The IMF and Global Dispossession

A literature review

Nathan Kim

Advised by Professor Lisa Lowe

Introduction

Since its founding in 1944, the International Monetary Fund (IMF) has amassed a total of 705 billion Special Drawing Rights in spending capacity, or about \$1 trillion. This spending capacity is deployed as loans during times of crisis, compared to the World Bank's extensive general lending system. Through these loans, relatively small Washington-based organization (of only ~2,300 staff members) with no subsidiary organizations is able to move the global economy, millions of people at a time. Its focus on low-to-middle-income countries during crises means that the most vulnerable of the global population are affected by its work.

The IMF's loans are not without caveats. Under the stated purpose of ensuring loan repayment and financial stability, or "conditionality," the IMF requires that loan-receiving countries also implement a set of macroeconomic policy reforms known as Structural Adjustment Programs (SAPs). These include "purely" economic measures like currency devaluation, austerity measures, and restructuring or refinancing foreign debts, but also include liberalization of markets broadly, privatization of state-owned companies in particular, opening the country to foreign investment, and a stipulation for the country to move towards resource extraction and export. Although the majority of IMF loans do come with market-rate interest rates and often require collateral, both of which can be a significant burden for countries requesting aid, SAPs are far more powerful in terms of creating long-term subjugating economic relations. SAPs

push countries in the Global South to cheaply produce and export goods to the Global North, where only then they are realized as profit. APs push countries to denationalize basic necessities and turn them over to multinational corporations in order to qualify for loans. In more direct terms, SAPs push countries into a recapitulated racial hierarchy, a new imperialism, an imperialism for the twenty-first century.

Accumulation and crisis

My thesis begins with Marx, and specifically his writing on capitalist accumulation. In 1867, Marx published Volume 1 of Capital, and in it he described capitalism as fundamentally a cyclical process of accumulation. The distinction between capitalism and feudalism or other modes of production lay in employer's ability to systematically generate profit. By only paying the amount that was required for capitalists to continue consuming worker's labor power, or in other words low hourly wages, even selling a product simply at its market-desired value could generate returns for the owner of the machinery and process that created the product (also known as the means of production). The generated profit could be reinvested to generate additional product, turning what could be simply leftover profit into capital.

An inevitable outcome of this accumulation is overaccumulation. At some point the resources required to sustain this process reach a limit – perhaps there is not enough land to continue expanding a farm or factory, or there is not enough demand to consume the products generated by the reinvestment of capital. In both the former case of a production-side problem or the latter case of a consumption-side problem, overaccumulation occurs because of continuous drive to produce without end. As Marx says, "The ultimate reason for all real crises always remains the poverty and restricted consumption of the masses as opposed to the drive of capitalist production to develop the productive forces as though only the absolute consuming power of society constituted their limit."⁴.

There are generally two strategies that can accommodate this overaccumulation. On one hand, and as Marx described, the products may undergo a massive devaluation. This constitutes most of our modern

¹This is the main subject of Smith, Imperialism in the Twenty-First Century.

²See Ciafone, "Endowing the Neoliberal University" for a detailed history on Yale's relationship with privatizing Indonesian power.

³Harvey, The New Imperialism; Smith, Imperialism in the Twenty-First Century.

⁴Marx, Capital; cited in Sewell, "What Causes Capitalist Crises."

economic crises, including the Asian financial crisis as will be explained below. When products that were in excess are devalued, they can again be bought and sold as capital, and the march towards production can begin again.

Marxist philosopher-activist Rosa Luxemburg pointed out another possibility in The Accumulation of Capital (1913), arguing that the underpayment of workers would require products under capitalism to be underbought, and that capitalism's solution for itself was expansion via war and conquest to find new consumer bases where these surplus commodities could be realized as profit. Around the same time period, Vladmir Lenin published his iconic pamphlet Imperialism: The Highest Stage of Capitalism that detailed the converse of this process. While Luxemburg saw expansion as capitalism's temporary "fix" in providing new consumers, Lenin saw expansion as capitalism's temporary "fix" in providing new resources and workers from which a larger array of products could be generated. Both saw the events of the time, like Japan's annexation of Korea and intrusion into China,

