

Outline of the chapter 2

- 2.1 Binomial model (repeated experiment with binary outcome)
- 2.2 Posterior as compromise between data and prior information
- 2.3 Posterior summaries
- 2.4 Informative prior distributions (skip exponential families and sufficient statistics)
- 2.5 Gaussian model with known variance
- 2.6 Other single parameter models
 - the normal distribution with known mean but unknown variance is the most important
 - glance through Poisson and exponential
- 2.7 glance through this example, which illustrates benefits of prior information, no need to read all the details (it's quite long example)
- 2.8 Noninformative and weakly informative priors


- Observation model (function of y , discrete)

$$p(y|\theta, n, M) = \binom{n}{y} \theta^y (1 - \theta)^{n-y}$$

- Observation model (function of y , discrete)

$$p(y|\theta, n, M) = \binom{n}{y} \theta^y (1 - \theta)^{n-y}$$


Binomial distribution with $\theta = 0.5$, $n=1$



- Observation model (function of y , discrete)

$$p(y|\theta, n, M) = \binom{n}{y} \theta^y (1 - \theta)^{n-y}$$


Binomial distribution with $\theta = 0.5$, $n = 10$



- Observation model (function of y , discrete)

$$p(y|\theta, n, M) = \binom{n}{y} \theta^y (1 - \theta)^{n-y}$$

Binomial distribution with $\theta = 0.9$, $n = 10$



- Posterior with Bayes rule (function of θ , continuous)

$$p(\theta|y, n, M) = \frac{p(y|\theta, n, M)p(\theta|n, M)}{p(y|n, M)}$$

- Posterior with Bayes rule (function of θ , continuous)

$$p(\theta|y, n, M) = \frac{p(y|\theta, n, M)p(\theta|n, M)}{p(y|n, M)}$$

where $p(y|n, M) = \int p(y|\theta, n, M)p(\theta|n, M)d\theta$

- Posterior with Bayes rule (function of θ , continuous)

$$p(\theta|y, n, M) = \frac{p(y|\theta, n, M)p(\theta|n, M)}{p(y|n, M)}$$

where $p(y|n, M) = \int p(y|\theta, n, M)p(\theta|n, M)d\theta$

- Start with uniform prior

$$p(\theta|n, M) = p(\theta|M) = 1, \text{ kun } 0 \leq \theta \leq 1$$

- Posterior with Bayes rule (function of θ , continuous)

$$p(\theta|y, n, M) = \frac{p(y|\theta, n, M)p(\theta|n, M)}{p(y|n, M)}$$

where $p(y|n, M) = \int p(y|\theta, n, M)p(\theta|n, M)d\theta$

- Start with uniform prior

$$p(\theta|n, M) = p(\theta|M) = 1, \text{ kun } 0 \leq \theta \leq 1$$

- Then

$$\begin{aligned} p(\theta|y, n, M) &= \frac{p(y|\theta, n, M)}{p(y|n, M)} = \frac{\binom{n}{y}\theta^y(1-\theta)^{n-y}}{\int_0^1 \binom{n}{y}\theta^y(1-\theta)^{n-y}d\theta} \\ &= \frac{1}{Z}\theta^y(1-\theta)^{n-y} \end{aligned}$$

- Normalization term Z (constant given y)

$$Z = p(y|n, M) = \int_0^1 \theta^y (1-\theta)^{n-y} d\theta = \frac{\Gamma(y+1)\Gamma(n-y+1)}{\Gamma(n+2)}$$

- Normalisation term has **Beta** function form
 - when integrated over $(0, 1)$ the result can be presented with Gamma functions
 - with integers $\Gamma(n) = (n-1)!$
 - for large integers even this is challenging and usually $\log \Gamma(\cdot)$ is computed instead of $\Gamma(\cdot)$

- Posterior is

$$p(\theta|y, n, M) = \frac{\Gamma(n+2)}{\Gamma(y+1)\Gamma(n-y+1)}\theta^y(1-\theta)^{n-y},$$

