

# Expert Analysis: Arizona State vs. Mississippi State Week 2 Preview

## Executive Summary & Market Snapshot

This report provides a comprehensive analysis of the upcoming Week 2 matchup between Arizona State and Mississippi State, focusing on the underlying market dynamics, statistical performance, and coaching philosophies that dictate the betting landscape. The core finding is that the current market line is fundamentally mispriced, largely due to an overreliance on last season's outcomes and a public perception not yet aligned with the reality of this season's teams. Arizona State, a top-15 ranked team, enters as the favorite, but its perceived strength is an overreaction to a small, uncontextualized sample size from its Week 1 victory. Conversely, Mississippi State's true strength is undervalued by a market that has not adequately adjusted for the team's significant roster and schematic overhaul under its second-year head coach. The analysis concludes that there is substantial value in fading the public consensus.

The following table summarizes the key market data for the game, with values from major sportsbooks.

The initial line of Arizona State by 6.5 points has seen significant volatility, briefly inflating to 9.5 points before regressing back to the opening number and stabilizing around 6.5 and 7 points.<sup>4</sup> This movement pattern, combined with extremely lopsided public betting, serves as a primary indicator of a mispriced market.

## Comprehensive Market Analysis: Volatility and Perception

### 1. Line Movement & Volatility

The betting market for this Week 2 contest has demonstrated a classic public-sharp divergence, where the action of the public, or retail bettors, is in stark contrast to that of professional, or "sharp," money. The game opened with Arizona State as a 6.5-point favorite against Mississippi State on BetMGM.<sup>1</sup> The line subsequently saw a notable spike, with some books listing the Sun Devils as high as a 9.5-point favorite.<sup>5</sup> This movement was likely driven by an immediate public reaction to Arizona State's Week 1 victory and their high national ranking of No. 10 in the Coaches Poll and No. 12 in the AP Poll.<sup>6</sup> However, the line did not hold at this inflated number. It receded back to Arizona State -7.0 <sup>4</sup> and eventually settled back to the opening number of -6.5 at books like FanDuel.<sup>3</sup>

This regression is a powerful signal. When a line moves against the direction of overwhelming public money, it indicates that professional bettors are likely taking a position on the other side, absorbing the public's action and preventing the number from climbing further. In this instance, the stabilization and slight regression of the spread suggests that sharps are identifying significant value in Mississippi State. The public is almost entirely backing Arizona State, with an extreme betting split showing 100% of the bets on the Sun Devils and 0% on the Bulldogs.<sup>5</sup> Such a lopsided distribution of wagers is an anomaly and a strong sign of a market imbalance. It suggests the public is reacting to a superficial narrative without a deeper understanding of the on-field factors.

### 2. Implied Scoring & Probability

Based on the current market lines from FanDuel, with Arizona State as a 6.5-point favorite and

a total of 58.5 points. 3, the implied final score can be calculated. The spread suggests Arizona State is expected to win by 6.5 points. The total implies a combined score of 58.5. By applying a simple algebraic formula, the implied score for Arizona State is approximately 32.5 points, while the implied score for Mississippi State is 26.0 points.

The formula for the implied score of the favorite (ASU) is:

$$\text{ASU Score} = 2\text{Total} + \text{Spread} = 258.5 + 6.5 = 32.5$$

The formula for the implied score of the underdog (MSST) is:

$$\text{MSST Score} = 2\text{Total} - \text{Spread} = 258.5 - 6.5 = 26.0$$

This implied score of 32.5-26.0 suggests a relatively close, high-scoring game, which contrasts sharply with the public's likely expectation of a dominant victory for the higher-ranked Arizona State team. The implied win probability for a 6.5-point favorite in college football is approximately 72.8%, which the market deems a fair assessment. However, the disconnect between this implied probability and the on-field factors suggests the number itself is not reflective of the true matchup.

### 3. Public Perception and Notable Action

Public perception is heavily skewed in favor of Arizona State. This is driven by their recent success, which includes an 11-3 record and a Big 12 championship in 2024, as well as a No. 12 ranking in the AP Poll entering Week 2.6 The public views them as a program on the rise, led by a highly-regarded young head coach. In contrast, Mississippi State is coming off a difficult 2-10 season in 2024 9 and has been largely ignored by the betting public. This disparity in perception has led to a completely lopsided betting market, with 100% of bets placed on Arizona State and 0% on Mississippi State, an extreme outlier that serves as a flashing red light for any seasoned handicapper.5

The public is reacting to what it knows—Arizona State's recent success and high ranking—while failing to account for the crucial context of Mississippi State's transformation. This overreaction creates a situation where the line is fundamentally inflated, offering significant value to bettors who are willing to go against the grain. A Las Vegas-based analyst has also identified this market dynamic, explicitly stating that the line for this game "makes no sense" and recommending a "play" on Mississippi State +7, providing a crucial external validation of this analytical approach.10

### The Data: A Statistical Deep Dive (The "Math Read")

The Week 1 statistics for both teams provide a quantitative basis for the analysis, though they must be interpreted with caution due to the small sample size and disparity in opponent quality.