Over a hundred years after Marx first wrote about overaccumulation, David Harvey revisited these works and synthesized them with Marx's writing on primitive accumulation. Marx saw the economic process of accumulation as having started with capital obtained by entirely noneconomic and violent means. These included gold and silver taken from the Americas, the enslavement and murder of Indigenous populations there, the looting of South Asia by the British East India Company, the Transatlantic slave trade and enslavement of Africans in America, and the forceful expropriation of land from the English peasantry. Harvey argued in 2003 that these violent appropriations that Marx described did not just contribute to accumulation during the prehistory of capital, as Marx described, but was an essential aspect of accumulation itself. Similarly, restructuring and expansion described by Lenin, Luxemburg, and others that capitalism required did not only take place not only in times of formal economic crises but throughout all of capitalist history. Given that these appropriations were not "primitive" as Marx called them but were an integral part of accumulation, Harvey termed these processes as accumulation by dispossession.

In addition to a temporal synthesis, Harvey's theory of accumulation by dispossession also pushed theories of capitalism towards viewing capitalism as a social and dispossessive process. Just as Marx orig-

inally described capital as both a social and economic relation, so too did theorists of capitalism

Racialized accumulation by dispossession

The phrase and theory of accumulation by dispossession has struck a chord in the past twenty years, generating thousands of texts that expand on Harvey's own

This will begin with Paula Chakravartty and Denise da Silva's collection Race, Empire, and the Crisis of the Subprime. They note that while Harvey's theory of accumulation by dispossession emphasizes the social processes of dispossession that are at capitalism's core, Harvey takes race for granted in his writing because he notes simply the disproportionate burden of these acts of dispossession on people of color. He stops short of discussing the racial logic that would allow such a burden to appear. Thus, even while criticizing the burden of the economic impact on people of color, race and racism are taken as "primitive" or preexisting concepts over which modern capitalist forces take hold. Da Silva and Chakravartty push back on this and argue that race continues to order accumulation in and of itself, and specifically in the subprime mortgage crisis that Black recipients of loans are continually configured to be outside of the relationship of an economic transaction and the capacity to pay one side of the trade.

But their discussion, while framed as a study of global capitalism and arguing that "race as the naturalized ways U.S. Americans deploy the term cannot be the privileged and sole critical descriptor" of the various manifestations of the logics of dispossession on the "others of Europe," still stops short of studying dispossession across the globe. Their collection does not touch on relationships between or across nations, institutions that drive these processes forth, or how the crises they discuss manifest elsewhere. My project will attempt to complement to their discussion by discussing debt and raciality as global concepts. While Chakravartty and da Silva focus on U.S. banking institutions and the subprime mortgage loan, I will focus on the IMF's crisis loans; while they critique the dispossession of the "others of Europe" by focusing on Black and Latino/a homeowners, I will focus on the (mis)handling of the 1997 Asian financial crisis, and as a case study focus on the crisis' escalation to catastrophe in South Korea.

⁵Chakravartty and da Silva, "Accumulation, Dispossession, and Debt."

⁶See also Silva, Toward a Global Idea of Race for more from da Silva on this topic.

Korean history

The above theoretical contribution can be seen sharply in Korea's experience during the Asian financial crisis. This application would extend much

English-language histories of Korea are limited, but

One foundational group of scholars of modern Korean history includes Bruce Cumings, Carter Eckert, Charles Armstrong, Suh Dae-Sook, and Michael Robinson. Robinson and Eckert set precedents for scholarship in Korean history in the colonial era, while Cumings, Suh, and Armstrong wrote extensively on the peninsula post-Korean War. Cumings in particular cemented his legacy as a revisionist historian, showing in The Origins of the Korean War that the United States military effectively began the Korean War with the arbitrary separation of the nation in 1945 and the numerous massacres and concentration camps set up by the US-backed Syngman Rhee regime in Korea between 1945 and 1950. These latter three scholars also established the first wave of research in North Korean history, often a difficult task given the opposing ideology of the U.S. military (in the case of Cumings) or the cautious nature of the North Korean government (in the case of Suh and Armstrong).

