Computation with Absolutely No Space Overhead

Lane Hemaspaandra¹ Proshanto Mukherji¹ Till Tantau²

¹Department of Computer Science University of Rochester

²Fakultät für Elektrotechnik und Informatik Technical University of Berlin

Developments in Language Theory Conference, 2003





- 1 The Model of Overhead-Free Computation
 - The Standard Model of Linear Space
 - Our Model of Absolutely No Space Overhead
- 2 The Power of Overhead-Free Computation
 - Palindromes
 - Linear Languages
 - Context-Free Languages with a Forbidden Subword
 - Languages Complete for Polynomial Space
- 3 Limitations of Overhead-Free Computation
 - Linear Space is Strictly More Powerful





- 1 The Model of Overhead-Free Computation
 - The Standard Model of Linear Space
 - Our Model of Absolutely No Space Overhead
- 2 The Power of Overhead-Free Computation
 - Palindromes
 - Linear Languages
 - Context-Free Languages with a Forbidden Subword
 - Languages Complete for Polynomial Space
- 3 Limitations of Overhead-Free Computation
 - Linear Space is Strictly More Powerful





- 1 The Model of Overhead-Free Computation
 - The Standard Model of Linear Space
 - Our Model of Absolutely No Space Overhead
- 2 The Power of Overhead-Free Computation
 - Palindromes
 - Linear Languages
 - Context-Free Languages with a Forbidden Subword
 - Languages Complete for Polynomial Space
- 3 Limitations of Overhead-Free Computation
 - Linear Space is Strictly More Powerful



- 1 The Model of Overhead-Free Computation
 - The Standard Model of Linear Space
 - Our Model of Absolutely No Space Overhead
- 2 The Power of Overhead-Free Computation
 - Palindromes
 - Linear Languages
 - Context-Free Languages with a Forbidden Subword
 - Languages Complete for Polynomial Space
- 3 Limitations of Overhead-Free Computation
 - Linear Space is Strictly More Powerful







- Input fills fixed-size tape
- Input may be modified
- Tape alphabet is larger than input alphabet







- Input fills fixed-size tape
- Input may be modified
- Tape alphabet is larger than input alphabet







- Input fills fixed-size tape
- Input may be modified
- Tape alphabet is larger than input alphabet







- Input fills fixed-size tape
- Input may be modified
- Tape alphabet is larger than input alphabet







- Input fills fixed-size tape
- Input may be modified
- Tape alphabet is larger than input alphabet







- Input fills fixed-size tape
- Input may be modified
- Tape alphabet is larger than input alphabet







- Input fills fixed-size tape
- Input may be modified
- Tape alphabet is larger than input alphabet







- Input fills fixed-size tape
- Input may be modified
- Tape alphabet is larger than input alphabet







- Input fills fixed-size tape
- Input may be modified
- Tape alphabet is larger than input alphabet








- Input fills fixed-size tape
- Input may be modified
- Tape alphabet is larger than input alphabet





Linear Space is a Powerful Model



- 1 The Model of Overhead-Free Computation
 - The Standard Model of Linear Space
 - Our Model of Absolutely No Space Overhead
- 2 The Power of Overhead-Free Computation
 - Palindromes
 - Linear Languages
 - Context-Free Languages with a Forbidden Subword
 - Languages Complete for Polynomial Space
- 3 Limitations of Overhead-Free Computation
 - Linear Space is Strictly More Powerful







- Input fills fixed-size tape
- Input may be modified
- Tape alphabet equals input alphabet








- Input fills fixed-size tape
- Input may be modified
- Tape alphabet equals input alphabet







- Input fills fixed-size tape
- Input may be modified
- Tape alphabet equals input alphabet







- Input fills fixed-size tape
- Input may be modified
- Tape alphabet equals input alphabet







- Input fills fixed-size tape
- Input may be modified
- Tape alphabet equals input alphabet







- Input fills fixed-size tape
- Input may be modified
- Tape alphabet equals input alphabet







Intuition

 Tape is used like a RAM module.





Definition of Overhead-Free Computations

Definition

A Turing machine is overhead-free if

- it has only a single tape,
- writes only on input cells,
- writes only symbols drawn from the input alphabet.





Definition

A language $L \subseteq \Sigma^*$ is in

DOF if L is accepted by a deterministic overhead-free machine with input alphabet Σ ,

 $\mathsf{DOF}_{\mathsf{poly}}$ if L is accepted by a deterministic overhead-free machine with input alphabet Σ in polynomial time.

