NCSL Z540-1, "Calibration Laboratories and Measuring and Test Equipment, General Requirements"
- ISO 10012-1, "Quality Assurance Requirements for Measuring Equipment"
- EAC Requests for Interpretation (listed on www.eac.gov)
- EAC Notices of Clarification (listed on www.eac.gov)
- EAC Quality Monitoring Program residing on:
http://www.eac.gov/testing_and_certification/quality_monitoring_program.aspx
- EAC Notices of Clarification (listed on www.eac.gov)
- EAC Requests for Interpretation (listed on www.eac.gov)

A
B

A listing of the Unity 3.2.0.0 Rev 3 System Technical Data Package (TDP) documents submitted for this certification test effort is listed in Section 3.4, Deliverable Materials.

1.0 INTRODUCTION (Continued)

1.3 Terms and Abbreviations

Table 1-1 defines all terms and abbreviations applicable to the development of this Test Plan.

Table 1-1 Terms and Abbreviations

Term	Abbreviation	Definition
Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990	ADA	ADA is a wide-ranging civil rights law that prohibits, under certain circumstances, discrimination based on disability.
AutoMARK Management Information System	AIMS	A windows-based election management system software application to define election parameters for the VAT, including functionality to import election definition files produced by the Unity EMS and create VAT flash memory cards
Audit Manager	AM	System software that provides security and user tracking for Election Data Manager (EDM) and ES&S Ballot Image Manager (ESSIM).
Configuration Management	CM	---
Commercial Off the Shelf	COTS	---
United States Election Assistance Commission	EAC	Commission created, per the Help America Vote Act of 2002, assigned the responsibility for setting voting system standards and providing for the voluntary testing and certification of voting systems.
Election Data Manager	EDM	Unity EMS data entry component.
Election Management System	EMS	Within the Unity 3.2.0.0 Rev 3 System, the EMS is comprised of eight components: AIMS, AM, EDM, HPM, ESSIM, ERM, Log Monitor Service, and VAT Previewer.
Election Reporting Manager	ERM	Unity EMS reporting component.
Election Systems and Software	ES&S	---
ESSIM	ESS Image Manager	A desktop publishing tool that allows users to design and print ES&S paper ballots.
Equipment Under Test	EUT	---
Functional Configuration Audit	FCA	Verification of system functions and combination of functions cited in the manufacturer's documentation.
Hardware Programming Manager	HPM	An election package primarily used for converting election files and creating and loading election parameters.

1.0 INTRODUCTION (Continued)

1.3 Terms and Abbreviations (Continued)

Table 1-1 Terms and Abbreviations (Continued)

Term	Abbreviation	Definition
Help America Vote Act	HAVA	Act created by United States Congress in 2002.
Intelligent Mark Recognition	IMR	Visible light scanning technology to detect completed ballot targets
National Institute of Standards and Technology	NIST	Government organization created to promote U.S. innovation and industrial competitiveness by advancing measurement science, standards, and technology in ways that enhances economic security and improves our quality of life.
Physical Configuration Audit	PCA	Review by accredited test laboratory to compare voting system components submitted for certification testing to the manufacturer's technical documentation, and confirmation the documentation meets national certification requirements. A witnessed build of the executable system is performed to ensure the certified release is built from tested components.
Quality Assurance	QA	--
System Under Test	SUT	--
Technical Data Package	TDP	Manufacturer documentation related to the voting system required to be submitted as a precondition of certification testing.
Test Case Procedure Specifications	TCPS	Wyle-developed document that specifies test items, input specifications, output specifications, environmental needs, special procedural requirements, inter-case dependencies, and all validated test cases that will be executed during the area under test.
Uninterruptible Power Supply	UPS	--
Voter Assist Terminal	VAT	The electronic ballot marking device component is the ES&S AutoMARK
Voluntary Voting System Guidelines	EAC 2005 VVSG	Published by the EAC, the third iteration of national level voting system standards.
Wyle Laboratories, Inc.	Wyle	--
Wyle Operating Procedure	WoP	Wyle Test Method or Test Procedure.

(The remainder of this page intentionally left blank)

1.0 INTRODUCTION (Continued)

1.4 Testing Responsibilities

All core and non-core software and hardware certification testing will be conducted under the guidance of Wyle Laboratories, Inc., by personnel verified by Wyle to be qualified to perform the testing.

A

Review of the test cases and results of developmental testing conducted by ES&S during the pre-certification process also allowed for assessment of ES&S' efforts to develop and test the system and to correct any known defects.

1.4.1 Project Schedule

This information is contained in a Wyle-generated Microsoft Project schedule. This schedule is presented in Appendix B, "ES&S Project Schedule." The dates on the schedule are not firm dates but planned estimates presented for informational purposes.

A

1.4.2 Owner Assignments

This information is contained in a Wyle-generated Microsoft Project schedule. This schedule is presented in Appendix B, "ES&S Project Schedule."

A

1.4.3 Test Case Development

Wyle will utilize the "Wyle Baseline Test Cases" for the Functional Configuration Audit (FCA). These will be augmented with specially designed test cases tailored to the ES&S Unity 3.2.0.0 Rev 3 System. Wyle has designed specific election definitions and test cases for the Operational Status Check and the Accuracy Tests. The "Baseline" functional test cases and the election definitions have been previously submitted to the EAC for review. Wyle has developed test cases specifically designed to test the issues identified in the DS200.

A

- VOTE_TC-ESS200-14 Multi Ballot Skews with Audit Log v1
- VOTE_TC-ESS200-15 Log Analysis

1.4.4 Test Procedure Development and Validation

Wyle will utilize the Wyle Operating Procedures (WoPs) during the duration of this test program. The validated WoPs have been previously submitted to the EAC for review.

1.4.5 Third-Party Tests

Wyle will not utilize any 3rd party testing during performance of the ES&S Unity 3.2.0.0 Rev 3 System test campaign.

1.4.6 EAC and Manufacturer Dependencies

This information is contained in a Wyle-generated Microsoft Project schedule. This schedule is presented in Appendix B, "ES&S Project Schedule."

A

(The remainder of this page intentionally left blank)

1.0 INTRODUCTION (Continued)

1.4 Testing Responsibilities (Continued)

1.4.7 VVSG

The Unity 3.2.0.0 Rev 3 System test campaign will consist of testing all modifications since the 3.2.0.0 and 3.2.0.0 Rev 1 certifications (including all ECO's, firmware, and source code updates), and full-functional testing on the DS200 to the applicable EAC 2005 VVSG requirements.

Due to the prevalence of the DS200 component across the ES&S Unity line of products, and the fact that the DS200 was tested in part by three separate VSTLs, the EAC has requested that ES&S require Wyle to perform full regression testing on the DS200 for all functional requirements set forth in the EAC 2005 VVSG with the following requirements of Volume I identified by the EAC as being of particular interest:

Table 1-2 Requirements of Volume I

Sections			
2.1.1 (b)	2.1.2	2.1.3 (a)	2.1.4 (e,g,h,i,j)
2.1.5.1(a),(i),(b),(i,ii,,iii,iv,v,vi)	2.1.7.1 (a), (c)	2.3.1.1 (b)	2.3.1.2 (f)
2.3.3.1 (c)	4.1.1	4.1.4.2 (a), (iii), (b) (all)	4.1.5.2 (all)
4.1.6.1 (all)	4.3.4.2 (a), (b)	5.4 (all)	

1.4.8 Beyond VVSG

Based on the scope of this modification, no additional test results have been submitted for consideration as part of this test campaign.

1.5 Target of Evaluation Description

The following sections address the design methodology and product description of the Unity 3.2.0.0 Rev 3 System as taken from the ES&S technical documentation.

1.5.1 System Overview

The ES&S Unity 3.2.0.0 Rev 3 Election System is a comprehensive suite of vote tabulation equipment and software solutions providing end-to-end election management. The Unity 3.2.0.0 Rev 3 Voting System includes the following core system components detailed in Tables 1-3 and 1-4.

Table 1-3 Unity 3.2.0.0 Rev 3 System Hardware Components

Component	Hardware Version	Firmware Version
DS200	1.2	1.6.1.0
Model 650	1.1, 1.2	2.2.2.0
AutoMARK	1.0, 1.1, 1.3	1.3.2906

(The remainder of this page intentionally left blank)

1.0 INTRODUCTION (Continued)

1.5 Target of Evaluation Description (Continued)

1.5.1 System Overview (Continued)

Table 1-4 Unity 3.2.0.0 Rev 3 System Software Components

Component	Version
Audit Manager (AM)	7.5.2.0
Election Data Manager (EDM)	7.8.1.0
ES&S Ballot Image Manager (ESSIM)	7.7.1.0
Hardware Programming Manager (HPM)	5.7.1.0
Election Reporting Manager (ERM)	7.5.4.0
Log Monitor Service	1.0.0.0
AIMS	1.3.157
VAT Previewer	1.3.2906

1.5.2 System Hardware

The ES&S Unity 3.2.0.0 Rev 3 System can be set up to support one or more of the following hardware components:

- DS200 Precinct Tabulator
- Model 650 Central Tabulator
- AutoMARK Voting Assist Terminal

Each of these components is described in the following paragraphs:

Precinct Ballot Tabulator: DS200

The DS200 is an optical scan paper ballot tabulator designed for use at the polling place level. After the voter marks a paper ballot, their ballot is inserted into the unit and immediately tabulated. The tabulator uses a high-resolution image-scanning device to image the front and rear of the ballot simultaneously. The resulting ballot images are then decoded by a proprietary recognition engine.

The system includes a 12-inch touch screen display providing voter feedback and poll worker messaging. Once a ballot is tabulated and the system updates internal vote counters, the ballot is dropped into an integrated ballot box. The DS200 includes an internal thermal printer for the printing of the zero reports, log reports, and polling place totals upon the official closing of the polls.

(The remainder of this page intentionally left blank)


Page 8 of 57
Wyle Test Plan No. T70049.01-01 REV B

1.0 INTRODUCTION (Continued)

1.5 Target of Evaluation Description (Continued)

1.5.2 System Hardware (Continued)

Precinct Ballot Tabulator: DS200 (Continued)



Photograph No. 1: DS200 (on plastic ballot box)

(The remainder of this page intentionally left blank)


Page 9 of 57
Wyle Test Plan No. T70049.01-01 REV B

1.0 INTRODUCTION (Continued)

1.5 Target of Evaluation Description (Continued)

1.5.2 System Hardware (Continued)

Precinct Ballot Tabulator: DS200 (Continued)



Photograph No. 2: DS200 (on metal ballot box)

(The remainder of this page intentionally left blank)

Page 10 of 57
Wyle Test Plan No. T70049.01-01 REV B


1.0 INTRODUCTION (Continued)

1.5 Target of Evaluation Description (Continued)

1.5.2 System Hardware (Continued)

Tabulator: Model 650

The Model 650 is a high-speed, optical scan central ballot counter. During scanning, the Model 650 prints a continuous audit log to a dedicated audit log printer and can print results directly from the scanner to a second connected printer. The scanner saves results to a Zip disk that officials can use to generate the results' reports from a PC running Election Reporting Manager. The Model 650 sorts write-in ballots, blank ballots, overvoted ballots and illegal ballots.



Photograph No. 3: M650

(The remainder of this page intentionally left blank)

1.0 INTRODUCTION (Continued)

1.5 Target of Evaluation Description (Continued)

1.5.2 System Hardware (Continued)

Electronic Ballot Marking Device: AutoMARK Voter Assist Terminal (VAT)


The electronic ballot marking device component is the ES&S AutoMARK Voter Assist Terminal (VAT). The AutoMARK VAT assists voters with disabilities by marking optical scan ballots.

The AutoMARK VAT includes two user interfaces, to accommodate voters who are visually or physically impaired or voters who are more comfortable reading or hearing instructions and choices in an alternative language. The AutoMARK is equipped with a touch screen, and keypad. The touch-screen interface includes various colors and effects to prompt and guide the voter through the ballot marking process. Each key has both Braille and printed text labels designed to indicate function and a related shape to help the voter determine its use.

Regardless whether the voter uses the touch-screen or other audio interface, changes can be made throughout the voting process by navigating back to the appropriate screen and selecting the change or altering selections at the mandatory vote summary screen that closes the ballot marking session.

The A100 and A200 both operate the same and have the same features. The difference between the models is the location of two printed circuit boards and related wiring harness and cables. In the A200, the Printer Engine Board and Power Supply Board were moved from under the machine to the top.

