

Resolving Locks and Concurrency Issues

You will need to open 3 sessions for this Practice and work in parallel for each Case

SESSION 1 -- user SYSTEM (here our Junior DBA will act as a plain User)

```
SQL> show user
USER is "SYSTEM"
```

```
SQL> set pagesize 100
SQL> SELECT empno, ename, sal FROM scott.emp
       WHERE ename IN ('JAMES','ADAMS');
```

EMPNO	ENAME	SAL
-----	-----	-----
7900	JAMES	950
7876	ADAMS	1100

2 rows selected.

CASE 1 -- CONCURRENCY AND EXCLUSIVE ROW LOCKS (DIFFERENT ONES)

```
SQL> UPDATE scott.emp
2 SET   sal = 1000
3 WHERE ename = 'JAMES';
```

1 row updated.

```
SQL> SELECT empno, ename, sal FROM scott.emp
       WHERE ename IN ('JAMES','ADAMS');
```

EMPNO	ENAME	SAL
-----	-----	-----
7900	JAMES	1000
7876	ADAMS	1100

* Well, SYSTEM does NOT see the new value for SCOTT's update, but can see his new update *

```
SQL> ROLLBACK;
Rollback complete.
```

CASE 2 -- CONCURRENCY AND EXCLUSIVE ROW LOCKS (SAME ROW)

```
SQL> UPDATE scott.emp
  2 SET   sal = 1000
  3 WHERE ename = 'JAMES';
1 row updated.
```

* Here SYSTEM will go for a donut (but without Commit or Rollback) *

```
SQL> DESC dba_objects
ERROR:
ORA-03135: connection lost contact
```

* After SYSTEM came back from the Donut shop he discovered his session was terminated by SYSDBA, meaning an AUTO-ROLLBACK followed by Server *

CASE 3 -- DEADLOCK

```
SQL> conn system
Enter password:
Connected.
```

```
SQL> set pagesize 100
```

```
SQL> UPDATE scott.emp
  2 SET   sal = 1000
  3 WHERE ename = 'JAMES';
```

1 row updated.

```
SQL> SELECT ename, sal FROM scott.emp WHERE ename IN ('JAMES','ADAMS');
```

ENAME	SAL
-----	-----
JAMES	1000
ADAMS	1100

```
SQL> UPDATE scott.emp
  2 SET   sal = 1000
  3 WHERE ename = 'ADAMS';
UPDATE scott.emp
      *
```

```
ERROR at line 1:
ORA-00060: deadlock detected while waiting for resource
```

- * Server will AUTO-DETECT the DEADLOCK and Rollback just the last DML attempt by the first user (here it was SYSTEM) *

```
SQL> SELECT ename, sal FROM scott.emp
       WHERE ename IN ('JAMES','ADAMS');
```

ENAME	SAL
-----	-----
JAMES	1000
ADAMS	1100

- * So, only the SECOND update was auto-rolled back and SYSTEM still needs to decide about the first update *

```
SQL> ROLLBACK;
```

Rollback complete.

SESSION 2 -- user SCOTT (our regular user)

```
SQL> show user
USER is "SCOTT"
```

CASE 1 -- CONCURRENCY AND EXCLUSIVE ROW LOCKS (DIFFERENT ONES)

```
SQL> SET PAGESIZE 100
SQL> SELECT empno, ename, sal FROM scott.emp
       WHERE ename IN ('JAMES','ADAMS');
```

EMPNO	ENAME	SAL
-----	-----	-----
7900	JAMES	950
7876	ADAMS	1100

2 rows selected.

```
SQL> UPDATE emp
2 SET sal = 1200
3 WHERE ename = 'ADAMS'; --> attempting to update a different row from user System
                             and NO WAIT will happen
```

1 row updated.

```
SQL> SELECT empno, ename, sal FROM scott.emp
       WHERE ename IN ('JAMES','ADAMS');
```

EMPNO	ENAME	SAL
7900	JAMES	950
7876	ADAMS	1200

* Well, SCOTT does NOT see the new value for SYSTEM's update, but can see his new update *

```
SQL> ROLLBACK;
```

Rollback complete.

CASE 2 -- CONCURRENCY AND EXCLUSIVE ROW LOCKS (SAME ROW)

```
SQL> UPDATE emp
2 SET sal = 1200
3 WHERE ename = 'JAMES'; --> attempting to update row already being updated by
                           user System

1 row updated.
```

* HERE THIS SESSION WAITS TILL USER SYSTEM (Blocking Session) was killed by DBA.
Look at the end of this Practice for EM situation and how to handle it there *

```
SQL> ROLLBACK;
```

Rollback complete.