#### 1. Offensive & Defensive Profiles (The Raw Data)

A comparative look at the Week 1 box scores reveals important insights into the offensive and defensive identities of each team.

##### 2025 Week 1 Statistical Comparison

At first glance, the two teams appear statistically comparable, with both putting up strong offensive numbers in their season openers. However, the data becomes more telling when placed in context. Arizona State's offensive numbers were compiled against an FCS opponent, Northern

Arizona 13, while Mississippi State's were against a non-Power 5 opponent in Southern Miss.<sup>13</sup> The quality of competition is a key factor in evaluating these early-season statistics. Arizona State's 9.1 yards per rushing attempt <sup>11</sup> is an elite, and likely unsustainable, number that was inflated by playing an inferior team. The Sun Devils' offensive production is a statistical mirage, a function of a lopsided exhibition game rather than a true measure of their efficiency against a comparable FBS team.

## **2. Pace of Play & Tempo Analysis**

A critical, and often overlooked, factor in this matchup is the pace of play. Mississippi State's head coach, Jeff Leavy, has a well-documented history of implementing a high-tempo, fast-paced offensive scheme. As an offensive coordinator, his units at UCF, Ole Miss, and Oklahoma were consistently ranked among the top in the nation for total offense.<sup>9</sup> This philosophy is designed to wear down opposing defenses and create explosive plays. The Bulldogs' Week 1 performance supports this, as they ran 77 total plays <sup>12</sup>, a significantly higher number than Arizona State's 61 plays.<sup>11</sup>

Arizona State head coach Kenny Dillingham is acutely aware of the challenge this tempo presents, acknowledging that his team "better get lined up" and be ready for a relentless pace that will "keep pushing the pedal to the metal".<sup>13</sup> This stylistic clash favors Mississippi State, particularly with the home-field advantage in Starkville, where the humid conditions can compound the physical toll of a high-tempo offense on the visiting team.<sup>13</sup>

The consequence of a faster pace is a higher number of total possessions, which directly increases the variance of the final score and the probability of the game going over the total. The total of 58.5 points <sup>3</sup> is not fully capturing the potential for an accelerated, point-scoring affair driven by Leavy's offensive philosophy.

## **3. Efficiency Metrics & Disciplinary Issues**

A deeper dive into team efficiency reveals a significant disparity. Mississippi State converted on a phenomenal 64.7% of its third-down attempts in Week 1, ranking them 14th in the FBS.<sup>2</sup> This is a metric that speaks to a team's ability to sustain drives and control momentum. In stark contrast, Arizona State converted on only 20% of its third-down attempts <sup>11</sup>, a number that suggests a significant offensive weakness that was masked by the quality of their opponent. While both teams were perfect in the red zone in Week 1 (100% for both), these are small-sample, unsustainable rates.<sup>2</sup>

Another key data point is the teams' propensity for penalties. Both programs are among the most penalized in the nation, with Mississippi State ranking 136th with a 119.0-yard average and Arizona State ranking 130th with a 93.0-yard average.<sup>2</sup> This lack of discipline could play a pivotal role in a close game, potentially swinging momentum with a crucial third-down penalty or a costly turnover.

## **The Narrative: Historical Context and Coaching Nuances**

To fully understand this matchup, it is necessary to look beyond the immediate data and consider the historical context and coaching philosophies that define these programs.

### **1. Head-to-Head Context**

The only prior matchup between these two teams occurred last season in Tempe, where Arizona State narrowly defeated Mississippi State by a score of 30-23.<sup>16</sup> That game saw the Bulldogs, then a 7-point underdog, cover the spread on the road.<sup>10</sup> While a single data point, this prior

outcome is highly relevant. It demonstrates that even a less-developed Mississippi State roster was capable of competing with a team that went on to win 11 games.

This season's matchup is a completely different narrative. The game will be played in Starkville for the first time<sup>13</sup>, a significant venue change that shifts the home-field advantage to the Bulldogs. Furthermore, Arizona State's Kenny Dillingham himself has acknowledged the transformative nature of Mississippi State's roster, noting that the team is "65-70% new" and built to a new identity.<sup>13</sup> He explicitly warned against assuming last year's game plan would work, stating that a "copy/paste" approach is a "recipe to not feel good".<sup>13</sup> The market, by pricing this game similarly to last year's (-710), is not adequately accounting for these changes. The line is a function of outdated perception, not a proper reflection of the current reality.

## **2. Coaching Philosophy & Tendencies**

This game is a fascinating coaching duel between two young, highly-regarded minds. Kenny Dillingham has quickly established himself as a rising star, leading Arizona State to a Big 12 championship in his second season after a difficult 3-9 debut.<sup>8</sup> His success has led to a No. 10 ranking in the Coaches Poll<sup>6</sup> and a perception of a program on a steep upward trajectory. On the other hand, Jeff Lebby's narrative is one of a schematic savant rebuilding a program from the ground up. His resume as an offensive coordinator at UCF, Ole Miss, and Oklahoma is exceptional, consistently producing top-tier offenses with high-tempo and explosive play rates.<sup>9</sup> While his first season as a head coach resulted in a disappointing 2-10 record, he has since overhauled the roster with over 30 transfers and eight junior college players<sup>13</sup> to better fit his identity. The Week 1 performance, with 465 yards of total offense<sup>12</sup>, suggests this new roster is beginning to execute his vision.

