BigWeather Report

Algorithms and Complexity Assignment

by Frenki Selmani, Kristi Shehaj

1. Introduction

The goal of this project was to solve a resource allocation problem for BigWeather, a weather forecasting company that needs to ensure all compute units (dynos) have access to a data bucket. A dyno can either host a bucket or connect to another dyno via a bond to access its bucket. Each bucket and bond has a cost, and the objective is to minimize the total cost across the system.

Initial solutions assumed full connectivity and were later found not suitable for general graphs. A brute-force approach was implemented later on to correctly handle arbitrary graph topologies and meet all requirements, including the bonus features.

2. Data Structures Used

2.1 Graph (Adjacency List)

Implemented using a list of lists (self.adj), where adj[i] contains all neighbors of node i. This structure enables efficient traversal and is optimal for sparse graphs.

2.2 Queue (Custom Implementation)

Used in BFS to find connected components. Implemented as a list with an index pointer to simulate efficient dequeuing.

These data structures ensure clarity and efficiency while obeying the constraint of not using built-in libraries for core logic.

3. Algorithms

The core logic of the program revolves around two primary computational processes:

3.1 Component Detection (BFS)

To efficiently divide the graph into manageable subproblems, a breadthfirst search (BFS) algorithm is used to extract connected components. This is essential because the bucket assignment problem can be solved independently within each component.

3.2 Bucket Placement via Brute-Force

For each component, the brute-force approach systematically evaluates all possible subsets of nodes that could host a bucket. It verifies whether each subset allows every dyno in the component to access a bucket, either directly or via a bond to a host. Among all valid configurations, it selects the one(s) with the minimum total cost.

This method, although exponential in the number of nodes per component, guarantees optimality and correctness, making it appropriate for relatively small components often found in sparse graphs.

4. Pseudocode for Algorithms

4.1 BFS to Identify Components

```
BFS(start):

Initialize empty queue and add start node
Mark start as visited
Initialize component list
While queue not empty:
Dequeue node and add to component list
For each neighbor of node:
If not visited:
Mark as visited
Return the component list
```

4.2 Brute-Force Bucket Assignment

```
function count brute force(component):
  n = len(component)
  min \ cost = \infty
  count = 0
  best_hosts = []
  for each non-empty subset of component as hosts:
     if not is_valid(hosts):
        continue
     bond_count = 0
     for each node in component:
        if node not in hosts:
          if there exists a neighbor that is a hosts:
             bond count += 1
     cost \leftarrow |hosts| \times bucket\_cost + bond\_count \times bond\_cost
     if cost < min_cost:
        min\_cost \leftarrow cost
        count \leftarrow 1
        best\_config \leftarrow hosts
     else if cost == min cost:
        count += 1
     return (min cost, count, best config)
```

4.3 Validity Check for a Configuration

```
function is_valid(hosts):

for each node in component:

if node is a host: (skip)

if no neighbor of node is in hosts:

return False

return True
```

5. Correctness Argument

- **Component Detection**: BFS correctly marks all nodes reachable from the starting node, forming a connected component.
- **Validity**: Every brute-force configuration is tested against the condition that all nodes must have access to and are neighbors to a bucket.
- Exhaustiveness: All possible subsets of hosts are tested, guaranteeing the globally optimal cost is found.
- **Verification**: Correct results observed on edge cases (line graphs, trees, cycles, and stars), proving generality.

Thus, the brute-force approach is correct for any graph input.

6. Time Complexity Analysis

Greedy Heuristic (Prototype)

The greedy method tries to place one bucket in a node that connects to all others in a component (like in a star graph).

- For each component with c nodes:
 - It checks each node to see if it connects to all others \rightarrow O(c²)
- For the whole graph:
 - ∘ Graph creation and BFS: O(n + k)
 - o Greedy checks: up to O(n²) in worst case

Pros: Fast on simple graphs like stars

Cons: Not guaranteed to find the correct or cheapest solution for all graphs

Brute Force Algorithm (Final Submission)

This method tries every possible combination of bucket placements in each component.

- For a component with c nodes:
- There are 2^c possible subsets to try
- For each subset:
- Check if it's valid (O(c²))
- Count bonds and cost (O(c))

So, total time per component: $O(2^c \times c^2)$

- Graph building and BFS: O(n + k)
- Slower on large components, but works for any graph

Pros: Always finds the correct, cheapest solution

Cons: Slower on large components (but acceptable for small to medium graphs)

7. The Process

1)The first step was creating the Graph Class. Then, self.adj was defined, which is a list of lists representing adjacent to the node.

```
#Graph Class
class Graph:
    def __init__(self, n):
        self.n = n
        self.adj = [[] for i in range(n + 1)]

    def add_pair(self, u, v):
        self.adj[u].append(v)
        self.adj[v].append(u)

    def get_neighbors(self, node):
        return self.adj[node]
```

