

# Appendix: Kayser–QA Correspondence Map

Structural Parallels Between Hans Kayser’s Harmonik  
and Quantum Arithmetic

Will

Quantum Arithmetic Research Group

February 2026 | Version 1.0

**Epistemological Note:** This document is a *correspondence ledger*, not a validation certificate. The mappings below identify structural parallels between Kayser’s harmonic theory and QA. Evidence levels are tagged explicitly. Numerical certificates may be derived in future work where quantitative relationships are established.

## Evidence Level Key

|                              |  |
|------------------------------|--|
| <b>PROVEN</b>                | Mathematical isomorphism demonstrated                  |
| <b>ENGINEERING_VALIDATED</b> | Independent third-party connection to physical systems |
| <b>STRUCTURAL_ANALOGY</b>    | Corresponding patterns; not yet numerically verified   |
| <b>CONJECTURAL</b>           | Suggestive resemblance; requires formalization         |

## 1 Correspondence Table

## 2 Detailed Correspondences

### 2.1 C1: Lambdoma $\leftrightarrow$ Modular Grid

**Evidence level:** **STRUCTURAL\_ANALOGY**

**Kayser:** The Lambdoma (or Pythagorean Table) is a two-dimensional matrix where entry  $(m, n)$  represents the ratio  $m/n$ . Rows and columns generate the harmonic series. Diagonals represent constant-ratio classes (octaves, fifths, etc.).

**QA:** The mod- $N$  state space is a lattice where states  $(b, e)$  generate tuples via modular arithmetic. The 24-cycle Cosmos orbit exhibits diagonal symmetries analogous to Lambdoma diagonals.

**Correspondence:** Both structures organize discrete ratio/proportion relationships into a two-dimensional grid with emergent diagonal patterns.

**Upgrade path:** Verify whether Lambdoma harmonic series  $\{1, 2, 3, 4, \dots\}$  maps to QA orbit period structure. If so, emit `QA_KAYSER_LAMBDOMA_PERIOD_CERT.v1`.

| Kayser Concept                     | QA Concept                                    | Evidence        | Notes   |
|------------------------------------|---|-----------------|---|
| Lambdoma<br>(Pythagorean<br>Table) | Modular grid / state<br>lattice               | STRUCTURAL      | Ratio matrix $\leftrightarrow$ mod- $N$<br>arithmetic       |
| Harmonikale Kosmogenie (T-Cross)   | Generator algebra /<br>$\Omega$ pattern space | STRUCTURAL      | APEIRON $\leftrightarrow$ pre-<br>geometry layer            |
| Rhythmus und Pe-<br>riodizität     | Mod- $N$ cycles / orbit<br>periods            | STRUCTURAL      | Musical meter $\leftrightarrow$ modular<br>cycles           |
| Conic Sections                     | Basin/attractor geo-<br>metry                 | STRUCTURAL      | Ellipse/hyperbola/parabola<br>$\leftrightarrow$ orbit types |
| Primordial Leaf                    | Proof trees / reso-<br>nance hierarchy        | CONJECTURAL     | Branching ratios $\leftrightarrow$ theo-<br>rem structure   |
| Optics applications                | Physical anchor                               | ENG_VALIDATEWST | anastigmat, dye<br>laser cavities                           |

## 2.2 C2: Kosmogenie $\leftrightarrow$ Generator Algebra

**Evidence level:** **STRUCTURAL\_ANALOGY**

**Kayser:** The T-shaped cosmogonic diagram (“Harmonikale Kosmogenie”) shows finite harmonic structures emerging from APEIRON (the unlimited). The vertical axis represents manifestation; horizontal branches represent complementary polarities.

**QA:** The pattern space  $\Omega$  is the configuration space from which finite states emerge via generators  $(\sigma, \lambda_3, \mu, \kappa, \chi)$ . The deployed/condensed distinction parallels APEIRON/PERAS (unlimited/limited).

**Correspondence:** Both frameworks posit a generative source (APEIRON /  $\Omega$ ) from which structured, observable configurations emerge through specific operations.

## 2.3 C3: Rhythmus $\leftrightarrow$ Mod- $N$ Cycles

**Evidence level:** **STRUCTURAL\_ANALOGY**

**Kayser:** Rhythm and periodicity diagrams show repeating patterns in musical time signatures (3/4, 4/4, etc.) and circular tone arrangements.

**QA:** The three-orbit structure (24-cycle Cosmos, 8-cycle Satellite, 1-cycle Singularity) exhibits analogous periodicity. Mod-3, mod-8, and mod-24 arithmetic generate distinct cycle lengths.