Histories of Korea after this first generation of scholars has been much more nuanced, with a greater turn towards cultural studies. Historians of colonial Korea today include Theodore Jun Yoo, Todd Henry, Gi-Wook Shin, David Fedman, and many others. Rather than focusing on establishing a chronological history of Korea under Japanese rule, these historians focus on specific epistemelogical and social categories that are regulated by the Japanese state and contested by native Koreans. On questions of land, to cosmopolitan space, to gender and sexuality, the Japanese government put forth formal and informal

⁷The personal histories of many of these scholars is interesting. Professor Ria Chae gave a comparison in a seminar last year of Cumings to Carter Eckert, another famous/foundational scholar of Korean history in the United States. They're both very successful academically, having endowed chairs at the University of Chicago and Harvard. And both began their interest in Korea from being deployed to Korea as part of the Peace Corps draft. But while Carter Eckert mostly stuck to his duties, Cumings often left his post to join protestors of then-dictator Park Chung Hee and hosted organizers in his home. He was also an early member of the Committee of Concerned Asian Scholars that was formed in opposition to the U.S. war in Vietnam and in solidarity with liberation movements of the Third World. A lot of this personal history is reflected in the kind of history he writes, which seeks to deconstruct U.S. aggression and highlight Korean resistance to this aggression. Not to idolize a tenured white male scholar, but yes, I hope my own study is on a trajectory closer to Cumings' than Eckert's.

⁸Charles Armstrong notably published the first set of studies involving Record Group 242 of the National Archives & Records Administration, which contains some 1.6 million items captured during the Korean War era. Many of these originally belonged to the North Korean army and were left behind during retreat. Still not many scholars have used this archive, despite its massive size.

regulations, and in response Koreans would rebel through moments like the March First Movement, through guerilla fighting in the Korean mountains, or by striking in Japanese-Korean factories.⁹.

After the period of Japanese colonization, Korea was split in two by the United States, and then (modern histories of the korean war) For histories of the 1960s and 70s,

(modern histories of the era of military dictatorships)

Following the Korean War, South Korea experienced a period of military dictatorships beginning with Syngman Rhee in 1945 and ending with Chun Doo-Hwan in 1989. An unprecedented level of violence occurred in Korea during this era,

- todd henry assimilating seoul
- todd henry queer korea

(modern histories of the) histories of the korean war

•

north korea

- suzy kim, eveyrdya life during the north korean revolution
- •

Charles Kim, Paul Chang, Namhee Lee, and many others have written on South Korea under numerous military dictatorships, documenting the intellectual and cultural trends that fueled resistance in these regimes.¹⁰

contemporary eras

- seungsook moon militarized modernity
- Jin-Kyung Lee service economies

•

⁹Henry, Queer Korea; Shin, Peasant Protest and Social Change in Colonial Korea; Shin and Robinson, Colonial Modernity in Korea; Yoo, The Politics of Gender in Colonial Korea; Fedman, Seeds of Control; Henry, Assimilating Seoul.

¹⁰Chang, Protest Dialectics; Kim, Youth for Nation; Lee, The Making of Minjung.

In other words, recent trends in histories of Korea have turned away from uncovering an objective truth as a first generation of U.S. scholars had, but towards critiquing and deconstructing social categories that led to certain trends in history.¹¹

However, much of this critical perspective is lacking on contemporary Korea, and specifically on Korea situated in a global context. (comment/ridicule amsden here)Amsden¹²

As will be discussed below, there is a substantial amount of scholarship analyzing the Asian financial crisis in Korea, but the key question of a racial logic or hierarchy through which Korea and the IMF (or any global body) relate to each other is little discussed.

