Linear Algebra Notes

Cruz Godar

Math 306 and Math 406 — Professor Mendes

I — Vector Spaces

Definition 1.1: Let k be a field. A **vector space** over k is a set V equipped with two binary operations + and \cdot such that for all $u, v, w \in V$ and $c, d \in k$,

- 1. $u + v \in V$ and $cv \in V$.
- 2. u + v = v + u.
- 3. u + (v + w) = (u + v) + w and c(dv) = (cd)v.
- 4. c(u+v) = cu + cv and (c+d)v = cv + dv.
- 5. There is a vector $0 \in V$ that satisfies v + 0 = v for all $v \in V$.
- 6. There is a vector $1 \in V$ that satisfies 1v = v for all $v \in V$.
- 7. For all $v \in V$, there is a vector $-v \in V$ such that v + (-v) = 0.

Proposition 1.2: The element 0 is unique.

Proof: Suppose there were two elements 0,0' satisfying v + 0 = v + 0' = v for all $v \in V$. Then 0 + 0' = 0, but 0 + 0' = 0' + 0 = 0', so 0 = 0'.

Proposition 1.3: For each $v \in V$, -v is unique.

Proof: Suppose there were two elements -v, (-v)' satisfying v + (-v) = v + (-v)' = 0. Then -v = -v + (v + (-v)'), so -v = (-v)'.

Proposition 1.4: For all $v \in V$, 0v = 0 and (-1)v = -v.

Proof: We have

$$0 = 0v + (-0v)$$

$$= (0+0)v + (-0v)$$

$$= 0v + (0+(-0))v$$

$$= 0v$$

and

$$(-1)v = (-1)v + 0$$

= $(-1)v + v + (-v)$
= $(-1+1)v + (-v)$
= $-v$.

Definition 1.5: Let V be a vector space. A subspace of V is a nonempty set $U \subseteq V$ such that

- 1. $u + v \in U$ for all $u, v \in U$.
- 2. $cu \in U$ for all $u \in U$ and $c \in k$.

Definition 1.6: Let V be a vector space and U and V subspaces of V. The sum of U and V is $U+W=\{u+w\mid u\in U, w\in W\}$. If each element of V can be expressed uniquely as an element of U+W, we say that U+W is a **direct sum**, and we write $U\oplus W$.

Proposition 1.7: U + W is a direct sum if and only if the only expression of 0 in U + W is 0 + 0.

Proof: (\Rightarrow) If U + W is direct, then since 0 = 0 + 0 is one expression of 0, it must be the only one.

 (\Leftarrow) Let $v \in U + W$ and suppose v = u + w = u' + w' for some $u, u' \in U$ and $w, w' \in W$. Then 0 = v - v = (u + w) + (u' + w') = (u - u') + (w - w'). Thus u - u' = w - w' = 0, so u = u' and w = w'.

Proposition 1.8: U + W is a direct sum if and only if $U \cap W = \{0\}$.

Proof: (\Rightarrow) Suppose U+W is direct and let $v \in U \cap W$. Then 0 = v + (-v), so by the previous result, v = -v = 0.

(⇐) Assume $U \cap W = \{0\}$ and suppose u + w = 0. Then $u = -w \in W$, so $u \in U \cap W$ and is therefore 0. Thus u = w = 0, so the previous proposition gives that U + W is direct.

II — Bases and Dimension

Definition 2.1: Vectors $v_1, ..., v_n \in V$ are **linearly independent** if $c_1v_1 + \cdots + c_nv_n = 0$ for $c_i \in k$ implies $c_1 = \cdots = c_n = 0$, and **linearly dependent** if not (that is, $c_1v_1 + \cdots + c_nv_n = 0$ for some $c_i \in k$ not all zero).

Definition 2.2: The **span** of $v_1, ..., v_n \in V$ is the set $\text{span}\{v_1, ..., v_n\} = \{c_1v_1 + \cdots + c_nv_n \mid c_i \in k\}$. A set of vectors $\{v_1, ..., v_n\} \subseteq V$ **spans** V if $\text{span}\{v_1, ..., v_n\} = V$.

Proposition 2.3: Let $v_1, ..., v_n \in V$. Then span $\{v_1, ..., v_n\}$ is a subspace of V.

Proof: First, $0 \in \text{span}\{v_1, ..., v_n\}$, so the set is nonempty. Next, $(c_1v_1 + \cdots + c_nv_n) + (d_1v_1 + \cdots + d_nv_n) = (c_1 + d_1)v_1 + \cdots + (c_n + d_n)v_n \in \text{span}\{v_1, ..., v_n\}$, so the set is closed under addition, and finally, $c(c_1v_1 + \cdots + c_nv_n) = (cc_1)v_1 + \cdots + (cc_n)v_n \in \text{span}\{v_1, ..., v_n\}$, so it is closed under scalar multiplication. Thus $\text{span}\{v_1, ..., v_n\}$ is a subspace of V.

Definition 2.4: A basis for a vector space V is a set of vectors $\{v_1, ..., v_n\} \subseteq V$ that are linearly independent and span V.

Definition 2.5: A vector space is **finite-dimensional** if it has a finite basis.

Theorem 2.6: Let V be a finite-dimensional vector space. If $v_1, ..., v_k \in V$ are linearly independent, then they can be extended to form a basis for V.

Proof: Suppose span $\{w_1,...,w_n\} = V$. Then span $\{v_1,...,v_k,w_1,...,w_n\} = V$, so if $v_1,...,v_k,w_1,...,w_n$ are linearly independent, $\{v_1,...,v_k,w_1,...,w_n\}$ is a basis. Otherwise, $c_1v_1+\cdots+c_kv_k+d_1w_1+\cdots+d_nw_n=0$ for some $c_i,d_i\in k$. Not all the d_i can be zero, since then $v_1,...,v_k$ would be linearly dependent, so there is a $d_j\neq 0$. Then span $\{v_1,...,v_k,w_1,...,w_{j-1},w_{j+1},...,w_n\}=\operatorname{span}\{v_1,...,v_k,w_1,...,w_n\}$, since we can create w_j from the other vectors. Continue removing w_i until the set is linearly independent (this will terminate, since at most we will have $v_1,...,v_k$ once again).

Theorem 2.7: Every basis for a finite-dimensional vector space has the same number of elements.

Proof: Let $\{v_1,...,v_n\}$ and $\{w_1,...,w_m\}$ be bases for V. Then by definition, $v_1=c_1w_1+\cdots+c_mw_m$ for some $c_i \in k$ not all zero. Without loss of generality, assume $c_1 \neq 0$. Then $w_1=(-c_1)^{-1}(-v_1+c_2w_2+\cdots+c_mw_m) \in \operatorname{span}\{v_1,w_2,...,w_m\}$, so $\operatorname{span}\{v_1,w_2,...,w_m\} = \operatorname{span}\{w_1,...,w_m\} = V$. Repeat this process until we have $V = \operatorname{span}\{v_1,...,v_n,w_{n+1},...,w_m\}$. If n > m, then $V = \operatorname{span}\{v_1,...,v_m\}$, but then $v_1,...,v_n$ are not linearly independent. Thus $n \leq m$, and repeating the proof by eliminating the v_i gives that $m \leq n$, so n = m.

