

Summary

Audit Report prepared by Solidified covering the Lido/Aave liquid staking pool smart contracts.

Process and Delivery

Three (3) independent Solidified experts performed an unbiased and isolated audit of the code in several rounds. The debrief took place on 10 May 2021.

Audited Files

The source code has been supplied in the form of a GitHub repository:

https://github.com/delphidigital/lido-staked-aave

Commit number: 3dab1143a802e5ba2c78dc7c1f4995dd792e8280

The scope of the audit was limited to the following files:

```
contracts

FeeDistributor.sol
LdoAave.sol
interfaces

IAaveGovernanceV2.sol
ICustomProxyAdmin.sol
IFeeDistributor.sol
IGovernancePowerDelegationToken.sol
ILSToken.sol
IStakedAave.sol
IIStakedAave.sol
IIStakedAave.sol
IISToken.sol
CustomProxyAdmin.sol
TransparentUpgradeableProxy.sol
```

Intended Behavior

The smart contracts implement an AAVE staking solution that simplifies reward distribution. Users do not need to claim their rewards. Instead, the users' balances are automatically increased to reflect their share.



Code Complexity and Test Coverage

Smart contract audits are an important step to improve the security of smart contracts and can find many issues. However, auditing complex codebases has its limits and a remaining risk is present (see disclaimer).

Users of a smart contract system should exercise caution. In order to help with the evaluation of the remaining risk, we provide a measure of the following key indicators: **code complexity**, **code readability**, **level of documentation**, and **test coverage**.

Note, that high complexity or lower test coverage does equate to a higher risk. Certain bugs are more easily detected in unit testing than a security audit and vice versa. It is, therefore, more likely that undetected issues remain if the test coverage is low or non-existent.

Criteria	Status	Comment
Code complexity	Low	-
Code readability and clarity	High	-
Level of Documentation	High	-
Test Coverage	High	-



Test Coverage Report

File					
contracts/	90.22		76.92		
FeeDistributor.sol	90.48	63.64	84.62	90.48	97,98,102,103
LdoAave.sol	90	45.45	69.23	I 90	178,180,188
contracts/interfaces/					
IAaveGovernanceV2.sol					
ICustomProxyAdmin.sol					
IFeeDistributor.sol					
IGovernancePowerDelegationToken.sol					
ILSToken.sol					
ILdoAave.sol					
IStakedAave.sol					
contracts/libraries/		64.52		84.78	
LSToken.sol					118,120,178
LSTokenGovPowerSnapshot.sol	92			93.75	204,221,239
contracts/mocks/		81.25			
MockAaveGovernanceV2.sol					
MockChainlinkPriceFeed.sol					
MockERC20.sol					
MockLdoAaveUpgrade.sol					
MockStakedAaveV2.sol		81.25			
contracts/proxies/	89.36				
CustomProxyAdmin.sol	87.1	72.22		87.88	166,167,169,172
TransparentUpgradeableProxy.sol	93.75				
All files		63.79		89.35	

Issues Found

Solidified found that the Lido contracts contain no critical issues, 1 major issue, 3 minor issues, in addition to 4 informational notes.

We recommend all issues are amended, while the notes are up to the team's discretion, as they refer to best practices.

Issue #	Description	Severity	Status
1	LSToken.sol: transferFrom() allows arbitrary tokens to be moved to an authorized receiver	Major	Pending
2	CustomProxyAdmin.sol: Missing zero-checks for signer changes	Minor	Pending
3	FeeDistributer.sol: Missing zero-checks	Minor	Pending
4	Token susceptible to approve attack	Minor	Pending
5	External calls to AAVE contracts	Note	-
6	CustomProxyAdmin.sol: propose() method accepts ETH in all cases	Note	-
7	FeeDistributor.sol: lidoAgent cannot be updated	Note	-
8	ICustomProxyAdmin.sol: Event SignerChanged() is not specific enough	Note	-



Critical Issues

No critical issues have been found.

Major Issues

1. LSToken.sol: transferFrom() allows arbitrary tokens to be moved to an authorized receiver

The function transferFrom() in LSToken.sol does not allow the approved address to send it to any address. Instead, it allows tokens to be moved only to an approved receiver. This non-standard behavior is due to checking the to parameter instead of msg.sender as the approved address.

Recommendation

It is recommended to use msg.sender instead of to address while validating the allowance during transfer.

Minor Issues

2. CustomProxyAdmin.sol: Missing zero-checks for signer changes

Function changeSigner() does not perform checks for address(0) meaning that upgradability could accidentally be renounced.

Recommendation

Add the following precondition:

require(newSigner != address(0));



3. FeeDistributer.sol: Missing zero-checks

Functions setDelphiAgent() and setDelphiAgent() do not perform checks for address(0) meaning that fee receiver addresses could accidentally be renounced unrecoverably.

Recommendation

Add zero-checks

4. Token susceptible to approve attack

Changing an allowance through the approve() method brings the risk that someone may use both the old and the new allowance by unfortunate transaction ordering. A detailed description of this vulnerability can be found here:

https://docs.google.com/document/d/1YLPtQxZu1UAvO9cZ1O2RPXBbT0mooh4DYKjA_jp-RLM

Recommendation

One possible solution to mitigate this race condition is to implement increaseAllowance() and decreaseAllowance() functions.



Informational Notes

5. External calls to AAVE contracts

The external calls to the AAVE protocols are not protected from reentrancy. This is fine with the current implementation of the official AAVE protocol. However, a number of AAVE forks and clones exist with a modified codebase. In these cases, caution is advised.

Recommendation

Ensure the codebase is only used with trusted AAVE versions or forks.

6. CustomProxyAdmin.sol: propose() method accepts ETH in all cases

The propose() method accepts funds even when it is not being used during proposal approval. This might be unintentional in the cases of ProposalType.ADMIN and ProposalType.UPGRADE.

Recommendation

Whilst this situation is recoverable, it is recommended to validate or return the extra funds sent when it is not used.

7. FeeDistributor.sol: lidoAgent cannot be updated

Consider implementing a setLidoAgent() function in case lidoAgent needs to be updated in the future.



8. ICustomProxyAdmin.sol: Event SignerChanged() is not specific enough

The SignerChanged() event is not specific to which particular signer has been changed.

Recommendation

Consider implementing separate Signer1Changed() and Signer2Changed() events.



Disclaimer

Solidified audit is not a security warranty, investment advice, or an endorsement of Delphi Labs or its products. This audit does not provide a security or correctness guarantee of the audited smart contract. Securing smart contracts is a multistep process, therefore running a bug bounty program as a complement to this audit is strongly recommended.

The individual audit reports are anonymized and combined during a debrief process, in order to provide an unbiased delivery and protect the auditors of Solidified platform from legal and financial liability.

Solidified Technologies Inc.