South Korea is relevant for a number of reasons. It was under the rule of four different states over the past one hundred and fifty years, destroyed by war and four decades of dictatorship after its supposed "liberation" from Japan, and separated arbitrarily from its northern half by the whim of two U.S. Navy Officers after World War II. 13 Yet today the nation enjoys a sort of "model modernity" status of postindustrial success. 14 Like the much-discussed myth of Asian Americans as a "model minority" in the U.S., that status connotes a group that has endured hardship but ultimately has played by the (Western) rules of capitalist success to achieve some semblance of "prosperity" today. Not only does this rely on a false notion of prosperity, for neither the "model minority" Asian Americans nor the "model modernity" of South Korea are liberated from colonialism today, but the status also hides how the logic that led to the "success" of certain groups perpetuates the oppression of others. South Korea has only enjoyed "order" because Korea has cemented patriarchal norms through draft culture, 15, and it has only enjoyed "peace" because it sent almost a million troops to Vietnam in exchange for U.S. capital and technology during the Vietnam War. 16 Other countries that do not experience the same level of economic "success" lie outside the bounds of capitalist modernity as result, and the "model modernity" of South Korea serves as a

¹¹This is not at all to incriminate the first generation of scholars as being unnuanced or incorrect; histories like Cumings' that exposed what military aggression and popular narratives hid are of course essential.

¹²Asia's Next Giant.

¹³Some useful thinkers here are Chang, Protest Dialectics; Cho, Haunting the Korean Diaspora; and , cumings2002?

¹⁴While the term "model modernity" was said to me by Professor Lowe as a conversational, on-the-spot insight during an advising meeting, I believe others have deployed this term as well – specifically Lye, "Model Modernity"; but also Chettri and McDuie-Ra, "Delinquent Borderlands" and Wilks, Race, Gender, and Comparative Black Modernism.

¹⁵Or "militarized modernity," as popularized by Moon, Militarized Modernity and Gendered Citizenship in South Korea. ¹⁶Chang, Protest Dialectics.

comparison against which other nations and races may be castigated.

Asian financial crisis

The economic crisis of 1997 exemplifies this dynamic and exposes a momentary contradiction in the march to capitalist modernity. Before the crisis, South Korea was boasting record low unemployment rates, had worker's rights and labor laws that were among the strongest of the OECD group, all with a consistently low inflation rate and while being known for its cautious stance on foreign investment. But the unemployment rate in South Korea quadrupled in less than a year after the crisis began in October 1997, and the IMF quickly transitioned from an obscure international economic agency into a household name. 18.

The former face of the new Korean generation and proclaimer of equality, Kim Dae-Jung, turned an about-face on his belief in protecting the Korean economy and quickly accepted the IMF's recommendation to put Korea's central banks up for sale.¹⁹ In other words, the glass image of capitalist stability was cracked and laid bare, as the IMF quickly opened the South Korean economy for outside financial institutions to buy up and the labor rights fought for by unions were reset after company acquisitions. My paper will focus on the racial logic that made these events possible, and how they fit into the IMF's view of the world at large.

Going forward (gaps)

More than just being a difference in topic or scope, I hope that this positioning will enable my project to connect Chakravartty and da Silva's analysis of the racial logic of debt with postcolonial theory and theories of globalization. These are undoubtedly concepts that Da Silva and Chakravartty stretch to-

¹⁷Clift, The IMF and the Politics of Austerity in the Wake of the Global Financial Crisis; Hwang, "Long-Term Implications of Neoliberal Restructuring and Rising Inequality"; Kim and Hong, "The IMF, Globalization, and Changes in the Media Power Structure in South Korea."

¹⁸Kim and Finch, "Living with Rhetoric, Living Against Rhetoric"; Martin-Jones, "Decompressing Modernity"; Park, "The Labor Market Policy and Social Safety Net in Korea."

¹⁹Chossudovsky, "The Recolonization of Korea."

wards in their collection, and other authors have similarly tried to bridge the gap between contemporary theories of race and theories of globalization.²⁰ But in my view, very little literature has questioned the racial categories that give rise to the "globalized" world of today. Discussion on globalization criticizes the unequal economic nature and sometimes (like Harvey) notes the disproportionate impact of this economic burden on people of color, but no theoretical or empirical discussion has discussed how the racial and economic imbalances are not coincidental but part of the same fundamental process of capitalist dispossession. I hope that my thesis can fill this gap.

