

report sylvester equation

sicheng4

February 2024

Main

This section contains main theorems and proofs, as well as some errors in original paper.

Proposition 2.1

Let $\mathbf{S}_U \mathbf{U}_{d+1} = \mathbf{Q}_U \mathbf{U}_{d+1} \mathbf{T}_U$ be a reduced QR decomposition with

$$\mathbf{Q}_U \mathbf{U}_{d+1} = [\mathbf{Q}_U, \mathbf{Q}_{U,d+1}] \text{ and } \mathbf{T}_U \mathbf{U}_{d+1} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{T}_{U,d} & \mathbf{T}_{H,d+1} \\ \mathbf{0}^\top & \boldsymbol{\tau}_{d+1} \end{bmatrix}$$

Then, for the sketched method, the following Arnoldi-like formula holds:

$$\mathbf{S}_U \mathbf{A} \mathbf{U}_d = \mathbf{S}_U \mathbf{U}_d (\mathbf{H}_d + R_H E_d^\top) + \mathbf{Q}_U \mathbf{U}_{d+1} \boldsymbol{\tau}_{d+1} \mathbf{h}_{d+1,d} E_d^\top$$

with $R_H = \mathbf{T}_{U,d}^{-1} \mathbf{T}_H \mathbf{h}_{d+1,d}$ and $\mathbf{Q}_U \mathbf{U}_{d+1} \perp \mathbf{S}_U \mathbf{U}_d$. Similarly, if

$$\mathbf{S}_V \mathbf{V}_{d+1} = \mathbf{Q}_V \mathbf{V}_{d+1} \mathbf{T}_V, \quad \mathbf{T}_V \mathbf{V}_{d+1} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{T}_{V,d} & \mathbf{T}_{G,d+1} \\ \mathbf{0}^\top & \boldsymbol{\theta}_{d+1} \end{bmatrix}$$

then

$$\mathbf{S}_V \mathbf{B}^\top \mathbf{V}_d = \mathbf{S}_V \mathbf{V}_d (\mathbf{G}_d + R_G E_d^\top) + \mathbf{Q}_V \mathbf{V}_{d+1} \boldsymbol{\theta}_{d+1} \mathbf{g}_{d+1,d} E_d^\top,$$

where $R_G := \mathbf{T}_{V,d}^{-1} \mathbf{T}_G \mathbf{g}_{d+1,d}$.

Proof

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{S}_U \mathbf{A} \mathbf{U}_d &= \mathbf{S}_U \mathbf{U}_{d+1} \underline{\mathbf{H}}_d = \mathbf{S}_U \mathbf{U}_{d+1} \mathbf{H}_d = \mathbf{S}_U \mathbf{U}_{d+1} [\mathbf{H}_d; \mathbf{H}^\top] \\ &= \mathbf{Q}_U \mathbf{U}_{d+1} \mathbf{T}_U \mathbf{U}_{d+1} [\mathbf{H}_d; \mathbf{H}^\top] \\ &= [\mathbf{Q}_U, \mathbf{Q}_{U,d+1}] \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{T}_{U,d} & \mathbf{T}_{H,d+1} \\ \mathbf{0}^\top & \boldsymbol{\tau}_{d+1} \end{bmatrix} [\mathbf{H}_d; \mathbf{H}^\top] \\ &= [\mathbf{Q}_U, \mathbf{Q}_{U,d} \mathbf{T}_U, \mathbf{Q}_{U,d} \mathbf{T}_{H,d+1} + \mathbf{Q}_U \mathbf{U}_{d+1} \boldsymbol{\tau}_{d+1}] [\mathbf{H}_d; \mathbf{H}^\top] \\ &= \mathbf{Q}_U \mathbf{U}_{d+1} \mathbf{T}_U \mathbf{H}_d + \mathbf{Q}_U \mathbf{U}_{d+1} \mathbf{T}_{H,d+1} \mathbf{H}^\top + \mathbf{Q}_U \mathbf{U}_{d+1} \boldsymbol{\tau}_{d+1} \mathbf{H}^\top \\ &= \mathbf{Q}_U \mathbf{U}_{d+1} \mathbf{T}_U (\mathbf{H}_d + \mathbf{T}_{U,d}^{-1} \mathbf{T}_{H,d+1} \mathbf{H}^\top) + \mathbf{Q}_U \mathbf{U}_{d+1} \boldsymbol{\tau}_{d+1} \mathbf{h}_{d+1,d} E_d^\top \\ &= \mathbf{S}_U \mathbf{U}_d (\mathbf{H}_d + \mathbf{T}_{U,d}^{-1} \mathbf{T}_H \mathbf{h}_{d+1,d} E_d^\top) + \mathbf{Q}_U \mathbf{U}_{d+1} \boldsymbol{\tau}_{d+1} \mathbf{h}_{d+1,d} E_d^\top \\ &= \mathbf{S}_U \mathbf{U}_d (\mathbf{H}_d + R_H E_d^\top) + \mathbf{Q}_U \mathbf{U}_{d+1} \boldsymbol{\tau}_{d+1} \mathbf{h}_{d+1,d} E_d^\top \end{aligned}$$