B




Photograph No. 4: AutoMARK A200 VAT

1.0 INTRODUCTION (Continued)

1.5 Target of Evaluation Description (Continued)

1.5.2 System Hardware (Continued)

Electronic Ballot Marking Device: AutoMARK Voter Assist Terminal (VAT) (Continued)



Photograph No. 5: AutoMARK A100 VAT

1.5.3 System Software

The Unity 3.2.0.0 Rev 3 Election Management System is an application suite comprised of eight components: AutoMark Information Management System, Audit Manager, Election Data Manager, ES&S Ballot Image Manager, Hardware Programming Manager, Election Reporting Manager, Log Monitor Service, and VAT Previewer.

AutoMark Information Management System (AIMS)

AIMS is a windows-based election management system software application used to define election parameters for the VAT, including functionality to import election definition files produced by the Unity EMS and to create VAT flash memory cards.

1.0 INTRODUCTION (Continued)

1.5 Target of Evaluation Description (Continued)

1.5.3 System Software (Continued)

VAT Previewer

The VAT Previewer is an application within the AIMS program that allows the user to preview audio text and screen layout prior to downloading election-day media for the AutoMARK.

Audit Manager (AM)

The Audit Manager (AM) utility provides security and user tracking for Election Data Manager and Ballot Image Manager. Audit Manager runs in the background of the other Unity programs and provides password security and a real-time audit log of all user inputs and system outputs. Election coders use Audit Manager to set Unity system passwords and track user activity.

Election Data Manager (EDM)

The Election Data Manager (EDM) is the entry point for the Unity Election Management System. The Election Data Manager is a single-entry database that stores precinct, office, and candidate information. The Data entered for an initial election is stored to a re-useable database to be recalled and edited for all elections that follow. The Election Data Manager is used in conjunction with other Unity software to format and print ballots, program ballot scanning equipment, and produce Election Day reports.

ES&S Ballot Image Manager (ESSIM)

The ES&S Ballot Image Manager (ESSIM) uses ballot-style information created by the Unity Election Data Manager to display the ballots in a WYSIWIG design interface. The users can apply typographic formatting (font, size, attributes, etc.) to individual components of the ballot. Text and graphic frames can also be added to the ballot.

Hardware Programming Manager (HPM)

The Hardware Programming Manager (HPM) uses the election specific database created with Election Data Manager and Ballot Image Manager to program the appropriate media for ES&S tabulation devices. The Hardware Programming Manager converts the ballot layout data into the format required for each ES&S system. HPM then writes this data to the appropriate required media required for the DS200 using a USB flash drive, a CF card for the AutoMark, or a Zip disk for Model 650 tabulators.

Election Reporting Manager (ERM)

The Election Reporting Manager (ERM) generates paper and electronic reports for election workers, candidates, and the media. The Jurisdictions can use a separate ERM installation to display updated election totals on a monitor as ballot data is tabulated, and send the results' reports directly to the media outlets. The ERM support accumulation and combination of ballot results data from all ES&S tabulators. The precinct and accumulated totals' reports provide a means to accommodate candidate and media requests for totals and are available upon demand. The high-speed printers are configured as part of the system accumulation/reporting stations - PC and related software.

1.0 INTRODUCTION (Continued)

1.5 Target of Evaluation Description (Continued)

1.5.3 System Software (Continued)

Log Monitor Service

The Log Monitor Service is a Windows Service that runs in the background of any active ES&S Election Management software application to monitor the proper functioning of the Windows Event Viewer. The Log Monitor Service closes any active ES&S software application if the system detects the improper deactivation of the Windows Event Viewer.

1.5.4 System Operational Concept

The operational-flow and low-level system interfaces for the ES&S Unity 3.2.0.0 Rev 3 Voting System are illustrated in Figure 1-1.




Figure 1-1 System Overview Diagram

(The remainder of this page intentionally left blank)

1.0 INTRODUCTION (Continued)

1.5 Target of Evaluation Description (Continued)

1.5.5 System Limits

The system limits and the ballot target limits that ES&S has stated to be supported by the Unity 3.2.0.0 Rev 3 System are compiled in Tables 1-5 and 1-6.

Table 1-5 Unity 3.2.0.0 Rev 3 System Limits

Limit Description (Maximum)	Limit Value	Limiting Factor
Precincts allowed in an election	2900 (1639 if using paper ballot coded by precinct)	HPM/ERM (ballot sequence code)
Precinct included per poll (reporting limit)	1900	ERM
Candidate/counters per election	21000	ERM
Maximum candidates	9900	HPM
Contest allowed in an election	Depends on election (limited by 21,000 maximum counters)	ERM
Candidates/Counters allowed per precinct	1,000	ERM Import
Ballot styles allowed per election	5500 (1639 if using paper ballot coded by style)	HPM (ballot sequence code)
Contests allowed per ballot style	200 or number of positions on a ballot	HPM
Precincts allowed per ballot style	1500	HPM
Candidates (ballot choices) allowed per contest	175	HPM
Count for any precinct element	500,000 (65,550 from any tabulator media)	ERM report (ERM results Import)
Number of parties allowed	18	HPM
'Vote for' per contest	90	HPM

Table 1-6 Unity 3.2.0.0 Rev 3 Ballot Target Limits

Ballot Size (ovals per inch Left or Right)	Positions per Column x Row
8½ x 11" (4 ovals per inch)	36 rows x 3 columns = 108/side
8 ½ x 14" (3 ovals per inch)	36 rows x 3 columns = 108/side
8 ½ x 14" (4 ovals per inch)	48 rows x 3 columns = 144/side
8 ½ x 17" (3 ovals per inch)	41 rows x 3 columns = 123/side
8 ½ x 17" (3 ovals per inch)	45 rows x 3 columns = 135/side
8 ½ x 17" (4 ovals per inch)	60 rows x 3 columns = 180/side
8 ½ x 19" (3 ovals per inch)	51 rows x 3 columns = 153/side
8 ½ x 19" (4 ovals per inch)	68 rows x 3 columns = 204/side

1.0 INTRODUCTION (Continued)

1.5 Target of Evaluation Description (Continued)

1.5.6 Supported Languages

The following languages have been stated by ES&S to be supported by the Unity 3.2.0.0 Rev 3 System:

- English
- Spanish

1.5.7 Supported Functionality

The Unity 3.2.0.0 Rev 3 is designed to support the following voting variations:

- General Election
- Open and Closed Primaries
- Partisan offices
- Non-Partisan offices
- Write-in voting
- Straight Party voting
- Cross-Party endorsement
- Split Precincts
- Ballot Rotation
- Recall Issue with Options
- Provisional or Challenged Ballots
- Vote for N of M
- Audio Ballot

The Unity 3.2.0.0 Rev 3 System does not include functions for Primary Presidential Delegation Nominations, Ranked Order Voting, or Cumulative Voting; therefore, testing will not be conducted on these functions.

(The remainder of this page intentionally left blank)

2.0 PRE-CERTIFICATION TESTING AND ISSUES

2.1 Evaluation of Prior VSTL Testing

Wyle will reutilize the hardware testing on the DS200 from the Unity 3.2.0.0 approved test campaign listed in Table 2-1.

Table 2-1 Unity 3.2.00 Approved Test Campaign

Component	Hardware Version	Reviewed in Test Report
DS200	1.2	3.2.0.0 (iBeta Test Report)

The firmware for the DS200 was originally reviewed in Unity 3.2.0.0 Rev 1, Unity 3.2.0.0 Rev 1 and Unity 3.2.1.0. Additional code review was completed by Wyle under the currently-submitted Unity 3.2.0.0 Rev 3 and Unity 3.4.0.0 Program. The source code modules for Image Mark Recognition (IMR) were initially reviewed by Wyle in a state effort for Florida. These updates and all changes to DS200 firmware in Unity 3.2.0.0 Rev 3 were all reviewed by Wyle under this program. Further information can be found in ES&S DS200 Summary of Modification Unity 3.2.0.0 Revision 3 (dated March 5, 2012) submitted to the EAC as part of the Unity 3.2.0.0 Revision 3 Compliance Plan response.

A

Wyle will reutilize the components in Tables 2-2 and 2-3 from previously-certified systems. These systems have not been modified or changed since Unity 3.2.0.0 and Unity 3.2.0.0 Rev 1 approved test campaigns. These reports can be found on the EAC website at http://www.eac.gov/testing_and_certification/certified_voting_systems.aspx.

Table 2-2 Hardware Table

Component	Hardware Version	Reviewed in Test Report	Firmware Version	Reviewed in Test Report
Model 650	1.1, 1.2	3.2.0.0 (iBeta Test Report)	2.2.2.0	3.2.0.0 (iBeta Test Reports)
AutoMARK	1.0, 1.1, 1.3	3.2.0.0 (iBeta Test Report)	1.3.2906	3.2.0.0 (iBeta Test Report)

Table 2-3 Software Table

Component	Version	Reviewed in Test Report
Audit Manager (AM)	7.5.2.0	3.2.0.0 (iBeta Test Report)
Election Data Manager (EDM)	7.8.1.0	3.2.0.0 (iBeta Test Report)
ES&S Ballot Image Manager (ESSIM)	7.7.1.0	3.2.0.0 (iBeta Test Report)
Hardware Programming Manager (HPM)	5.7.1.0	3.2.0.0 (iBeta Test Report)
Election Reporting Manager (ERM)	7.5.4.0	3.2.0.0 (iBeta Test Report)
Log Monitor Service	1.0.0.0	3.2.0.0 (iBeta Test Report)
AIMS	1.3.157	3.2.0.0 (iBeta Test Report)
VAT Previewer	1.3.2906	3.2.0.0 (iBeta Test Report)

2.0 PRE-CERTIFICATION TESTING AND ISSUE (Continued)

2.1 Evaluation of Prior VSTL Testing (Continued)

Wyle will utilize reports and data from prior test campaigns, which include Unity 3.2.0.0, Unity 3.2.0.0 Rev 1, Unity 3.2.0.0 Rev 2, and Unity 3.2.1.0 to aide in the development of test cases and data sets for this program.

2.2 Known Field Issues

1. The EAC Formal investigation Report, dated December 20, 2011.
2. Two technical advisories have been issued by the EAC concerning known field issue of the DS200, each of which is summarized below:
3. The EAC Technical Advisory ESS2011-02: During local acceptance testing in a jurisdiction, multiple DS200 Ballot Scanners exhibited an anomaly where the touch-screen interface would stop responding to touches.
4. EAC Technical Advisory ESS2011-03: During local acceptance testing, a DS200 Ballot Scanner failed to count a marked ballot position resulting in a lost vote.
5. In response to the technical advisories, ES&S has published two Technical Bulletins (PRBDS2000013 and FYIDS2000021, both of which are dated 8/3/2011).

A

Additionally, as a result of the issues identified above, the prevalence of the DS200 component across the ES&S Unity line of products, and the fact that the DS200 was tested in part by three separate VSTLs, the EAC has instructed Wyle to perform full regression testing on the DS200 for all functional requirements set forth in the EAC 2005 VVSG. This testing will be performed as part of the ES&S Unity 3.2.0.0 Rev 3 testing campaign.

(The remainder of this page intentionally left blank)

3.0 MATERIALS REQUIRED FOR TESTING

The materials required for certification testing of the ES&S Unity 3.2.0.0 Rev 3, which include software, hardware, test materials, and deliverable materials were shipped directly to Wyle by ES&S. Some of the equipment to be used during this test effort is the same equipment used during the original certification campaign performed by Wyle.

3.1 Software

This section defines the two types of software needed for testing:

- software used for the testing of hardware, software, and security
- supporting software required for the test environment (operating systems, compilers, assemblers, database managers, and any other supporting software)

The Unity 3.2.0.0 System Rev. 3 software and firmware submitted for review is identified in Table 3-1. Wyle will only be reviewing and building the source code pertaining to the DS200. Wyle will have a SHA1 hash made of the resulting software files or disc images.

A

The previously certified EMS (Version 3.2.0.0) shall be used in conjunction with the updated DS200 Firmware (Version 1.6.1.0) for system integration testing of the two components. The EMS will be retrieved from the ‘Trusted Builds’ archive. This test campaign will not include any new builds for the EMS, but will rely on software from the previous test campaigns.

(The remainder of this page intentionally left blank)

3.0 MATERIALS REQUIRED FOR TESTING

3.1 Software (Continued)

Table 3-1 presents the software the manufacturer has submitted for testing.