NOF is the nondeterministic version of DOF,

NOF_{poly} is the nondeterministic version of DOF_{poly}





Definition

A language $L \subseteq \Sigma^*$ is in

DOF if L is accepted by a deterministic overhead-free machine with input alphabet Σ ,

 $\mathsf{DOF}_{\mathsf{poly}}$ if L is accepted by a deterministic overhead-free machine with input alphabet Σ in polynomial time.

NOF is the nondeterministic version of DOF,

NOF_{poly} is the nondeterministic version of DOF_{poly}





Definition

A language $L \subseteq \Sigma^*$ is in

DOF if L is accepted by a deterministic overhead-free machine with input alphabet Σ ,

 $\mathsf{DOF}_{\mathsf{poly}}$ if L is accepted by a deterministic overhead-free machine with input alphabet Σ in polynomial time.

NOF is the nondeterministic version of DOF,

 $\mathsf{NOF}_\mathsf{poly}$ is the nondeterministic version of $\mathsf{DOF}_\mathsf{poly}$





Definition

A language $L \subseteq \Sigma^*$ is in

DOF if L is accepted by a deterministic overhead-free machine with input alphabet Σ ,

 $\mathsf{DOF}_{\mathsf{poly}}$ if L is accepted by a deterministic overhead-free machine with input alphabet Σ in polynomial time.


NOF is the nondeterministic version of DOF,

NOF_{poly} is the nondeterministic version of DOF_{poly}.





Simple Relationships among Overhead-Free Computation Classes





- 1 The Model of Overhead-Free Computation
 - The Standard Model of Linear Space
 - Our Model of Absolutely No Space Overhead
- 2 The Power of Overhead-Free Computation
 - Palindromes
 - Linear Languages
 - Context-Free Languages with a Forbidden Subword
 - Languages Complete for Polynomial Space
- 3 Limitations of Overhead-Free Computation
 - Linear Space is Strictly More Powerful







Algorithm

Phase 1:

Compare first and last bit Place left end marker Place right end marker

Phase 2:



Algorithm

Phase 1:

Compare first and last bit Place left end marker Place right end marker

Phase 2:



Algorithm

Phase 1:

Compare first and last bit Place left end marker Place right end marker

Phase 2:



Algorithm

Phase 1:

Compare first and last bit Place left end marker Place right end marker

Phase 2:

Compare bits next to end markers
Find left end marker
Advance left end marker
Find right end marker

Advance right end marker



Algorithm

Phase 1:

Compare first and last bit Place left end marker Place right end marker

Phase 2:



Algorithm

Phase 1:

Compare first and last bit Place left end marker Place right end marker

Phase 2:



Algorithm

Phase 1:

Compare first and last bit Place left end marker Place right end marker

Phase 2:



Algorithm

Phase 1:

Compare first and last bit Place left end marker Place right end marker

Phase 2:



Algorithm

Phase 1:

Compare first and last bit Place left end marker Place right end marker

Phase 2:



Algorithm

Phase 1:

Compare first and last bit Place left end marker Place right end marker

Phase 2:



Algorithm

Phase 1:

Compare first and last bit Place left end marker Place right end marker

Phase 2:



Algorithm

Phase 1:

Compare first and last bit Place left end marker Place right end marker

Phase 2:





Algorithm

Phase 1:

Compare first and last bit Place left end marker Place right end marker

Phase 2:



Algorithm

Phase 1:

Compare first and last bit Place left end marker Place right end marker

Phase 2:



Algorithm

Phase 1:

Compare first and last bit Place left end marker Place right end marker

Phase 2:




Algorithm

Phase 1:

Compare first and last bit Place left end marker Place right end marker

Phase 2:

Relationships among Overhead-Free Computation Classes







Outline

- 1 The Model of Overhead-Free Computation
 - The Standard Model of Linear Space
 - Our Model of Absolutely No Space Overhead
- 2 The Power of Overhead-Free Computation
 - Palindromes
 - Linear Languages
 - Context-Free Languages with a Forbidden Subword
 - Languages Complete for Polynomial Space
- 3 Limitations of Overhead-Free Computation
 - Linear Space is Strictly More Powerful





A Review of Linear Grammars

Definition

A grammar is linear if it is context-free and there is only one nonterminal per right-hand side.

