RL Lecture-5 Model free control on-policy - learning on the job, observing behaviour off-policy - learning tollowing someone elie's behaviour. - optimize the value functe of an unknown MBP. most common problem are either. i) model / MDP unknown, but experience can be sampled MDP known, but it is to expensive to compute even 1 step using the full model, except by sampling on-taling learning -> learning from job -> while following policy To learn that policy To Off-policy learning -> observe someone do comothing and sampling trajectories from human's behavior (eg.), learn eey behaviour (eg. robot) In DP, alternating bets policy evaluate & Hération converges to the optimal policy whe only used storative method, we'll try to change (2) 2 (2). Eg. Monte Carlo control:

L-way - do monte Carlo policy evaluats . 2 then do greedy policy improvement.

Problemse.

(1) computing V(s) requires a model, but we want model V(s) = max (Rs+ Pss V(s1))

But in model free, want to learn a policy without an model. The 9- function defined earlier - means that final score we would get at the end of a game (eg.) if we took action a, when in state s. > 9 (5, a) So, no model dependence now. Just a state and possible For each (f) actions that can be taken. -> greedy over 9 (s-a) partien value pair taken me exportence, & compute 9(1) - Proposal changes: Mc evaluate 9= 9m Greedy policy improvement? 2nd problem - choosing greedily or take some action which means we adon't evaluate them correctly & hence, not selecting them. eg. to illustrate that boint. -> suppose 2 - 2 & 2 & 2 Upon choosing both once, we found:  $V(s_1) = -1$ ,  $V(s_2) = 1$  we choose  $s_2$  greatily how say, V(sz) = +3 + mean = 2, again chooses and so on.. This grows that we haven't even considered state 5, only after I seeing and herra, and Know what value it might have as we keep choosing sz untinutely. & solution to ensure continual E- greedy exploration exploration - prob- E - choose random action - 1-E- choose the greedy oftion

Thus: (a/s) = { E/m + (1-E) - too greedy action
T( (a/s) = { E/m + (1-E) - too greedy action > keep exploring Egreedy > policy improvement (ensures) R/R' - both E-greedy (5, \(\ta'(s))) = \(\frac{1}{a}\) \(\ta'(s)\) \(\frac{1}{a}\) \(\frac{1}{a}\) = (1-E) max q\_(s, a) + E = 2 9(s, a) Now, max) greatsthan  $\geq (-\epsilon) \sum \pi(s/a) - (\epsilon/m) q_{\pi}(s/a) + \epsilon \sum q(s,a)$ any weighted  $\geq (-\epsilon) \sum \pi(s/a) - (\epsilon/m) q_{\pi}(s/a) + \epsilon \sum q(s,a)$ greatothan Sum Z T(Ya) 9, (6, a) = ~ (5) : Vn'(5) > Vx(5). (From earlier similar derivates) .. Finally. (MCPE) 1) Me policy evaluate 9=9 = , sattening of the & greedy policy 3 E- great policy improvement Idea - no need to completely with evaluate the policy, when we can get a better policy un only a few initial steps ( Similar to DP Locture) . One extreme - do update every episode, basically on the most fresh de virgormate/ estimate of the trable function. 1 MCPE → 9= 9x p which work visited in the 2) {-greedy -> For those states How to ensure we get TX? as when at 1th, we 7 won't have - trade of beta explorate & exploitation. exploration.

Idea for balancing the 2 ideas - GLIE. -s come up with schedule such that 2 conditions are met. 1) all state-action pours explored infinitely many K+D NK(>,a) >D @ converges to the optimal policy lim TK(Qs) = I(a = argmax Q(s,a))

K-20 One odea - schedule / decay & for & - greedy. → hyperbolic schedule → EK='VK. GUEMC control sample kt <u>episode</u> following of \rangle \sigma\_1, \rangle\_1, \r update mean gralue & N(st, At) N(t, At) = N(t, At) +1 9(St, At) = 9(St, At) + (CT- 9(ST, At)) this mean is not the actual statistical mean as we are accumulating values as we improve the policy! → Improve policy T> E- greedy (9) GLIE makes sure that their collected statistics converge to the actual mean over time. value