Definition 2.8: Let V be a vector space. The **dimension** of V, denoted $\dim V$, is the number of elements in a basis for it.

Proposition 2.9: If $v_1,...,v_n \in V$ are linearly independent and dim V = n, then $\{v_1,...,v_n\}$ is a basis for V.

Proof: Suppose not. Extend $\{v_1, ..., v_n\}$ to form a basis for V. But then that basis would have more than n elements. \mathcal{I}

Proposition 2.10: If $v_1, ..., v_n \in V$ span V and dim V = n, then $\{v_1, ..., v_n\}$ is a basis for V.

III — Linear Maps

Definition 3.1: Let V and W be vector spaces. A linear map from V to W is a function $T:V\longrightarrow W$ such that

- 1. For all $u, v \in V$, T(u+v) = Tu + Tv.
- 2. For all $u \in V$ and $c \in k$, T(cu) = cTu.

We write Tu to mean T(u). The set of all linear maps from V to W is denoted $\mathcal{L}(V,W)$.

Proposition 3.2: $\mathcal{L}(V,W)$ is a vector space under function addition and composition.

Proposition 3.3: Let $\{v_1,...,v_n\}$ be a basis for V and let $w_1,...,w_n \in W$. Then there is a unique linear map $T \in \mathcal{L}(V,W)$ such that $Tv_i = w_i$ for each i.

Proof: Such a T exists, since we can define it by $T(c_1v_1 + \cdots + c_nv_n) = c_1w_1 + \cdots + c_nw_n$, and it follows that every linear map S with $Sv_i = w_i$ is equal to T by the properties of linear maps.

Definition 3.4: Let $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$. The **null space** of T is the set null $T = \{x \in V \mid Tx = 0\}$, and the **range** of T is the set range $T = \{Tv \mid v \in V\}$.

Proposition 3.5: Let $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$. Then null T is a subspace of V and range T is a subspace of W.

Theorem 3.6: (The Fundamental Theorem of Linear Maps) Let $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$. Then dim $V = \dim \operatorname{null} T + \dim \operatorname{range} T$.

Proof: Let $\{v_1,...,v_k\}$ be a basis for null T and extend it to $\{v_1,...,v_n\}$ to form a basis for V. We claim that $\{Tv_{k+1},...,Tv_n\}$ is a basis for range T.

Suppose $c_{k+1}Tv_{k+1}+\cdots+c_nTv_n=0$. Then $T(c_{k+1}v_{k+1}+\cdots+c_nv_n)=0$, so $c_{k+1}v_{k+1}+\cdots+c_nv_n\in n$ for some $c_1,\ldots,c_k\in n$. Since $\{v_1,\ldots,v_k\}$ is a basis for null T, $c_{k+1}v_{k+1}+\cdots+c_nv_n=c_1v_1+\cdots+c_kv_k$ for some $c_1,\ldots,c_k\in k$. Since v_1,\ldots,v_n are linearly independent, $c_1=\cdots=c_n=0$, so in particular, $c_{k+1}=\cdots+c_n=0$. Thus Tv_{k+1},\ldots,Tv_n are linearly independent.

Let $w \in \text{range } T$. Then $T(c_1v_1 + \dots + c_nv_n) = w$ for some $c_1, \dots, c_n \in k$, and since $c_1v_1 + \dots + c_kv_k \in \text{null } T$, $T(c_1v_1 + \dots + c_kv_k) = 0$, so $T(c_{k+1}v_{k+1} + \dots + c_nv_n) = w$. Then $w = c_{k+1}Tv_{k+1} + \dots + c_nTv_n$, so $w \in \text{span}\{Tv_{k+1}, \dots, Tv_n\}$. Thus Tv_{k+1}, \dots, Tv_n span range T.

Thus $\{Tv_{k+1},...,Tv_n\}$ is a basis for range T, so in particular, dim range T=n-k and dim $V=n=\dim \text{null } T+\dim \text{range } T=k+(n-k)$.

Proposition 3.7: A linear map $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$ is injective if and only if null $T = \{0\}$.

Proof: (\Rightarrow) Let $x \in \text{null } T$. Then Tx = T0 = 0, so x = 0, since T is injective.

(\Leftarrow) Suppose Tu = Tv for $u, v \in V$. Then T(u - v) = 0, so u - v = 0, since null $T = \{0\}$. Thus T is injective.

Proposition 3.8: Let $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$. If dim $V > \dim W$, then null $T \neq 0$.

Proof: dim null $T = \dim V - \dim \operatorname{range} T \ge \dim V - \dim W > 0$.

Definition 3.9: Let $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$. An **inverse linear map** to T is a $T^{-1} \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$ such that $T^{-1}T = I_V$ and $TT^{-1} = I_W$. If such a T^{-1} exists, we call T **invertible**.

Proposition 3.10: Let $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$. Then T^{-1} is unique.

Proof: Suppose T_1^{-1} and T_2^{-1} are both inverses to T. Then $T_1^{-1} = T_1^{-1}TT_2^{-1} = T_2^{-1}$.

Definition 3.11: An **isomorphism** from V to W is an invertible linear map $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$. V and W are **isomorphic**, denoted $V \simeq W$, if there is an isomorphism from V to W.

Proposition 3.12: If dim $V = \dim W$, then $V \simeq W$.

Proof: Let $\{v_1, ..., v_n\}$ and $\{w_1, ..., w_n\}$ be bases for V and W and define $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$ by $Tv_i = w_i$. Then T is injective and surjective, so it is an isomorphism.

Definition 3.13: Let $\{v_1,...,v_n\}$ and $\{w_1,...,w_m\}$ be bases for V and W and let $T \in \mathcal{L}(V,W)$. The **matrix** of T with respect to the chosen bases is the $m \times n$ rectangle of numbers

$$M(T) = \begin{bmatrix} | & & | \\ Tv_1 & \cdots & Tv_n \\ | & & | \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} c_{11} & \cdots & c_{1n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ c_{m1} & \cdots & c_{mn} \end{bmatrix}$$

where $Tv_i = c_{1i}w_1 + \cdots + c_{mi}w_m$. Notice that if $v = c_1v_1 + \cdots + c_nv_n$, then

$$M(T)\begin{bmatrix} c_1 \\ \vdots \\ c_n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} | & & | \\ Tv_1 & \cdots & Tv_n \\ | & & | \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} c_1 \\ \vdots \\ c_n \end{bmatrix} = c_1 Tv_1 + \cdots + c_n Tv_n = Tv.$$

Definition 3.14: Let $A \in M_{m,n}(k)$ and $v_1,...,v_k \in k^n$. We define matrix multiplication by

$$A \begin{bmatrix} | & & | \\ v_1 & \cdots & v_k \\ | & & | \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} | & & | \\ Av_1 & \cdots & Av_n \\ | & & | \end{bmatrix}.$$

Notice that if $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$ and $S \in \mathcal{L}(W, U)$, then M(S)M(T) = M(ST).

