MATH 690: Lecture Scribing

Xiuyuan Cheng, Scribing: Weiyao Wang

Nov.02, 2017

1 Summary

In last lecture, we finished the proof for JL lemma. In today's lecture, we hope to discuss the concentration of function F(x) beyond the form of summation. We will also see two applications for concentration of measure: spectral edge, second largest eigenvalue $\lambda_2(G)$ where G is a random graph; randomized SVD (PCA).

2 McDiarmid Inequality

Theorem 1. Let $x_1, ..., x_n$ be random variable, independent, and $x_i \in \Omega_i \subset \mathbb{R}$. Give function $F: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ there exists $c_i > 0$, such that $\forall i, \forall x_i, x_i' \in \Omega_i$

$$|F(x_1,...,x_{i-1},x_i,x_{i+1},...,x_n) - F(x_1,...,x_{i-1},x_i',x_{i+1},...,x_n)| \le c_i$$

Then $\forall \lambda > 0$, $Pr[|F(x) - \mathbb{E}F(x)| \ge \lambda(\sum_{i=1}^n c_i^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}] \le C_1 e^{-C_2 \lambda^2}$, where C_1 and C_2 are constants.

An exercise here would be to see how McDiarmid \rightarrow Hoeffding.

Proof. We want to use a similar idea on exponential momentum as we proved lemmas previously. We will also use the idea of martingale difference here. We first consider $\mathbb{E} e^{t(F-\mathbb{E} F)}$.

We have $F(x) = \mathbb{E}[F|x_1,...,x_n]$, $\mathbb{E} F = \mathbb{E}[F|\emptyset] := \mathbb{E}_0 F$, which gives us the following equation of telescoping:

$$F(x) - \mathbb{E} F = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}[F|x_1, ..., x_k] - \mathbb{E}[F|x_1, ..., x_{k-1}]$$
$$= \sum_{k=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}_k F - \mathbb{E}_{k-1} F$$

Thus, plugging into $\mathbb{E} e^{t(F-\mathbb{E} F)}$, we have the following calculation:

$$\mathbb{E} e^{t(\sum_{k=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}_{k} F - \mathbb{E}_{k-1} F)} = \mathbb{E} [e^{t(\mathbb{E}_{n} F - \mathbb{E}_{n-1} F)} e^{t(\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \mathbb{E}_{k} F - \mathbb{E}_{k-1} F)}]
= \mathbb{E} [\mathbb{E} [e^{t(\mathbb{E}_{n} F - \mathbb{E}_{n-1} F)} e^{t(\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \mathbb{E}_{k} F - \mathbb{E}_{k-1} F)}] | x_{1}, ..., x_{n-1}]
= \mathbb{E} [e^{t(\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \mathbb{E}_{k} F - \mathbb{E}_{k-1} F)} \mathbb{E} [e^{t(\mathbb{E}_{n} F - \mathbb{E}_{n-1} F)} | x_{1}, ..., x_{n-1}]]$$

From the equation above, we see that we can freeze $x_1,...,x_{n-1}$ and $\mathbb{E}_n F := G(x_n)$, $\mathbb{E}_{n-1} F = \mathbb{E}[G(x_n)]$ over x_n . By our assumption, we have $|G(x_n) - G(x_n')| \leq c_n$, $\forall x_n, x_n'$. Therefore, we have $\exists a_n, b_n$ such that $G(x_n) \in [a_n, b_n)$. This implies $e^{t(G(x_n) - \mathbb{E}[G(x_n)])} \leq e^{-\frac{t^2}{8}c_n^2}$, using Hoeffding's lemma.

Putting the calculation back and apply the argument of freezing variables recursively, we have $\mathbb{E} e^{t(F-\mathbb{E} F)} \leq \prod_{k=1}^n e^{-\frac{t^2}{8} c_k^2} = e^{-\frac{t^2}{8} \sum_{k=1}^n c_k^2}$. Finally we use the similar argument to find the minimizer as in the proof for previous lemmas.

2.1 Remark on JL Lemma

To have a nontrivial dimension reduction (i.e. d < D), we will need $D \ge d \ge \frac{8}{\epsilon^2} ln(n)$, we have $n \le e^{\frac{\epsilon^2}{8}D}$, this requires that we cannot have more than (constant)^D many points in D-dimensional space, where constant $= e^{\frac{\epsilon^2}{8}}$. When distortion tolerance is small (i.e. $\epsilon \to 0$), this is very restrictive.

3 Gaussian Concentration for Lipschitz Function

Recall

Definition 1. Lipschitz function $F: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfies the property such that $\exists L > 0$ such that $|F(x) - F(y)| \leq L|x - y|_2$. We then say F is L-Lipschitz.

Theorem 2. Suppose $x_1,...,x_n \sim N(0,1)$, i.i.d; and $F: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ is 1-Lipschitz. Then $\forall \alpha > 0$, we have $Pr[|F(x_1,...,x_n) - \mathbb{E}F(x_1,...,x_n)| > \alpha] \leq 2e^{\frac{-\alpha^2}{2}}$

Proof. We will only give the idea for the proof here. The idea is to sue rotation invariance of $X \sim N(0, I_n)$, and then apply to spectral edge concentration. And we will also need a proposition that tells for $A = A^T$, $\lambda(A) \geq ... \geq \lambda_n(A)$; the map $\lambda_i : \mathbb{R}^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} \to \mathbb{R}$ is 1-Lipschitz. The proof for the proposition comes from the lemma $|\lambda_i(A) - \lambda_i(B)| \leq |A - B|_{Fro}$, which can be proved using Courant-Fischer Inequality we discussed at the beginning of the semester.