

1inch Liquidity Protocol audit

30 Jan 2021, Igor Gulamov

Introduction

Igor Gulamov conducted the audit of Mooniswap V2 smart contracts.

This review was performed by an independent reviewer under fixed rate.

Scope

Smart contract source code is stored at <https://github.com/1inch-exchange/mooniswap-v2>. Most issues are corresponding to [efbe22e5f81d8165a1e3dcd4861b506932293777](https://github.com/1inch-exchange/mooniswap-v2/commit/efbe22e5f81d8165a1e3dcd4861b506932293777) commit.

Issues

We found no critical issues. The major issue takes place only for deflationary tokens, charging fees from the recipients in transfers, these tokens are very rare in DeFi.

Bug in `ExplicitLiquidVoting.sol` is fixed at [e010aeb424bd778d746ac43bd7f1f931d27ec716](https://github.com/1inch-exchange/mooniswap-v2/commit/e010aeb424bd778d746ac43bd7f1f931d27ec716).

Div 0 bug in `ReferralFeeReceiver.sol` is fixed at [23c9d4fc7d2b965ae404e994c4692c64d0cd3b28](https://github.com/1inch-exchange/mooniswap-v2/commit/23c9d4fc7d2b965ae404e994c4692c64d0cd3b28).

Bug with governance-managed default parameters in `FarmingRewards.sol` is fixed at [86c339151a8693bff73c0207d8f142620ecb0aa1](https://github.com/1inch-exchange/mooniswap-v2/commit/86c339151a8693bff73c0207d8f142620ecb0aa1).

We consider the commit [4e2df9a9a6f20c429e655474a708939e42074d24](https://github.com/1inch-exchange/mooniswap-v2/commit/4e2df9a9a6f20c429e655474a708939e42074d24) as a safe version from the informational security point of view.

Major

1. <https://github.com/1inch-exchange/mooniswap-v2/blob/efbe22e5f81d8165a1e3dcd4861b506932293777/contracts/Mooniswap.sol#L207>

<https://github.com/1inch-exchange/mooniswap-v2/blob/efbe22e5f81d8165a1e3dcd4861b506932293777/contracts/Mooniswap.sol#L257>

Current Mooniswap does not support deflationary tokens, taking fees from the sender's balance. We propose to update `UniERC20` to ensure that the sender's balance decreases by no more than tx amount or not reduced (for self tx case).

Comment
Won't fix

Warnings

1. <https://github.com/1inch-exchange/mooniswap-v2/blob/efbe22e5f81d8165a1e3dcd4861b506932293777/contracts/governance/rewards/Rewards.sol#L31-L40>

`updateReward` is unoptimized due to the usage of multiple `SLOAD`s from the same addresses. We suggest inline internal calls and cache local variables for gas optimization.

Comment

Won't fix

2. <https://github.com/1inch-exchange/mooniswap-v2/blob/efbe22e5f81d8165a1e3dcd4861b506932293777/contracts/inch/GovernanceMothership.sol#L61>

Unoptimized code produces a lot of unnecessary SLOADs. We propose to cache the list into memory as

```
bytes32[] memory cached = _modules._inner._values;
```

and perform all further operations with a memory cached list.

Comment

Fixed at <https://github.com/1inch-exchange/mooniswap-v2/commit/d81e0e11d9e045af96aa06d0dd24c43a7628a818>

3. <https://github.com/1inch-exchange/mooniswap-v2/blob/efbe22e5f81d8165a1e3dcd4861b506932293777/contracts/Mooniswap.sol#L317-L319>

Silent partially implemented tokens check could be led to unexpected behavior of further function calls. We propose making this function pure and moving tokens check out of the function.

Comment

Won't fix

4. <https://github.com/1inch-exchange/mooniswap-v2/blob/efbe22e5f81d8165a1e3dcd4861b506932293777/contracts/libraries/LiquidVoting.sol#L83-L85>

Type conversion could lead to data loss.