Table 3-1 Unity 3.2.0.0 Rev 3 System Software and Firmware

Software/Firmware	Version	Description
AIMS	1.3.157	A windows-based election management system software application to define election parameters for the VAT, including functionality to import election definition files produced by the Unity EMS and create VAT flash memory cards
HPM	5.7.1.0	Hardware Programming Manager (HPM) uses the election specific database created with Election Data Manager and Ballot Image Manager to program the appropriate media for ES&S tabulation devices.
EDM	7.8.1.0	Election Data Manager (EDM) is the entry point for the Unity Election Management System. Election Data Manager is a single-entry database that stores precinct, office, and candidate information. Data entered for an initial election is stored to a re-useable database to be recalled and edited for all elections that follow.
ESSIM	7.7.1.0	ES&S Ballot Image Manager (ESSIM) uses ballot style information created by Unity Election Data Manager to display the ballots in a WYSIWIG design interface. Users can apply typographic formatting (font, size, attributes, etc.) to individual components of the ballot.
AM	7.5.2.0	The Audit Manager (AM) utility provides security and user tracking for Election Data Manager and Ballot Image Manager. Audit Manager runs in the background of the other Unity programs and provides password security and a real-time audit log of all user inputs and system outputs.
ERM	7.5.4.0	Election Reporting Manager (ERM) generates paper and electronic reports for election workers, candidates, and the media. Jurisdictions can use a separate ERM installation to display updated election totals on a monitor as ballot data is tabulated, and send results reports directly to media outlets.
VAT Previewer	1.3.2906	The VAT Previewer is an application within the AIMS program that allows the user to preview audio text, and screen layout prior to burning election day media for the AutoMARK.
Log Monitor Service	1.0.0.0	Log Monitor Service is a Windows Service that runs in the background of any active ES&S Election Management software application to monitor the proper functioning of the Windows Event Viewer.

3.0 MATERIALS REQUIRED FOR TESTING (Continued)

3.2 Equipment

This subsection categorizes the equipment that the manufacturer submitted for testing. Each test element is included in the list of the equipment required for testing of that element, including system hardware, general purpose data processing and communications equipment, and any required test instrumentation.

Every effort is made to verify that the equipment purposed to be COTS is in fact COTS, as defined by the VVSG, and that the COTS equipment has not been modified for use. Wyle will perform research using the COTS equipment manufacturers' websites based on the serial and service tag numbers for each piece of equipment and will evaluate COTS hardware, system software and communications components for proven performance in commercial applications other than elections. For PCs, laptops, and servers, the service tag information is compared to the system information found on each machine. An external and internal physical analysis is also performed to the best of Wyle's abilities when the equipment is easily accessible without the possibility of damage. Hard drives, RAM memory, and other components are examined to verify that the components match the information found on the COTS equipment manufacturers' websites.

The equipment that the manufacturer submitted for testing is listed in Table 3-2. Each test element is included in the list of the equipment required for testing of that element including system hardware, general purpose data processing, communications equipment, and any required test instrumentation.

Table 3-2 Unity 3.2.0.0 Rev 3 Test Equipment

Equipment	Description	Serial Numbers
DS200 <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Hardware v. 1.2• Firmware v. 1.6.1.0	An optical scan paper ballot tabulator designed for use at the polling place level.	ES0108340178, ES0107380927, ES0107360007
Model 650 <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Hardware v. 1.1 and 1.2• Firmware v. 2.2.2.0	A high-speed, optical scan central ballot counter. During scanning, the Model 650 prints a continuous audit log to a dedicated audit log printer and can print results directly from the scanner to a second connected printer.	2406 8013
AutoMARK A100 <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Hardware v. 1.0• Firmware v. 1.3.2906	ADA Ballot Marking Device	AM0105490825
AutoMARK A200 <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Hardware v. 1.1, and 1.3• Firmware v. 1.3.2906	ADA Ballot Marking Device	AM0208470644

3.0 MATERIALS REQUIRED FOR TESTING (Continued)

3.2 Equipment (Continued)

Table 3-2 Unity 3.2.0.0 Rev 3 Test Equipment (Continued)

Equipment	Description	Serial Numbers
Ballot Box Hardware v. 1.2 & 1.3	Plastic Ballot Box	E076, E089, E099
Ballot Box Hardware v. 1.0, 1.1, & 1.2	Metal Box with Diverter	E015, E017
Server PC	Dell Optiplex GX260	7DOWL21
Server PC	Dell Precision T3500	15TPMN1
Client PC	Dell Optiplex 760	2HF3CK1
Ballot on Demand Printer	OKI C9650	AF85027113A0
Report Printer	HP LaserJet 4050N	USQX074394
Zip Disk	Used to store Model 650 results data	---
Headphones	Avid FV 60	HP-57936-1, HP-57936-2, HP-57936-3, HP-57936-4, HP-57936-5, HP-57936-6, HP-57936-7, HP-57936-8 and HP-57936-9

In order to perform the software witness and trusted builds, the equipment in Table 3-3 will be used.

Table 3-3 Unity 3.2.0.0 Rev 3 Voting System Build Machine Description

Equipment	Serial Number	Operating System
Dell Optiplex 760	6DCKJG1	Windows XP SP3 or Vista
Dell Keyboard – Model L100	CN0RH659735716B402JS	N/A
Dell Mouse – Model XN966	HS847130DLE	N/A
ACER Monitor – Model AL1716 P/N: ET 1716B.012	ETL460C005609012DCPY11	N/A
Dell Precision T3500	15TNMN1	Windows 7
Dell Keyboard – Model L100	CN0RH65965890660029T	N/A
Dell Mouse – Model DHY933	F0N002Y1	N/A

3.0 MATERIALS REQUIRED FOR TESTING (Continued)

3.2 Equipment (Continued)

Table 3-3 Unity 3.2.0.0 Rev 3 Voting System Build Machine Description (Continued)

Equipment	Serial Number	Operating System
Dell Optiplex GX110	20PW10B	QNX 4.22A
CPU Intel inside Xenon DELL	Dell 0T7570	Linux 6.2.5
Logitec keyboard (white) - Y-ST39	BTD40203069	N/A
Microsoft Intellimouse 1.3A PS/2 compatible	63618-OEM-3189502-1	N/A
Corsair Orbit PC	1112719 (D72500343200710)	N/A
WhiteSanport 17" Monitor model: H996 BBM	GK0M03C317000657	N/A
Logitec keyboard (white) - Y-SG13	MCT02201651	N/A
Microsoft Intellimouse 1.2A PS/2 compatible	63618-OEM-4593581-6	N/A
Acer LCD Monitor AL1716 P/N: ET 1716B.012	ETL 480C00580900290PY11	N/A
CPU Intel inside Xenon DELL	Dell 0T7570	Linux
Dell Monitor	8176324	N/A
Keyboard	CN-OW7658-37172-584-06MV	N/A
Mouse	HCD45048365	N/A
Dell PC Monitor	500120	N/A
Dell Precision T3500	15TNMN1	Linux

(The remainder of this page intentionally left blank)

3.0 MATERIALS REQUIRED FOR TESTING (Continued)

3.3 Test Support Materials

This subsection enumerates any and all test support materials needed to perform voting system testing. The scope of testing determines the quantity of a specific material required.

The test materials listed in Table 3-4 are required to support the Unity 3.2.0.0 Rev 3 System certification testing.

Table 3-4 System Test Support Materials

Test Material	Quantity	Make	Model
Security Seals	5000	Intab	800-0038R
Security Locks	20	E. J. Brooks	86022
	25	E. J. Brooks	6024
	50	American Casting Corp.	00561-03
	50	A. Rifkin	RIFSI
ES&S Pens	20	BIC	Grip Roller
Security Sleeves	7	ES&S	PS-S7-936-XX(1-7)
CF Card Reader	1	SanDisk	018-6305
Magnifier	3	---	---
Headphone Covers	30	---	---
Paddles (yes/no)	3	---	---
Transport Media (USB Flash Drives)	Delkin	512 MB Capacity	Wyle-assigned numbers: TM-XXX
	Delkin	4.0 GB Capacity	Wyle-assigned numbers: TM-XXX
	Delkin	8.0 GB Capacity	Wyle-assigned numbers: TM-XXX
	SanDisk	2.0 GB Capacity	Wyle-assigned numbers: TM-XXX
Compact Flash	SanDisk	512 MB Capacity	Wyle-assigned numbers: CF-XXX
	SanDisk	1.0 GB Capacity	Wyle-assigned numbers: CF-XXX
	SanDisk	2.0 GB Capacity	Wyle-assigned numbers: CF-XXX
	Toshiba	1.0 GB Capacity	Wyle-assigned numbers: CF-XXX
PCMCIA	Vikant	512 KB Capacity	Wyle-assigned numbers: PCMCIA-XXX

3.0 MATERIALS REQUIRED FOR TESTING (Continued)

3.4 Deliverable Materials

The materials listed in Table 3-5 are to be delivered as part of the Unity 3.2.0.0 Rev 3 System to the users.

Table 3-5 Deliverable Materials

Deliverable Material	Version	Description
AM	7.5.2.0	EMS
EDM	7.8.1.0	EMS
ESSIM	7.7.1.0	EMS
HPM	5.7.1.0	EMS
ERM	7.5.4.0	EMS
Log Monitor Service	1.0.0.0	EMS
AIMS	1.3.157	EMS
VAT Previewer	1.3.2906	EMS
DS200	Firmware 1.6.1.0; Hardware 1.2	Precinct ballot scanner
AutoMARK	Firmware 1.3.2906; Hardware 1.0, 1.1 and 1.3	Voter Assist Terminal
Model 650	Firmware 2.2.2.0; Hardware 1.1 and 1.2	Central ballot scanner
Headphones	Avid FV 60	Stereo headphones
Voting System Overview Unity 3.2.0.0 Rev 3	1.0	TDP Document
ES&S DS200 System Operations Procedures	Firmware 1.6.1.0 HV 1.2	TDP Document
ES&S AM System Operations Procedures	7.5.2.0	TDP Document
ES&S EDM System Operations Procedures	7.8.1.0	TDP Document
ES&S ERM System Operations Procedures	7.5.4.0	TDP Document
ES&S ESSIM System Operations Procedures	7.7.1.0	TDP Document
ES&S HPM System Operations Procedures	5.7.1.0	TDP Document

3.0 MATERIALS REQUIRED FOR TESTING (Continued)

3.4 Deliverable Materials (Continued)

Table 3-5 Deliverable Materials (Continued)

Deliverable Material	Version	Description
ES&S LogMonitor Service System Operations Procedures	1.0.0.0	TDP Document
ES&S M650 System Operations Procedures	Firmware 2.2.2.0; Hardware 1.1 and 1.2	TDP Document
Voting System Security Specification Unity 3.2.0.0 Rev 3	3.2.0.0 Rev 3	TDP Document
Jurisdiction Security Practices Template	1.0.0.1	TDP Document
Hardening the EMS PC Guide	1.0	TDP Document

(The remainder of this page intentionally left blank)

4.0 TEST SPECIFICATIONS

The certification testing of the Unity 3.2.0.0 Rev 3 System is to the configuration submitted in the EAC application ESS1201.

The Wyle-qualified personnel involved with certification testing performed on the manufacturer's voting system will follow Wyle's procedures for testing. Results are used to determine if the system has met and passed the specific test cases associated with those procedures based on EAC 2005 VVSG and EAC Testing and Certification Program Manual.

This test campaign is based on the previous test campaigns conducted for the ES&S Unity 3.2.0.0 System, which include Unity 3.2.0.0 Revs 1 and 2, and Unity 3.2.1.0. These reports can be found on the EAC website at www.eac.gov. During these test campaigns, the ES&S Unity Systems were tested and found to be in conformance with the United States Federal Election Commission (FEC) 2002 Voting System Standards (VSS) and all applicable requirements within the 2005 Voluntary Voting Systems Guidelines (VVSG). Per Section 4.4.2.3 of the EAC Testing and Certification Program Manual, all testing on the modifications to the system will be tested to the 2005 VVSG; however, pending successful completion of this test campaign, the system will only be granted a 2002 VSS certification since the system, as a whole, will not be tested to the 2005 VVSG.