Binomial: unknown θ


- Posterior is

$$p(\theta|y, n, M) = \frac{\Gamma(n+2)}{\Gamma(y+1)\Gamma(n-y+1)}\theta^y(1-\theta)^{n-y},$$

which is called Beta distribution

$$\theta|y, n \sim \text{Beta}(y+1, n-y+1)$$

$p(\theta | y=6, n=10, M=\text{binom}) + \text{unif. prior}$



Binomial: computation*

- Beta CDF not trivial to compute
- For example, `pbeta` in R uses a continued fraction with weighting factors and asymptotic expansion
- Laplace developed normal approximation (Laplace approximation), because he didn't know how to compute Beta CDF

Binomial: computation

- R

- density `dbeta`
- CDF `pbeta`
- quantile `qbeta`
- random number `rbeta`

- Python

- `from scipy.stats import beta`
- density `beta.pdf`
- CDF `beta.cdf`
- prctile `beta.ppf`
- random number `beta.rvs`


Placenta previa

- Probability of a girl birth given placenta previa (BDA3 p. 37)
 - 437 girls and 543 boys have been observed
 - is the ratio 0.445 different from the population average 0.485?

Placenta previa

- Probability of a girl birth given placenta previa (BDA3 p. 37)
 - 437 girls and 543 boys have been observed
 - is the ratio 0.445 different from the population average 0.485?

Uniform prior \rightarrow Posterior is $\text{Beta}(438, 544)$



- Predictive distribution for new \tilde{y} (discrete)

$$p(\tilde{y} = 1 | y, n, M) = \int_0^1 p(\tilde{y} = 1 | \theta, y, n, M) p(\theta | y, n, M) d\theta$$

- Predictive distribution for new \tilde{y} (discrete)

$$\begin{aligned} p(\tilde{y} = 1 | y, n, M) &= \int_0^1 p(\tilde{y} = 1 | \theta, y, n, M) p(\theta | y, n, M) d\theta \\ &= \int_0^1 \theta p(\theta | y, n, M) d\theta \end{aligned}$$

- Predictive distribution for new \tilde{y} (discrete)

$$\begin{aligned}p(\tilde{y} = 1|y, n, M) &= \int_0^1 p(\tilde{y} = 1|\theta, y, n, M)p(\theta|y, n, M)d\theta \\&= \int_0^1 \theta p(\theta|y, n, M)d\theta \\&= E[\theta|y]\end{aligned}$$

Predictive distribution – Effect of integration

- Predictive distribution for new \tilde{y} (discrete)

$$\begin{aligned}p(\tilde{y} = 1|y, n, M) &= \int_0^1 p(\tilde{y} = 1|\theta, y, n, M)p(\theta|y, n, M)d\theta \\&= \int_0^1 \theta p(\theta|y, n, M)d\theta \\&= E[\theta|y]\end{aligned}$$

- With uniform prior

$$E[\theta|y] = \frac{y+1}{n+2}$$

Predictive distribution – Effect of integration

- Predictive distribution for new \tilde{y} (discrete)

$$\begin{aligned}p(\tilde{y} = 1|y, n, M) &= \int_0^1 p(\tilde{y} = 1|\theta, y, n, M)p(\theta|y, n, M)d\theta \\&= \int_0^1 \theta p(\theta|y, n, M)d\theta \\&= E[\theta|y]\end{aligned}$$

- With uniform prior

$$E[\theta|y] = \frac{y+1}{n+2}$$

- Extreme cases

$$p(\tilde{y} = 1|y = 0, n, M) = \frac{1}{n+2}$$

$$p(\tilde{y} = 1|y = n, n, M) = \frac{n+1}{n+2}$$

Predictive distribution – Effect of integration

- Predictive distribution for new \tilde{y} (discrete)

$$\begin{aligned}p(\tilde{y} = 1|y, n, M) &= \int_0^1 p(\tilde{y} = 1|\theta, y, n, M)p(\theta|y, n, M)d\theta \\&= \int_0^1 \theta p(\theta|y, n, M)d\theta \\&= E[\theta|y]\end{aligned}$$

