# **Reinforcement Learning**

## An Introductory Note

Jingye Wang

wangjy5@shanghaitech.edu.cn

Spring 2020

## **Contents**

1	Intr	oduction	3
2	Revi	iew of Basic Probability	5
	2.1	Interpretation of Probability	5
	2.2	Transformations	5
	2.3	Limit Theorem	5
	2.4	Sampling & Monte Carlo Methods	6
	2.5	Basic Inequalities	8
	2.6	Concentration Inequalities	10
	2.7	Conditional Expectation	12
3	Ban	dit Algorithms	14
	3.1	Bandit Models	14
	3.2	Stochastic Bandits	14
	3.3	Greedy Algorithms	15
	3.4	UCB Algorithms	16
	3.5	Thompson Sampling Algorithms	17
	3.6	Gradient Bandit Algorithms	18
4	Mar	kov Chains	20
	4.1	Markov Model	20
	4.2	Basic Computations	20
	4.3	Classifications	21

CONTENTS	2

CC	ONTE	NTS			
	4.4	Stationary Distribution			
	4.5	Reversibility			
	4.6	Markov Chain Monte Carlo			
5	5 Markov Decision Process				
	5.1	Markov Reward Process			
	5.2	Markov Decision Process			
	5.3	Dynamic Programming			
6	Model-Free Prediction				
	6.1	Monte-Carlo Policy Evaluation			
	6.2	Temporal-Difference Learning			
7	Model-Free Control				
	7.1	On Policy Monte-Carlo Control			
	7.2	On Policy Temporal-Difference Control: Sarsa			
	7.3	Off-Policy Temporal-Difference Control: Q-Learning			
8	Valu	e Function Approximation			
	8.1	Semi-gradient Method			
	8.2	Deep Q-Learning			
9	Polic	cy Optimization			
	9.1	Policy Optimization Theorem			
	9.2	REINFORCE: Monte-Carlo Policy Gradient			
	9.3	Actor-Critic Policy Gradient			
	9.4	Extension of Policy Gradient			

#### **6 Model-Free Prediction**

To begin, we firstly give definition of the term *episode* then give the prediction algorithm based MC and TD methods.

**Episodes**: An episode  $\tau$  is a sequence of states and actions in the environment,

$$\tau = (s_0, a_0, r_1, ..., s_{T-1}, a_{T-1}, r_T).$$

We call an episode is complete if it ends with the terminal state.

In model free method, we only utilize the episode itself without further exploitation.

#### 6.1 Monte-Carlo Policy Evaluation

Monte-Carlo (MC) policy evaluation methods learn directly from the *complete* episodes, thus it needs no knowledge of MDP transitions or rewards. The limitation of MC policy method methods is that it requires MDPs are episodic.

**Monte-Carlo Policy Evaluation**: Given some complete episodes under the policy  $\pi$ , we can approximate the value of s by the average returns observed after visiting to s.

Depending on when average returns for state s in an episode, there are two different implementations.

**First-Visit Monte-Carlo Policy Evaluation**: Only at the first time-step t that state s is visited in an episodes, we do the following procedure:

- Increment counter  $N(s) \leftarrow N(s) + 1$ ;
- Increment the total return  $return(s) \leftarrow return(s) + G_t$ ;
- Update the value by the mean return  $v(s) \leftarrow return(s)/N(s)$ .

**Every-Visit Monte-Carlo Policy Evaluation**: Every time-step t that state s is visited in an episode, we do the following procedure:

- Increment counter  $N(s) \leftarrow N(s) + 1$ ;
- Increment the total return  $return(s) \leftarrow return(s) + G_t$ ;
- Update the value by the mean return  $v(s) \leftarrow return(s)/N(s)$ .

#### Algorithm 10 First-Visit Monte-Carlo Policy Evaluation

```
1: initialize v(s) \in \mathbb{R} arbitrarily for all s \in \mathcal{S};
2: initialize return(s) \leftarrow an empty list for all s \in \mathcal{S};
 3: input the policy \pi to be evaluated;
 4: for true do:
    # variants for this alg. can be start with s_0 \in \mathcal{S}, a_0 \in \mathcal{A}(s_0) randomly, \varepsilon-greedy, etc.
         Generate a complete episode \tau = (s_0, a_0, r_1, ..., s_{T-1}, a_{T-1}, r_T);
         G \leftarrow 0;
 6:
         for t = T - 1, T - 2, ...0 do:
              G \leftarrow \gamma G + r_{t+1};
              if s_t appears in (s_0, s_1, ..., s_{t-1}) then:
                   Append G to return(s_t);
10:
                   v(s_t) \leftarrow average(return(s_t));
11:
              end if
12:
         end for
13:
14: end for
```

#### Algorithm 11 Every-Visit Monte-Carlo Policy Evaluation

```
1: input the policy \pi to be evaluated;

2: initialize v(s) \in \mathbb{R} arbitrarily for all s \in \mathcal{S};

3: initialize return(s) \leftarrow an empty list for all s \in \mathcal{S};

4: for true do:

5: Generate a complete episode \tau = (s_0, a_0, r_1, ..., s_{T-1}, a_{T-1}, r_T);

6: for t = T - 1, T - 2, ..., 0 do:

7: G \leftarrow \gamma G + r_{t+1};

8: Append G to return(s_t);

9: v(s_t) \leftarrow \text{average}(return(s_t));

10: end for
```

By law of large numbers, both of two methods can achieve  $v(s) \to v^{\pi}(s)$  as  $N(s) \to \infty$ . In practice, we can use a trick incremental mean to simplify the calculation.