CASE 3 -- DEADLOCK

```
SQL> UPDATE emp
2 SET sal = 1200
3 WHERE ename = 'ADAMS';
```

1 row updated.

```
SQL> SELECT ename, sal FROM scott.emp
       WHERE ename IN ('JAMES','ADAMS');
```

ENAME	SAL
-----	-----
JAMES	950
ADAMS	1200

```
SQL> UPDATE emp
2 SET sal = 1200
3 WHERE ename = 'JAMES';
```

* Here SCOTT will wait just for a second (or two) before Server will AUTO-DETECT the DEADLOCK and Rollback the last DML attempt by the first user (SYSTEM) *

1 row updated.

```
SQL> SELECT ename, sal FROM scott.emp
WHERE ename IN ('JAMES','ADAMS');
```

ENAME	SAL
-----	-----
JAMES	1200
ADAMS	1200

```
SQL> ROLLBACK;
```

Rollback complete.

SESSION 3 -- MONITORING by SYSDBA

```
SQL> show user
USER is "SYS"
```

```
SQL> SET PAGESIZE 100
```

CASE 1 -- CONCURRENCY AND EXCLUSIVE ROW LOCKS (DIFFERENT ONES)

```
SQL> SELECT sid, serial#, username
2 FROM V$SESSION WHERE username IS NOT NULL;
```

SID	SERIAL#	USERNAME
-----	-----	-----

134	95	SYSMAN
135	104	DBSNMP
138	2	SYSMAN
141	121	SCOTT -- Session 2
142	2	SYSMAN
143	215	SYSTEM -- Session 1
145	2	SYSMAN
149	15	DBSNMP
152	1302	SYS
159	3	SYS

10 rows selected.

```
SQL> SELECT sid, type, id1, lmode, request
2 FROM V$LOCK WHERE type IN ('TM','TX');
```

SID	TY	ID1	LMODE	REQUEST
143	TM	11848	3	0
141	TM	11848	3	0
141	TX	458771	6	0
143	TX	65558	6	0

* Well, both SYSTEM and SCOTT are holding a Share Table Lock (Mode 3) on table SCOTT.EMP (TM), while holding EXCLUSIVE ROW LOCK (Mode 6) on different rows (TX) and that is why NO lock request exists (NOBODY is waiting) *

```
SQL> DESC dba_objects
```

Name	Null?	Type
OWNER		VARCHAR2(30)
OBJECT_NAME		VARCHAR2(128)
SUBOBJECT_NAME		VARCHAR2(30)
OBJECT_ID		NUMBER
DATA_OBJECT_ID		NUMBER
OBJECT_TYPE		VARCHAR2(19)
CREATED		DATE
LAST_DDL_TIME		DATE
TIMESTAMP		VARCHAR2(19)
STATUS		VARCHAR2(7)
TEMPORARY		VARCHAR2(1)
GENERATED		VARCHAR2(1)
SECONDARY		VARCHAR2(1)

```
SQL> SELECT owner, object_name, object_type
FROM dba_objects WHERE object_id = 11848;
```

OWNER

OBJECT_NAME

OBJECT_TYPE

SCOTT

EMP

TABLE

CASE 2 -- CONCURRENCY AND EXCLUSIVE ROW LOCKS (SAME ROW)

=====

```
SQL> SELECT sid, type, id1, lmode, request
        FROM V$LOCK WHERE type IN ('TM','TX');
```

SID	TY	ID1	LMODE	REQUEST
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
141	TX	393257	0	6
141	TM	11848	3	0
143	TM	11848	3	0
143	TX	393257	6	0

* Well, it is clear WHO is blocking and WHO is waiting now. Request is made for the same row FROM session 141 (Waiting) and that row is held (locked) by session 143 (Blocking). LOCK MODE BYTE is set on session 9 (value 6) and NOT set for session 10 (value 0), SYSDBA will terminate blocking session 143 for user SYSTEM *

```
SQL> ALTER SYSTEM KILL SESSION '143,215' IMMEDIATE;
```

System altered.