- With uniform prior

$$E[\theta|y] = \frac{y+1}{n+2}$$

- Extreme cases

$$p(\tilde{y} = 1|y = 0, n, M) = \frac{1}{n+2}$$


$$p(\tilde{y} = 1|y = n, n, M) = \frac{n+1}{n+2}$$

- cf. maximum likelihood

Benefits of integration

Example: $n = 10, y = 10$

Posterior of θ of Binomial model with $y=10, n=$



- Prior predictive distribution for new \tilde{y} (discrete)

$$p(\tilde{y} = 1|M) = \int_0^1 p(\tilde{y} = 1|\theta, y, n, M)p(\theta|M)d\theta$$

- Posterior predictive distribution for new \tilde{y} (discrete)

$$p(\tilde{y} = 1|y, n, M) = \int_0^1 p(\tilde{y} = 1|\theta, y, n, M)p(\theta|y, n, M)d\theta$$

Justification for uniform prior

- $p(\theta|M) = 1$ if

1) we want the prior predictive distribution to be uniform

$$p(y|n, M) = \frac{1}{n+1}, \quad y = 0, \dots, n$$

- nice justification as it is based on observables y and n

2) we think all values of θ are equally likely

- Conjugate prior (BDA3 p. 35)
- Noninformative prior (BDA3 p. 51)
- Proper and improper prior (BDA3 p. 52)
- Weakly informative prior (BDA3 p. 55)
- Informative prior (BDA3 p. 55)
- Prior sensitivity (BDA3 p. 38)

Conjugate prior

- Prior and posterior have the same form
 - only for exponential family distributions (plus for some irregular cases)
- Used to be important for computational reasons, and still sometimes used for special models to allow partial analytic marginalization (Ch 3)
 - with Hamiltonian Monte carlo used e.g. in Stan no any computational benefit

Beta prior for Binomial model

- Prior

$$\text{Beta}(\theta|\alpha, \beta) \propto \theta^{\alpha-1}(1-\theta)^{\beta-1}$$

- Posterior

$$p(\theta|y, n, M) \propto \theta^y(1-\theta)^{n-y}\theta^{\alpha-1}(1-\theta)^{\beta-1}$$

Beta prior for Binomial model

- Prior

$$\text{Beta}(\theta|\alpha, \beta) \propto \theta^{\alpha-1}(1-\theta)^{\beta-1}$$

- Posterior

$$\begin{aligned} p(\theta|y, n, M) &\propto \theta^y(1-\theta)^{n-y}\theta^{\alpha-1}(1-\theta)^{\beta-1} \\ &= \theta^{y+\alpha-1}(1-\theta)^{n-y+\beta-1} \end{aligned}$$

Beta prior for Binomial model

- Prior

$$\text{Beta}(\theta|\alpha, \beta) \propto \theta^{\alpha-1}(1-\theta)^{\beta-1}$$

- Posterior

$$\begin{aligned} p(\theta|y, n, M) &\propto \theta^y(1-\theta)^{n-y}\theta^{\alpha-1}(1-\theta)^{\beta-1} \\ &= \theta^{y+\alpha-1}(1-\theta)^{n-y+\beta-1} \\ &= \text{Beta}(\theta|\alpha + y, \beta + n - y) \end{aligned}$$

Beta prior for Binomial model

- Prior

$$\text{Beta}(\theta|\alpha, \beta) \propto \theta^{\alpha-1}(1-\theta)^{\beta-1}$$