Theorem 3.15: Let V and W be vector spaces. Then $\dim \mathcal{L}(V, W) = (\dim V)(\dim W)$.

Proof: Let $\{v_1,...,v_n\}$ and $\{w_1,...,w_m\}$ be bases for V and W. We claim that $\mathcal{L}(V,W) \simeq M_{n,m}(k)$. Define $M:\mathcal{L}(V,W) \longrightarrow M_{n,m}(k)$ by sending a linear map to its matrix.

- (\Rightarrow) Suppose M(T) = M(S). Then the columns of each are equal, so $Tv_i = Sv_i$ for all i. Thus T = S, so M is injective.
- (\Rightarrow) Let $A \in M_{n,m}(k)$ and define $T \in \mathcal{L}(V,W)$ by $Tv_i = c_1w_1 + \cdots + c_mw_m$, where the c_j form the *i*th column of A. Then M(T) = A, so M is surjective.

Definition 3.16: Let V be a vector space. The **dual space** to V is the vector space $V' = \mathcal{L}(V, k)$. By the previous theorem, dim $V' = \dim V$.

Definition 3.17: Let $\{v_1,...,v_n\}$ be a basis for V. The dual basis to $\{v_1,...,v_n\}$ is $\{\varphi_{v_1},...,\varphi_{v_n}\}$, where

$$\varphi_{v_i}(v_j) = \begin{cases} 1, & j = i \\ 0, & j \neq i \end{cases}.$$

Proposition 3.18: Let $\{v_1,...,v_n\}$ be a basis for V. Then $\{\varphi_{v_1},...,\varphi_{v_n}\}$ is a basis for V'.

Definition 3.19: Let $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$. The dual map $T' \in \mathcal{L}(W', V')$ is defined by $T'\varphi = \varphi T$.

Theorem 3.20: Let $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$. Then $M(T') = M(T)^{\mathrm{T}}$.

Proof: $M(T')_{ij}$ is the coefficient of φ_{v_i} in $T'\varphi_{w_j} = \varphi_{w_j}T$. If $\varphi_{w_j}T = c_1\varphi_{v_1} + \cdots + c_n\varphi_{v_n}$, then $M(T')_{ij} = c_i$. But by the definition of φ_{w_j} , $\varphi_{w_j}Tv_i$ is the coefficient of w_j in the expression of Tv_i , which is the definition of $M(T)_{ji}$. Thus $M(T)_{ji} = M(T')_{ij}$, so $M(T') = M(T)^T$.

Definition 3.21: Let $U \subseteq V$ (not necessarily a subspace). The **annihilator** of U is the set $U^0 = \{ \varphi \in V' \mid \varphi u = 0 \text{ for all } u \in U \}$.

Proposition 3.22: Let U be a subspace of V. Then $\dim V = \dim U + \dim U^0$.

Proof: Let $\{v_1,...,v_k\}$ be a basis for U and extend it to $\{v_1,...,v_n\}$ to form a basis for V. Then $\{\varphi_{v_1},...,\varphi_{v_n}\}$ is a basis for V', so $\varphi_{v_{k+1}},...,\varphi_{v_n}$ are linearly independent. Since span $\{\varphi_{v_{k+1}},...,\varphi_{v_n}\}$ = U^0 , dim $U^0 = n - k$, so dim $V = n = \dim U + \dim U^0 = k + (n - k)$.

Proposition 3.23: Let $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$. Then null $T' = (\text{range } T)^0$.

Proof: We have $\varphi \in \text{null } T'$ if and only if $T'\varphi = 0$, if and only if $\varphi T = 0$, if and only if $\varphi Tv = 0$ for all $v \in V$, if and only if $\varphi w = 0$ for all $w \in \text{range } T$, if and only if $\varphi \in (\text{range } T)^0$.

Proposition 3.24: Let $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$. Then range $T' = (\text{null } T)^0$.

Proposition 3.25: Let $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$. Then T' is injective if and only if T is surjective.

Proof: T' is injective if and only if null $T' = \{0\}$, if and only if (range T)⁰ = $\{0\}$, if and only if dim (range T) = 0, if and only if dim range T = dim W, if and only if range T = W.

Corollary 3.25.1: Let $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$. Then dim range $T' = \dim \operatorname{range} T$.

IV — Eigenvalues and Eigenvectors

Definition 4.1: An **eigenvalue** of a linear map $T \in \mathcal{L}(V) = \mathcal{L}(V, V)$ is an element $\lambda \in k$ such that $Tv = \lambda v$ for some nonzero $v \in V$. This v is called the **eigenvector** corresponding to λ .

Proposition 4.2: Let $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ and $\lambda \in k$. Then λ is an eigenvalue of T if and only if $T - \lambda I$ is not invertible.

Proof: We have that λ is an eigenvalue of T if and only if $Tv = \lambda v$ for some $v \neq 0$, if and only if $(T - \lambda I)v = 0$ for some $v \neq 0$, if and only if null $(T - \lambda I) \neq \{0\}$, if and only if $T - \lambda I$ is not invertible.

Theorem 4.3: If $\lambda_1, ..., \lambda_k$ are distinct eigenvalues of T, then the corresponding eigenvectors $v_1, ..., v_k$ are linearly independent.

Proof: Suppose not. Then there is a minimum j for which $v_1, ..., v_j$ are linearly dependent, so $v_j = c_1v_1 + \cdots + c_{j-1}v_{j-1}$ for some $c_1, ..., c_{j-1} \in k$. Then

$$\lambda_i v_i = \lambda_i (c_1 v_1 + \dots + c_{i-1} v_{i-1}) = c_1 \lambda_i v_1 + \dots + c_{i-1} \lambda_i v_{i-1}.$$

But we also have

$$\lambda_j v_j = T v_j = T(c_1 v_1 + \dots + c_{j-1} v_{j-1}) = c_1 \lambda_1 v_1 + \dots + c_{j-1} \lambda_{j-1} v_{j-1},$$

so

$$c_1(\lambda_1 - \lambda_i)v_1 + \dots + c_1(\lambda_{i-1} - \lambda_i)v_{i-1} = 0.$$

Since j was minimal, $v_1, ..., v_{j_1}$ are linearly independent, so $c_i(\lambda_i - \lambda_j) = 0$ for all $i \in \{1, ..., j - 1\}$. Not every $c_i = 0$, since then $v_j = 0$, so some $c_i \neq 0$, and therefore $\lambda_i = \lambda_j$. But then the eigenvalues are not distinct. \not

Definition 4.4: A linear map $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ is **diagonalizable** if there is a basis of eigenvectors of T for V — that is, a basis such that

$$M(T) = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & \lambda_n \end{bmatrix}.$$

Proposition 4.5: If dim V = n and $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ has n distinct eigenvalues, then T is diagonalizable.