P(5,0) -> 9,\*(5,0) Iterate over the enture process. Iterate more efficiently that updating after a batu Initialization - In this case, for the 1st time we observe q state N(st,at) = 1, and  $Q(st,at) = G_{-1}$ , so init doesn't matter. But for weighing other than I NBE, ay Now, we'll show TD. TD V, MC € variance law - online - incomplete sequences. . Look at P(s, a) for model-free slot in TD learning for bolicy evaluation after 1 step., · E-greedy episode end lo, update bodiey after each step. called SARSA environment (5) 9 (5, A) ← P(5, A) + ~ (R+89(5', A') sample from bodicy - P(s, L)) Sarva update. In every time step, update value function. -> started with 5, took action A (from wright policy) and reached s: - update oneyo(s,A) ] - only for this

SARSA algotor on-policy ① Initiative p(s, a) + s, ta . 9 (forminal;) = 0. @ Repeat for each episode. Trittalize S Using policy dovived Choose A -> sampled from 9 Repeat (for each step) ? Take action A, got reward R, landed in 5'. choose A' from 5', using folicy derived from 9 9(s,A) = 9(s,A) + ex( P+8 9(s',A') - Q(s,A)) S = S', A'E A. Sarva - on-policy algorithm. policy just need a GLIE policy → eg. E= YK @ of step sizes follows. Extension become small)

2 x 2 x 2 x 2 (stepsizes become small)

t=1

changes to ? or clue

rouse In practise, sometimes don't depend on both 0 & 0. @ Again apply similar concept of TD(>) - n-step SARSA. to get the best of both worlds. M=1. . (SARSA) -(MC) n-step 9 return: (n) = Real + YReazti - Yn 9 (Stra)

n-step o return: 9(St,A) = P(St,A) + x (q(h) - P(St,At)) Dothis for all. The.  $sarsa(2) \rightarrow 96+, A+ = 9(s_{t}, A_{t})+ \propto (92-9(s_{t}, A_{t}))$  $d_{+} = (1 - 3) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (3_{n-1}) d_{n}$ Forward view. to make algo Backward view - using Eligibility Traces Fo(s, a) = 0 Et (sa) = > Y Et, (sa) + I (st=s, At=a) P(sa) - updated for every (s, a) pair St = Rtal + 8 g (Stal, Atal) - g(St, At) P(s,a) = P(s,a) + × E(s,a) St. Int P(s, a) = arbitrarily Repea - (episode) SARSA(A) E(sa) = 0 + s, a. Init S, A. I faster from of Repeal-(step): winto back through Take action A, observe R,SI Take A1 - from. Q.  $\mathcal{E}_{+} = \mathcal{R}_{+} + \mathcal{V} \left( \varphi(\varsigma', A) \right) - \varphi(\varsigma, A)$ E(s,A) = E(s,A) + 1J'aL45 Q(s,a) = Q(s,a) + & St Ex(s,a) E(s,a) = 2x E(s,a) SARSA(0) A = A', S = S'. needs many steps to until termination. flow the info. back.

| Off-policy learning   |
|---|
| - evaluate torget- policy -> to compare of 9th good   |
| behaviour poving - Mals)  |
| Why?  Learn from obsv. g other agents ( eg. human) shook  at trace g behowiour, not just supervised Learning)  The live experience from old policies The Trace.                 |
| at tracel a behaviour not just supervised Learning)   |
| of the transport of the bolicing The Tay The-   |
| > Re-use experience from old policies \$\overline{\chi_1}, \overline{\chi_2}, \overline{\chi_2}  generated. > using of-policy learning  + Learn about optimal policy  and that. |
| → learn about optimal policy and that.  |
| muni 4000/2000 Exposorphy by and  |
| of want believe to be dote ministic but also wante  |
|   |
| we can learn a deterministic optimal policy while   |
|   |
| -> Learn about multiple policies while following.   |
| Importance Sampling > estimate expectation of a cufference  |
| $E_{XQP}  f(X)  = 2  f(X)   f(X)  = 2  g(X)   f(X)  + 1$  |
| eg. removed $= E_{X \sim B} \left[ \frac{P(X)}{Q(X)} F(X) \right]$  |
|   |
| from M. to evaluate   |
| -> sample returns 1.01.  -) weigh Got as per similarity bets policies  - weigh Got as per similarity bets policies  |
| -) weigh off on produce sampling corrections  |
| -) weigh GT as portance sampling corrections  -> multiply importance sampling corrections  TH T/ST / THE  GT  WATST  MATST  |
| Gt MAHIN MAHINS   |