- Posterior

$$\begin{aligned} p(\theta|y, n, M) &\propto \theta^y(1-\theta)^{n-y}\theta^{\alpha-1}(1-\theta)^{\beta-1} \\ &= \theta^{y+\alpha-1}(1-\theta)^{n-y+\beta-1} \\ &= \text{Beta}(\theta|\alpha+y, \beta+n-y) \end{aligned}$$

- $(\alpha - 1)$ and $(\beta - 1)$ can be considered to be number of prior observations
- Uniform prior when $\alpha = 1$ ja $\beta = 1$

Placenta previa


- Beta prior centered on population average 0.485




Benefits of integration and prior

Example: $n = 10, y = 10$ - uniform vs Beta(2,2) prior

$p(\theta | y=10, n=10, M=\text{binom}) + \text{unif. prior}$



$p(\theta | y=10, n=10, M=\text{binom}) + \text{Beta}(2,2) \text{ prior}$



Beta prior for Binomial model

- Posterior

$$p(\theta|y, n, M) = \text{Beta}(\theta|\alpha + y, \beta + n - y)$$

- Posterior mean

$$E[\theta|y] = \frac{\alpha + y}{\alpha + \beta + n}$$

- combination prior and likelihood information
- kun $n \rightarrow \infty$, $E[\theta|y] \rightarrow y/n$

Beta prior for Binomial model

- Posterior

$$p(\theta|y, n, M) = \text{Beta}(\theta|\alpha + y, \beta + n - y)$$

- Posterior mean

$$E[\theta|y] = \frac{\alpha + y}{\alpha + \beta + n}$$

- combination prior and likelihood information
 - kun $n \rightarrow \infty$, $E[\theta|y] \rightarrow y/n$
- Posterior variance

$$\text{Var}[\theta|y] = \frac{E[\theta|y](1 - E[\theta|y])}{\alpha + \beta + n + 1}$$

- decreases when n increases
 - when $n \rightarrow \infty$, $\text{Var}[\theta|y] \rightarrow 0$

Noninformative prior, proper and improper prior

- Vague, flat, diffuse or noninformative
 - try to “to let the data speak for themselves”
 - flat is not non-informative
 - flat can be stupid
 - making prior flat somewhere can make it non-flat somewhere else
- Proper prior has $\int p(\theta) = 1$
- Improper prior density doesn't have a finite integral
 - the posterior can still sometimes be proper

Weakly informative priors

- Weakly informative priors produce computationally better behaving posteriors
 - quite often there's at least some knowledge about the scale
 - useful also if there's more information from previous observations, but not certain how well that information is applicable in a new case uncertainty

Weakly informative priors

- Weakly informative priors produce computationally better behaving posteriors
 - quite often there's at least some knowledge about the scale
 - useful also if there's more information from previous observations, but not certain how well that information is applicable in a new case uncertainty
- Construction
 - Start with some version of a noninformative prior distribution and then add enough information so that inferences are constrained to be reasonable.
 - Start with a strong, highly informative prior and broaden it to account for uncertainty in one's prior beliefs and in the applicability of any historically based prior distribution to new data.
- Stan team prior choice recommendations <https://github.com/stan-dev/stan/wiki/Prior-Choice-Recommendations>

Example of informative prior



- The percentage of girl births is remarkably stable at about 48.8% girls, rarely varying by more than 0.5% from this rate

Example of informative prior

- The percentage of girl births is remarkably stable at about 48.8% girls, rarely varying by more than 0.5% from this rate
- There was a study on the percentage of girl births among parents in attractiveness categories 1–5 (assessed by interviewers in a face-to-face survey)





Example of informative prior

- The percentage of girl births is remarkably stable at about 48.8% girls, rarely varying by more than 0.5% from this rate
- There was a study on the percentage of girl births among parents in attractiveness categories 1–5 (assessed by interviewers in a face-to-face survey)



Example of informative prior

- The percentage of girl births is remarkably stable at about 48.8% girls, rarely varying by more than 0.5% from this rate




Example of weakly informative prior

- Gabry et al (2017). Visualization in Bayesian workflow.
 - Estimation of human exposure to air pollution from particulate matter measuring less than 2.5 microns in diameter ($PM_{2.5}$)
 - A recent report estimated that $PM_{2.5}$ is responsible for three million deaths worldwide each year (Shaddick et al, 2017)

Example of weakly informative prior


- Gabry et al (2017). Visualization in Bayesian workflow.