Definition 4.6: A matrix $A \in M_n(k)$ is upper triangular if it has the form

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} * & * & \cdots & * & * \\ 0 & * & \cdots & * & * \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & * \end{bmatrix},$$

where the * are elements of k.

Definition 4.7: Let $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$. A subspace U of V is **T-invariant** if $Tu \in U$ for all $u \in U$.

Theorem 4.8: Let V be a vector space over an algebraically closed field k with dim V = n and let $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$. Then there is a basis for V such that M(T) is upper triangular.

Proof: We will proceed by induction. The base case is trivial, since every 1×1 matrix is upper triangular.

Assume that every linear map in $\mathcal{L}(V)$ has such a basis if $\dim V < n$. Let $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ and let λ be an eigenvalue of T (This exists, since we can choose any basis for V and perform elementary row operations on M(T) to eliminate every element of a non-leading-zero column below the top one). Let $U = \text{range } (T - \lambda I)$. Then U is T-invariant, since $T(Tv - \lambda v) = T(Tv) - \lambda(Tv) \in U$, so $T|_{U} \in \mathcal{L}(U)$. Since the eigenvector corresponding to λ is an element of null $(T - \lambda I)$, $U \neq V$. Thus $\dim U < \dim V$, so by assumption, there is a basis $\{u_1, ..., u_k\}$ for U such that $M(T|_U)$ is upper triangular. Extend this to $\{u_1, ..., u_k, v_1, ..., v_j\}$ to form a basis for V. Then $Tv_i = Tv_i - \lambda v_i + \lambda v_i = c_1u_1 + \cdots + c_ku_k + \lambda v_i$ for some $c_1, ..., c_k \in k$, and so

$$M(T) = \begin{bmatrix} T & * \\ 0 & \lambda I \end{bmatrix},$$

where T is a $k \times k$ upper triangular matrix, * is unspecified, 0 is the zero matrix, and λI is a $j \times j$ diagonal matrix. Thus M(T) is upper triangular.

Theorem 4.9: If M(T) is upper triangular with respect to the basis $v_1, ..., v_n$ and has diagonal entries $\lambda_1, ..., \lambda_n$, then T is invertible if and only if no $\lambda_i = 0$.

Proof: (\Rightarrow) Assume T^{-1} exists and suppose some $\lambda_i = 0$. Let $U = \text{span}\{v_1, ..., v_i\}$. Then U is T-invariant, but $T|_U$ is not surjective, so it is not invertible, and therefore neither is T. \not

(\Leftarrow) It is enough to show that null $T = \{0\}$, so suppose $T(c_1v_1 + \dots + c_nv_n) = 0$. Then $c_1Tv_1 + \dots + c_nTv_n = 0$. Since $Tv_i \in \text{span}\{v_1, \dots, v_i\}$, $c_n = 0$, since $v_n appears only in Tv_n$ and $\lambda_n \neq 0$. Similarly, $c_1 = \dots = c_{n-1} = 0$. Thus null $T = \{0\}$.

Theorem 4.10: If M(T) is upper triangular with diagonal entries $\lambda_1, ..., \lambda_n$, then T has eigenvalues $\lambda_1, ..., \lambda_n$.

Proof: If λ is an eigenvalue of T, then $T - \lambda I$ is not invertible. Then $\lambda_i - \lambda = 0$ for some i, since

$$M(T - \lambda I) = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 - \lambda & * & \cdots & * \\ 0 & \lambda_2 - \lambda & \cdots & * \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & \lambda_n - \lambda \end{bmatrix}.$$

Repeat for all i.

V — Inner Product Spaces

Definition 5.1: Let V be a vector space over $k = \mathbb{R}$ or \mathbb{C} . An **inner product** on V is a function $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : V \times V \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that

- 1. $\langle v, v \rangle \in \mathbb{R}^+$ for all nonzero $v \in V$ and $\langle v, v \rangle = 0$ if and only if v = 0.
- 2. $\langle cu + v, w \rangle = c\langle u, w \rangle + \langle v, w \rangle$ for all $u, v, w \in V$ and $c \in k$.
- 3. $\langle u, v \rangle = \overline{\langle v, u \rangle}$ for all $u, v \in V$.

An inner product space is a vector space equipped with an inner product.

Definition 5.2: The **norm** of an element $v \in V$ is $||v|| = \sqrt{\langle v, v \rangle}$.

Definition 5.3: The **distance** between two vectors $u, v \in V$ is ||u - v||.

Proposition 5.4: For all $v \in V$ and $c \in k$, $||cv|| = |c| \cdot ||v||$.

Proof: Since $||cv||^2 = \langle cv, cv \rangle = c\overline{c} \langle v, v \rangle = |c|^2 ||v||^2$, $||cv|| = |c| \cdot ||v||$.

Definition 5.5: Two vectors $u, v \in V$ are **orthogonal** if $\langle u, v \rangle = 0$.

Proposition 5.6: (The Pythagorean Theorem) Let $u, v \in V$ be orthogonal. Then $||u + v||^2 = ||u||^2 + ||v||^2$.

Proof: We have $||u+v||^2 = \langle u+v, u+v \rangle = \langle u, u \rangle + \langle u, v \rangle + \langle v, u \rangle + \langle v, v \rangle = \langle u, u \rangle + \langle v, v \rangle = ||u||^2 + ||v||^2$.

Proposition 5.7: (The Cauchy-Schwarz Inequality) For all $u, v \in V$, $||u|| \cdot ||v|| \ge |\langle u, v \rangle|$.

Proof: Let $c = \frac{\langle u, v \rangle}{\langle v, v \rangle}$. Then $||u||^2 ||v||^2 = ||u - cv + cv||^2 ||v||^2$, and since u - cv is orthogonal to cv, $||u||^2 ||v||^2 = (||u - cv||^2 + ||cv||^2) ||v||^2 \ge ||cv||^2 ||v||^2 = |c|^2 ||v||^4 = |\langle u, v \rangle|^2$.

Proposition 5.8: For all $u, v \in V$, $||u|| + ||v|| \ge ||u + v||$.

Lemma 5.8.1: For all $z \in \mathbb{C}$, $2|z| \ge z + \overline{z}$.

Proof: If z = a + bi, then $2|z| = 2|a + bi| = 2\sqrt{a^2 + b^2} \ge 2\sqrt{a^2} = 2a = z + \overline{z}$.