Comment

Fixed at <https://github.com/1inch-exchange/mooniswap-v2/pull/18>

Comments

1. <https://github.com/1inch-exchange/mooniswap-v2/blob/efbe22e5f81d8165a1e3dcd4861b506932293777/contracts/governance/rewards/Rewards.sol#L55>

We recommend using specialized fixed point math libraries like `wadRayMath` for fixed-point computations.

Comment

Won't fix

2. <https://github.com/1inch-exchange/mooniswap-v2/blob/efbe22e5f81d8165a1e3dcd4861b506932293777/contracts/governance/rewards/Rewards.sol#L81>

The modifier is used for function purposes here. We suggest replacing the modifier to function.

Comment
Won't fix

3. <https://github.com/1inch-exchange/mooniswap-v2/blob/efbe22e5f81d8165a1e3dcd4861b506932293777/contracts/governance/rewards/Rewards.sol#L24-L25>

Explicit initialization of variables with zero value is unnecessary.

Comment
Fixed at https://github.com/1inch-exchange/mooniswap-v2/commit/381888499ebee4db2a0ceccb8118a1b71ccc3b19

4. <https://github.com/1inch-exchange/mooniswap-v2/blob/efbe22e5f81d8165a1e3dcd4861b506932293777/contracts/Mooniswap.sol#L98>

We recommend replacing the return data type with a fixed-sized array or tuple.

Comment
Now the path consists of the tokens list only.

5. <https://github.com/1inch-exchange/mooniswap-v2/blob/efbe22e5f81d8165a1e3dcd4861b506932293777/contracts/utils/Converter.sol#L61>

<https://github.com/1inch-exchange/mooniswap-v2/blob/efbe22e5f81d8165a1e3dcd4861b506932293777/contracts/utils/Converter.sol#L82>

We recommend replacing a type of `path` with an array of tuples or add a length evenness check.

Comment
Won't fix

6. <https://github.com/1inch-exchange/mooniswap-v2/blob/efbe22e5f81d8165a1e3dcd4861b506932293777/contracts/utils/Converter.sol#L88>

We propose replacing `i + 2 < pathLength` with `i < pathLength-2` to increase readability and scope of potential compiler optimizations.

Comment
Won't fix

7. <https://github.com/1inch-exchange/mooniswap-v2/blob/efbe22e5f81d8165a1e3dcd4861b506932293777/contracts/utils/Converter.sol#L83>

We propose to remove this check, make the length even, and consider the last token is `targetToken` always.

Comment
Won't fix

8. <https://github.com/1inch-exchange/mooniswap-v2/blob/efbe22e5f81d8165a1e3dcd4861b506932293777/contracts/utils/Converter.sol#L97>

We recommend considering the no-swap case at the beginning of the function.

Comment
Won't fix

9. <https://github.com/1inch-exchange/mooniswap-v2/blob/efbe22e5f81d8165a1e3dcd4861b506932293777/contracts/governance/GovernanceFeeReceiver.sol#L25>

We propose collecting on-path tokens to reduce the number of swaps.

Comment
Won't fix

Severity Terms

Comment

Comment issues are generally subjective in nature, or potentially deal with topics like "best practices" or "readability". Comment issues in general will not indicate an actual problem or bug in code.

The maintainers should use their own judgment as to whether addressing these issues improves the codebase.

Warning

Warning issues are generally objective in nature but do not represent actual bugs or security problems.

These issues should be addressed unless there is a clear reason not to.

Major

Major issues will be things like bugs or security vulnerabilities. These issues may not be directly exploitable, or may require a certain condition to arise in order to be exploited.

Left unaddressed these issues are highly likely to cause problems with the operation of the contract or lead to a situation which allows the system to be exploited in some way.

Critical

Critical issues are directly exploitable bugs or security vulnerabilities.

Left unaddressed these issues are highly likely or guaranteed to cause major problems or potentially a full failure in the operations of the contract.