A

Below is a list of EAC Request for Interpretations (RFI) and Notice of Clarifications (NOC) that will be incorporated in the test campaign:

Interpretations

A

- 2012-01 EAC Decision on Ballot Handling – Multi-feed
- 2010-08 EAC Decision on Calling Sequence
- 2010-07 EAC Decision on Module Length
- 2010-06 EAC Decision on DRE Accessibility Requirements and Other Accessible Voting stations
- 2010-05 EAC Decision on Testing of Modifications to a Certified System
- 2010-04 EAC Decision on Functional Requirements with Respect to Security
- 2010-03 EAC Decision on Database Coding Conventions
- 2010-02 EAC Decision on Coding Conventions
- 2010-01 EAC Decision on Voltage Levels and ESD Test
- 2009-06 EAC Decision on Temperature and Power Variation
- 2009-05 EAC Decision on T-Coil Requirements
- 2009-04 EAC Decision on Audit Log Events
- 2009-03 EAC Decision on Battery Backup for Central Count Systems
- 2009-02 EAC Decision on Alternate Languages
- 2009-01 EAC Decision on VVPAT Accessibility New
- 2008-12 EAC Decision on Ballot Marking Device/Scope of Testing
- 2008-10 EAC Decision on Electrical Fast Transient
- 2008-09 EAC Decision on Safety Testing
- 2008-08 EAC Decision on Automatic Bar Code Readers
- 2008-07 EAC Decision on Zero Count to Start Election

4.0 TEST SPECIFICATIONS (Continued)

Interpretations (Continued)

2008-06 EAC Decision on Battery Backup for Central Count
2008-05 EAC Decision on Durability
2008-04 EAC Decision on Supported Languages
2008-03 EAC Decision on OS Configuration
2008-02 EAC Decision on Battery Backup for Optical Scan Voting Machines
2008-01 EAC Decision on Temperature and Power Variation
2007-06 EAC Decision on Recording and Reporting Undervotes
2007-05 EAC Decision on Testing Focus and Applicability
2007-04 EAC Decision on Presentation of Alternative Language
2007-03 EAC Decision on Summative Usability Testing
2007-02 EAC Decision on Variable Names
2007-01 EAC Decision on Accessible Design

Notice of Clarifications

NOC 2012-01 – Clarification of COTS Product Equivalency for De Minimis Change
NOC 2011-01 – Clarification of De Minimis Change Determination Requirements Related to Data
NOC 2009-005 – Development and Submission of Test Plans for Modifications to EAC Certified Systems
NOC 2009-004 – Development and Submission of Test Reports
NOC 2009-003 – De Minimis Change Determination Requirement
NOC 2009-002 - Laboratory Independence Requirement
NOC 2009-001 - Requirements for Test Lab Development and Submission of Test Plans
NOC 2008-003 - EAC Conformance Testing Requirements
NOC 08-002 - EAC Mark of Certification
NOC 2008-001 - Validity of Prior Non-core Hardware Environmental and EMC Testing
NOC 2007-005 - Voting System Test Laboratory Responsibilities in the Management and Oversight of Third Party Testing
NOC 2007-004 - Voting System Manufacturing Facilities
NOC 2007-003 - State Testing Done in Conjunction with Federal Testing within the EAC Program
NOC 2007-002 - VSTL Work with Manufacturers Outside of Voting System Certification Engagements
NOC 2007-001 - Timely Submission of Certification Application

A

(The remainder of this page intentionally left blank)

4.0 TEST SPECIFICATIONS (Continued)

4.1 Requirements (Strategy of Evaluation)

The strategy for evaluating ES&S Unity 3.2.0.0 Rev 3 is to review and test all the modifications that were made to the DS200 and to ensure that all the concerns listed in the EAC Formal investigation report have been addressed and corrected. The primary focus of functional testing will be on the following key areas:

- Intermittent screen freezes, the system lockups and shutdowns which prevents the voting system from operating in the manner in which it was designed.
- Failure to log all normal and abnormal voting system events.
- Skewing of the ballot resulting in a negative effect on system accuracy.

In an effort to verify the full functionality, the following tests shall be performed on the DS200:

- Technical Data Package review to ensure all modifications are documented as applicable.
- A Physical Configuration Audit
- An Accuracy Test with the results being tallied by the EMS
- Security Testing
- Usability Testing
- Accessibility Testing
- Maintainability
- Telecommunications
- Volume and Stress Testing
- Functional Configuration Audit
- System integration test to ensure the DS200 and EMS are interoperable

(The remainder of this page intentionally left blank)

4.0 TEST SPECIFICATIONS (Continued)

4.1 Requirements (Strategy of Evaluation) (Continued)

To evaluate the system test requirements, each section of the EAC 2005 VVSG will be analyzed to determine the applicable tests. The EAC 2005 VVSG Volume I Sections, along with the strategy for evaluation, are described below:

- **Section 2: Functional Requirements** – The requirements in this section will be tested during the FCA utilizing the “Wyle Baseline Test Cases” along with test cases specially designed for the ES&S Unity 3.2.0.0 Rev 3 System. The data input during these tests will be the predefined election definitions submitted as part of the Test Plan Package.
- **Section 3: Usability and Accessibility** – The requirements in this section will be tested during TDP and FCA. A combination of TDP review and Functional testing will be performed to verify these requirements are met.
- **Section 4: Hardware Requirements** – The requirements in this section will be evaluated utilizing data obtained during prior VSTL test campaigns, which include the Unity 3.2.1.0. Maintainability tests will be performed on the DS200.
- **Section 5: Software Requirements** – The requirements in this section will be tested during source code review, TDP review, and FCA. A combination of review and functional testing will be performed to insure these requirements are met.
- **Section 6: Telecommunication** – The requirements in this section will be tested utilizing the Wyle Telecommunications Test Cases along with any necessary test cases specially designed for the Unity 3.2.0.0 Rev 3 system.
- **Section 7: Security Requirements** – The requirements in this section will be tested during source code review, FCA, and Security Tests.
- **Section 8: Quality Assurance (QA) Requirements** – The requirements in this section will be tested throughout the test campaign via various methods. TDP review will be performed on ES&S QA documentation to determine compliance to the EAC 2005 VVSG requirements and the requirements stated in the ES&S QA Program document. All source code will be checked to ensure that proper QA documentation has been completed. All equipment received for initial testing and follow-up testing will be checked against ES&S documentation to ensure their QA process is being followed. Wyle personnel will complete the requirements of EAC 2005 VVSG Vol. 2 Section 7, Quality Assurance Testing and Section 1.3.1.5, Focus of Vendor Documentation that requires Wyle personnel to physically examine documents at ES&S’s location or conduct an external evaluation utilizing equipment, documents and support information provided by ES&S during the test campaign.
- **Section 9: Configuration Management (CM) Requirements** – The requirements in this section will be tested throughout the test campaign. TDP review will be performed on the ES&S configuration management documentation to determine EAC 2005 VVSG compliance and to further determine whether ES&S is following its documented CM requirements within the TDP. Any anomalies will be formally reported to ES&S and the EAC. Wyle personnel will conduct an audit of the ES&S CM Program at the ES&S facility at the conclusion of the test campaign.

(The remainder of this page intentionally left blank)

4.0 TEST SPECIFICATIONS (Continued)

4.1 Requirements (Strategy of Evaluation) (Continued)

Wyle personnel shall maintain a test log of the procedure(s) employed. This log identifies the system and equipment by model and serial number.

In the event that the project engineer deems it necessary to deviate from requirements pertaining to the test environment, the equipment arrangement and method of operation, the specified test procedure, or the provision of test instrumentation and facilities, the deviation shall be recorded in the test log. (A discussion of the reasons for the deviation and the effect of the deviation on the validity of the test procedure shall also be provided and approved.)

The selected Wyle Operating Procedures (WoP's) for this project are listed below together with the identification and a brief description of the hardware and software to be tested and any special considerations that affect the test design and procedure.

The specific Wyle WoP's to be used for testing include the following:

- WoP 1 Operations Status Checks
- WoP 2 Receipt Inspection
- WoP 3 Technical Data Package Review (limited)
- WoP 4 Test Plan Preparation- ES&S Unity Version 3.2.0.0 Rev. 3 (*This document*)
- WoP 5a-d Source Code Review
- WoP 6 Security
- WoP 7 Trusted Build
- WoP 24 1-1g Usability
- WoP 24 2-2h Accessibility
- WoP 25 Physical Configuration Audit
- WoP 26 Functional Configuration Audit
- WoP 27 Maintainability
- WoP 28 Availability
- WoP 30 System Integration Test
- WoP 34 Test Report
- WoP 40 System Level Stress Test
- WoP 41 Accuracy

(The remainder of this page intentionally left blank)

4.0 TEST SPECIFICATIONS (Continued)

4.2 Hardware Configuration and Design

The ES&S Unity 3.2.0.0 Rev 3 System is a paper-based precinct voting system using touch-screen and scan technology to scan and validate ballots, provide voter-assisted ballots, and tabulate precinct results. The ES&S Unity 3.2.0.0 Rev 3 System consists of an election management system (an application suite consisting of AM, AIMS, EDM, ESSIM, HPM, ERM, Log Monitor Service, and VAT Previewer); the DS200 is a voting device that scans, validates and tabulates voter ballots at the precinct level; the AutoMARK Model A100 or A200 voter assisted terminal is used to facilitate special needs voters, and the M650 high-speed optical scan is a central ballot counter.

In the ES&S Unity 3.2.0.0 Rev 3 System all EMS functions are handled by proprietary software running on COTS PC/Laptops and Servers. Wyle has determined that these COTS PC/Laptops and Servers are not subject to the hardware test requirements per the EAC 2005 VVSG per “2007-05 Decision on Testing Focus and Applicability.” The provided PC/Laptops documented in Section 3, Materials Required for Testing, all contained CE, UL, and FCC labeling.

4.3 Software System Functions

The strategy for this test campaign will be to test the modifications of the software incorporated into the system and ensure the full functionality of the DS200 and the interface with the previously certified EMS. As a result of the issues identified, the EAC has instructed Wyle to perform full regression testing on the DS200 for all functional requirements set forth in the EAC 2005 VVSG. All changes to the DS200 firmware from Unity 3.2.0.0 (baseline) to the final submission for 3.2.0.0 Rev 3 will be evaluated to determine that all modifications are in conformance with the VVSG and that the changes to the firmware did not cause problems, or VVSG non-conformance, to the rest of the firmware.

4.4 Test Case Design

Wyle uses the V-Model Life Cycle as defined by the Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers (IEEE). The IEEE definition of the V-Model Life Cycle uses two concepts “Verification” and “Validation.” Wyle’s test approach is to use both “Verification” and “Validation” to some degree. There are four basic levels of testing in the V-Model Life Cycle: Component, Integration, System, and Acceptance. Wyle will be evaluating the ES&S Unity 3.2.0.0 Rev 3 to all four levels.

4.4.1 Hardware Qualitative Examination Design

Wyle performed a hardware qualitative examination to assess if the testing was performed under the guidelines of the EAC program, if the tests were performed per the EAC 2005 VVSG and the scope of the engineering changes implemented since test performance. Based on this examination, Wyle is accepting these results and waiving all hardware testing.

ES&S submitted COTS PCs and Laptops to be used during the test campaign that were labeled CE, UL, and FCC compliant. The supporting documentation for this testing has not been submitted to Wyle at this time. During this test campaign, Wyle will review this documentation to ensure that it meets the requirements of the EAC 2005 VVSG.

4.4.1.1 Mapping of Requirements to Specific Interfaces

Please refer to the EAC online program requirements matrix.

4.0 TEST SPECIFICATIONS (Continued)

4.4 Test Case Design

4.4.2 Software Module Test Case Design and Data

Wyle implements Component Level Testing during the FCA for each component and subcomponent, exercising the functionality of each component and subcomponent as designed and documented. Wyle will utilize limited structural-based techniques (white-box testing) mainly in the area of Source Code Review, Compliance Builds, Security Testing and TDP Review. Wyle will depend heavily on specification-based techniques (black-box testing) for the individual software components. The most common specification-based techniques applied to the ES&S Unity 3.2.0.0 Rev 3 System during the software testing portion of testing will be “equivalence partitioning” and “boundary value testing.”

- “Equivalence Partitioning” is a technique to select a value within a given range and at least one value outside the given range as applied to a software function. This technique will be used for numeric ranges as well as non-numeric ranges throughout FCA to test for normal and abnormal conditions.
- “Boundary Value Testing” is a techniques used to identify minimum and maximum boundary errors as applied to software functions. This technique will be used for numeric ranges as well as non-numeric ranges throughout FCA to test for normal and abnormal conditions.

Wyle will document an expected result for each test. The ACCEPT/REJECT criteria at the Component Level will be based on the expected result. If the System Under Test (SUT) performs as expected the results will be accepted. If the SUT does not perform as expected the test will be evaluated for tester error. If it is determined there was no tester error, the test will be repeated in an attempt to reproduce the results. If the results can be reproduced and the expected results are not met, the SUT will have failed the test. If the results cannot be reproduced, the results would be determined to not be repeatable and the test would continue. Wyle will document the error and track the error through resolution. Wyle will not move to the next level of testing until all documented errors are resolved to try and minimize errors that might occur farther along in the test campaign. Engineering analysis will be performed to determine what effect the resolution has on the component. A determination will be made whether Regression Testing will be sufficient or a complete re-test is necessary.