CASE 3 -- DEADLOCK

=====

* In the DEADLOCK case, DBA needs to do NOTHING, because Server will AUTO-DETECT it and rollback the last DML update by the first user (here SYSTEM session). DBA may check the ALERT LOG FILE where the entry about the ORA-0060 error can be found and a reference to the USER TRACE file where the details about the DEADLOCK can be analyzed *

```
SQL> HOST
```

```
db091a32@dbaoracle3:~> pwd
/home/db091a32
```

```
db101a32@dbaoracle3:~> cd oradata
db101a32@dbaoracle3:~/oradata> ls -l
```

```
total 12
drwxrwx--- 3 oracle db091a32 4096 Jan 17 21:19 admin
drwxrwx--- 2 oracle db091a32 4096 Jan 17 11:22 db101a32
-rw-rw---- 1 oracle db091a32 2560 Jan 17 21:37 spfiledb101a32.ora
```

```
db091a32@dbaoracle3:~/oradata> cd admin
db091a32@dbaoracle3:~/oradata/admin> ls -l
total 4
drwxrwx--- 6 oracle db091a32 4096 Dec 17 21:19 db101a32
```

```
db091a32@dbaoracle3:~/oradata/admin> cd db091a32/bdump
db091a32@dbaoracle3:~/oradata/admin/db091a32/bdump> ls -l al*
-rw-rw---- 1 oracle db091a32 218349 Feb 19 11:23 alert_db091a32.log
```

```
db101a32@dbaoracle3:~/oradata/admin/db101a32/bdump> tail -15 alert*
```

```
Thread 1 advanced to log sequence 668
Current log# 2 seq# 668 mem# 0: /home/db091a32/oradata/db091a32/redo02.log
Thread 1 cannot allocate new log, sequence 669
Checkpoint not complete
Current log# 2 seq# 668 mem# 0: /home/db091a32/oradata/db091a32/redo02.log
Thu Feb 19 11:00:15 2009
Thread 1 advanced to log sequence 669
Current log# 3 seq# 669 mem# 0: /home/db091a32/oradata/db091a32/redo03.log
Thu Feb 19 11:16:03 2009
Immediate Kill Session#: 143, Serial#: 215
Immediate Kill Session: sess: 0x2fef90d4 OS pid: 7184
Thu Feb 19 11:21:30 2009
Thread 1 advanced to log sequence 670
Current log# 1 seq# 670 mem# 0: /home/db091a32/oradata/db091a32/redo01.log
Thu Feb 19 11:23:23 2009
```

ORA-00060: Deadlock detected. More info in file
/home/db101a32/oradata/admin/db091a32/udump/db101a32_ora_16947.trc.

```
db101a32@dbaoracle3:~/oradata/admin/db091a32/bdump> cd ../udump
```

```
db091a32@dbaoracle3:~/oradata/admin/db091a32/udump> head -40 *16947*
```

```
/home/db101a32/oradata/admin/db101a32/udump/db091a32_ora_16947.trc
Oracle Database 10g Enterprise Edition Release 10.2.0.1.0 - Production
With the Partitioning, OLAP and Data Mining options
ORACLE_HOME = /opt/oracle/10.2.0.1.0
System name: Linux
Node name: dbaoracle3
Release: 2.6.22.17-0.1-bigsmp
Version: #1 SMP 2008/02/10 20:01:04 UTC
```


Machine: i686
Instance name: db091a32
Redo thread mounted by this instance: 1
Oracle process number: 15
Unix process pid: 16947, image: oracle@dbaoracle3 (TNS V1-V3)

*** 2009-02-19 11:23:23.126
*** ACTION NAME:() 2009-02-19 11:23:23.126
*** MODULE NAME:(SQL*Plus) 2009-02-19 11:23:23.126
*** SERVICE NAME:(SYS\$USERS) 2009-02-19 11:23:23.126
*** SESSION ID:(140.842) 2009-02-19 11:23:23.126

DEADLOCK DETECTED

[Transaction Deadlock]

Current SQL statement for this session:

UPDATE scott.emp

SET sal = 1000

WHERE ename = 'ADAMS'

The following deadlock is not an ORACLE error. It is a deadlock due to user error in the design of an application or from issuing incorrect ad-hoc SQL. The following information may aid in determining the deadlock:

Deadlock graph:

-----Blocker(s)----- -----Waiter(s)-----
Resource Name process session holds waits process session holds waits
TX-00050014-00000179 15 140 X 25 141 X
TX-00010016-0000017c 25 141 X 15 140 X
session 140: DID 0001-000F-00000002E session 141: DID 0001-0019-000000003
session 141: DID 0001-0019-000000003 session 140: DID 0001-000F-00000002E

Rows waited on:

Session 141: obj - rowid = 00002E48 - AAAC5IAAEAAAAfAAH

(dictionary objn - 11848, file - 4, block - 31, slot - 7)


Session 140: obj - rowid = 00002E48 - AAAC5IAAEAAAAfAAM

Resolving Locking Conflict in EM Cloud Control (Case 2)

After getting a phone call from user SCOTT that his update is hanging (being frozen), SYSDBA will investigate the Blocking / Waiting graph and will kill (terminate) the Blocking session of user SYSTEM (who went for donut without ending his transaction).