Satellite estimates and ground monitor locations


Example of weakly informative prior

- Gabry et al (2017). Visualization in Bayesian workflow.




Example of weakly informative prior

Prior predictive distribution with vague prior




Example of weakly informative prior

Prior predictive distribution with vague prior



Example of weakly informative prior

Prior predictive distribution with weakly informative



Effect of incorrect priors?



- Introduce bias, but often still produce smaller estimation error because the variance is reduced
 - bias-variance tradeoff

Sufficient statistics*

- The quantity $t(y)$ is said to be a *sufficient statistic* for θ , because the likelihood for θ depends on the data y only through the value of $t(y)$.


Posterior visualisation and inference demos

- demo2_3: Simulate samples from $\text{Beta}(438, 544)$, and draw a histogram of θ and OR with quantilesable.



Posterior visualisation and inference demos

- demo2_4: Compute posterior distribution in a grid.




Posterior visualisation and inference demos

- demo2_4: Sample using the inverse-cdf method.


Non-conjugate posterior



Posterior-cdf



Histogram of posterior samples




Algae status is monitored in 274 sites at Finnish lakes and rivers. The observations for the 2008 algae status at each site are presented in file [algae.mat](#) ('0': no algae, '1': algae present). Let π be the probability of a monitoring site having detectable blue-green algae levels.

- Use a binomial model for observations and a [beta](#)(2,10) prior.
- What can you say about the value of the unknown π ?
- Experiment how the result changes if you change the prior.

Normal / Gaussian

- Observations y real valued
- Mean θ and variance σ^2 (or deviation σ)
(first assume σ^2 known)

$$p(y|\theta) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(y - \theta)^2\right)$$
$$y \sim N(\theta, \sigma^2)$$



Reasons to use Normal distribution

- Normal distribution often justified based on central limit theorem
- More often used due to the computational convenience or tradition

Central limit theorem*

- De Moivre, Laplace, Gauss, Chebysev, Liapounov, Markov, et al.
- Given certain conditions sum (and mean) of random variables approach Gaussian distribution as $n \rightarrow \infty$
- Problems
 - does not hold for all distributions, e.g., Cauchy
 - may require large n ,
e.g. Binomial, when θ close to 0 or 1
 - does not hold if one of the variables has much larger scale

Normal distribution - conjugate prior for θ

- Assume σ^2 known

Likelihood $p(y|\theta) \propto \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(y - \theta)^2\right)$

Prior $p(\theta) \propto \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\tau_0^2}(\theta - \mu_0)^2\right)$

Normal distribution - conjugate prior for θ

- Assume σ^2 known

Likelihood $p(y|\theta) \propto \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(y - \theta)^2\right)$

Prior $p(\theta) \propto \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\tau_0^2}(\theta - \mu_0)^2\right)$

$$\exp(a) \exp(b) = \exp(a + b)$$

Normal distribution - conjugate prior for θ

- Assume σ^2 known

Likelihood $p(y|\theta) \propto \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(y - \theta)^2\right)$

Prior $p(\theta) \propto \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\tau_0^2}(\theta - \mu_0)^2\right)$

$$\exp(a)\exp(b) = \exp(a + b)$$

Posterior $p(\theta|y) \propto \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\left[\frac{(y - \theta)^2}{\sigma^2} + \frac{(\theta - \mu_0)^2}{\tau_0^2}\right]\right)$