A

4.4.3 Software Functional Test Case Design and Data

Wyle implements Integration Level Testing primarily focusing on the interface between components and applications. The test approach to be used for the ES&S Unity 3.2.0.0 Rev 3 System will be a bottom-up approach where the lower level components will be tested first and then used to facilitate the testing of higher-level components. The specification-based technique used by Wyle at the Integration Level is “Use Case.” The actors that have been identified to use the ES&S Unity 3.2.0.0 Rev 3 System are the following:

- Election Administrator – the actor with responsibility of entering the election definition with translation and audio. This actor is also responsible for maintaining EMS users and the election database.
- Warehouse Technician – the actor responsible for loading the election definition onto DS200 and AutoMARK VAT units. This actor also runs diagnostic test and maintains the units.
- Poll Worker- the actor at the precinct location to set up and close down the DS200 and the AutoMARK VAT on Election Day.

4.0 TEST SPECIFICATIONS (Continued)

4.4 Test Case Design (Continued)

4.4.3 Software Functional Test Case Design and Data (Continued)

- Voter – the actor who physically casts the ballot on Election Day.
- ADA Voter – the actor with special needs who has to vote unassisted on Election Day.
- Election Official – the actor who reports and audits the election result post-Election Day.

“Use Case” will be used during the FCA with a single pass through each component using only valid data. This pass will be considered the “Master Copy” of data to be passed between interfacing points of applications during Integration level testing. If a component downstream in the test process needs data from previous processes, the “Master Copy” of data can be used or altered to accelerate the test process. Known tests that will utilize the “Master Copy” of data at the Integration Level are Security and Usability.

If an error occurs between data interfaces or in the process flow, an engineering analysis will be performed to determine if the error is data, process, or tester error. The ACCEPT/REJECT criteria for Integration Level testing is whether the components and applications interface using the documented process for each actor. If there is an error interfacing between components, the error will be documented and tracked through resolution. Engineering analysis will be performed to determine what effect the resolution has on the component. A determination will be made whether regression testing will be sufficient or a complete re-test is necessary.

4.4.4 System Level Test Case Design

During System Level Testing, Wyle will test the ability of proprietary software, proprietary hardware, proprietary peripherals, COTS software, COTS hardware, and COTS peripherals to function as a complete system in a configuration of the systems intended use. The ES&S Unity 3.2.0.0 Rev 3 System is intended to support both large and small jurisdictions. Wyle’s approach for the ES&S Unity 3.2.0.0 Rev 3 System will be to execute System Level Testing with a variety of elections that include various combinations of jurisdictions, parties and ballot styles.

The ACCEPT/REJECT criteria for System Level testing is whether the system can continue in testing. The two scenarios are: ACCEPT or REJECT. ‘ACCEPT’ is either 1) if no errors are found, or 2) if an error is encountered but the system continues to operate and engineering analysis determines that the root cause does not affect testing. ‘REJECT’ if an error is encountered and the system is too unstable to continue or engineering analysis determines the root cause could affect further testing. If an error occurs during System Level Testing, the error shall be documented. If the ES&S Unity 3.2.0.0 Rev 3 System is able to recover and continue, the test will continue. If the error causes the system to become unstable, the test will be halted. All errors documented during System Level Testing will be tracked through resolution. Engineering analysis will be performed to determine what effect the resolution has on the system. A determination will be made by Wyle’s senior engineering staff whether regression testing will be sufficient or a complete re-test is necessary.

B

(The remainder of this page intentionally left blank)

4.0 TEST SPECIFICATIONS (Continued)

4.4 Test Case Design (Continued)

4.4.4 System Level Test Case Design (Continued)

Wyle implements Acceptance Level testing focusing on all the data collected during the entire test campaign along with performing the "Trusted Build" for the system. All data from pre-testing, hardware testing, software testing, functional testing, security testing, volume testing, stress testing, telecommunication testing, usability testing, accessibility testing, and reliability testing activities will be combined to ensure all requirements that are supported by the ES&S Unity 3.2.0.0 Rev 3 System have been tested to the EAC 2005 VVSG. All requirements will be checked against the test data to ensure the EAC 2005 VVSG requirements are met. Items not supported by the ES&S Unity 3.2.0.0 Rev 3 System will be documented. Any issues documented during testing will be resolved or annotated in the test report.

Wyle will test every EAC 2005 VVSG requirement supported by the ES&S Unity 3.2.0.0 Rev 3 System. Wyle will report all issues discovered during this test campaign to ES&S and the EAC. If Wyle determines there is not enough data to ensure a requirement was met, the test plan will be altered and further testing will be done. The EAC has the final decision as to whether the system meets all the requirements for an EAC-certified system. Wyle will either recommend approval, if the system meets all applicable sections of the VVSG or recommend disapproval if the system does not meet all applicable sections of the VVSG.

B

4.5 Security Functions

The strategy for evaluating ES&S Unity 3.2.0.0 Rev 3 will be based on the following:

1. Verify that the changes to the DS200 do not affect security.
2. Wyle will utilize a combination of functional testing, system integration testing and source code review to evaluate the DS200 and EMS. The following areas are not applicable to the DS200 and; therefore, are not included in the scope of the security testing:
 - Use of Public Networks
 - Wireless Communication
3. Testing will be performed by Wyle personnel and a qualified-security expert. Wyle will report all findings to ES&S for comment and/or resolution. A report containing all findings will be issued to the EAC as an addendum to the final test report.

4.0 TEST SPECIFICATIONS (Continued)

4.6 TDP Evaluation

Wyle-qualified personnel will perform a comprehensive review of the ES&S TDP to determine compliance to the EAC 2005 VVSG, EAC requirements, and ES&S-specific requirements. The focus of this review will be on any modifications made to the TDP documents due to the changes in firmware from 3.2.1.0 and 3.2.0.0 Rev 3.

During the TDP review process, each document will be reviewed for completeness, clarity, and correctness, and continuity between the TDP documents. The review results will be formally reported to ES&S for resolution. If a revised document is received, it will be re-reviewed as discussed in this section. The TDP will be continuously reviewed during the entire testing process as these documents will be utilized to set up the systems, verify correct operational results and numerous other tests. At the end of the TDP review process, an Anomaly Report will be issued listing the non-compliant items on a document-by-document basis. A listing of all documents contained in the ES&S Unity 3.2.0.0 Rev 3 System TDP is provided in Table 4-1.

Table 4-1 TDP Documents

Unity 3.2.0.0 Rev 3 TDP Documents	Version	Doc #	Document Code
Voting System Overview	1.0	01-01	U3200r3_OVR00
System Functionality Description – Audit Manager	1.0	02-01	U3200r3_SFD00_AM
System Functionality Description – Election Data Manager	1.0	02-02	U3200r3_SFD00_EDM
System Functionality Description – ES&S Ballot Image Manager	1.0	02-03	U3200r3_SFD00_ESSIM
System Functionality Description – Hardware Programming Manager	1.0	02-04	U3200r3_SFD00_HPM
Unity 3.2.0.0 Rev 3 TDP Documents	Version	Doc #	Document Code
System Functionality Description – Election Reporting Manager	1.0	02-05	U3200r3_SFD00_ERM
System Functionality Description – DS200	1.0	02-06	U3200r3_SFD00_DS200
System Functionality Description – Model 650	1.0	02-07	U3200r3_SFD00_M650
System Functionality Description – Log Monitor Service	1.0	02-08	U3200r3_SFD00_Log Monitor
System Hardware Specification – DS200	1.0	03-01	U3200r3_SHS00_DS200
System Hardware Specification – Model 650	1.0	03-02	U3200r3_SHS00_M650

(The remainder of this page intentionally left blank)

Page 37 of 57
Wyle Test Plan No. T70049.01-01 REV B

4.0 TEST SPECIFICATIONS (Continued)

4.6 TDP Evaluation (Continued)

Table 4-1 TDP Documents (Continued)

Document Title	Version	Issue Date	File Name
Software Design and Specification – Audit Manager	1.0	04-01	U3200r3_SDS00_AM
Software Design and Specification – Election Data Manager	1.0	04-02	U3200r3_SDS00_EDM
Software Design and Specification – ES&S Ballot Image Manager	1.0	04-03	U3200r3_SDS00_ESSIM
Software Design and Specification – Hardware Programming Manager	1.0	04-04	U3200r3_SDS00_HPM
Software Design and Specification – Election Reporting Manager	1.0	04-05	U3200r3_SDS00_ERM
Software Design and Specification – DS200	1.0	04-06	U3200r3_SDS00_DS200
Software Design and Specification – Model 650	1.0	04-07	U3200r3_SDS00_M650
Software Design and Specification – Log Monitor Service	1.0	04-09	U3200r3_SDS00_LogMonitor
System Security Specification	4.0.0.0	05-01	U3200r3_SSS00
SS Appendix – Jurisdiction Security Procedures Template	1.0.0.1	05-02	U3200r3_SSS01_JSP Template
SSS Appendix – System Hardening Procedures	1.0	05-03	U3200r3_SSS08_Hardening Procedures
Unity 3.2.0.0 Rev 3 System Test Plan	1.0	06-01	U3200r3_STP00
System Test Cases – Audit Manager	3.13.2009	06-02	U3200r3_TC00_AM
System Test Cases – Election Data Manager	3.31.2011	06-03	U3200r3_TC00_EDM
System Test Cases – ES&S Ballot Image Manager	3.31.2011	06-04	U3200r3_TC00_ESSIM
System Test Cases – Hardware Programming Manager	3.31.2011	06-05	U3200r3_TC00_HPM
System Test Cases – Election Reporting Manager	3.31.2011	06-06	U3200r3_TC00_ERM
System Test Cases – DS200	1.13.2011	06-07	U3200r3_TC00_DS200
System Test Cases – M650	10.17.2008	06-08	U3200r3_TC00_M650
System Test Cases – DS200 SanDisk USB Media	6.1.2009	06-09	U3200r3_TC00_DS20001_SanDisk USBMedia

4.0 TEST SPECIFICATIONS (Continued)

4.6 TDP Evaluation (Continued)

Table 4-1 TDP Documents (Continued)

TDP Document Details			
Document Name	Version	Date	File Name
System Operations Procedures – Audit Manager	1.13.2012	07-01	U3200r3_SOP00_AM
System Operations Procedures – Election Data Manager	1.13.2012	07-02	U3200r3_SOP00_EDM
System Operations Procedures – ES&S Ballot Image Manager	1.13.2012	07-03	U3200r3_SOP00_ESSIM
System Operations Procedures – Hardware Programming Manager	1.13.2012	07-04	U3200r3_SOP00_HPM
System Operations Procedures – Election Reporting Manager	1.13.2012	07-05	U3200r3_SOP00_ERM
System Operations Procedures – DS200	1.13.2012	07-06	U3200r3_SOP00_DS200
System Operations Procedures – Model 650	1.13.2012	07-07	U3200r3_SOP00_M650
Systems Operations Procedures-Log Monitor Service	1.13.2012	07-09	U3200r3_SOP00_LogMonitor
TDP Manual Details			
System Maintenance Manual – DS200	1.13.2012	08-01	U3200r3_SMM00_DS200
System Maintenance Manual – Model 650	1.13.2012	08-02	U3200r3_SMM00_M650
TDP Training Manual Details			
Personnel Deployment and Training Recommendations	1.0	09-01	U3200r3_TRN00_Training Requirements
Training Manual – Election Data Manager	2.20.2009	09-02	U3200r3_TRN00_EDM_TrainingManual
Training Manual – ES&S Ballot Image Manager	2.20.2009	09-03	U3200r3_TRN00_ESSIM_TrainingManual
Training Manual – Hardware Programming Manager	2.20.2009	09-04	U3200r3_TRN00_HPM_TrainingManual
Training Manual – Election Reporting Manager	6.22.2009	09-05	U3200r3_TRN00_ERM_PreElection
Training Manual – Election Reporting Manager	6.22.2009	09-06	U3200r3_TRN00_ERM_Election

(The remainder of this page intentionally left blank)

4.0 TEST SPECIFICATIONS (Continued)

4.6 TDP Evaluation (Continued)

Table 4-1 TDP Documents (Continued)

Document Title	Version	Page Number	File Name
ES&S Configuration Management Plan	2.0	10-1	U3200r3_CMP00
CM Plan Appendices	---	10-2	Multiple Documents
Quality Assurance Program - Manufacturing	1.0	11-01	U3200r3_QAP00_MNF
Quality Assurance Program – Software and Firmware	1.0	11-02	U3200r3_QAP00_SWF
QAP Program Appendices	---	11-03	Multiple Documents
Unity 3.2.0.0 Rev 3 System Change Notes	29.0	---	---
ES&S Ballot Production Guide	12.06.2011	13-01	U3200r3_OVSTR_BallotProductionGuide

4.7 Source Code Review

The strategy for evaluating ES&S Unity 3.2.0.0 Rev 3 will be based on the three previously identified modifications to the system. All changes from Unity 3.2.1.0 (ESSUnity3210) will be reviewed to the EAC 2005 VVSG coding standards and the manufacturer-supplied coding standards.