In EM Cloud Control from [Home Database Page](#) do the following:
[Performance](#) → [Blocking Sessions](#) and you will get this page:

Blocking Sessions

Page Refreshed Feb 19 11:04:22 AM 

[View Session](#)

[Expand All](#) | [Collapse All](#)

Select	Username	Sessions Blocked	Session ID	Session Serial Number	SQL Hash Value	Wait Class	Wait Event	P1	P2	I
	Blocking Sessions									
	SYSTEM	1	143	215		Idle	SQL*Net message from client	1650815232	1	0
	SCOTT	0	141	121	1zr96qffmycx8	Application	enq: TX - row lock contention	1415053318	3932573	

Here is obvious that user SYSTEM has blocked user SCOTT and then you will [select](#) SYSTEM session and click [View Session](#) and then you get the following page, where you will click on [Previous SQL](#) link

Session Details: SYSTEM (143)

Collected From Feb 19, 2009 11:13:07
Target AM

View
Data Real Time: 15 Second Refresh [Refresh](#)
[Kill Session](#) [Enable SQL Trace](#) [Disable SQL Trace](#)

[General](#) [Activity Statistics](#) [Open Cursors](#) [Blocking Tree](#) [Wait Event History](#)

Server	Client	Application
Current Status INACTIVE	OS User db091a3	Current SQL None
Serial Number 215	Name 2	Current SQL UNKNOWN
DB User Name SYSTEM	OS Process ID 6635	Command Previous SQL 3qx6vry62w623
OS Process ID 7184	Host dbaoracl	Last Call Elapsed 12 Minutes, 53
Logged On Since Feb 19, 2009 10:42:03 AM	Terminal pts/4	Time Seconds
	Current Client Unavailable	SQL Trace DISABLED
	ID ble	Open Cursors 24
		Program sqlplus@dbaoracl

Logged On For 31 Minutes, 4 Seconds	Current Client Unavailable	e3 (TNS V1-V3)
Connection Type DEDICATED		Service SYSS\$USERS
Type USER		Current Module SQL*Plus
Resource Consumer Unavailable		Current Action Unavailable
Group le		
Contention	Wait	
Blocking Session ID None		SQL*Net
	Current Wait Event	t message from client
	Current Wait Class	Idle
		12
	Waiting for	Minutes, 53
		Seconds
		driver id
		P1 1650815
		232
		P2 #bytes 1
		P3 None
	Object	None

Now you will see the BLOCKING STATEMENT and also you can see the Explain Plan (if you click on [Plan](#) link)

Text

```
UPDATE scott.emp
SET sal = 1000
WHERE ename = 'JAMES'
```


Details

Select the plan hash value to see the details below. Plan Hash Value



Statistics [Activity](#) [Plan](#) [Tuning Information](#)

Now you need to [return to the Blocking Session](#) page by using [← Option](#) of your browser and then [select SCOTT session](#) and then click on its [SQL Hash value](#). Then you will see what is SCOTT attempting to do (the Waiting session). Here is given just the SQL statement and you can conclude that these two users are trying to update the same row (for employee James).

Blocking Sessions

Page Refreshed Feb 19, 2009 11:10:27 AM 

[View Session](#) [Kill](#)

Select	Username	Sessions Blocked	Session ID	Session Serial Number	SQL Hash Value	Wait Class	Wait Event	P1	P2	P3
<input type="radio"/>	 Blocking Sessions									
<input type="radio"/>	 SYSTEM	1	143	215		Idle	SQL*Net message from client	1650815232	1	0
<input checked="" type="radio"/>	SCOTT	0	141	121	1zr96qffmycx8	Application	enq: TX - row lock contention	1415053318	3932573	

SQL Details: 1zr96qffmycx8 Text

```
UPDATE scott.emp
SET sal = 1200
WHERE ename = 'JAMES'
```

You will need to terminate your Blocking session by [selecting SYSTEM](#) session and click on [Kill Session](#)

Are you sure you want to kill this session?

SID 143

DB User SYSTEM


Program sqlplus@dbaoracle3 (TNS V1-V3)

Options ☒ Kill Immediate
☐ Post Transactional

```
ALTER SYSTEM KILL SESSION '143,215' IMMEDIATE
```

After this session was terminated you need to [Refresh](#) your browser and then you will get:

Blocking Sessions

Page Refreshed Feb 24, 2006 9:18:50 PM 

Select	Username	Sessions Blocked	Session ID	Session Serial Number	SQL Hash Value	Wait Class	Wait Event	P1	P2	P3	Seconds in Wait
	No sessions found to be currently blocking other sessions.										

Finally, user SCOTT will finish his Update, because the initial one by SYSTEM was roll backed (after SYSDBA killed his session) and you can see in SCOTT's session that he got his SQL prompt back.