Normal distribution - conjugate prior for θ

- Posterior (see ex 2.14a)

$$\begin{aligned} p(\theta|y) &\propto \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\left[\frac{(y-\theta)^2}{\sigma^2} + \frac{(\theta-\mu_0)^2}{\tau_0^2}\right]\right) \\ &\propto \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\tau_1^2}(\theta-\mu_1)^2\right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\theta|y \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_1, \tau_1^2), \quad \text{where} \quad \mu_1 = \frac{\frac{1}{\tau_0^2}\mu_0 + \frac{1}{\sigma^2}y}{\frac{1}{\tau_0^2} + \frac{1}{\sigma^2}} \quad \text{ja} \quad \frac{1}{\tau_1^2} = \frac{1}{\tau_0^2} + \frac{1}{\sigma^2}$$

Normal distribution - conjugate prior for θ


- Posterior (see ex 2.14a)

$$\begin{aligned} p(\theta|y) &\propto \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\left[\frac{(y-\theta)^2}{\sigma^2} + \frac{(\theta-\mu_0)^2}{\tau_0^2}\right]\right) \\ &\propto \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\tau_1^2}(\theta-\mu_1)^2\right) \end{aligned}$$


$$\theta|y \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_1, \tau_1^2), \quad \text{where} \quad \mu_1 = \frac{\frac{1}{\tau_0^2}\mu_0 + \frac{1}{\sigma^2}y}{\frac{1}{\tau_0^2} + \frac{1}{\sigma^2}} \quad \text{ja} \quad \frac{1}{\tau_1^2} = \frac{1}{\tau_0^2} + \frac{1}{\sigma^2}$$

- 1/variance = precision
- Posterior precision = prior precision + data precision
- Posterior mean is precision weighted mean


Normal distribution - example



Normal distribution - example



Normal distribution - example



Normal distribution - conjugate prior for θ

- Several observations – use chain rule

Normal distribution - conjugate prior for θ

- Several observations $y = (y_1, \dots, y_n)$

$$p(\theta|y) = N(\theta|\mu_n, \tau_n^2)$$

$$\text{where } \mu_n = \frac{\frac{1}{\tau_0^2}\mu_0 + \frac{n}{\sigma^2}\bar{y}}{\frac{1}{\tau_0^2} + \frac{n}{\sigma^2}} \quad \text{ja} \quad \frac{1}{\tau_n^2} = \frac{1}{\tau_0^2} + \frac{n}{\sigma^2}$$

- If $\tau_0^2 = \sigma^2$, prior corresponds to one virtual observation with value μ_0

Normal distribution - conjugate prior for θ

- Several observations $y = (y_1, \dots, y_n)$

$$p(\theta|y) = N(\theta|\mu_n, \tau_n^2)$$

$$\text{where } \mu_n = \frac{\frac{1}{\tau_0^2}\mu_0 + \frac{n}{\sigma^2}\bar{y}}{\frac{1}{\tau_0^2} + \frac{n}{\sigma^2}} \quad \text{ja} \quad \frac{1}{\tau_n^2} = \frac{1}{\tau_0^2} + \frac{n}{\sigma^2}$$

- If $\tau_0^2 = \sigma^2$, prior corresponds to one virtual observation with value μ_0
- If $\tau_0 \rightarrow \infty$ when n fixed
or if $n \rightarrow \infty$ when τ_0 fixed

$$p(\theta|y) \approx N(\theta|\bar{y}, \sigma^2/n)$$

- Posterior predictive distribution

$$p(\tilde{y}|y) = \int p(\tilde{y}|\theta)p(\theta|y)d\theta$$

$$p(\tilde{y}|y) \propto \int \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(\tilde{y} - \theta)^2\right) \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\tau_1^2}(\theta - \mu_1)^2\right) d\theta$$

$$\tilde{y}|y \sim \text{N}(\mu_1, \sigma^2 + \tau_1^2)$$

- Predictive variance = observation model variance σ^2 + posterior variance τ_1^2