Proof: We have $(||u|| + ||v||)^2 = ||u||^2 + 2||u|| \cdot ||v|| + ||v||^2 \ge ||u||^2 + 2|\langle u, v \rangle| + ||v||^2 \ge ||u||^2 + \langle u, v \rangle + \overline{\langle u, v \rangle} + ||v||^2 = \langle u, u \rangle + \langle u, v \rangle + \langle v, u \rangle + \langle v, v \rangle = \langle u + v, u + v \rangle = ||u + v||^2.$

Definition 5.9: Vectors $e_1, ..., e_k \in V$ are **orthonormal** if $||e_i|| = 1$ for all i and $\langle e_i, e_j \rangle = 0$ for all $i \neq j$.

Proposition 5.10: If $e_1, ..., e_k \in V$ are orthonormal, then $||c_1e_1 + ... + c_ke_k||^2 = |c_1|^2 + ... + |c_k|^2$.

Proof: We will induct upon k. The base case is obvious, since $||c_1e_1||^2 = |c_1|^2 ||e_1||^2 = |c_1|^2$. For the induction step, assume $||c_1e_1 + \cdots + |c_ke_k||^2 = |c_1|^2 + \cdots + |c_k|^2$. Since $c_1e_1 + \cdots + c_ke_k$ and $c_{k+1}e_{k+1}$ are orthogonal, $||c_1e_1 + \cdots + c_{k+1}e_{k+1}||^2 = ||c_1e_1 + \cdots + c_ke_k||^2 + ||c_{k+1}e_{k+1}||^2 = |c_1|^2 + \cdots + |c_k|^2 + |c_{k+1}|^2$.

Proposition 5.11: Orthonormal vectors are linearly independent.

Proof: Suppose $e_1, ..., e_k \in V$ are orthonormal and $c_1e_1 + ... + c_ke_k = 0$. Then $||c_1e_1 + ... + c_ke_k||^2 = |c_1|^2 + ... + |c_k|^2 = 0$, so $c_1 = ... = c_k = 0$.

Proposition 5.12: Let $\{e_1,...,e_n\}$ be an orthonormal basis for V and let $v \in V$. Then $v = \langle v,e_1\rangle e_1 + \cdots + \langle v,e_n\rangle e_n$.

Proof: If $v = c_1e_1 + \cdots + c_ne_n$, then $\langle v, e_i \rangle = \langle c_1e_1 + \cdots + c_ne_n, e_i \rangle = \langle c_ie_i, e_i \rangle = c_i$.

Theorem 5.13: (The Gram-Schmidt Process) Every finite-dimensional inner product space has an orthonormal basis.

Proof: Let $\{v_1, ..., v_n\}$ be a basis for V. Let $e'_1 = v_1$ and $e_1 = \frac{e'_1}{\|e'_1\|}$. Then for each $i \in \{2, ..., n\}$, let

$$e'_{i} = v_{i} - (\langle v_{1}, e_{1} \rangle e_{1} + \dots + \langle v_{i-1}, e_{i-1} \rangle e_{i-1})$$

and $e_i = \frac{e_i'}{\|e_i'\|}$. Then $\{e_1,...,e_n\}$ is an orthonormal basis for V.

Theorem 5.14: (Riesz Representation) Let $\varphi_u \in V'$ be defined by $\varphi_u v = \langle v, u \rangle$. Then for each $T \in V'$, there is a unique $u \in V$ such that $T = \varphi_u$.

Proof: Let $\{e_1, ..., e_n\}$ be an orthonormal basis for V and let $u = \overline{Te_1}e_1 + \cdots + \overline{Te_n}e_n$. Then if $v = c_1e_1 + \cdots + c_ne_n$,

$$\varphi_{u}v = \langle v, u \rangle$$

$$= \langle c_{1}e_{1} + \dots + c_{n}e_{n}, \overline{Te_{1}}e_{1} + \dots + \overline{Te_{n}}e_{n} \rangle$$

$$= c_{1}Te_{1} + \dots + c_{n}Te_{n}$$

$$= T(c_{1}e_{1} + \dots + c_{n}e_{n})$$

$$= Tv.$$

Definition 5.15: Let $U \subseteq V$. The **orthogonal complement** to U is the set $U^{\perp} = \{v \in V \mid \langle u, v \rangle = 0 \text{ for all } u \in U\}.$

Proposition 5.16: If U is a subspace of V, then so is U^{\perp} .

Theorem 5.17: If U is a finite-dimensional subspace of V, then $V = U \oplus U^{\perp}$.

Proof: Let $\{e_1, ..., e_n\}$ be an orthonormal basis for U, let $v \in V$, and let $u = c_1 e_1 + \cdots + c_n e_n \in U$. Then v = u + (v - u). If $v - u \in U^{\perp}$, this will be an expression of v in $U + U^{\perp}$. For v - u to be in U^{\perp} , $\langle v - u, e_i \rangle = 0$ for all i, so $c_i = \langle u, e_i \rangle = \langle v, e_i \rangle$ for all i. Thus u is completely determined by v, so the expression of v as u + (v - u) is unique. Thus $V = U \oplus U^{\perp}$.

Corollary 5.17.1: If U is a finite-dimensional subspace of V, then $\dim V = \dim U + \dim U^{\perp}$.

Proposition 5.18: Let $U \subseteq V$. Then $(U^{\perp})^{\perp} = U$.

Proof: Let $u \in U$ and $v \in U^{\perp}$. Then $\langle u, v \rangle = 0$ by definition, so $u \in (U^{\perp})^{\perp}$. Thus $U \subseteq (U^{\perp})^{\perp}$. Also, $\dim U + \dim U^{\perp} = \dim V = \dim U^{\perp} + \dim (U^{\perp})^{\perp}$, so $\dim U = \dim (U^{\perp})^{\perp}$. Thus $U = (U^{\perp})^{\perp}$.

Definition 5.19: The **projection** of V onto a subspace U is the linear map $P_U \in \mathcal{L}(V, U)$ given by $P_U v = u$, where $v = u + u' \in U \oplus U^{\perp}$.

Proposition 5.20: Let U be a subspace of a vector space V with $\dim U = k$ and $\dim V = n$, and let $\{u_1, ..., u_k, u'_{k+1}, ..., u'_n\}$ be a basis for V composed of bases for U and U^{\perp} . Then

$$M(P_U) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Theorem 5.21: Let V be a vector space and U a subspace. Then for all $v \in V$ and $u \in U$, $||v - P_U v|| \le ||v - u||$; that is, the closest vector to v in U is $P_U v$.

Proof: Since $v - P_U v \notin U$, $v - P_U v \in U^{\perp}$, so $v - P_U v$ and $P_U v - u$ are orthogonal. Then $||v - u||^2 = ||v - P_U v + P_U v - u||^2 = ||v - P_U v||^2 + ||P_U v - u||^2 \ge ||v - P_U v||^2$.