Differences between DP and MC for policy evaluation:

- DP computes  $v_k$  by bootstrapping the rest of the expected return calculated with  $v_{k-1}$ ;
- DP iterates on Bellman expectation backup:

$$v_k(s) \leftarrow \sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \pi(a|s) \left( R_s^a + \gamma \sum_{s' \in \mathcal{S}} P(s'|s, a) v_{k-1}(s') \right).$$

• MC updates the empirical mean return with a sampled episode:

$$v(s_t) \leftarrow v(s_t) + \alpha(G_{k,t} - v(s_t)).$$

Advantages of MC over DP:

- MC can work when the environment is unknown;
- Working with sampled episodes has a huge advantage. Even with the complete knowledge of the
  environment's dynamics, the complexity still could be a challenge;
- Cost of estimating a single state's value is independent of the total number of states. So one can sample episodes starting from the states of interest then average returns.

### 6.2 Temporal-Difference Learning

Unlike MC methods, temporal-difference (TD) does not require the episodes are complete. TD methods can learn from incomplete episodes by bootstrapping.

**Temporal-Difference Learning**: Given some incomplete episodes under the policy  $\pi$ , we update value  $v(s_t)$  toward estimated return  $r_{t+1} + \gamma v(s_{t+1})$ :

$$v(s_t) \leftarrow v(s_t) + \alpha(r_{t+1} + \gamma v(s_{t+1}) - v(s_t)),$$

where  $r_{t+1} + \gamma v(s_{t+1})$  is called the TD target,  $\alpha$  is the step-size, and we call

$$\delta_t = r_{t+1} + \gamma v(s_{t+1}) - v(s_t)$$

the TD error.

#### Algorithm 12 TD(0) Evaluation

```
1: initialize v(s) \in \mathbb{R} arbitrarily for all s \in \mathcal{S} except that v(terminal) = 0;
```

- 2: **input** the policy  $\pi$  to be evaluated; the step size  $\alpha \in (0,1]$ ;
- 3: **for** true **do**:
- 4: Generate initial state s;
- 5: **while** s is not terminal **do**:
- 6:  $a \leftarrow \pi(s)$ ;
- 7:  $r, s' \leftarrow environment(s, a);$
- 8:  $v(s) \leftarrow v(s) + \alpha(r + \gamma v(s') v(s));$
- 9:  $s \leftarrow s'$ ;
- 10: end while
- 11: end for

Differences between MC and TD for policy evaluation:

- TD can learn online after every step;
- MC must wait until end of episode before return is known;
- TD can learn from incomplete sequences;
- MC can only learn from complete sequences;
- TD works in continuing (non-terminating) environments;
- MC only works in episodic (terminating) environments;
- TD exploits Markov property, and it is efficient in Markov environments;
- MC does not exploit Markov property, thus it is relatively effective in non-Markov environments.

Bootstrapping: involves old values, it is something like in-place update.

Sampling: samples to get an expectation.

A summary of bootstrapping and sampling for DP, MC, and TD is shown in Table 2.

Algorithm	Bootstraps	Sampling		
Dynamic Programming	$\sqrt{}$			
Monte-Carlo		$\checkmark$		
Temporal-Difference		$\checkmark$		

Table 2: Bootstrapping and sampling for DP, MC, and TD.

**n-step TD methods**: Unlike the simplest TD method, in n-step TD methods, the updating rule of value  $v(s_t)$  is

$$v(s_t) \leftarrow v(s_t) + \alpha (G_t^{(n)} - v(S_t)),$$

where  $G_t^{(n)}$  is the n-step return

$$G_t^{(n)} = r_{t+1} + \gamma r_{t+2} + \dots + \gamma^n v(s_{t+n}).$$

Notice that with additional definition, we can generalize TD to MC when  $n \to \infty$ .

**TD**( $\lambda$ ) **methods**: To make use of the information from all time-steps, we can use weight  $(1 - \lambda)\lambda^{n-1}$  to average n-step returns over different n as

$$G_t^{\lambda} = (1 - \lambda) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda^{n-1} G_t^{(n)},$$

thus the updating rule for the value becomes

$$v(s_t) \leftarrow v(s_t) + \alpha(G_t^{\lambda} - v(s_t)).$$