

Applied Stochastic Processes (FIN 514)

Midterm Exam

Instructor: Jaehyuk Choi

2018-19 Module 1 (2018. 10. 23)

BM stands for Brownian motion. **RN** and **RV** stand for random number and random variable respectively. You can use the following functions in your answers without further evaluation,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Standard normal PDF: } n(x) &= e^{-x^2/2}/\sqrt{2\pi} \\ \text{Standard normal CDF: } N(x) &= \int_{-\infty}^x n(s)ds. \end{aligned}$$

- (4 points) **(Spread option)** Compute the price of the call option on the spread between two stocks. The payout at maturity T is given as

$$\text{Payout} = \max(S_1(T) - S_2(T), 0).$$

Assume that $S_1(0) = S_2(0) = 100$, $r = q = 0$, $\sigma_1 = 20\%$, $\sigma_2 = 10\%$, and $T = 1$ year. Also assume that the BMs driving the two stocks are correlated by 89%. You may use the following values for $N(z)$.

z	0.02	0.04	0.06	0.08	0.10	0.12	0.14	0.16
$N(z)$	0.508	0.516	0.524	0.532	0.540	0.548	0.556	0.564

Solution: We use Margrabe's formula:

$$C = S_1(0)N(d_+) - S_2(0)N(d_-),$$

$$\text{where } d_{\pm} = \frac{\log(S_1(0)/S_2(0))}{\sigma_R\sqrt{T}} \pm \frac{1}{2}\sigma_R\sqrt{T} \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_R = \sqrt{\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2 - 2\rho\sigma_1\sigma_2},$$

we get

$$\sigma_R = \frac{1}{100}\sqrt{400 + 100 - 2 \times 0.89 \times 200} = 12\%,$$

$$d_1 = \frac{\sigma_R}{2} = 0.06, \quad d_2 = -0.06,$$

$$C = S_0N(d_1) - KN(d_2) = 100N(0.06) + 100(1 - N(0.06)) = 4.8$$

- (4 points) **(Option vega under the BSM model)** Derive that the vega of a call option (i.e., sensitivity with respect to the volatility σ) is

$$V = \frac{\partial C}{\partial \sigma} = S_0 n(d_1)\sqrt{T} = Ke^{-rT}n(d_2)\sqrt{T}.$$

Remind that the call option price under the BSM model is

$$C = S_0 N(d_1) - e^{-rT} K N(d_2) \quad \text{where} \quad d_{1,2} = \frac{\log(S_0 e^{rT}/K)}{\sigma \sqrt{T}} \pm \frac{1}{2} \sigma \sqrt{T}$$

Since the terms d_1 and d_2 are implicit functions of σ , you should also differentiate d_1 and d_2 .

Solution: Using the properties

$$\frac{\partial d_{1,2}}{\partial \sigma} = -\frac{\log(S_0 e^{rT}/K)}{\sigma^2 \sqrt{T}} \pm \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{T} = -\frac{d_{2,1}}{\sigma}$$

and

$$d_1^2 - d_2^2 = (A + B)^2 - (A - B)^2 = 4AB = 2 \log(S_0 e^{rT}/K) \Rightarrow \frac{n(d_2)}{n(d_1)} = \frac{S_0 e^{rT}}{K}$$

we compute the vega as

$$\begin{aligned} V &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma} (S_0 N(d_1) - e^{-rT} K N(d_2)) = S_0 n(d_1) \frac{-d_2}{\sigma} - e^{-rT} K n(d_2) \frac{-d_1}{\sigma} \\ &= S_0 n(d_1) \left(-\frac{d_2}{\sigma} + \frac{K n(d_2)}{S_0 e^{rT} n(d_1)} \frac{d_1}{\sigma} \right) = S_0 n(d_1) \left(-\frac{d_2}{\sigma} + \frac{d_1}{\sigma} \right) \\ &= S_0 n(d_1) \sqrt{T} = K e^{-rT} n(d_2) \sqrt{T}. \end{aligned}$$

3. (6 points) **(Simulation of BM path)** Exotic derivatives often depend on the ‘path’ of the underlying stock price. Assume that we need to generate the Monte-Carlo paths of standard BM W_t at $t = 1, 3, 5$, and 9 . We are going to generate the paths using two approaches, which are eventually same. Assume z_k , for $k = 1, \dots, 4$ are independent standard normal RV.
- (a) Using the incremental property of BM, i.e., $W_t - W_s \sim N(0, t - s)$, generate RNs for W_1 , $W_3 - W_1$, $W_5 - W_3$, and $W_9 - W_5$, using z_k ’s. Finally, how can you generate RNs for W_1 , W_3 , W_5 , and W_9 ?
 - (b) Now we use covariance matrix approach: Let Σ be the covariance matrix of correlated multivariate normal variables and L (lower-triangular matrix) be its Cholesky decomposition, which satisfy $\Sigma = LL^T$. Then, the simulation of the normal variables can be obtained as Lz , where z is the vector of independent standard normal RVs. What is the covariance matrix Σ for our case? (Hint: you may use $\text{Cov}(W_s, W_t) = \min(t, s)$ without proof.)
 - (c) From (a) and (b), what is the Cholesky decomposition L ? Verify that $\Sigma = LL^T$ by direct computation.