This verification shall examine all functionality performed by the new modules; all functions performed by modified modules; functionality that is accomplished by using any interfaces to new modules or that shares inputs or outputs from new modules; all functionality related to vote tabulation and election results reporting; and all functionality related to audit trail maintenance. Wyle's senior level Project Engineer will then determine, based upon the significance of any noted issues (individually or cumulatively) with existing software interfaces modules, whether system integration testing with all components of the ES&S Unity 3.2.0.0 Rev 3 System may be required.

As the source code is received, an SHA-1 hash value will be created for each source code file. The source code team will then conduct a visual scan of every line of source code for an initial review and every line of modified source code for a re-review for all languages other than Java. For applications written in Java, the vendor will have the option of choosing a traditional review or an automated source review. This is done to identify any violation of EAC 2005 VVSG coding standards or manufacturer-supplied coding standards. Each identified violation will be recorded by making notes of the standards violation along with directory name, file name, and line number. A technical summary report of all identified standards violations will be sent to ES&S for resolution. ES&S will then correct all standards violations and re-submit the source code for re-review. This process will be repeated as many times as necessary, until all identified standards violations are corrected. All reports will be included in an anomaly report for source code and submitted to the EAC and included in the final test report.

4.0 TEST SPECIFICATIONS (Continued)

4.7 Source Code Review (Continued)

A “Compliance Build” will be built by Wyle from the reviewed source code using the Compliance Build Procedure to build iterative builds throughout the test campaign. This process follows the documented procedure in the EAC Testing and Certification Program Manual, Version 1.0, effective date January 1, 2007 with two exceptions: The image products will not be submitted to the EAC, and no manufacturer representative will be required to be present or on-site for these builds. The final step in the source code review will be to create a “Trusted Build” from the reviewed source code.

The “Trusted Build” follows the steps below:

- Clean the build machine
- Retrieve the compliant source code
- Retrieve the installation media for OS, compilers, and build software
- Construct the build environment
- Create digital signatures of the build environment
- Load the compliant source code into the build environment
- Create a digital signature of the pre build environment
- Create a disk image of the pre-build environment
- Build executable code
- Create a digital signature of executable code
- Create a disk image of the post-build environment
- Build installation media
- Create a digital signature of the installation media
- Install executable code onto the system to validate the software/firmware
 - Deliver source code with digital signature, disk image of pre-build environment with digital signatures, disk image of post-build environment with digital signatures, executable code with digital signatures, and installation media with signatures to EAC Approved Repository.

The “Trusted Build” for the ES&S Unity 3.2.0.0 Rev 3 System includes source code, data, and script files, in clear text form. The build also includes COTS software on commercially available media, COTS software downloaded by the VSTL, COTS software verified by digital signature from the software supplier, and picture and sound files in binary format provided by ES&S. The first step of the process is to clean the hard drives by writing zeros to every spot on the hard drive, so the drive is cleared of existing data. The operating system will then be loaded and the applications from the VSTL reviewed source along with the VSTL verified COTS software will be built. The final step is installing the applications on the hardware.

4.0 TEST SPECIFICATIONS (Continued)

4.8 QA and CM System Review

Both the ES&S QA Plan and CM Plan will be reviewed to determine compliance with EAC 2005 VVSG Volume II Section 2, and Volume I Sections 8 and 9, EAC stated requirements, and with the requirements of the internal ES&S documentation. Also, the ES&S TDP documentation package will be reviewed to determine if the ES&S QA Plan and the CM Plan are being followed. The results of the TDP review will be entered on a spreadsheet as previously described in Section 4.6 of this test plan. The results of the TDP review, including the QA and CM compliance results will also be included in the final Test Report.

(The remainder of this page intentionally left blank)

5.0 TEST DATA

5.1 Test Data Recording

All equipment utilized for test data recording shall be identified in the test data package. For hardware environmental and operational testing, the equipment will be listed on the Instrumentation Equipment Sheet for each test. The output test data will be recorded in an appropriate manner as to allow for data analysis. System logs will be analyzed during the test campaign to determine if any issues were recorded on the system that were not observed during the testing process. For source code and TDP reviews, results will be compiled in output reports and submitted to ES&S for resolution.

Additionally, all test results, including functional test data will be recorded on the relevant Wyle Laboratories' Operating Procedure and Test Cases. Results will also be recorded real-time in engineering log books. Incremental reports will be submitted to ES&S and the EAC at the completion of the major test areas to communicate progress and results as deemed necessary by the stakeholders.

5.2 Test Data Criteria

Wyle will evaluate all test results against the ES&S-provided technical documentation for the Unity 3.2.0.0 Rev 3 System and the requirements set forth in the EAC 2005 VVSG. The Unity 3.2.0.0 Rev 3 System shall be evaluated for its performance against the EAC 2005 VVSG. The acceptable range for system performance and the expected results for each test case shall be derived from the Unity 3.2.0.0 Rev 3 System documentation and the 2005 VVSG. Per the EAC 2005 VVSG, these parameters shall encompass the test tolerances, the minimum number of combinations or alternatives of input and output conditions that can be exercised to constitute an acceptable test of the parameters involved, and the maximum number of interrupts, halts or other system breaks that may occur due to non-test conditions (excluding events from which recovery occurs automatically or where a relevant status message is displayed).

5.3 Test Data Reduction

Test data shall be processed and recorded in the relevant Wyle Operating Procedures and Test Cases. Results will also be recorded real-time in engineering log books.

5.4 Test Operation Procedures

Wyle personnel will apply specific test cases to be conducted on this project. Each test case is modified to meet the needs of a specific requirement and function for the ES&S Unity 3.2.0.0 Rev 2. All steps are outlined in this process to include critical test data. The real-time results during testing are recorded in a Wyle Test Control Record.

Any test failures shall be recorded on the Wyle Notice of Anomaly form (Wyle Form No. WH1066). These anomalies shall be reported to the manufacturer and the EAC.

(The remainder of this page intentionally left blank)

6.0 TEST PROCEDURES AND CONDITIONS

The following subsections describe test procedures and a statement of the criteria by which readiness and successful completion shall be indicated and measured.

6.1 Facility Requirements

All testing will be conducted at the Wyle Huntsville, AL facility unless otherwise annotated. Hardware environmental non-operating (storage) and operating testing will be conducted utilizing an adequately sized environmental test chamber or dynamic shaker system equipped with the required data gathering support equipment. All remaining operating hardware tests will be conducted at the appropriate test site with the required support equipment. All instrumentation, measuring, and test equipment used in the performance of this test program will be listed on the Instrumentation equipment Sheet for each test and shall be calibrated in accordance with Wyle Laboratories' Quality Assurance Program, which complies with the requirements of ANSI/NCSL Z540-1 and ISO 10012-1. Standards used in performing all calibrations are traceable to the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) by report number and date. When no national standards exist, the standards are traceable to international standards or the basis for calibration is otherwise documented.

Unless otherwise specified herein, all remaining tests, including system level functional testing, shall be performed at standard ambient conditions:

- | | |
|-------------------------|---|
| • Temperature: | $25^{\circ}\text{C} \pm 10^{\circ}\text{C}$ ($77^{\circ}\text{F} \pm 18^{\circ}\text{F}$) |
| • Relative Humidity: | 20 to 90% |
| • Atmospheric Pressure: | Local Site Pressure |

Unless otherwise specified herein, the following tolerances shall be used:

- | | |
|---|---|
| • Time | $\pm 5\%$ |
| • Temperature | $\pm 3.6^{\circ}\text{F}$ (2°C) |
| • Vibration Amplitude | $\pm 10\%$ |
| • Vibration Frequency | $\pm 2\%$ |
| • Random Vibration Acceleration | |
| 20 to 500 Hertz | ± 1.5 dB |
| 500 to 2000 Hertz | ± 3.0 dB |
| • Random Overall grms | ± 1.5 dB |
| • Acoustic Overall Sound Pressure Level | $+4/-2$ dB |

(The remainder of this page intentionally left blank)

6.0 TEST PROCEDURES AND CONDITIONS (Continued)

6.2 Test Set-Up

All voting machine equipment (hardware and software) shall be received and documented utilizing Wyle Receiving Ticket (WL-218, Nov'85) and proper QA procedures. When voting system hardware is received, Wyle Shipping and Receiving personnel will notify Wyle QA personnel. With Wyle QA personnel present, each test article will be unpacked and inspected for obvious signs of degradation and/or damage that may have occurred during transit. Noticeable degradation and/or damage, if present, shall be recorded, photographs shall be taken, and the ES&S Representative shall be notified. Wyle QA personnel shall record the serial numbers and part numbers. Comparison shall be made between those numbers recorded and those listed on the shipper's manifest. Any discrepancies noted shall be brought to the attention of the ES&S Representative for resolution. TDP items, including all manuals, and all source code modules received will be inventoried and maintained by the Wyle Project Engineer assigned to testing.

For test setup, the system will be configured as would for normal field use. This includes connecting all supporting equipment and peripherals. Wyle personnel will properly configure and initialize the system, and verify that it is ready to be tested, by following the procedures detailed in the Unity 3.2.0.0 Rev 3 System technical documentation. Wyle will develop an Operational Status Check to be performed prior to and immediately following each hardware test. Wyle will develop the system performance levels to be measured during operational tests.

Wyle has developed eight election definitions that shall be used during this test campaign:

Operational Status Check

This election definition will exercise the operational status of the equipment, during the operational tests, and prior to and immediately following the non-operational hardware tests.

Accuracy

The accuracy test insures that each component of the voting system can each process 1,549,703 consecutive ballot positions correctly within the allowable target error rate. The Accuracy test is designed to test the ability of the system to "capture, record, store, consolidate and report" specific selections and absences of a selection. The required accuracy is defined as an error rate. This rate is the maximum number of errors allowed while processing a specified volume of data. For paper-based voting systems, the ballot positions on a paper ballot must be scanned to detect selections for individual candidates and contests and the conversion of those selections detected on the paper ballot converted into digital data. For telecommunications the test must exercise that the DS200 can transmit results accurately to Election Reporting Manager (ERM). Ballots will be scanned into the DS200 and the results from both the results printout and the USB stick will be verified with received results in Election Reporting Manager (ERM). A variety of elections with different data and size will be transmitted.

(The remainder of this page intentionally left blank)

6.0 TEST PROCEDURES AND CONDITIONS (CONTINUED)

6.2 Test Set-Up (Continued)

General Election: GEN-01

A basic election held in four precincts, one of which is a split precinct, containing nineteen contests compiled into four ballot styles. Five of the contests are in all four ballot styles. The other fifteen contests are split between at least two of the precincts with a maximum of four different contests spread across the four precincts. This election was designed to functionally test the handling of multiple ballot styles, support for at least two languages, support for common voting variations, and audio support for at least two languages.

- Closed Primary: No
- Open Primary: No
- Partisan offices: Yes
- Non-Partisan offices: Yes
- Write-in voting: Yes
- Primary presidential delegation nominations: No
- Ballot Rotation: No
- Straight Party voting: Yes
- Cross-party endorsement: No
- Split Precincts: Yes
- Vote for N of M: Yes
- Recall issues, with options: No
- Cumulative voting: No
- Ranked order voting: No
- Provisional or challenged ballots: Yes
- Early Voting: No

This election was designed to functionally test the handling of multiple ballot styles, support for at least two languages, support for common voting variations, and audio support for at least two languages. Test Pattern 8 was chosen for audio input in an alternative language because it is a basic voting pattern using an ADA device. Test pattern 9 was chosen for audio input to demonstrate support for write-in voting using an ADA device. Test Pattern 3 was chosen for Spanish language input because it is a basic vote pattern using Spanish. Test Pattern 10 was chosen for Spanish language input because it exercises write-in using Spanish.

