

CSCE 222

Homework 2

Jonathan Kalsky

Feb. 13 2026

Contents

1	Section 1.6	1
2	Section 1.7	4
3	Section 2.1	6
4	Section 2.2	7

1 Section 1.6

Question 14

a)

p = "In the class"

q = "Owns a red convertible"

r = "Got a speeding ticket"

$\forall x(q(x) \rightarrow r(x))$ premise

$q(Linda) \rightarrow r(Linda)$ Linda follows this premise

$p(Linda) \wedge q(Linda)$ Premise

$q(Linda)$ Simplification

$r(Linda)$ Modus ponens

Due to Linda, there is at least one person who has gotten a speeding ticket in this class

b)

p = "Taken a course in discrete mathematics"

q = "Can take a course in algorithms"

$\forall x(p(x) \rightarrow q(x))$

$p(M) \rightarrow q(M), p(R) \rightarrow q(R), p(V) \rightarrow q(V), p(K) \rightarrow q(K)$ Universal True

$p(M) \wedge p(A) \wedge p(R) \wedge p(V) \wedge p(K)$ Premise

$q(M) \wedge q(A) \wedge q(R) \wedge q(V) \wedge q(K)$ Modus Ponens

Because all 5 took discrete math, this implies all 5 are eligible for algorithms

c)

p = "produced by John Sayles"

q = "Is wonderful"

$\forall x(p(x) \rightarrow q(x))$

$p(Coal)$ Premise

$q(coal) \rightarrow q(Coal)$ Universal Application

$q(Coal)$ Modus Ponens

Because the movie about coal miners is produced by John Sayles, the movie is wonderful; thus, there is a wonderful movie about coal miners.

d)

p = "Been to France"

q = "Visited the Louvre"

$\forall x(p(x) \rightarrow q(x))$

$p(S)$ Premise

$p(S) \rightarrow q(S)$ Universal Application

$q(S)$ Modus Ponens

Given there is someone who has been to France in the class, someone visited the Louvre.

Question 24

The error is on step 3, because you cannot simplify $P(c) \vee Q(c)$ to $P(c)$

FRQ

p = "There is an undeclared variable"

q = "There is a syntax error in the first five lines"

r = "There is a missing semicolon"

s = "Variable name is misspelled"

$q \rightarrow (r \vee s)$ Premise

$p \vee q$ Premise

$\neg r$ Premise

$\neg s$ Premise

$\neg(r \vee s) \rightarrow \neg q \equiv (\neg r \wedge \neg s) \rightarrow \neg q$ Modus Tollens

$\neg q$

p Disjunctive Syllogism

Given there is no missing semicolon or misspelled variable name, there is not a syntax error in the first 5 lines. This then also concludes that there is an undeclared variable.

2 Section 1.7

Question 18

$\forall m, n, k \in \mathbb{Z} (mn = 2k \iff mn \text{ is even})$ By definition.

Additionally, if m is even or n is even $\forall a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$(m = 2a \wedge n = 2b + 1) \vee (m = 2b + 1 \wedge n = 2a) \rightarrow mn = 2(a(2b + 1))$$

Through association, mn is even by definition.

$mn \in \mathbb{Z}$ because $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, multiplication is closed under \mathbb{Z}

Therefore, if m or n are even integers, mn is even.

Question 20

p = "n is an integer" q = "n is even"

a) By contraposition

$p(n) \wedge q(3n + 2) \rightarrow q(n)$ To Prove

$\neg q(n) \rightarrow \neg p(n) \vee \neg q(3n + 2)$ Contraposition

Addition and multiplication is closed under the set of integers so $p(n)$

Now prove: $\neg q(n) \rightarrow \neg q(3n + 2)$ Logical Reduction

If $q(n)$, n can be written in the form of $2k+1$ such that k is an integer

$$\neg q(2k + 1) \rightarrow \neg q(3(2k + 1) + 2 = 2(3k + 2) + 1)$$

This relationship is tautological

Through contraposition, we can prove the statement is true.

b) By contradiction

$p(n) \wedge q(3n + 2) \rightarrow q(n)$ To Prove

Assume $\neg q(n)$ By Contradiction

n can be written as $2k + 1$ such that k is an integer

$$3n+2 = 3(2k+1) + 2 = 6k + 5 = 2(3k + 2) + 1$$

This implies $\neg q(3n+2)$ which contradicts the premise and assumption of $q(3n+2)$

Question 34

$$x \text{ is rational} \iff x = \frac{p}{q} \mid p, q \in \mathbb{Z}, q \neq 0, \gcd(p, q) = 1$$

$$x/2 = \frac{2p_x}{q_x}, \text{ keeping the gcd the same, } p \text{ and } q \text{ as integers, and } q \neq 0$$

$$3x-1 = \frac{3p_x}{q_x} - 1$$

This remains rational because a rational - 1 equals the whole + fractions inverse(which is rational).