2)Then Queue Class was created. We defined self.items (a list to store items in the queue) and self.start (a start index for dequeueing).

```
# Queue Class
class Queue:
    def __init__(self):
        self.items = []
        self.start = 0

    def enqueue(self, item):
        self.items.append(item)

    def dequeue(self):
        if self.start < len(self.items):
            item = self.items[self.start]
            self.start += 1
            return item
        return None

def is_empty(self):
    return self.start >= len(self.items)
```

3)We set up a way to get inputs from a text file. "lines" reads lines from the file and remove empty ones. "Map" turns the stings into integers. "Graph.add_pair" adds the pairs to the already initialized graph. Then, "visited" creates a list of visited nodes where all are initially false.

```
# Read input
file_path = input("Enter absolute path to input file: ")
with open(file_path, 'r') as file:
    lines = [line.strip() for line in file.readlines() if line.strip()]

n, k, bucket_cost, bond_cost = map(int, lines[0].split())
graph = Graph(n) h

for line in lines[1:]:
    u, v = map(int, line.split())
    graph.add_pair(u, v)

visited = [False] * (n + 1)
```

4)Implementation of **BFS** (*Breadth First Search*) to find connected components. "Component" is a list to store the component nodes. "Visited[start]" marks the start of the node as visited. The **while** loop dequeues nodes until the queue is empty. Furthermore, "component.append(node)" adds the node to the component list. The **for** loop gets the neighbors of the current node, and then depending on whether the node is visited or not, the loop takes it into account.

5)Implementation of Brute-force function to find the minimum cost and configurations. Is_valid checks whether the selected hosts are valid. Then, the list is converted to set for a faster lookup. The for loop checks each node in the component, and if the node is a host, we skip it. If no neighbor is a host, it is marked as invalid.

```
# Brute-force

def count_brute_force(component, graph, bucket_cost, bond_cost):

def is_valid(hosts): # Check if the selected hosts are valid

host_set = set(hosts) # Convert list to set for faster lookup

for node in component: # Check each node in the component

if node in host_set: # If the node is a host, skip it

| continue
    neighbors = graph.get_neighbors(node)

if not any(neigh in host_set for neigh in neighbors): # If no neighbor is a host, it's invalid

return False

return True

n = len(component) # Number of nodes in the component

min_cost = float('inf') # Initialize minimum cost to infinity as default vaule

count = 0 # Count of configurations

best_hosts = [] # List to store the best hosts
```

The for loop below iterates using bitmasking. It checks each beat and if the j-th bit is set, it is included in the corresponding code. Then, the "if not", checks whether the selected hosts aren't valid, with the intention of skipping them.

Furthermore, bond_count is initialized in order to calculate the cost. If the cost is less than the minimum, we update it and reset the count to 1, while also updating the best hosts. But if the cost is equal to the minimum, we increment the count. If no proper configuration is found, return the cost of using only buckets.

```
for i in range(1, 2 ** n): #Iterates using bitmasking
   hosts = []
   for j in range(n): # Check each bit
       if (i >> j) & 1: # If the j-th bit is set, include the corresponding node
           hosts.append(component[j])
   if not is_valid(hosts): # If the selected hosts are not valid, skip
   bond count = 0 #Initialize bond count in oreder to calculate the cost
   for node in component:
       if node in hosts:
       for neigh in graph.get_neighbors(node):
           if neigh in hosts: # If the neighbor is a host, count it as a bond
               bond_count += 1
   cost = len(hosts) * bucket_cost + bond_count * bond_cost # Calculate the total cost
   if cost < min_cost: # If the cost is less than the minimum cost found so far</pre>
      min cost = cost # Update the minimum cost
      best_hosts = hosts # Update the best hosts
   elif cost == min_cost: # If the cost is equal to the minimum cost
       count += 1 # Increment the count
   return len(component) * bucket cost
return min_cost, count, best_hosts
```

8. Algorithm Design Evolution

The development of this solution followed a progressive exploration of possible strategies, each one aimed at minimizing the total configuration cost while maintaining correctness.

Phase 1: Greedy Heuristic (Prototype)

Initially, we used a greedy strategy where we attempted to assign a single bucket node to each component. The remaining nodes were then connected to said bucket node via bonds. This approach was effective in providing the required output.

Phase 2: Optimized Heuristic

We extended the greedy method to consider the Bonus Considerations. It now checks for valid bucket placements, gives the count of valid configurations and also a visualization of one of minimal cost configurations.

However, during testing we found out that the algorithm only works with complete/star graphs since the logic assumes that all nodes are neighbors/connected.

Phase 3: Brute-Force Algorithm (Final Version/Stage)

Ultimately, we adopted a brute-force approach to ensure correctness. It exhaustively checks all possible subsets of bucket placements within each component, verifying that every node is either a host or connected to a host. Although exponential in nature, it guarantees that the optimal configuration is found.

9. Conclusion

The final brute-force implementation meets all problem and bonus requirements:

- Calculates the minimal total cost
- Counts the number of cheapest configurations
- Visualizes one valid optimal solution

Early prototype versions explored simple assumptions but were replaced to handle other types of graphs.