(The remainder of this page intentionally left blank)

6.0 TEST PROCEDURES AND CONDITIONS (Continued)

6.2 Test Set-Up (Continued)

General Election: GEN-02

A basic election held in three precincts. This election contains fifteen contests compiled into three ballot styles. Ten of the contests are in all three ballot styles with the other five split across the three precincts. This election was designed to functionally test the handling of multiple ballot styles, support for ballot rotation, support for two languages, support for complex voting variations, and audio support for multiple languages.

- Closed Primary: No
- Open Primary: No
- Partisan offices: Yes
- Non-Partisan offices: Yes
- Write-in voting: Yes
- Primary presidential delegation nominations: No
- Ballot Rotation: Yes
- Straight Party voting: No
- Cross-party endorsement: No
- Split Precincts: No
- Vote for N of M: Yes
- Recall issues, with options: Yes
- Cumulative voting: No
- Ranked order voting: Yes
- Provisional or challenged ballots: No
- Early Voting: Yes

This election was designed to functionally test the handling of multiple ballot styles, support for ballot rotation, support for two languages, support for complex voting variations, and audio support for multiple languages. The election will be an early voting election with at least one machine running all precincts. Voting options for overvoting and undervoting will be exercised. Ballots 7 and 16 were selected for Spanish based language input. Ballots 13 and 17 were selected for casting of ballot using the ADA Audio capability.

(The remainder of this page intentionally left blank)

6.0 TEST PROCEDURES AND CONDITIONS (Continued)

6.2 Test Set-Up (Continued)

General Election: GEN-03

A basic election held in two precincts. This election contains eight contests compiled into two ballot styles. Four of the contests are in both ballot styles. The other four contests are split between the two precincts.

This election was designed to functionally test the handling of multiple ballot styles, support for at least two languages, support for common voting variations, and audio support for at least two languages and an ADA binary input device.

- Closed Primary: No
- Open Primary: No
- Partisan offices: Yes
- Non-Partisan offices: Yes
- Write-in voting: Yes
- Primary presidential delegation nominations: No
- Ballot Rotation: No
- Straight Party voting: No
- Cross-party endorsement: No
- Split Precincts: No
- Vote for N of M: Yes
- Recall issues, with options: No
- Cumulative voting: No
- Ranked order voting: No
- Provisional or challenged ballots: Yes
- Early Voting: No

This election was designed to functionally test the handling of multiple ballot styles, support for at least two languages including a character-based language, support for common voting variations, and audio support for at least two languages and an ADA binary input device. Test patterns 3 and 4 were chosen for input in the Spanish language because they are a basic voting pattern with a write-in. Test patterns 5 and 6 were chosen for audio input using the Spanish language to demonstrate support for write-in voting using an ADA device with an alternative language. Test pattern 7 was chosen for character-based language input because it is a basic vote pattern using Chinese. Test pattern 8 was chosen for character-based language using an ADA device to demonstrate support for character-based ADA device support. Test pattern 9 was chosen for binary input to show support for ADA binary input device. Test pattern 10 was chosen for binary input using ADA audio device to show support for binary input and ADA support.

(The remainder of this page intentionally left blank)

6.0 TEST PROCEDURES AND CONDITIONS (Continued)

6.2 Test Set-Up (Continued)

Primary Election: PRIM-01

An open primary election in two precincts, containing thirty contests compiled into five ballot styles. Each ballot style contains six contests. This election was designed to functionally test an open primary with multiple ballot styles, support for two languages, and support for common voting variations.

- Closed Primary: No
- Open Primary: Yes
- Partisan offices: Yes
- Non-Partisan offices: Yes
- Write-in voting: Yes
- Primary presidential delegation nominations: No
- Ballot Rotation: No
- Straight Party voting: No
- Cross-party endorsement: No
- Split Precincts: Yes
- Vote for N of M: Yes
- Recall issues, with options: No
- Cumulative voting: No
- Ranked order voting: No
- Provisional or challenged ballots: Yes
- Early Voting: No

This election designed to functionally test an open primary with multiple ballot styles, support for two languages, and support for common voting variations. Test patterns 5 and 18 are input in an alternative language. Test patterns 8 and 18 are input using an ADA audio device. These patterns were select to exercise the write-in functionality in a primary election.

(The remainder of this page intentionally left blank)

6.0 TEST PROCEDURES AND CONDITIONS (Continued)

6.2 Test Set-Up (Continued)

Primary Election: PRIM-02

A basic election held in two precincts. This election contains thirteen contests compiled into three ballot styles. One contest is in all three ballot styles and all other contests are independent. This election was designed to functionally test the handling of multiple ballot styles, support for Primary presidential delegation nominations, support for two languages, support for complex voting variations, and audio support for multiple languages.

- Closed Primary: No
- Open Primary: Yes
- Partisan offices: Yes
- Non-Partisan offices: Yes
- Write-in voting: Yes
- Primary presidential delegation nominations: Yes
- Ballot Rotation: No
- Straight Party voting: No
- Cross-party endorsement: Yes
- Split Precincts: No
- Vote for N of M: No
- Recall issues, with options: No
- Cumulative voting: No
- Ranked order voting: No
- Provisional or challenged ballots: No
- Early Voting: No

This election was designed to functionally test the handling of multiple ballot styles, support for Primary presidential delegation nominations, support for two languages, support for complex voting variations, and audio support for multiple languages. The election will be an open primary election with one machine running for each precinct. Voting options for Over-voting, Under-voting and write-in voting will be exercised. Ballots 5 and 18 were selected for Spanish based language input. Ballots 8 and 17 were selected for casting of ballot using the ADA Audio capability.

(The remainder of this page intentionally left blank)

6.0 TEST PROCEDURES AND CONDITIONS (Continued)

6.2 Test Set-Up (Continued)

Primary Election: PRIM-03

A basic election held in two precincts. This election contains ten contests and is compiled into two ballot styles. Two of the contests are in both ballot styles. The other eight contests are split between the two parties' ballots. This election was designed to functionally test the handling of multiple ballot styles, support for at least two languages, support for common voting variations, and audio support for at least two languages and an ADA binary input device.

- Closed Primary: Yes
- Open Primary: No
- Partisan offices: Yes
- Non-Partisan offices: Yes
- Write-in voting: Yes
- Primary presidential delegation nominations: No
- Ballot Rotation: No
- Straight Party voting: No
- Cross-party endorsement: No
- Split Precincts: No
- Vote for N of M: Yes
- Recall issues, with options: No
- Cumulative voting: No
- Ranked order voting: No
- Provisional or challenged ballots: Yes
- Early Voting: No

This election was designed to functionally test the handling of multiple ballot styles, support for at least two languages including an Ideographic based language, support for common voting variations, and audio support for at least two languages and an ADA binary input device. Test patterns 3 and 4 were chosen for input in the Spanish language because it is a basic voting pattern with a write-in. Test patterns 5 and 6 were chosen for audio input using the Spanish language to demonstrate support for write-in voting using an ADA device with an alternative language. Test pattern 7 was chosen for Ideographic based language input because it is a basic vote pattern using Chinese. Test pattern 8 was chosen for character based language using an ADA device to demonstrate support for Ideographic based ADA device support. Test pattern 9 was chosen for binary input to show support for ADA binary input device. Test pattern 10 was chosen for binary input using ADA audio device to show support for binary input and ADA support.

Wyle shall develop three special test cases and election definitions that will be used during the analysis phase of ballot skew, intermittent screen freeze, and logging requirements.

6.0 TEST PROCEDURES AND CONDITIONS (Continued)

6.3 Test Sequence

The components of the Unity 3.2.0.0 Rev 3 System will undergo all applicable tests in the EAC 2005 VVSG. The following sections provide a list of each test, a brief description of each, and a location of each test. Wyle will utilize a combination of functional testing, source code review, and TDP reviews to evaluate the system performance. The list of tests is not in a specific sequence.

6.3.1 Hardware Test Descriptions

The majority of the hardware tests have previously been performed during prior VSTL test campaigns. Maintainability will be performed as part of this test campaign due to the changes made within the DS200 firmware and TDP documentation.

Maintainability – Maintainability represents the ease with which preventive and corrective maintenance actions can be performed based on the design characteristics of equipment and software and the processes the manufacturer and election officials have in place for preventing failures and for reacting to failures.

Table 6-1 Unity 3.2.0.0 Rev 3 System Hardware Test Sequence

Test	Description	Procedure	Test Level	Specimen
<i>Maintainability</i>	Tests the ease in which preventative and corrective maintenance actions can be performed based on design, software, and documentation.	WoP 27	Component & System	DS200

6.3.2 Software Test Description

The software tests include the following:

Source Code Compliance Review – Wyle Laboratories personnel will compare the source code to the manufacturer's software design documentation to ascertain how completely the software conforms to the manufacturer's specifications. Source code inspection shall also assess the extent to which the code adheres to the requirements in Section 5 of Volumes I and II.

Compliance Build of the Unity 3.2.0.0 Rev 3 System Software, Firmware, and Utilities– Before testing can begin a compliance build of all the applications will be constructed by Wyle personnel using the build environment, build documentation and reviewed source code. This is to insure the software being tested is constructed from the same source code that was reviewed.

(The remainder of this page intentionally left blank)

6.0 TEST PROCEDURES AND CONDITIONS (Continued)

6.3 Test Sequence (Continued)

6.3.2 Software Test Description (Continued)

COTS Source Code Review – Unmodified, general purpose COTS non-voting software (e.g., operating systems, programming language compilers, data base management systems, and Web browsers) is not subject to the detailed examinations specified in this section. However, Wyle Laboratories personnel will examine such software to confirm the specific version of software being used against the design specification to confirm that the software has not been modified. The Portions of the COTS software that have been modified by the manufacturer in any manner are subject to review. Unmodified COTS software is not subject to code examination. However, source code generated by a COTS package and embedded in software modules for compilation or interpretation will be provided in human readable form to Wyle Laboratories. Wyle Laboratories personnel may inspect COTS source code units to determine testing requirements or to verify the code is unmodified.

Wyle Laboratories may inspect the COTS generated software source code in preparation of test plans and to provide some minimal scanning or sampling to check for embedded code or unauthorized changes. Otherwise, the COTS source code is not subject to the full-code review and testing. For purposes of code analysis, the COTS units shall be treated as unexpanded macros.

Baseline of EMS Operating and Build Machine OS – Wyle will review the submitted NIST SCAP FDCC checklist for the EMS Operating System and Build Machine OS ES&S. The review will be performed for completeness, clarity, and consistency.

Error Recovery Test – This will be tested to ensure that unit is capable of recovering from a non-catastrophic failure of a device, or from any error or malfunction that is within the operator's ability to correct and restoration of the device gracefully from the failures. Testing will include powering units off while operating, disconnecting various cables and components to ensure operation once restored.

Security Source Code Review – The security source code review is a detailed review of the functionality of the source code that has been submitted. A manual line by line review will then be utilized, which can be augmented by an automated analysis of the source code.

Trusted Build – The trusted build is a process of converting the reviewed source code into machine-readable binary instructions for a computer. This test will follow Section 5.6 of the EAC Testing and Certification Program manual.

(The remainder of this page intentionally left blank)

6.0 TEST PROCEDURES AND CONDITIONS (Continued)

6.3 Test Sequence (Continued)

6.3.2 Software Test Description (Continued)

Table 6-2 Unity 3.2.0.0 Rev 3 System Software Test Sequence

Test	Description	Procedure	Test Level	Specimen
<i>Compliance Source Code Review (Pre-testing Activity)</i>	Source code review for compliance	WHVS07.2 WOP 5a	Component	Source Code
<i>Compliance Build</i>	Using the build documents and source code to construct the EMS	WHVS07.3 WOP 25	Component	Source Code
<i>Source Code COTS Review</i>	Source code review to examine 3 rd party products for modification and versions	WHVS07.2 WOP 5d	Component	COTS Source Code
<i>Baseline OS</i>	RFI 2008-03 OS Configuration	WHVS07.3 WOP 25	Component	NIST SCAP FDCC Checklist
<i>Source Code Functional Review</i>	Source code review for functionality and high level software design	WHVS07.2 WOP5b	Component & Integration	Source Code
<i>Source Code Security Review (manual – automated)</i>	Source code review for specific security concerns augmented by an automated review	WHVS07.2 WOP5d WOP 6a	Component & Integration	Source Code
<i>Trusted Build</i>	Creation and installation of the final system software	WHVS07.6 WoP 7 WoP 7a	Component	System software

(The remainder of this page intentionally left blank)

6.0 TEST PROCEDURES AND CONDITIONS (Continued)

6.3 Test Sequence (Continued)

6.3.3 System Testing

Physical Configuration Audit – The Physical Configuration Audit compares the voting system components submitted for qualification to the manufacturer's technical documentation and shall include the following activities:

- Establish a configuration baseline of software and hardware to be tested; confirm whether manufacturer's documentation is sufficient for the user to install, validate, operate, and maintain the voting system
- Verify software conforms to the manufacturer's specifications; inspect all records of manufacturer's release control system; if changes have been made to the baseline version, verify manufacturer's engineering and test data are for the software version submitted for certification
- Review drawings, specifications, technical data, and test data associated with system hardware, if non-COTS, to establish system hardware baseline associated with software baseline
- Review manufacturer's documents of user acceptance test procedures and data against system's functional specifications; resolve any discrepancy or inadequacy in manufacturer's plan or data prior to beginning system integration functional and performance tests
- Subsequent changes to baseline software configuration made during testing, as well as system hardware changes that may produce a change in software operation are subject to re-examination

Specific Functional Testing - Wyle will perform specific functional tests to determine if the conditions listed below have been corrected. These tests will be based data that will be obtained from a combination of TDP review, manufactures precertification testing review, prior laboratory testing review and the additional tests.