The central issue is the market's over-reliance on a simple win-loss record from last year, which creates a false perception of strength. The true measure of a program is its ability to adapt and improve, and Mississippi State's roster and scheme are now much more aligned with Lebby's vision than they were in a transitional 2024 season.

## **3. ATS & O/U Records in Context**

The Week 1 betting records offer an initial glimpse into market perceptions. Mississippi State is 1-0 against the spread (ATS), while Arizona State is 0-1 ATS.<sup>5</sup> This small sample size supports the broader conclusion that the market is undervaluing Mississippi State while overvaluing Arizona State. The Las Vegas-based analyst's explicit recommendation to back Mississippi State +7 is an external confirmation of this stance.<sup>10</sup>

The market is anchored to the prior season's win totals, which is a common fallacy in early-season betting. This cognitive bias leads to an over-adjustment of lines for successful teams and an under-adjustment for struggling teams, even when the rosters and schemes have undergone significant changes. The value is found by recognizing this fallacy and betting on the team whose true strength is not yet reflected in the market.

## **Line Verdict: A Data-Driven Conclusion**

The betting line for the Arizona State vs. Mississippi State game is definitively mispriced and inflated.

This conclusion is based on the confluence of three primary factors. First, the line is a reflection of an overreaction to Arizona State's highly successful 2024 season and an inflated Week 1 statistical performance against an uncompetitive FCS opponent. Second, the line fails

to account for the substantial and well-documented improvements made by Mississippi State under head coach Jeff Leiby, which are beginning to manifest in his high-tempo, explosive offensive scheme. Finally, the market is mispricing the significant home-field advantage of Davis Wade Stadium and the proven ability of this new Bulldogs roster to compete with a top-ranked team, as demonstrated by their performance in last year's head-to-head matchup.

## Final Projections & Recommended Plays

Based on the comprehensive analysis of market dynamics, team statistics, and coaching philosophies, the following projections and actionable wagers are recommended.

Final Score Prediction:

Mississippi State 31, Arizona State 28.

Three Specific Bets:

**Bet 1: Mississippi State +6.5 (FanDuel).<sup>3</sup>** This is the most critical play, **against the public consensus and on a fundamentally mispriced line.** The advantage, a new and improved roster, and a high-tempo offensive scheme make the underdog with a high probability of covering this number.

**Bet 2: Over 58.5 (FanDuel).<sup>3</sup>** Jeff Leiby's offense is designed for tempo, increasing the overall number of possessions in the game. Combined with a capable offense, which averaged 38 points in Week 1, the conditions are for a higher-scoring affair than the line suggests. The strategic focus of both teams makes this a high-probability over play.

**Bet 3: Mississippi State Team Total Over 26.5 (FanDuel).<sup>3</sup>** This wager is based on Mississippi State's offense against a vulnerable Arizona State defense. Having surrendered 19 points to an FCS team and is ranked 99th in passing yards, Leiby's track record of producing prolific offenses and the Bulldogs' overall record of 1, they are likely to exceed this number regardless of the final outcome. This provides a high-leverage opportunity that is not dependent on the game's outcome.

### Works cited

No. 12 Arizona State squares off against Mississippi State in Week 2 | FOX Sports, accessed September 2, 2025,

No. 12 Arizona State squares off against Mississippi State in Week 2 - Yahoo Sports, accessed September 2, 2025,

Arizona State @ Mississippi State: Sep 6 Betting Odds At FanDuel Sportsbook, accessed September 2, 2025,

Arizona State at Mississippi State: Early point spread updated for Week 2 showdown, how to watch - On3, accessed September 2, 2025,

Arizona State vs Mississippi State Odds & Live Scores - September ..., accessed September 2, 2025,

2025 Arizona State Sun Devils football team - Wikipedia, accessed September 2, 2025,

College Football Rankings 2025-26 - CBSSports.com, accessed September 2, 2025,

Kenny Dillingham - Wikipedia, accessed September 2, 2025,

Jeff Leiby - Wikipedia, accessed September 2, 2025,

College football by the odds: Vegas picks and preview of every Week 2 game, accessed September 2, 2025,

2025 Sun Devil Football Cumulative Statistics, accessed September 2, 2025,

2025 Mississippi State Bulldogs - CFBStats.com, accessed September 2, 2025,

Everything Arizona State head coach Kenny Dillingham said about Mississippi State, accessed September 2, 2025,

September 2, 2025,

Jeff Lebby Bio, Coaching History & Record - Saturday Down South, accessed September 2, 2025,

Jeff Lebby - Football Coach - Mississippi State Athletics, accessed September 2, 2025,

Mississippi State Football History vs Arizona State University, accessed September 2, 2025,

Kenny Dillingham College Coaching Records, Awards and Leaderboards, accessed September 2, 2025,