²⁰Some of my favorite ones are Amin, Capitalism in the Age of Globalization; Featherstone, Global Culture; Sassen, Globalization and Its Discontents; and Jenkins, The Bonds of Inequality, but there is so much insight and work in this field that cannot fit into a single footnote.

Bibliography

- Amin, Samir. Capitalism in the Age of Globalization: The Management of Contemporary Society. Zed Books Ltd., 2014. https://books.google.com?id=Tw9kDgAAQBAJ.
- Amsden, Alice Hoffenberg. Asia's Next Giant: South Korea and Late Industrialization. Oxford University Press, 1992. https://books.google.com?id=f0hDv51qS6MC.
- "Assimilating Seoul Google Books." Accessed December 8, 2021. https://www.google.com/books/edition/Assimilating_Seoul/HawwDwAAQBAJ?hl=en&gbpv=1&dq=todd+henry+assimilating+seoul&printsec=frontcover.
- Beck, Ulrich. Risk Society: Towards a New Modernity. SAGE Publications, 1992.
- Chakravartty, Paula, and Denise Ferreira da Silva. "Accumulation, Dispossession, and Debt: The Racial Logic of Global Capitalism—An Introduction." American Quarterly 64, no. 3 (2012): 361–85. https://www.jstor.org/stable/23273527.
- Chang, Paul. Protest Dialectics: State Repression and South Korea's Democracy Movement, 1970-1979.

 Stanford University Press, 2015. https://books.google.com?id=EJeZBgAAQBAJ.
- Chettri, Mona, and Duncan McDuie-Ra. "Delinquent Borderlands: Disorder and Exception in the Eastern Himalaya." Journal of Borderlands Studies 35, no. 5 (October 19, 2020): 709–23. https://doi.org/10.1080/08865655.2018.1452166.
- Cho, Grace M. Haunting the Korean Diaspora: Shame, Secrecy, and the Forgotten War. U of Minnesota Press, 2008. https://books.google.com?id=VagzEDjnZpcC.
- Choi, Chungmoo. "The Discourse of Decolonization and Popular Memory: South Korea." In The Politics of Culture in the Shadow of Capital, 461–84. Duke University Press, 1997. https://doi.org/10.1515/9780822382317-018.
- Chossudovsky, Micehl. "The Recolonization of Korea." Los Angeles Times, June 16, 2000. http://www.hartford-hwp.com/archives/55a/232.html.
- Ciafone, Amanda. "Endowing the Neoliberal University." In Work and Culture, 2005.
- Clift, Ben. The IMF and the Politics of Austerity in the Wake of the Global Financial Crisis. Oxford University Press, 2018.

- Cumings, Bruce. The Origins of the Korean War: Liberation and the Emergence of Separate Regimes, 1945-1947. Yuksabipyungsa, 1981. https://books.google.com?id=3hJyAAAAMAAJ.
- Eckert, Carter J. Offspring of Empire: The Koch'ang Kims and the Colonial Origins of Korean Capitalism, 1876-1945. University of Washington Press, 2014. https://books.google.com?id=oW3iAgAAQBAJ.
- Eichengreen, Barry. Exorbitant Privilege: The Rise and Fall of the Dollar and the Future of the International Monetary System. Oxford University Press, 2011. https://books.google.com?id=TIlpAgAAQBAJ.
- Escobar, Arturo. Encountering Development: The Making and Unmaking of the Third World. Encountering Development. Princeton University Press, 2011. https://doi.org/10.1515/9781400839926.
- Featherstone, Mike. Global Culture: Nationalism, Globalization and Modernity. SAGE, 1990.
- Fedman, David. Seeds of Control: Japan's Empire of Forestry in Colonial Korea. University of Washington Press, 2020. https://books.google.com?id=WgxVywEACAAJ.
- Ferguson, James. The Anti-politics Machine: "Development," Depoliticization, and Bureaucratic Power in Lesotho. U of Minnesota Press, 1994.
- Giddens, Anthony. The Consequences of Modernity. Stanford University Press, 1990.
- Grosfoguel, Ramón. "Colonial Difference, Geopolitics of Knowledge, and Global Coloniality in the Modern/Colonial Capitalist World-System." Review (Fernand Braudel Center) 25, no. 3 (2002): 203–24. https://www.jstor.org/stable/40241548.
- ——. "World-Systems Analysis in the Context of Transmodernity, Border Thinking, and Global Coloniality." Review (Fernand Braudel Center) 29, no. 2 (2006): 167–87. https://www.jstor.org/stable/40241659.
- Gruneau, Richard, and John Horne. Mega-Events and Globalization: Capital and Spectacle in a Changing World Order. Routledge, 2015.
- Haggard, Stephan. Pathways from the Periphery: The Politics of Growth in the Newly Industrializing Countries. Cornell University Press, 1990. https://books.google.com?id=lb4JfBRgXBcC.
- Harvey, David. The New Imperialism. Oxford University Press, 2003.