Solution:

(a)

$$\begin{array}{ll} W_1 = z_1, & W_1 = z_1, \\ W_3 - W_1 = \sqrt{2}z_2 & \Rightarrow W_3 = z_1 + \sqrt{2}z_2 \\ W_5 - W_3 = \sqrt{2}z_3 & W_5 = z_1 + \sqrt{2}z_2 + \sqrt{2}z_3 \\ W_9 - W_5 = 2z_4 & W_9 = z_1 + \sqrt{2}z_2 + \sqrt{2}z_3 + 2z_4 \end{array}$$

(b)

$$\Sigma = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 3 & 3 & 3 \\ 1 & 3 & 5 & 5 \\ 1 & 3 & 5 & 9 \end{pmatrix}$$

(c)

$$L = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & \sqrt{2} & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & \sqrt{2} & \sqrt{2} & 0 \\ 1 & \sqrt{2} & \sqrt{2} & 2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

$$LL^T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & \sqrt{2} & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & \sqrt{2} & \sqrt{2} & 0 \\ 1 & \sqrt{2} & \sqrt{2} & 2 \end{pmatrix} \times \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & \sqrt{2} & \sqrt{2} & \sqrt{2} \\ 0 & 0 & \sqrt{2} & \sqrt{2} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 3 & 3 & 3 \\ 1 & 3 & 5 & 5 \\ 1 & 3 & 5 & 9 \end{pmatrix} = \Sigma$$

4. (6 points) **(Simulation of CIR process)** In the Heston stochastic volatility model, the stochastic variance $V(t)$ ($= \sigma(t)^2$) follows the SDE:

$$dV(t) = \kappa(V_\infty - V(t))dt + \alpha\sqrt{V(t)}dZ_t.$$

We want to Monte-Carlo simulate $V(T)$ for some T by discretizing time as $t_k = (k/N)T$ for $k = 1, \dots, N$ and $\Delta t = T/N$.

- Write the formula to compute $V(t_{k+1})$ from $V(t_k)$. Assume z is a standard normal RV.
- Instead of simulating V_t , we may consider simulating $\sigma(t) = \sqrt{V(t)}$. Using Itô's lemma, drive the SDE for σ_t .
- From the result of (b), write the formula to update $\sigma(t_{k+1})$ from $\sigma(t_k)$. After replacing $\sigma(t)^2$ with $V(t)$, compare the answer to the result from (a). Are they same?

Solution:

(a)

$$V(t_{k+1}) = V(t_k) + \kappa(V_\infty - V(t_k))\Delta t + \alpha\sqrt{V(t_k)}\Delta t z$$

(b) Applying Itô's lemma, we get

$$\begin{aligned} d\sigma(t) &= d\sqrt{V(t)} = \frac{dV(t)}{2\sigma(t)} - \frac{(dV(t))^2}{8\sigma(t)^3} \\ &= \frac{\kappa(V_\infty - \sigma(t)^2)dt}{2\sigma(t)} + \frac{\alpha}{2}dZ_t - \frac{\alpha^2 dt}{8\sigma(t)} \\ &= \frac{4\kappa(V_\infty - \sigma(t)^2) - \alpha^2}{8\sigma(t)}dt + \frac{\alpha}{2}dZ_t. \end{aligned}$$

(c) The discretization rule for $\sigma(t)$ is given as

$$\sigma(t_{k+1}) = \sigma(t_k) + \frac{4\kappa(V_\infty - \sigma(t_k)^2) - \alpha^2}{8\sigma(t_k)}\Delta t + \frac{\alpha}{2}\sqrt{\Delta t}z.$$

By taking the square of both sides,

$$\begin{aligned} V(t_{k+1}) &= \sigma(t_{k+1})^2 = \left(\sigma(t_k) + \frac{4\kappa(V_\infty - \sigma(t_k)^2) - \alpha^2}{8\sigma(t_k)}\Delta t + \frac{\alpha}{2}\sqrt{\Delta t}z \right)^2 \\ &= V(t_k) + \frac{4\kappa(V_\infty - V(t_k)) - \alpha^2}{4}\Delta t + \frac{\alpha^2}{4}\Delta t z^2 + \alpha\sqrt{V(t_k)}\Delta t z + o(\Delta t) \\ &= V(t_k) + \kappa(V_\infty - V(t_k))\Delta t + \alpha\sqrt{V(t_k)}\Delta t z + \frac{\alpha^2}{4}\Delta t (z^2 - 1), \end{aligned}$$

where $o(\Delta t)$ is the terms smaller than Δt in order.

This result is differ from (a) by the two terms in red above. Even after ignoring $o(\Delta t)$, the term $\alpha^2\Delta t (z^2 - 1)/4$ remains. So the two discretization methods are different. The discretization method we applied to $V(t)$ and $\sigma(t)$ (that we learned from class) is called Euler-Maruyama method ([WIKIPEDIA](#)). The discretization for $V(t)$ derived via $\sigma(t)$ is called Milstein method ([WIKIPEDIA](#)). If we apply Milstein method to $V(t)$, we directly get the same result. Milstein method is known to be more accurate than Euler-Maruyama method.