

64-bit representation of a real number (long real)

1985's IEEE (Institute for Electrical and Electronic Engineers) report specifies 64-bit (binary digit) representation for a real number.

The first bit is a sign indicator: s is 0 for a positive and 1 for a negative number.

This is followed by 11-bit exponent (called a characteristic): c , and 52-bit binary fraction, f , called the mantissa.

Here, the base of exponent is 2.

52 binary digits correspond to between 16 and 17 decimal digits; thus we can expect at least 16 decimal digits precision. The exponent of 11 binary digits corresponds to a range between

$$0 \quad (1)$$

and

$$2^{10} + 2^9 + 2^8 + 2^7 + 2^6 + 2^5 + 2^4 + 2^3 + 2^2 + 2^1 + 2^0 = 2047 \quad (2)$$

Also note that

```
> 2^11-1;
```

2047 (3)

However, we also want a good representation for numbers with small magnitudes. To insure that 1023 is subtracted from the characteristic, so the range of exponents varies from -1023 to 1024. Using a normalization for a unique representation, the floating-point number is of the form

$$(-1)^s 2^{c-1023} (1+f)$$

For the machine number

0 10000000011

101110010001000


```
(1/2)^19+1*(1/2)^20+1*(1/2)^21+1*(1/2)^22+1*(1/2)^23+1*(1/2)
^24+1*(1/2)^25+1*(1/2)^26+1*(1/2)^27+1*(1/2)^28+1*(1/2)^29+1*
(1/2)^30+1*(1/2)^31+1*(1/2)^32+1*(1/2)^33+1*(1/2)^34+1*(1/2)
^35+1*(1/2)^36+1*(1/2)^37+1*(1/2)^38+1*(1/2)^39+1*(1/2)^40+1*
(1/2)^41+1*(1/2)^42+1*(1/2)^43+1*(1/2)^44+1*(1/2)^45+1*(1/2)
^46+1*(1/2)^47+1*(1/2)^48+1*(1/2)^49+1*(1/2)^50+1*(1/2)^51+1*
(1/2)^52;
```

$$f1 := \frac{3255653929844735}{4503599627370496} \quad (10)$$

while the mantissa of latter is

```
> f2:=1*(1/2)^(1)+1*(1/2)^3+1*(1/2)^4+1*(1/2)^5+1*(1/2)^8+1*(1/2)
^12+1*(1/2)^52;
```

$$f2 := \frac{3255653929844737}{4503599627370496} \quad (11)$$

The decimal representation of the next smallest machine number is

```
> NS:=(-1)^s*2^(c-1023)*(1+f1);
```

$$NS := \frac{7759253557215231}{281474976710656} \quad (12)$$

```
> Digits:=50;
```

$$Digits := 50 \quad (13)$$

```
> evalf(NS);
```

$$27.566406249999996447286321199499070644378662109375 \quad (14)$$

The decimal representation of the next largest machine number is

```
> NL:=(-1)^s*2^(c-1023)*(1+f2);
```

$$NL := \frac{7759253557215233}{281474976710656} \quad (15)$$

```
> evalf(%);
```

$$27.5664062500000003552713678800500929355621337890625 \quad (16)$$

```
> NL-NS;
```

$$\frac{1}{140737488355328} \quad (17)$$

```
> evalf(%);
```

$$7.10542735760100185871124267578125000000000000000000 \times 10^{-15} \quad (18)$$

The smallest positive number is

[illegible]

(19)

(20)

(21)

 10^{-308}

111

(22)

1.797693134862315508561243283845062402343434371574593359244048724485\ (23)

```
81845754556114388470639943126220321960804027157371570809852884964\
51174304408766276760090959433192772823707887618876057953256376869\
86540648252621157710157914639830148577040081234194593862451417237\
03148097529108423358883457665451722744025579520 × 10308
```

which is approximately

10^{308}

Numbers occurring in calculations that are smaller than

$2^{-1023} (1 + 2^{-52})$

are treated as zero.

Floating-point representations in Maple are easy done. For example,

```
> Digits:=10;
```

Digits := 10

(24)

causes all arithmetic to be rounded to 100 digits. For instance, $fl(fl(x)+fl(y))$ is performed using 100-digit rounding arithmetic by

```
> evalf(evalf(x)+evalf(y));
```

$x + y$

(25)

Implementing t-digit chopping arithmetic is slightly more complicated.

```
> chop:=proc(x,t)
  local e, x2;
  if x=0 then 0
  else
    e:= trunc(evalf(log10(abs(x))));
    if e>0 then e:=e+1 fi;
    x2:=evalf(trunc(x*10^(t-e))*10^(e-t))
  fi
end;
```

```
> chop(12.226,4);
```

12.22000000

(26)

```
> Digits:=10;
```

Digits := 10

(27)

Solving a quadratic equation

```
> solve({x^2+62.10*x+1},{x});
```

$\{x = -0.01610723741\}, \{x = -62.08389276\}$

(28)

```
> Digits:=4;
```

(29)

Digits := 4

(29)

> sqrt((62.10)^2-4*1.0*1.0);

62.06

(30)

> floatx1:=(-62.10+62.06)/2.0;

floatx1 := -0.02000

(31)

has large relative error: 2.4×10^{-1}

> floatx2:=(-62.10-62.06)/2.0;

floatx2 := -62.10

(32)

while floatx2 has the small relative error: 3.2×10^{-4} . In order to obtain more accurate approximation for floatx1 one can note that

$$\left(x1 = \frac{-b + \sqrt{b^2 - 4ac}}{2a} \right) = - \frac{2c}{b + \sqrt{b^2 - 4ac}}$$

Using this formula floatx1 is given by

> floatx1:=-2.0/(62.10+62.06);

floatx1 := -0.01610

(33)

which has the small relative error: 6.2×10^{-4} .