If x is rational, all 3 are valid rational expressions

$$x \text{ is rational} \equiv x/2 \text{ is rational} \equiv 3x-1 \text{ is rational}$$

FRQ

$$\text{Prove: } x \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \rightarrow (x = 2k \iff 7x + 4 = 2k \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}^+)$$

$$7x+4 = 2(3x+2) + x$$

This implies $7x+4$ is only a multiple of 2 if x is also a multiple of 2, where x is a positive integer.

FRQ

$R =$ "The square root of any irrational number is irrational."

a)

$\neg R =$ "The square root of any irrational number is rational."

b)

prove: $\sqrt{\text{irrational}} = \text{irrational}$

Assume opposite: $\sqrt{\text{irrational}} = \text{rational}$

$\sqrt{\text{irrational}} = \frac{p}{q}$ Definition of a rational number

$\text{irrational} = (\frac{p}{q})^2$

This expresses an irrational number as a rational by definition which is a contradiction. Therefore, the square root of an irrational number is irrational.

3 Section 2.1

Question 46

If $A = (a_1, a_2)$ and $B = (b_1, b_2)$

$A \times B$ is a set of a_1 and a_2 with all combinations of B , in that order.

Switching the order of $A \times B$ implies that the element pairs of the product set will not be the same

Multiplication of sets is not commutative

This fails to equate $A \times B$ and $B \times A$ under equivalence of sets by definition.

Therefore the order of multiplication matters, and the resulting set is not necessarily equivalent if switched. $(A \times B) \times (C \times D)$ and $A \times (B \times C) \times D$ are not the same.

Question 48

Prove $A \times B = A \times C \rightarrow B = C$

A , B , and C are nonempty sets | Premise

$A \times B = \{(a, b) | a \in A \wedge b \in B\}$ | Cartesian Product

$A \times C = \{(a, c) | a \in A \wedge c \in C\}$ | Cartesian Product

$\forall a, b, c ((a, b) = (a, c) \rightarrow b = c) \rightarrow B = C$ | Definition of Set Equality

All elements of B are in C , and all elements of C are in B , shown by the equality

when taking the cartesian product with A.

4 Section 2.2

Question 20

a)

p = "element in A" q = "element in B" r = "element in C"

$(A \cup B \cup C) = (A \cup B) \cup C$ | Association Law

$(p \vee q) \vee r$ | Written as propositions

$(p \vee q) \rightarrow (p \vee q) \vee r$ | Tautological

Therefore $(A \cup B) \subseteq (A \cup B \cup C)$ because all elements of $(A \cup B \cup C)$ are in $(A \cup B) \cup C$

b)

$A \cap B \cap C \equiv (A \cap B) \cap C$ | Association Law

$x \in (A \cap B \cap C) \iff x \in A \wedge x \in B \wedge x \in C$ | Meaning of Intersection

$x \in A \wedge x \in B \wedge x \in C \rightarrow x \in A \wedge x \in B$ | Simplification

$\therefore A \cap B \cap C \subseteq A \cap B$

c)

$(A - B) - C \equiv (A - C) - B$ | Associative and Commutative Law

$(A - C) - B \equiv \{\forall x(x \in (A - C) \wedge (A - C) - B)\}$ | Equivalence of a set intersection

$\forall x(x \in (A - C) \wedge (A - C) - B) \rightarrow \forall x(x \in (A - C))$

All elements of this set have to be in A-C, thus it is a subset of A-C, therefore

$(A - B) - C \subseteq A - C$

d)

$\forall x(x \in (A - C) \rightarrow x \notin C) \mid$ Definition of set subtraction

$\forall x(x \in (C - B) \rightarrow x \notin B) \mid$ Definition of set subtraction

$\forall x \neg((x \in (A - C)) \wedge (x \in (C - B))) \rightarrow (A - C) \cap (C - B) = \emptyset \mid$ Since by definition of intersection, for all elements there are no elements existing in both sets, the resulting set is empty by definition of an empty set.

e)

$(B - A) \cup (C - A) \equiv \{x \in B \mid x \notin A\} \cup \{x \in C \mid x \notin A\} \mid$ Definition of Set Difference

$\equiv \{x \in B \cup C \mid x \notin A\} \mid$ Definition of Set Union

$\equiv (B \cup C) \cap A^c \mid$ Definition of a Complement

$\equiv (B \cup C) - A \mid$ Definition of Set Difference

$\therefore (B - A) \cup (C - A) \equiv (B \cup C) - A$