where letting $R_H = \mathbf{T}_{U,d}^{-1} T_H \mathbf{h}_{d+1,d}$ and $Q_{U,d+1} \perp \mathbf{S}_U \mathbf{U}_d$ The same process holds for \mathbf{S}_V

The second part is the verification of whitened-sketched Arnoldi relations. The bases are changed to

$$\widehat{\mathbf{U}}_d := \mathbf{U}_d \mathbf{T}_{U,d}^{-1}, \quad \widehat{\mathbf{V}}_d := \mathbf{V}_d \mathbf{T}_{V,d}^{-1}$$

. Again, we will only show the $\widehat{\mathbf{U}}_d$, since the other one could be derived by same process. By last proposition, we have

$$\mathbf{S}_U \mathbf{A} \mathbf{U}_d = \mathbf{S}_U \mathbf{U}_d \left(\mathbf{H}_d + \mathbf{T}_{U,d}^{-1} T_H \mathbf{h}_{d+1,d} E_d^\top \right) + Q_{U,d+1} \boldsymbol{\tau}_{d+1} \mathbf{h}_{d+1,d} E_d^\top$$

Times $\mathbf{T}_{U,d}^{-1}$ on both side, and just do simple calculations. Note that,

$$\mathbf{T}_{U,d}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{T}_{U,d-1}^{-1} & -\mathbf{T}_{U,d-1}^{-1} T_{H,d-1} \boldsymbol{\tau}_d^{-1} \\ & -\boldsymbol{\tau}_d^{-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

This gives us:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{S}_U \mathbf{A} \mathbf{U}_d \mathbf{T}_{U,d}^{-1} &= \mathbf{S}_U \mathbf{U}_d \left(\mathbf{H}_d + \mathbf{T}_{U,d}^{-1} T_H \mathbf{h}_{d+1,d} E_d^\top \right) \mathbf{T}_{U,d}^{-1} + Q_{U,d+1} \boldsymbol{\tau}_{d+1} \mathbf{h}_{d+1,d} E_d^\top \mathbf{T}_{U,d}^{-1} \\ &= \mathbf{S}_U \mathbf{U}_d \mathbf{T}_{U,d}^{-1} \mathbf{T}_{U,d} \left(\mathbf{H}_d + \mathbf{T}_{U,d}^{-1} T_H \mathbf{h}_{d+1,d} E_d^\top \right) \mathbf{T}_{U,d}^{-1} + Q_{U,d+1} \boldsymbol{\tau}_{d+1} \mathbf{h}_{d+1,d} E_d^\top \mathbf{T}_{U,d}^{-1} \\ &= \mathbf{S}_U \widehat{\mathbf{U}}_d \left(\widehat{\mathbf{H}}_d + \widehat{\mathbf{H}} E_d^\top \right) + Q_{U,d+1} \boldsymbol{\tau}_{d+1} \mathbf{h}_{d+1,d} E_d^\top \mathbf{T}_{U,d}^{-1} \\ &= \mathbf{S}_U \widehat{\mathbf{U}}_d \left(\widehat{\mathbf{H}}_d + \widehat{\mathbf{H}} E_d^\top \right) + \textcolor{blue}{Q_{U,d+1} \boldsymbol{\tau}_{d+1} \mathbf{h}_{d+1,d} \boldsymbol{\tau}_d^{-1} E_d^\top} \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\widehat{\mathbf{H}}_d = \mathbf{T}_{U,d} \mathbf{H}_d \mathbf{T}_{U,d}^{-1}, \widehat{\mathbf{H}} = T_{H,d+1} \mathbf{h}_{d+1,d} \boldsymbol{\tau}_d^{-1}$$