Example

 $\textit{G}_1 \colon \textit{S} \rightarrow 00S0 \mid 1 \text{ and } \textit{G}_2 \colon \textit{S} \rightarrow 0S10 \mid 0.$

Definition

A grammar is <mark>deterministic</mark> if "there is always only one rule that can be applied."

Example

 $G_1: S \rightarrow 00S0 \mid 1$ is deterministic.

 $G_2: S \to 0S10 \mid 0$ is **not** deterministic.



A Review of Linear Grammars

Definition

A grammar is linear if it is context-free and there is only one nonterminal per right-hand side.

Example

 $G_1: S \to 00S0 \mid 1 \text{ and } G_2: S \to 0S10 \mid 0.$

Definition

A grammar is deterministic if

"there is always only one rule that can be applied."

Example

 $G_1: S \rightarrow 00S0 \mid 1$ is deterministic.

 $G_2: S \to 0S10 \mid 0$ is **not** deterministic.



Palindromes Linear Languages Forbidden Subword Complete Languages

Deterministic Linear Languages Can Be Accepted in an Overhead-Free Way

Theorem

Every deterministic linear language is in DOF_{poly}.





Metalinear Languages Can Be Accepted in an Overhead-Free Way

Definition

A language is metalinear if it is the concatenation of linear languages.

Example

TRIPLE-PALINDROME = $\{uvw \mid u, v, \text{ and } w \text{ are palindromes}\}$

Theorem

Every metalinear language is in NOF_{poly}





Metalinear Languages Can Be Accepted in an Overhead-Free Way

Definition

A language is metalinear if it is the concatenation of linear languages.

Example

TRIPLE-PALINDROME = { $uvw \mid u, v, \text{ and } w \text{ are palindromes}$ }.

Theorem

Every metalinear language is in NOF_{poly}





Metalinear Languages Can Be Accepted in an Overhead-Free Way

Definition

A language is metalinear if it is the concatenation of linear languages.

Example

TRIPLE-PALINDROME = $\{uvw \mid u, v, \text{ and } w \text{ are palindromes}\}$.


Theorem

Every metalinear language is in NOF_{poly}.





Relationships among Overhead-Free Computation Classes





Outline

- 1 The Model of Overhead-Free Computation
 - The Standard Model of Linear Space
 - Our Model of Absolutely No Space Overhead
- 2 The Power of Overhead-Free Computation
 - Palindromes
 - Linear Languages
 - Context-Free Languages with a Forbidden Subword
 - Languages Complete for Polynomial Space
- 3 Limitations of Overhead-Free Computation
 - Linear Space is Strictly More Powerful





Definition of Almost-Overhead-Free Computations

Definition

- A Turing machine is almost-overhead-free if
 - it has only a single tape,
 - writes only on input cells,
 - writes only symbols drawn from the input alphabet plus one special symbol.





Definition of Almost-Overhead-Free Computations

Definition

A Turing machine is almost-overhead-free if

- it has only a single tape,
- writes only on input cells,
- writes only symbols drawn from the input alphabet plus one special symbol.





Definition of Almost-Overhead-Free Computations

Definition

A Turing machine is almost-overhead-free if

- it has only a single tape,
- writes only on input cells,
- writes only symbols drawn from the input alphabet plus one special symbol.





Palindromes Linear Languages Forbidden Subword Complete Languages

Context-Free Languages with a Forbidden Subword Can Be Accepted in an Overhead-Free Way

Theorem

Let L be a context-free language with a forbidden word. Then $L \in NOF_{poly}$.

→ Skip proof





Context-Free Languages with a Forbidden Subword Can Be Accepted in an Overhead-Free Way

Theorem

Let L be a context-free language with a forbidden word.

Then $L \in NOF_{poly}$.


Proof.

Every context-free language can be accepted by a nondeterministic almost-overhead-free machine in polynomial time.





Relationships among Overhead-Free Computation Classes







Outline

- 1 The Model of Overhead-Free Computation
 - The Standard Model of Linear Space
 - Our Model of Absolutely No Space Overhead
- 2 The Power of Overhead-Free Computation
 - Palindromes
 - Linear Languages
 - Context-Free Languages with a Forbidden Subword
 - Languages Complete for Polynomial Space
- 3 Limitations of Overhead-Free Computation
 - Linear Space is Strictly More Powerful





Palindromes Linear Languages Forbidden Subword Complete Languages

Some PSPACE-complete Languages Can Be Accepted in an Overhead-Free Way


Theorem

DOF contains languages that are complete for PSPACE.