VI — Linear Maps and Inner Products

Definition 6.1: Let $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$. The **adjoint** of T is the linear map $T^* \in \mathcal{L}(W, V)$ such that $\langle Tv, w \rangle_W = \langle v, T^*w \rangle_V$ for all $v \in V$ and $w \in W$.

Proposition 6.2: Let $T \in \mathcal{L}(U, V)$, $S \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$, and $c \in k$. Then

- 1. $(cT + S)^* = \overline{c}T^* + S^*$.
- 2. $(T^*)^* = T$.
- 3. $I^* = I$.
- 4. $(ST)^* = T^*S^*$.

Theorem 6.3: Let $\{e_1, ..., e_n\}$ and $\{f_1, ..., f_m\}$ be orthonormal bases for V and W and let $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$. Then $M(T^*) = \overline{M(T)}^T$.

Proof: The *j*th column of $M(T^*)$ is T^*f_j expressed in the basis $\{e_1, ..., e_n\}$. Since this is orthonormal, $T^*f_j = \langle T^*f_j, e_1 \rangle e_1 + \cdots + \langle T^*f_j, e_n \rangle e_n$, so $M(T^*)_{ij} = \langle T^*f_j, e_i \rangle$. But $M(T)_{ji} = \langle Te_i, f_j \rangle = \langle e_i, T^*f_j \rangle = \overline{\langle T^*f_j, e_i \rangle} = \overline{M(T^*)_{ij}}$, so $M(T^*) = \overline{M(T)}^{\mathrm{T}}$.

Definition 6.4: A linear map $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ is **self-adjoint** if $T^* = T$.

Proposition 6.5: Let $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ be self-adjoint. Then if $\langle Tv, v \rangle = 0$ for all $v \in V$, T = 0.

Definition 6.6: A linear map $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ is **normal** if $T^*T = TT^*$.

Proposition 6.7: A linear map $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ is **normal** if and only for all $v \in V$, $||Tv|| = ||T^*v||$.

Proof: (\Rightarrow) If T is normal, then $\langle Tv, Tv \rangle = \langle v, T^*Tv \rangle = \langle v, TT^*v \rangle = \langle T^*v, T^*v \rangle$.

(\Leftarrow) Suppose $\langle Tv, Tv \rangle = \langle T^*v, T^*v \rangle$ for all $v \in V$. Then $\langle TT^*v - T^*Tv, v \rangle = 0$ for all $v \in V$, so $TT^* - T^*T = 0$.

Proposition 6.8: If T is normal and $Tv = \lambda v$ for some $v \neq 0$, then $T^*v = \overline{\lambda}v$.

Proof: $(T - \lambda I)^*(T - \lambda I)$ is normal, since $(T - \lambda I)^*(T - \lambda I) = T^*T - \overline{\lambda}IT - \lambda IT + \lambda \overline{\lambda}I = (T - \lambda I)(T - \lambda I)^*$. Then $0 = ||(T - \lambda I)v|| = ||(T - \lambda I)^*v|| = ||(T^* - \overline{\lambda})v||$, so $T^*v = \overline{\lambda}v$.

Proposition 6.9: Let $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ be normal. If v and w are eigenvectors of T with distinct eigenvalues λ_1 and λ_2 , then v and w are orthogonal.

Proof: Since $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2$, $\lambda_1 - \lambda_2 \neq 0$. Then $(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)\langle v, w \rangle = \langle \lambda_1 v - \lambda_2 v, w \rangle = \langle Tv, w \rangle - \langle v, \overline{\lambda_2} w \rangle = \langle Tv, w \rangle - \langle v, T^*w \rangle = \langle Tv, w \rangle - \langle Tv, w \rangle = 0$, so $\langle v, w \rangle = 0$.

Theorem 6.10: (Complex Spectral) Let V be a finite-dimensional vector space over \mathbb{C} and let $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$. Then T is normal if and only if there is an orthonormal basis of eigenvectors of T for V.

Proof: (\Rightarrow) We will induct on $n = \dim V$. The base case is trivial, since if $\dim V = 1$ and $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$, then any nonzero unit vector in V constitutes an orthonormal basis of eigenvectors of T.

Suppose the theorem holds for n-1-dimensional vector spaces and let $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ be normal with $\dim V = n$. Let $\{e_1, ..., e_n\}$ be an orthonormal basis for V such that M(T) is upper triangular (this is possible, since the Gram-Schmidt process preserves upper triangularity). Then we have

$$M(T) = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & *_{1,2} & \cdots & *_{1,n} \\ 0 & \lambda_2 & \cdots & *_{2,n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & \lambda_n \end{bmatrix},$$

where $*_i$ is the vector of the first i-1 entries in column i of M(T). Consider the first column of M(T) and $M(T^*) = \overline{M(T)}^T$. We have $Te_1 = \lambda_1 e_1$ and $T^*e_1 = \overline{\lambda_1} e_1 + \overline{*_{1,2}} e_2 + \cdots + \overline{*_{1,n}} e_n$, but T is normal, so $||Te_1|| = ||T^*e_1||$. Thus $|\lambda_1|^2 = |\overline{\lambda_1}|^2 + |*_{1,2}|^2 + \cdots + |*_{1,n}|^2$, so $|*_{1,2}|^2 + \cdots + |*_{1,n}|^2 = 0$, and therefore $*_{1,2} = \cdots + *_{1,n} = 0$. Thus the first row and column of M(T) are zero, except for λ_1 , and similarly for $M(T^*)$. By restricting T to span $\{e_2, ..., e_n\}$, which has dimension n-1, we are done by induction.

 (\Leftarrow) If $\{e_1,...,e_n\}$ is an orthonormal basis of eigenvectors of T, then

$$M(T)M(T^*) = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & \lambda_n \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \overline{\lambda_1} & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & \overline{\lambda_n} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \overline{\lambda_1} & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & \overline{\lambda_n} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & \lambda_n \end{bmatrix} = M(T^*)M(T),$$

so T is normal.

Theorem 6.11: (Real Spectral) Let V be a finite-dimensional vector space over \mathbb{R} and let $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$. Then T is self-adjoint if and only if there is an orthonormal basis of eigenvectors of T with real eigenvalues.

Proof: By the Complex Spectral Theorem, there is an orthonormal basis for V of eigenvectors of T. Since T is self-adjoint, $M(T) = M(T^*) = \overline{M(T)}^T = \overline{M(T)}$. Thus each $\lambda_i = \overline{\lambda_i}$, so all of T's eigenvalues are real.

Definition 6.12: A linear map $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ is **positive** if T is self-adjoint and $\langle Tv, v \rangle \geq 0$ for all $v \in V$.