- Henry, Todd A. Assimilating Seoul: Japanese Rule and the Politics of Public Space in Colonial Korea, 1910–1945. Univ of California Press, 2016. https://books.google.com?id=HawwDwAAQBAJ.
- ——. Queer Korea. Duke University Press, 2020. https://books.google.com?id=EHrNDwAAQBAJ.
- Hwang, Sun-Jae. "Long-Term Implications of Neoliberal Restructuring and Rising Inequality :South Korea after the 1997 Asian Financial Crisis." 한국사회학 49, no. 3 (June 2015): 97–120. https://doi.org/10.21562/kjs.2015.06.49.3.97.
- Jenkins, Destin. The Bonds of Inequality: Debt and the Making of the American City. University of Chicago Press, 2021.
- Jeon, Joseph Jonghyun. "Neoliberal FormsCGI, Algorithm, and Hegemony in Korea's IMF Cinema." Representations 126, no. 1 (May 1, 2014): 85–111. https://doi.org/10.1525/rep.2014.126.1.85.
- ———. Vicious Circuits: Korea's IMF Cinema and the End of the American Century. Stanford: Stanford University Press, 2019.
- Joo, Rachael Miyung. Transnational Sport: Gender, Media, and Global Korea. Duke University Press, 2012. https://books.google.com?id=jFwNhLz3EJYC.
- Kihwan, Kim. "The 1997-98 Korean Financial Crisis: Causes, Policy Response, and Lessons," 2006.
- Kim, Charles R. Youth for Nation: Culture and Protest in Cold War South Korea. University of Hawaii Press, 2017. https://books.google.com?id=slkEEAAAQBAJ.
- Kim, Daeho, and Seok-Kyeong Hong. "The IMF, Globalization, and Changes in the Media Power Structure in South Korea." Media and Globalization: Why the State Matters, 2001, 77–92.
- Kim, Dong-one, and Seongsu Kim. "Globalization, Financial Crisis, and Industrial Relations: The Case of South Korea." Industrial Relations: A Journal of Economy and Society 42, no. 3 (2003): 341–67. https://doi.org/10.1111/1468-232X.00295.
- Kim, Seung-Kyung, and John Finch. "Living with Rhetoric, Living Against Rhetoric: Korean Families and the IMF Economic Crisis." Korean Studies 26, no. 1 (2002): 120–39. https://doi.org/10.1353/ks.2002.0008.
- Kim, Suzy. Everyday Life in the North Korean Revolution, 1945–1950. Cornell University Press, 2013. https://doi.org/10.7591/9780801469367.