▶ Proof details



Relationships among Overhead-Free Computation Classes





Outline

- 1 The Model of Overhead-Free Computation
 - The Standard Model of Linear Space
 - Our Model of Absolutely No Space Overhead
- 2 The Power of Overhead-Free Computation
 - Palindromes
 - Linear Languages
 - Context-Free Languages with a Forbidden Subword
 - Languages Complete for Polynomial Space
- 3 Limitations of Overhead-Free Computation
 - Linear Space is Strictly More Powerful





Some Context-Sensitive Languages Cannot be Accepted in an Overhead-Free Way

Theorem

 $DOF \subseteq DLINSPACE$.


Theorem

NOF Ç NLINSPACE.

The proofs are based on old diagonalisations due to Feldman, Owings, and Seiferas.



Relationships among Overhead-Free Computation Classes





Candidates for Languages that Cannot be Accepted in an Overhead-Free Way

Conjecture

DOUBLE-PALINDROMES ∉ DOF.

Conjecture

 $\{ww \mid w \in \{0,1\}^*\} \notin NOF.$

Proving the first conjecture would show DOF \subsetneq NOF.



Cannot be Accepted in an Overhead-Free Way

Theorem

DOUBLE-PALINDROMES \in DOF.

Conjecture

 $\{ww \mid w \in \{0,1\}^*\} \notin NOF.$

Proving the first conjecture would show DOF ⊊ NOF



Summary

- Overhead-free computation is a more faithful model of fixed-size memory.
- Overhead-free computation is less powerful than linear space.
- Many context-free languages can be accepted by overhead-free machines.
- We conjecture that all context-free languages are in NOF_{poly}.
- Our results can be seen as new results on the power of linear bounded automata with fixed alphabet size.







Formal Languages.

Academic Press, 1973.

- E. Dijkstra.

 Smoothsort, an alternative for sorting in situ.

 Science of Computer Programming, 1(3):223–233, 1982
- E. Feldman and J. Owings, Jr.
 A class of universal linear bounded automata. *Information Sciences*, 6:187–190, 1973.
- P. Jančar, F. Mráz, M. Plátek, and J. Vogel.
 Restarting automata.

 FCT Conference 1995 LNCS 985, pages 282–292, 19







A. Salomaa.

Formal Languages.

Academic Press, 1973.

- E. Dijkstra.

Smoothsort, an alternative for sorting in situ.

Science of Computer Programming, 1(3):223–233, 1982.







A. Salomaa.

Formal Languages.

Academic Press, 1973.



E. Dijkstra.

Smoothsort, an alternative for sorting in situ. Science of Computer Programming, 1(3):223–233, 1982.

- E. Feldman and J. Owings, Jr. A class of universal linear bounded automata. Information Sciences, 6:187–190, 1973.







A. Salomaa.


Formal Languages.


Academic Press, 1973.



E. Dijkstra.

Smoothsort, an alternative for sorting in situ. Science of Computer Programming, 1(3):223–233, 1982.





FCT Conference 1995, LNCS 985, pages 282–292. 1995.



Appendix Outline

- 4 Appendix
 - Overhead Freeness and Completeness
 - Improvements for Context-Free Languages
 - Abbreviations





Overhead-Free Languages can be PSPACE-Complete

Theorem

DOF contains languages that are complete for PSPACE.

Proof.

- Let $A \in \mathsf{DLINSPACE}$ be PSPACE-complete. Such languages are known to exist.
- Let M be a linear space machine that accepts $A \subseteq \{0,1\}^*$ with tape alphabet Γ .
- Let $h: \Gamma \to \{0,1\}^*$ be an isometric, injective homomorphism.
- Then h(L) is in DOF and it is PSPACE-complete.



Improvements

Theorem (

- 1. $DCFL \subseteq DOF_{poly}$.
- 2. $CFL \subseteq NOF_{poly}$.





Explanation of Different Abbreviations

DOF Deterministic Overhead-Free.

NOF Nondeterministic Overhead-Free.

DOF_{poly} Deterministic Overhead-Free, polynomial time.

DOF_{poly} Nondeterministic Overhead-Free, polynomial time.

Table: Explanation of what different abbreviations mean.



