

# Critical $(P_4 + P_1, K_3 + P_1, 2P_2)$ -free graphs

Ben Cameron

Department of Computing Science  
The King's University  
Edmonton, AB Canada  
ben.cameron@kingsu.ca

Thaler Knodel

Department of Computing Science  
The King's University  
Edmonton, AB Canada

July 10, 2023

## Abstract

## 1 Structure

Throughout this section, assume  $G$  is a  $k$ -vertex-critical non-complete  $(P_4 + P_1, K_3 + P_1, 2P_2)$ -free graph.

For any  $v \in V(G)$ ,  $G$  partitions into  $\{v\}$ ,  $N(v)$ , and  $\overline{N[v]}$ .

**Lemma 1.1.** *For every vertex  $v \in V(G)$ ,  $G[\overline{N[v]}]$  is  $(P_4, K_3)$ -free.*

*Proof.* If  $S \subseteq \overline{N[v]}$  induces  $P_4$  or  $K_3$ , then  $\{v\} \cup S$  induces a  $P_4 + P_1$  or  $K_3 + P_1$ , a contradiction.  $\square$

**Lemma 1.2.** *For every vertex  $v \in V(G)$ ,  $\overline{N[v]}$  induces  $K_{n,m}$  some  $n, m \geq 1$ .*

*Proof.* By Lemma 1.1,  $\overline{N[v]}$  is  $P_4$ -free and therefore either a join of disjoint union of graphs (since  $P_4$ -free graphs are co-graphs). Thus, every component is  $K_1$  or a join. Further, since  $\overline{N[v]}$  is  $K_3$ -free, each component must be a complete bipartite graph, since if it were the join of any graph with an edge, a triangle would be induced, contradicting Lemma 1.1. Now, if some component of  $\overline{N[v]}$  is  $K_1$ , then the neighbourhood of this component is contained in the neighbourhood of  $v$  which makes comparable vertices and therefore contradicts  $G$  being vertex-critical. Thus, every component of  $\overline{N[v]}$  contains at least one edge. If there are two components, then take any two vertices that are adjacent from two different components and these four vertices will induce a  $2P_2$ , contradicting  $G$  being  $2P_2$ -free. Thus,  $\overline{N[v]}$  induces  $K_{n,m}$  some  $n, m \geq 1$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 1.3.**  $N_1 - N'_1$  is complete to  $N_2$ .

*Proof.* If  $N_1 - N'_1$  is not complete to  $N_2$ , then  $n_1 \in N_1, n_2 \in N_2, \{n_1, u_2, n_2, u_1\}$  induces a  $2K_2$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 1.4.**  $N_2$  is an independent set.

*Proof.* By 1.2, the non-neighbours of  $N_1$  are a complete bipartite graph.  $\square$

Let  $S$  be the maximum independent set of  $G$ , and  $v \in S$ . Let  $S - v = \{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_l\}$ . Also, let  $V(G) - S - N(v) = \{Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_j\}$ . Suppose that  $l$  is greater than some arbitrary constant, and that  $j \geq 2$ . If  $Y_1$  is complete to  $N(v)$ , then  $Y_1, v$  are comparable, which contradicts the  $k$ -vertex criticality of the graph. So let  $N' \subseteq N(v)$  such that  $Y_1$  is anticomplete to  $N'$ .

**Lemma 1.5.**  $S - v$  is complete to  $N'$ .

*Proof.* If  $\exists v_i \in S - v$  such that  $u_i \not\sim n$  for some  $n \in N'$ , then  $\{u_i, Y_1, v, n\}$  induces a  $2K_2$ , which contradicts our graph characterization.  $\square$

**Lemma 1.6.**  $Y_i$  is anticomplete to  $N' \forall i \in \{1, \dots, j\}$ .

*Proof.* If  $\exists Y_i \in V(G) - S - N(v)$  such that  $Y_i \sim n$ , then  $\{u_1, Y_i, n\} \subseteq \overline{N(Y_1)}$  contradicting that the non-neighbours are a complete bipartite graph.  $\square$

So  $N(Y_i) \subseteq N(Y_1) \forall i \in \{2, \dots, j\}$ , so  $Y_i, Y_1$  are comparable vertices, making this not vertex critical and thus a contradiction. Further, since this applies for all  $i \geq 2$ , then the  $|Y| \leq 1$ . We also know (somehow) that this applies to the set of  $\{u_1, \dots, u_l\}$  so they are limited to  $\leq 1$