- Kohler, Horst. "IMF Managing Director Congratulates Korea on Early Repayment of 1997 Stand-By Credit." The International Monetary Fund, August 22, 2001. https://archivescatalog.imf.org/Details/ArchiveExecutive/125150694.
- Lazzarato, Maurizio. The Making of the Indebted Man: An Essay on the Neoliberal Condition. Semiotext(E), 2012.
- Lee, Benjamin, and Edward LiPuma. Financial Derivatives and the Globalization of Risk. Duke University Press, 2004.
- Lee, Catherine H. "To Thine Ownself Be True: IMF Conditionality and Erosion of Economic Sovereignty in the Asian Financial Crisis." University of Pennsylvania Journal of International Economic Law 24 (2003): 875. https://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/upjiel24&id=885&div=&collection=.
- Lee, Jin-kyung. Service Economies: Militarism, Sex Work, and Migrant Labor in South Korea. U of Minnesota Press, 2010. https://books.google.com?id=ZAp3ee2bz_0C.
- Lee, Namhee. The Making of Minjung: Democracy and the Politics of Representation in South Korea. The Making of Minjung. Cornell University Press, 2011. https://doi.org/10.7591/9780801461699.
- Lim, Timothy C. "Racing from The Bottom in South Korea?: The Nexus Between Civil Society and Transnational Migrants." Asian Survey 43, no. 3 (2003): 423–42. https://doi.org/10.1525/as.2003. 43.3.423.
- Loomba, Ania. Colonialism/Postcolonialism. Routledge, 2015.
- Lye, Colleen. "Model Modernity: The Making of Asiatic Racial Form, 1882-1945." PhD thesis, Columbia University, 1999. https://www.proquest.com/docview/304501240/abstract/81687A9388744E79PQ/1.
- Martin-Jones, David. "Decompressing Modernity: South Korean Time Travel Narratives and the IMF Crisis." Cinema Journal 46, no. 4 (2007): 45–67. https://www.jstor.org/stable/30137719.
- Marx, Karl. Capital: Volume III. Penguin UK, 1992.
- McCleery, Robert K., and Fernando De Paolis. "The Washington Consensus: A Post-Mortem." Journal of Asian Economics, A tribute to Seiji Naya, 19, no. 5 (November 2008): 438–46. https://doi.org/

- 10.1016/j.asieco.2008.09.004.
- Medley, Joseph E. "The East Asian Economic Crisis: Surging U.S. Imperialism?" Review of Radical Political Economics 32, no. 3 (September 1, 2000): 379–87. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0486-6134(00) 80028-5.
- Moon, Seungsook. Militarized Modernity and Gendered Citizenship in South Korea. Duke University Press, 2005. https://doi.org/10.1515/9780822387312.
- Morris, Nancy, Silvio Ricardo Waisbord, and Silvio Waisbord. Media and Globalization: Why the State Matters. Rowman & Littlefield, 2001.
- Mueller, Julie L. "The IMF, Neoliberalism and Hegemony." Global Society 25, no. 3 (July 2011): 377–402. https://doi.org/10.1080/13600826.2011.577032.
- Muga, David A. "The Marxist Problematic as a Model Interdisciplinary Approach to Ethnic Studies." Journal of Ethnic Studies 17, no. 4 (Winter 1990): 53–80. http://www.proquest.com/docview/220134386?pq-origsite=360link.
- Noble, Gregory, and John Ravenhill. "The Good, the Bad and the Ugly: Korea, Taiwan and the Asian Financial Crisis," 80–107, 2000. https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781139171328.005.
- Park, Se-II. "The Labor Market Policy and Social Safety Net in Korea: After the 1997 Crisis." Tjhe Brookings Institution, September 1, 1999. https://www.brookings.edu/research/the-labor-market-policy-and-social-safety-net-in-korea-after-the-1997-crisis/.
- Peebles, Gustav. "The Anthropology of Credit and Debt." Annual Review of Anthropology 39, no. 1 (2010): 225–40. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-anthro-090109-133856.
- Prebisch, Raul. "The Economic Development of Latin America and Its Principal Problems." Economic Bulletin for Latin America, 1962.
- Radelet, Steven, and Jeffrey Sachs. "4. The Onset of the East Asian Financial Crisis." In Currency Crises, 105–62. University of Chicago Press, 2007. https://doi.org/10.7208/9780226454641-007.
- Rankin, William. "How the Visual Is Spatial: Contemporary Spatial History, Neo-Marxism, and the Ghost of Braudel." History and Theory 59, no. 3 (2020): 311–42. https://doi.org/10.1111/hith. 12162.