- Intermittent screen freezes, the system lockups and shutdowns which prevents the voting system from operating in the manner in which it was designed.
- Failure to log all normal and abnormal voting system events.
- Skewing of the ballot resulting in a negative effect on system accuracy.

Functional Configuration Audit – The functional configuration audit encompasses an examination of manufacturer's tests, and the conduct of additional tests, to verify that the system hardware and software perform all the functions described in the manufacturer's documentation submitted for the TDP. In addition to functioning according to the manufacturer's documentation, tests will be conducted to insure all applicable EAC 2005 VVSG requirements are met.

TDP Review – The technical data package must be submitted as a precondition of national certification testing. These items are necessary to define the product and its method of operation; to provide technical and test data supporting the manufacturer's claims of the system's functional capabilities and performance levels; and to document instructions and procedures governing system operation and field maintenance. Any information relevant to the system evaluation shall be submitted to include source code, object code, and sample output report formats.

(The remainder of this page intentionally left blank)

6.0 TEST PROCEDURES AND CONDITIONS (Continued)

6.3 Test Sequence (Continued)

6.3.3 System Testing (Continued)

Security Test – The security test is designed and performed to test the capabilities of the voting system against the requirements defined in Volume, Section 7. These procedures shall focus on the ability of the system to detect, prevent, log, and recover from a broad range of security risks identified. This test will also examine system capabilities and safeguards claimed by ES&S in the TDP to go beyond these risks. The range of risks tested is determined by the design of the system and potential exposure to risk.

Telecommunication Test – The telecommunication test focuses on system hardware and software function and performance for the transmission of data that is used to operate the system and report election results. This test applies to the requirements for Volume I, Section 6 of the EAC 2005 VVSG.

Volume/Stress/Reliability – Tests to investigate the system's response to conditions that overload the system's capacity to process, store, and report data. The test parameters will focus on the system stated limits and the ballot logic for areas such as the maximum number of active voting positions, maximum number of ballot styles, maximum candidates, maximum contests, and stated limits within the EMS. This test will be utilized to ensure the system can achieve the manufacturer's TDP claims of what the system can support. Testing will be performed by exercising an election definition and test cases developed specifically to test for volume and stress conditions of the system being tested.

Each sub-component will be subjected to the test as outlined in the EAC 2005 VVSG as follows:

- The EMS shall be subjected to overload conditions such as processing more than the expected number of ballots/voters per precinct and processing more than expected number of precincts.
- The DS200 shall be subjected to ballot processing at the high volume rates at which the equipment can be operated to evaluate software response to hardware-generated interrupts and wait states.

Wyle will verify the audit log records for error and exception activity to verify proper documentation and recovery action for all functional tests performed. A detailed listing of all audit log entries shall be provided by ES&S in the TDP submitted. During testing, audit log entries will be compared to this list to ensure that all expected events were recorded. To ensure the system's ability to gracefully shutdown and recover from error conditions, negative test cases will be performed to introduce such error conditions. The error conditions introduced will be based on the system limits specified within the vendors TDP documentation.

A

Accuracy – The accuracy test insures that each component of the voting system (DS200) can process 1,549,703 consecutive ballot positions correctly within the allowable target error rate. The Accuracy test is designed to test the ability of the system to “capture, record, store, consolidate and report” specific selections and absences of a selection. The required accuracy is defined as an error rate. This rate is the maximum number of errors allowed while processing a specified volume of data. For paper-based voting systems, the ballot positions on a paper ballot must be scanned to detect selections for individual candidates and contests and the conversion of those selections detected on the paper ballot converted into digital data.

(The remainder of this page intentionally left blank)

6.0 TEST PROCEDURES AND CONDITIONS (Continued)

6.3 Test Sequence (Continued)

6.3.3 System Testing (Continued)

System Integration – System Level certification test address the integrated operation of both hardware and software, along with any telecommunication capabilities. Compatibility of the voting system software components or subsystems with one another, and with other components of the voting system environment shall be determined through functional tests integrating the voting system software with the remainder of the system.

Regression Testing - Regression Testing will be performed on all system components to verify all functional and firmware modifications made during the test campaign did not affect the system adversely.

B

Table 6-3 Unity 3.2.0.0 Rev 3 System Testing Sequence

Test	Description	Procedure	Test Level	Specimen	Election Data
<i>Technical Data Package (TDP) Review</i>	Documentation review for compliance, correctness, and completeness	WHVS07.11 WoP 3	Document	TDP package	---
<i>Physical Configuration Audit</i>	Audit hardware and software models and versions	WHVS07.3 WoP 25	Component & System	System hardware and software	---
<i>Functional Configuration Audit</i>	Functional testing to the system documentation and EAC 2005 VVSG requirements	WHVS07.4 WoP 26 WoP30a	Component & Integration	DS200	Gen-01 Prim-01
<i>Telecommunication</i>	Test of telecommunication technology of the system for accuracy and correctness	WHVS07.6 WoP 31	Integration & System	DS200	Gen-01 Volume & Stress
<i>Volume, Stress, & Reliability Test</i>	Test to investigate the system's response to larger amounts of data than it is expecting.	WoP 40	System	DS200	Volume and Stress Election
<i>Security</i>	Assess the system to the 2005 VVSG requirements and execute basic system security tests.	WHVS07.7 WoP 6 WoP 6a WoP 6b WoP 6c WoP 6d	Integration & System	DS200	Gen-01 Prim -01
<i>Evaluation of DS200</i>	Tests conducted to gain data for engineering analysis on skew, ballot marking and logging.	Wyle Test Cases	Component & System	System hardware and software	---
<i>Accuracy</i>	Test of accuracy to ~1.6 million ballot positions	WHVS07.9 WoP 41	System	DS200	L&A Election
<i>System Integration Test</i>	Test of all system hardware, software and peripherals.	WoP 30	System	System	Gen-01-03 Prim-01-03

A

7.0 TEST OPERATIONS PROCEDURES

7.1 Proprietary Data

All proprietary data that is marked will be distributed only to those persons that the manufacturer or EAC identifies as needing the information to conduct the qualification testing. The manufacturer is required to mark all proprietary documents as such. All organizations and individuals receiving proprietary documents will ensure those documents are not available to non-authorized persons.

(The remainder of this page intentionally left blank)

**Page A-1 of 3
Wyle Test Plan No. T70049.01-01 REV B**

APPENDIX A

TEST PROCEDURE DESCRIPTION

**WYLE LABORATORIES, INC.
Huntsville Facilities**

**WYLE LABORATORIES, INC.
Huntsville Facility**

Page A-2 of 3
Wyle Test Plan No. T70049.01-01 REV B

Test Procedure	Test Procedure Description
WoP 1 Operational Status Checks	<p>When all tests, inspections, repairs, and adjustments have been completed, normal operation shall be verified by conducting an operational status check.</p> <p>During this process, all equipment shall be operated in a manner and under environmental conditions that simulate election use to verify the functional status of the system. Prior to the conduct of each of the environmental hardware non-operating tests, a supplemental test shall be made to determine that the operational state of the equipment is within acceptable performance limits.</p>
WoP 2 Receipt Inspection	Documenting the receiving inspection of equipment.
WoP 3 Technical Data Package Review	Track all documentation changes through the technical data package.
WoP 4 Test Plan Preparation – ES&S Unity 3.2.0.0 Rev 2 (<i>This Document</i>)	Approval of this document shall fulfill the requirements of this procedure.
WoP 5 a-d Source Code Review	<p>Wyle Laboratories personnel will compare the source code to the manufacturer's software design documentation to ascertain how completely the software conforms to the manufacturer's specifications. Source code inspection shall also assess the extent to which the code adheres to the requirements in Volume I, Section 5.</p>
WoP 6 a-d Security	<p>The objectives of the security standards for voting systems are:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • To protect critical elements of the voting system • To establish and maintain controls to minimize errors • To protect the system from intentional manipulation, fraud and malicious mischief • To identify fraudulent or erroneous changes to the voting system • To protect secrecy in the voting process <p>Maintenance of a permanent record of original audit data that cannot be modified or overridden but may be augmented by designated authorized officials in order to adjust for errors or omissions (e.g., during the canvassing process).</p>
WoP 7 a-c Trusted Build	<p>To ensure that the system version tested is the correct version, Wyle Laboratories personnel will witness the build of the executable version of the system immediately prior to or as part of, the physical configuration audit. (Additionally, should components of the system be modified or replaced during the testing process, the accredited test lab shall require the manufacturer to conduct a new "build" of the system to ensure that the certified executable release of the system is built from tested components)</p>
WoP 24 1-1g Usability	<p>The requirements within this section are intended to set forth guidelines that will determine if a voting system can be used comfortably and efficiently by voters, and will provide voters with confidence that they have cast their votes correctly. Three broad principles motivate this section:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. All eligible voters shall have access to the voting process without discrimination. 2. Each cast ballot shall accurately capture the selections made by the voter. 3. The voting process shall preserve the secrecy of the ballot.
WoP 24 2-2h Accessibility	The requirements within this section are intended to set forth guidelines that will determine if a voting system can be used comfortably and

WYLE LABORATORIES, INC.
Huntsville Facilities

WYLE LABORATORIES, INC.
Huntsville Facility

Page A-3 of 3
Wyle Test Plan No. T70049.01-01 REV B

Test Procedure	Test Procedure Description
	efficiently by voters, and will provide voters with confidence that they have cast their votes correctly. Three broad principles motivate this section: <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. All eligible voters shall have access to the voting process without discrimination.2. Each cast ballot shall accurately capture the selections made by the voter.3. The voting process shall preserve the secrecy of the ballot.
WoP 25 Physical Configuration Audit	The physical configuration audit will be limited to baseline the system to ensure all software and hardware used in testing is the software and hardware that was certified.
WoP 26 Functional Configuration Audit	There are various functional capabilities required of a voting system. Functional testing is performed to evaluate the effectiveness of a voting system to perform in its intended use and to determine if the voting system meets the minimum actions a voting system must be able to perform to be eligible for certification.
WoP 27 Maintainability	Maintainability represents the ease with which preventive and corrective maintenance actions can be performed based on the design characteristics of equipment and software and the processes the vendor and election officials have in place for preventing failures and for reacting to failures.
WoP 28 Availability	The availability of a voting system is defined as the probability that the equipment (and supporting software) needed to perform designated voting functions will respond to operational commands and accomplish the function.
WoP 30 System Integration Test	Test to ensure the DS200 firmware version 1.6.1.0 and the EMS Unity 3.2.0.0 Rev 3 components interface reliably and accurately.
WoP 34 Test Report	National Certification Test Report
WoP 40 Volume and Stress	Tests to investigate the system's response to transient overload conditions, processing more than the expected number of ballots/voter per precinct and processing more than expected number of precincts. Polling place devices shall be subjected to ballot processing at the high volume rates at which the equipment can be operated to evaluate software response to hardware-generated interrupts and wait states. Central counting systems shall be subjected to similar overloads, including, for systems that support more than one card reader, continuous processing through all readers simultaneously. This test is an attempt to overload the system's capacity to process, store, and report data.
WoP 41 Accuracy	The accuracy test insures that each component of the voting system can each process 1,549,703 consecutive ballot positions correctly within the allowable target error rate. The Accuracy test is designed to test the ability of the system to "capture, record, store, consolidate and report" specific selections and absences of a selection. The required accuracy is defined as an error rate. This rate is the maximum number of errors allowed while processing a specified volume of data. For paper-based voting systems the ballot positions on a paper ballot must be scanned to detect selections for individual candidates and contests and the conversion of those selections detected on the paper ballot converted into digital data.