Proposition 6.13: Let $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ be self-adjoint. Then T is positive if and only if every eigenvalue of T is nonnegative.

Proof: Let $\{e_1,...,e_n\}$ be an orthonormal basis for V of eigenvectors of T with eigenvectors $\lambda_1,...,\lambda_n$. Then T is positive if and only if $\langle T(c_1e_1+\cdots+c_ne_n),c_1e_1+\cdots+c_ne_n\rangle \geq 0$ for all $c_1,...,c_n\in k$, if and only if $\langle c_1\lambda_1e_1+\cdots+c_n\lambda_ne_n,c_1e_1+\cdots+c_ne_n\rangle \geq 0$ for all $c_1,...,c_n\in k$, if and only if $|c_1|^2\lambda_1+\cdots|c_n|^2\lambda_n\geq 0$ for all $c_1,...,c_n\in k$, if and only if each $\lambda_i\geq 0$ (for each i, choose $c_i=1$ and $c_j=0$ for $j\neq i$).

Definition 6.14: Let $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$. A square root of T is a linear map $R \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ such that $R^2 = T$.

Theorem 6.15: Let $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ be positive. Then there is a unique positive square root of T.

Proof: We will only show existence — the proof of uniqueness is difficult, tedious, and unenlightening. Let $\{e_1, ..., e_n\}$ be an orthonormal basis for V of eigenvectors of T with eigenvectors $\lambda_1, ..., \lambda_n$. Then each $\lambda_i \geq 0$, so the map $R \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ defined by $Re_i = \sqrt{\lambda_i}e_i$ is positive, and clearly $R^2 = T$. Thus T has a positive square root.

Definition 6.16: Let $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ be positive. The unique positive square root of T is denoted \sqrt{T} .

Definition 6.17: A linear map $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ is an **isometry** if ||Tv|| = ||v|| for all $v \in V$.

Proposition 6.18: A linear map $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ is an isometry if and only if $T^*T = I$.

Proof: T is an isometry if and only if $\langle Tv, Tv \rangle = \langle v, v \rangle$ for all $v \in V$, if and only if $\langle T^*Tv, v \rangle - \langle Iv, v \rangle = 0$ for all $v \in V$, if and only if $T^*T - I = 0$, since $T^*T - I$ is self-adjoint.

Theorem 6.19: A linear map $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ is an isometry if and only if there is an orthonormal basis of eigenvectors of T with eigenvalues $\lambda_1, ..., \lambda_n$ such that $|\lambda_i| = 1$.

Proof: (\Rightarrow) If T is an isometry, then it is normal, so there is an orthonormal basis of eigenvectors $\{e_1,...,e_n\}$ with eigenvalues $\lambda_1,...,\lambda_n$ by the Complex Spectral Theorem. Then $|\lambda_i| = ||\lambda_i e_i|| = ||Te_i|| = ||e_i|| = 1$.

 (\Leftarrow) Let $v = c_1 e_1 + \dots + c_n e_n \in V$. Then $||Tv|| = ||c_1 \lambda_1 e_1 + \dots + c_n \lambda_n e_n|| = ||c_1 e_1 + \dots + c_n e_n|| = ||v||$, so T is an isometry.

Theorem 6.20: (Polar Decomposition) Let $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$. Then there is an isometry $S \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ such that $T = S\sqrt{T^*T}$.

Proof: Let $\{e_1,...,e_n\}$ be an orthonormal basis of eigenvectors of T*T with eigenvalues $\lambda_1,...,\lambda_n$ and suppose without loss of generality that $\lambda_1 = \cdots = \lambda_k = 0$. Let $\{f_1,...,f_k\}$ be an orthonormal basis for (range T)^{\perp} (the dimension is k since dim range T = dim null T^*). Then define S by

$$Se_i = \begin{cases} f_i, & i \le k \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_i}} Te_i, & i > k \end{cases}.$$

It follows that S is an isometry and $T = S\sqrt{T^*T}$.

Definition 6.21: The singular values of a linear map $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$ are $\sigma_1, ..., \sigma_k$, where $\sigma_i = \sqrt{\lambda_i}$ and $\lambda_1, ..., \lambda_k$ are the nonzero eigenvalues of T^*T .

Theorem 6.22: (Singular Value Decomposition) Let V and W be vector spaces with $\dim V = n$ and $\dim W = m$. Let $\{e_1, ..., e_n\}$ be an orthonormal basis of eigenvectors of T^*T with eigenvalues $\lambda_1 \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_k > 0 = \lambda_{k+1} = \cdots = \lambda_n$. Then there is an orthonormal basis $\{f_1, ..., f_m\}$ for W such that

$$Tv = \sigma_1 \langle v, e_1 \rangle f_1 + \dots + \sigma_k \langle v, e_k \rangle f_k$$

or equivalently,

$$M(T) = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_2 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & \sigma_k & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Proof: Let $f_i = \frac{1}{\sigma_i} Te_i$ for all $i \leq k$ and extend and orthonormalize to form a basis for W.

Theorem 6.23: Let $A \in M_{m \times n}(k)$. Then there are isometries $U \in M_m(k)$ and $V \in M_n(k)$ such that $A = U \Sigma V^*$, where $\Sigma \in M_{m,n}$ contains the singular values of A.

Proof:

$$\operatorname{Let} \ U = \begin{bmatrix} \mid & & \mid \\ f_1 & \cdots & f_m \\ \mid & \mid \end{bmatrix}, \ \Sigma = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_1 & \cdots & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & \sigma_k & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \ \operatorname{and} \ V = \begin{bmatrix} \mid & & \mid \\ e_1 & \cdots & e_n \\ \mid & & \mid \end{bmatrix}.$$

Definition 6.24:

$$\operatorname{Let} \Sigma = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_1 & \cdots & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & \sigma_k & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{bmatrix}. \text{ The pseudoinverse to } \Sigma \text{ is } \Sigma^+ = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_1^{-1} & \cdots & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & \sigma_k^{-1} & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{bmatrix}^T.$$

Definition 6.25: Let $A = U\Sigma V^*$. The **pseudoinverse** to A is $A^+ = V\Sigma^+U^*$.

Proposition 6.26: Let $A \in M_n(k)$ be invertible. Then $A^+ = A^{-1}$.

Proof: Since A is invertible, no entry along the diagonal of Σ is zero, so $\Sigma^+ = \Sigma^{-1}$. Since U and V are isometries, $V^*V = UU^* = I$, so $AA^+ = U\Sigma V^*V\Sigma^+U^* = U\Sigma \Sigma^+U^* = UU^* = I$. Thus $A^+ = A^{-1}$.

Theorem 6.27: Let $A \in M_{m,n}(k)$. Then the map given by AA^+ is the projection onto range A, so the vector \mathbf{x} closest to a solution to $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$ is $\mathbf{x} = A^+\mathbf{b}$.