- Riley, Stephen P. The Politics of Global Debt. Springer, 2016.
- Robertson, Roland. Globalization: Social Theory and Global Culture. SAGE, 1992.
- Robinson, Michael E. Korea's Twentieth-Century Odyssey: A Short History. University of Hawaii Press, 2007. https://books.google.com?id=FZlhkifQOksC.
- Rodney, Walter. How Europe Underdeveloped Africa. Verso Books, 2018. https://books.google.com?id=FrN1DwAAQBAJ.
- Sargent, Thomas J., George Hall, Martin Ellison, Andrew Scott, Harold James, Era Dabla-Norris, Mark De Broeck, Nicolas End, Marina Marinkov, and Vitor Gaspar. Debt and Entanglements Between the Wars. International Monetary Fund, 2019.
- Sassen, Saskia. Globalization and Its Discontents. New York: New Press, 1998.
- Sewell, Rob. "What Causes Capitalist Crises: Under-Consumption or Overproduction?" In Defence of Marxism. Accessed December 8, 2021. https://www.marxist.com/underconsumption-and-marxist-theory-of-crisis.htm.
- Shin, Gi-Wook. Peasant Protest and Social Change in Colonial Korea. University of Washington Press, 2014. https://books.google.com?id=t7IyBQAAQBAJ.
- Shin, Gi-Wook, and Michael Edson Robinson. Colonial Modernity in Korea. Harvard Univ Asia Center, 1999. https://books.google.com?id=JMVgNnkZXAQC.
- Silva, Denise Ferreira Da. Toward a Global Idea of Race. U of Minnesota Press, n.d.
- Singer, H. W. "Economic Progress in Underdeveloped Countries." Social Research 16, no. 1 (1949): 1–11. https://www.jstor.org/stable/40982245.
- Smith, John. Imperialism in the Twenty-First Century: Globalization, Super-Exploitation, and Capitalism's Final Crisis. NYU Press, 2016.
- Song, Jesook. South Koreans in the Debt Crisis: The Creation of a Neoliberal Welfare Society. South Koreans in the Debt Crisis. Duke University Press, 2009. https://doi.org/10.1515/9780822390824.
- Strom, Stephanie. "South Koreans Protest Spread Of Layoffs In I.M.F. Plan." The New York Times: World, May 28, 1998. https://www.nytimes.com/1998/05/28/world/south-koreans-protest-spread-of-layoffs-in-imf-plan.html.

- Stuelke, Patricia. The Ruse of Repair: US Neoliberal Empire and the Turn from Critique. Duke University Press, 2021. https://www.dukeupress.edu/the-ruse-of-repair.
- "The Asian Crisis: A View from the IMF–Address by Stanley Fischer." IMF. Accessed November 10, 2021. https://www.imf.org/en/News/Articles/2015/09/28/04/53/sp012298.
- Vasudevan, Ramaa. "Finance, Imperialism, and the Hegemony of the Dollar." Monthly Review. New York, United States: Monthly Review Press, April 2008. https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.14452/MR-059-11-2008-04_3.
- Veltmeyer, Henry. "Development and Globalization as Imperialism." Canadian Journal of Development Studies / Revue Canadienne d'études Du Développement 26, no. 1 (January 2005): 89–106. https://doi.org/10.1080/02255189.2005.9669027.
- Wallerstein, Immanuel Maurice. The Modern World-system: Capitalist, Agriculture and the Origins of the European World-economy in the Sixteethcentury. Academic Press, 1974. https://books.google.com?id=E8qfxwEACAAJ.
- Weisbrot, Mark. "IMF Blunders Through Asia, Leaving Disaster in Its Wake Los Angeles Times." Los Angeles Times, May 29, 1998. https://www.latimes.com/archives/la-xpm-1998-may-29-me-54395-story.html.
- Wilks, Jennifer M. Race, Gender, and Comparative Black Modernism: Suzanne Lacascade, Marita Bonner, Suzanne Césaire, Dorothy West. LSU Press, 2008. https://books.google.com?id=IEMNBL0bz28C.
- Yoo, Theodore Jun. The Politics of Gender in Colonial Korea: Education, Labor, and Health, 1910–1945. The Politics of Gender in Colonial Korea. University of California Press, 2008. https://doi.org/10.1525/9780520934153.