# **Near Complete Formal Semantics of X86-64**

#### **Abstract**

ToDo

## 1. Introduction

## 2. Challenges

### 2.1. Using Strata Results

Following are the challenges in using Strata [1] (or Stoke) formula as is.

• Stoke uses C+-functions which define the semantics of instructions. For example, following is the function to define the semantics of add instruction. The functions are generic in the sense that they can be used to obtain obtain the concrete semantics of any instruction like add %rax, %rbx

The untested assumption here is the generic formula will behave identically for all the variants. We have tested all the formula for each instruction variant.

- Strata gives the concrete semantics for a concrete instructions. For other variants it generalize from the concrete semantics. Assumption is the generalization is correct. Test all the generalization.
- While porting to K rule, we generalize the from a concrete semantics that strata provides. Is this generalization faithful? For instruction like xchg, xadd, cmpxchg, the formula is different for different operands. So the general K rule we obtain from xchgl a, b may not represent the semantics for xchgl a, a. Fortunately there exists different instruction variants if the their semantics might be different and accordingly we might have different K rules. For example, xchgl\_r32\_eax and xchgl\_r32\_r32. But even for xchgl\_r32\_32 semantics could be different for cases r1 !=r2 and r1 == r2. Idea: Once lifted as K rule, test the instruction for all variants.

Lets consider  $\mathtt{xaddb}$  SRC, DEST, as per manual the semantics is

```
Temp = Src + Dest;
Src = Dest;
Dest = Temp;
```

The point to note here is that the register updates follow an order. Strata uses xaddb %rax, %rbx, to obtain the semantics and it happened that the ordering is maintained and hence

strata can generalize the semantics of xaddb R1, R1. But even if the ordering is not maintained the semantics is going to be the same for the case R1 != R2, but the generalization for the R1 == R1 case will mess up. We cannot trust the above generalization by strata. We need to test the K rule for all possible operands.

## References

 Stefan Heule, Eric Schkufza, Rahul Sharma, and Alex Aiken. Stratified synthesis: Automatically learning the x86-64 instruction set. In Proceedings of the 37th ACM SIGPLAN Conference on Programming Language Design and Implementation, PLDI '16, pages 237–250, New York, NY, USA, 2016. ACM.