Question1 ??? Note here, the last term is different with the item in paper. I could not figure out why the last term should be $Q_{U,d+1} \mathbf{h}_{d+1,d} E_d^\top$

Typos on AL1

1. Updae $\widehat{\mathbf{H}}_{d+1}$ At d-th iteration, we could get $\widehat{\mathbf{H}}_{d+1}$ which would be used in next iteration as $\widehat{\mathbf{H}}_d$. Note, $\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{U},d+1}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{U},d}^{-1} & -\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{U},d}^{-1}T_{H,d+1}\boldsymbol{\tau}_{d+1}^{-1} \\ & -\boldsymbol{\tau}_{d+1}^{-1} \end{bmatrix}$

By $\widehat{\mathbf{H}}_{d+1} = \mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{U},d+1}\mathbf{H}_{d+1}\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{U},d+1}^{-1}$, we could imply

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\mathbf{H}}_{d+1} &= \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{U},d} & T_{H,d+1} \\ & \boldsymbol{\tau}_{d+1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{H}_{d+1} & H \\ 0 \dots 0, \mathbf{h}_{d+1,d} & \mathbf{h}_{d+1,d+1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{U},d}^{-1} & -\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{U},d}^{-1}T_{H,d+1}\boldsymbol{\tau}_{d+1}^{-1} \\ & -\boldsymbol{\tau}_{d+1}^{-1} \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{U},d}\mathbf{H}_{d+1} + T_{H,d+1}\mathbf{h}_{d+1,d}\mathbf{E}_d^\top & \mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{U},d}H + T_{H,d+1}\mathbf{h}_{d+1,d+1} \\ \boldsymbol{\tau}_{d+1}\mathbf{h}_{d+1,d}\mathbf{E}_d^\top & \boldsymbol{\tau}_{d+1}\mathbf{h}_{d+1,d+1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{U},d}^{-1} & -\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{U},d}^{-1}T_{H,d+1}\boldsymbol{\tau}_{d+1}^{-1} \\ & -\boldsymbol{\tau}_{d+1}^{-1} \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} \widehat{\mathbf{H}}_d - T_H\mathbf{h}_{d+1,d}\boldsymbol{\tau}_d^{-1}\mathbf{E}_d^\top & -\widehat{\mathbf{H}}_{\text{new}} \\ -\boldsymbol{\tau}_{d+1}\mathbf{h}_{d+1,d}\boldsymbol{\tau}_d^{-1}\mathbf{E}_d^\top & -\boldsymbol{\tau}_{d+1}(\mathbf{h}_{d+1,d}\boldsymbol{\tau}_d^{-1}\mathbf{E}_d^\top T_H + \mathbf{h}_{d+1,d+1})\boldsymbol{\tau}_{d+1}^{-1} \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

where $\widehat{\mathbf{H}}_{\text{new}} = (\widehat{\mathbf{H}}_d - T_H\mathbf{h}_{d+1,d}\boldsymbol{\tau}_d^{-1}\mathbf{E}_d^\top)T_{H,d+1}\boldsymbol{\tau}_{d+1}^{-1} + \mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{U},d}H\boldsymbol{\tau}_{d+1}^{-1} + T_{H,d+1}\mathbf{h}_{d+1,d+1}\boldsymbol{\tau}_{d+1}^{-1}$ and $H = [\mathbf{h}_{1,d+1}^\top, \dots, \mathbf{h}_{d,d+1}^\top]^\top \in R^{dr \times r}$. A corresponding update for $\widehat{\mathbf{G}}_{d+1}$ is performed.

2. $\mathbf{E}_d = [\text{zeros}((d-1)*r, r); \text{eye}(r)]$ and $\mathbf{E}_1 = [\text{eye}(r); \text{zeros}((d-1)*r, r)]$

I could run the code, but the solution is too "bad", the residue satisfies tolerance while the solution NOT