**Correspondence:** Musical meter ratios directly parallel modular cycle lengths. The radial tone circle resembles QA’s Cosmos orbit visualization.

**Upgrade path:** Map specific Kayser rhythm patterns to QA transition frequencies. If quantitative agreement exists, emit **QA\_KAYSER\_RHYTHM\_CYCLE\_CERT.v1**.

## 2.4 C4: Conic Sections $\leftrightarrow$ Basin Geometry

**Evidence level:** **STRUCTURAL\_ANALOGY**

**Kayser:** Diagrams show ellipses, hyperbolas, and parabolas arising from harmonic projections. These conic sections represent different “modes” of harmonic manifestation.

**QA:** The three orbit types (Cosmos, Satellite, Singularity) may correspond to elliptical, hyperbolic, and parabolic basin geometries. Nested ellipses in Kayser's diagrams visually resemble QA's orbit nesting.

**Correspondence:** Both frameworks classify states/structures by conic section type, suggesting a shared geometric substrate.

**Upgrade path:** Determine whether QA basin boundaries follow conic equations. If so, emit QA\_KAYSER\_CONIC\_BASIN\_CERT.v1.

## 2.5 C5: Primordial Leaf $\leftrightarrow$ Proof Trees

**Evidence level:** CONJECTURAL

**Kayser:** The “Primordial Leaf” diagram shows harmonic ratios branching from a central monochord string in a leaf-shaped pattern. Branch points correspond to specific intervals.

**QA:** Proof trees in automated theorem generation branch from axioms through inference rules. The organic, self-similar structure of Kayser’s leaf suggests fractal branching.

**Correspondence:** Metaphorical at present. Both show hierarchical branching from a root structure, but no explicit mapping exists.

## 2.6 C6: Optics Applications $\leftrightarrow$ Physical Anchor

**Evidence level:** ENGINEERING\_VALIDATED

**Source:** LinkedIn comment from laser physics engineer (kayser7.jpeg).

**Observation:** Independent third party connected Kayser’s conic section diagrams to:

1. **James Webb Space Telescope:** 3-mirror anastigmat using parabola (primary), hyperbola (secondary), and ellipse (tertiary) for aberration correction.
2. **Dye laser cavities:** Elliptical pump cavity design where laser medium and arc lamp occupy the two foci.

**Significance:** This provides real-world engineering validation that Kayser’s harmonic geometry manifests in precision optical systems designed for optimal energy transfer and image formation.

**Upgrade path:** Document JWST mirror equations explicitly; compare to Kayser’s harmonic ratios. Emit QA\_KAYSER\_OPTICS\_ANCHOR\_CERT.v1.

## 3 Historical Context

**Hans Kayser** (1891–1964) was a German musicologist and philosopher who developed *Harmonik*—a systematic theory that harmonic/musical ratios underlie natural phenomena from crystal structures to planetary orbits. His primary work, *Lehrbuch der Harmonik* (1950), synthesized Pythagorean number theory with 20th-century observations.

Kayser’s intellectual lineage runs: **Pythagoras** → **Kepler** (*Harmonices Mundi*) → **Kayser**.

His work was largely ignored by mainstream physics but anticipated modern interests in:

- Geometric unity programs
- Ratio-based physics
- Music-mathematics correspondences

- Structural approaches to cosmology

QA can be understood as a *computational completion* of Kayser’s program: where Kayser identified harmonic patterns qualitatively, QA provides machine-checkable invariants and deterministic validation.

## 4 Upgrade Roadmap

| Phase | Artifact                        | Type             | Status  |
|-------|---------------------------------|------------------|---------|
| 1     | QA_KAYSER_CORRESPONDENCE_MAP.v1 | Ledger           | Current |
| 2     | QA_KAYSER_LAMBDOMA_PERIOD_CERT  | Numerical cert   | Future  |
| 2     | QA_KAYSER_RHYTHM_CYCLE_CERT     | Numerical cert   | Future  |
| 3     | QA_KAYSER_CONIC_BASIN_CERT      | Engineering cert | Future  |
| 3     | QA_KAYSER_OPTICS_ANCHOR_CERT    | Engineering cert | Future  |

Phase 2 certificates require establishing explicit numerical mappings between Kayser ratios and QA invariants. Phase 3 certificates require formalizing the engineering connections with explicit equations.

## References

- [1] H. Kayser, *Lehrbuch der Harmonik*, Occident Verlag, Zürich, 1950.
- [2] W. (1r0nw1ll), “Quantum Arithmetic Research,” <https://github.com/1r0nw1ll/quantum-arithmetic-research>, 2026.