Proof: Let $\{e_1, ..., e_n\}$ be an orthonormal basis of eigenvectors of A^*A , let $\sigma_1, ..., \sigma_k$ be the singular values of A, and let $\{f_1, ..., f_m\}$ be the orthonormal basis given by the Singular Value Decomposition of A. Then

$$\begin{split} AA^+v &= A\big(\frac{1}{\sigma_1}\langle v, f_1\rangle e_1 + \dots + \frac{1}{\sigma_k}\langle v, f_k\rangle e_k\big) \\ &= \sigma_1 \langle \frac{1}{\sigma_1}\langle v, f_1\rangle e_1, e_1\rangle f_1 + \dots + \sigma_k \langle \frac{1}{\sigma_k}\langle v, f_k\rangle e_k, e_k\rangle f_k \\ &= \langle v, f_1\rangle f_1 + \dots + \langle v, f_k\rangle f_k, \end{split}$$

so if $v=c_1f_1+\cdots+c_mf_m$, then $AA^+v=c_1f_1+\cdots+c_kf_k$. Since range $A=\operatorname{span}\{f_1,...,f_k\},\ AA^+=P_{\operatorname{range}\,A}$.

Definition 6.28:

$$\text{Let } \Sigma = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_1 & \cdots & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & \sigma_k & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{bmatrix}. \text{ The } \mathbf{rank} \ \boldsymbol{r} \ \mathbf{approximation} \ \mathbf{to} \ \boldsymbol{\Sigma} \ \mathbf{is} \ \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_r = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_1 & \cdots & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & \sigma_r & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Definition 6.29: Let $A = U\Sigma V^*$. The rank r approximation to A is $A_r = U\Sigma_r V^*$.

Theorem 6.30: Let $A \in M_{m,n}(k)$. Then A_r is the rank r matrix closest to A — that is, it minimizes ||A - X||, where $\langle A, X \rangle = \text{trace } (X^*A)$.

VII — Determinants

Definition 7.1: The symmetric group S_n is the group $\{\sigma : \{1,...,n\} \hookrightarrow \{1,...,n\}\}$, with composition given by composition of functions. The elements of S_n are called **permutations** and are written as $\sigma = \sigma_1 \cdots \sigma_n$, where $\sigma(i) = \sigma_i$.

Definition 7.2: Let σ be a permutation. The **inversion** of σ , denoted inv σ , is the number of pairs (i, j) with i < j and $\sigma_i > \sigma_j$.

Definition 7.3: The **sign** of a permutation σ is sign $\sigma = (-1)^{\text{inv } \sigma}$.

Proposition 7.4: Let σ be a permutation and $\hat{\sigma}$ be a permutation identical to σ , except with σ_i and σ_j interchanged. Then sign $\hat{\sigma} = -\text{sign } \sigma$.

Proof: Suppose $\sigma = -i - j$ —. Then $\hat{\sigma} = -j - i$ —. Since any inversion that does not involve either i or j is unchanged from σ to $\hat{\sigma}$, we need only consider those do. Any inversion of the form (x,i) or (j,x) is unchanged, since if x < i, then x < j, and if x > j, then x > i. Thus we only need to consider the x that lie between i and j. Each one causes two inversions in $\hat{\sigma}$ — (j,x) and (x,i) — and therefore does not affect sign $\hat{\sigma}$. But we have not accounted for the inversion (j,i). Thus sign $\hat{\sigma} = -\text{sign }\sigma$.

Definition 7.5: Let $A = [a_{ij}] \in M_{m,n}(k)$. The **determinant** of A is given by

$$\det A = \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} (\operatorname{sign} \sigma)(a_{\sigma_1,1}) \cdots (a_{\sigma_n,n}).$$

Theorem 7.6: The set

 $\mathcal{A} = \{ f : (\mathbb{R}^n)^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \mid f(a_1, ..., a_i, ..., a_j, ..., a_n) = -f(a_1, ..., a_j, ..., a_i, ..., a_n), f \text{ is coordinate-wise linear} \}$ has dimension 1 (and therefore, one basis is $\{\det\}$).

Lemma 7.6.1: Let $\{v_1,...,v_n\}$ be a basis for \mathbb{R}^n and let $f \in \mathcal{A}$. Then if $f(v_1,...,v_n) = 0$, $f(w_1,...,w_n) = 0$ for all $w_1,...,w_n \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Proof: Expand each w_i as $w_i = c_{i1}v_1 + \cdots + c_{in}v_n$. Since f is linear in each coordinate, we have

$$f(w_1,...,w_n) = f(c_{11}v_1 + \cdots + c_{1n}v_n,...,c_{n1}v_1 + \cdots + c_{nn}v_n) = \sum c_i f(v_{i_1},...,v_{i_n}).$$

Now any term of this sum with some $v_{i_j} = v_{i_k}$ will have $f(v_{i_1}, ..., v_{i_n}) = -f(v_{i_1}, ..., v_{i_n}) = 0$ by the previous result, and for the rest, we can rearrange the terms to get $f(v_{i_1}, ..., v_{i_n}) = \pm (v_1, ..., v_n) = 0$. Thus $f(w_1, ..., w_n) = 0$.

Proof: Let $f, g \in \mathcal{A}$ with $g \neq 0$, let $\{v_1, ..., v_n\}$ be a basis for \mathbb{R}^n , and let $c = \frac{f(v_1, ..., v_n)}{g(v_1, ..., v_n)}$ ($g(v_1, ..., v_n) \neq 0$, since otherwise g = 0 by the lemma). Then $(f - cg)(v_1, ..., v_n = 0)$, so by the lemma, f - cg = 0. Thus f = cg, so every function in \mathcal{A} is a multiple of another.

Theorem 7.7: Let $A, B \in M_n(k)$. Then det $AB = (\det A)(\det B)$.

Proof: Define $f \in \mathcal{A}$ by $f(C) = \det AC$. By the previous result, there is a c such that $f = c \cdot \det A$. Since $f(I) = \det A$ and $f(I) = c \cdot \det I = c$, $c = \det A$. Then $f(B) = \det AB = c \cdot \det B = (\det A)(\det B)$.

Theorem 7.8: Let $A \in M_n(k)$ with eigenvalues $\lambda_1, ..., \lambda_n$. Then det $A = \lambda_1 \cdots \lambda_n$.

Proof: Let $\{v_1,...,v_n\}$ be a basis for \mathbb{R}^n such that A is upper triangular. Then $A = SUS^{-1}$, where

$$S = \begin{bmatrix} | & & | \\ v_1 & \cdots & v_n \\ | & & | \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } U = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & \cdots & * \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & \lambda_n \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then $\det A = \det SUS^{-1} = (\det S)(\det U)(\det S^{-1}) = \det U = \lambda_1 \cdots \lambda_n$.

Source