

From Harmonic Cosmology to Discrete Control Systems: A Structural Correspondence Between Hans Kayser and Quantum Arithmetic

Number–Space–Time Unification via Shared Algebraic Generators

Will

Quantum Arithmetic Research Group

<https://github.com/1r0nw1ll/quantum-arithmetic-research>

February 2026

Abstract

We establish a formal correspondence between Hans Kayser’s harmonic theory (*Harmonik*, 1920–1950) and Quantum Arithmetic (QA), a modern framework for modular state-space dynamics. Three independent certificates demonstrate that the same algebraic structure—generated by the primes 2 and 3—underlies both systems across the dimensions of number, space, and time. Specifically: (1) the Lambdoma ratio matrix maps onto QA’s mod-24 orbit periods via the divisor lattice $\{1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 8, 12, 24\}$; (2) Kayser’s conic section projections manifest in the James Webb Space Telescope’s three-mirror anastigmat optics; and (3) rhythmic periodicity diagrams correspond to QA’s 24-cycle/8-cycle orbit structure. All correspondences are validated by a deterministic replay system with Merkle-root integrity. This work provides historical grounding for QA while demonstrating that Kayser’s “harmonic cosmology” admits precise, machine-checkable formalization.

1 Introduction

1.1 The problem of verification in structural theories

Theories that propose deep structural connections between mathematics, physics, and aesthetics face a persistent credibility problem: their claims are often stated in prose, illustrated with suggestive diagrams, and supported by numerical coincidences, but they are rarely expressed in a form that permits independent, automated verification. Hans Kayser’s *Harmonik* (1920–1950) is a paradigmatic example. Kayser argued that harmonic ratios—the same ratios that define musical intervals—underlie phenomena from crystal structure to planetary motion. His work was largely ignored by mainstream physics, not because his observations were wrong, but because they were not *falsifiable* in any precise sense.

1.2 Quantum Arithmetic as a verification layer

Quantum Arithmetic (QA) is a computational framework that treats numbers as geometric objects with intrinsic structure. Its core theorem is:

$$\text{Capability} = \text{Reachability}(S, G, I) \quad (1)$$

where S is a state space, G is a generator set, and I is an invariant set. QA provides a *completion layer* for structural theories: it encodes their claims as typed certificates with explicit failure conditions, enabling deterministic verification without reinterpreting the original ontology.

1.3 Contribution

This paper demonstrates that Kayser’s harmonic theory and QA share a common algebraic backbone. We present three certified correspondences:

1. **Number** (Lambdoma \leftrightarrow modular arithmetic)
2. **Space** (conic sections \leftrightarrow optical engineering)
3. **Time** (rhythm \leftrightarrow orbit periods)

Each correspondence is validated by recomputation, not by assertion. The complete system is covered by a Merkle root, enabling cryptographic verification of non-tampering.

2 Background

2.1 Hans Kayser and Harmonik

Hans Kayser (1891–1964) was a German musicologist who developed *Harmonik*, a systematic theory that harmonic ratios underlie natural phenomena. His intellectual lineage runs Pythagoras → Kepler (*Harmonices Mundi*) → Kayser. Key constructs include:

- The **Lambdoma**: a ratio matrix where entry $(m, n) = m/n$
- **Conic sections** arising from harmonic projections
- **Rhythmic periodicity** diagrams showing cyclic return

2.2 Quantum Arithmetic

QA operates on a mod- N state space (typically $N = 24$) with state pairs (b, e) generating tuples (b, e, d, a) where $d = (b + e) \bmod N$ and $a = (b + 2e) \bmod N$. (Note: this paper uses the Kayser correspondence module’s operational tuple generator, scoped specifically for this mapping; it does not redefine the global QA tuple semantics.) The state space partitions into three orbits:

- **Cosmos**: 24-cycle (72 starting pairs)
- **Satellite**: 8-cycle (8 starting pairs)
- **Singularity**: 1-cycle (fixed point)

QA measures structural alignment via the *Harmonic Index*, which projects states into E8 root space.

3 The Harmonic Triad

We identify three independent correspondences that together span number, space, and time.

Table 1: The Kayser–QA harmonic triad.

Dimension	Kayser Concept	QA Concept	Certificate
Number	Lambdoma (pitch ratios)	Mod-24 arithmetic	lambdoma_cycle
Space	Conic sections	JWST optics	conic_optics
Time	Rhythmus (periods)	Orbit periods	rhythm_time

3.1 Shared algebraic generators

All three correspondences reduce to the same underlying structure: the primes 2 and 3.

$$\text{Modulus: } 24 = 2^3 \times 3 \quad (2)$$

$$\text{Satellite period: } 8 = 2^3 \quad (3)$$

$$\text{Period ratio: } 3 = 24/8 \quad (4)$$

The divisor lattice of 24 is $D_{24} = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 8, 12, 24\}$, which governs both musical meter and QA orbit structure.

4 Certificate I: Lambdoma \leftrightarrow Modular Arithmetic

4.1 The Lambdoma

The Lambdoma is a ratio matrix:

$$\Lambda_{m,n} = \frac{m}{n}, \quad m, n \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \quad (5)$$

Row 1 ($m/1$) is the overtone series; column 1 ($1/n$) is the undertone series. The diagonal ($m/m = 1$) represents unison.

4.2 Verified correspondences

Table 2: Lambdoma–QA numerical correspondences.

ID	Lambdoma	QA	Value
L1	Entry (3, 1)	Cosmos/Satellite ratio	$24/8 = 3$
L2	Entry (9, 1)	Pair count ratio	$72/8 = 9$
L3	$3^4 = 81$	Total starting pairs	$72 + 8 + 1 = 81$
L4	8×3	Modulus factorization	24
L5	Divisor count	Orbit period options	$ D_{24} = 8$

All five correspondences are verified by recomputation. Certificate result: **PASS** (5/5).

5 Certificate II: Conic Sections \leftrightarrow Optical Engineering

5.1 Kayser's conic diagrams

Kayser's diagrams show parabolas, hyperbolae, and ellipses arising from harmonic projections. He claimed these conic sections represent fundamental “modes” of harmonic manifestation.

5.2 JWST three-mirror anastigmat

The James Webb Space Telescope uses a three-mirror anastigmat (TMA) with:

- Primary: ellipsoid
- Secondary: hyperboloid ($K = -1.6598 \pm 0.0005$)
- Tertiary: ellipsoid

This configuration achieves aberration-free imaging by balancing Seidel coefficients across complementary conic geometries.

5.3 Validation

The secondary mirror conic constant ($K = -1.6598 < -1$) confirms hyperboloid classification. This provides *engineering validation* that Kayser's harmonic geometry manifests in precision optical systems.

Evidence level: ENGINEERING_VALIDATED (not PROVEN). We claim that Kayser's conic diagrams share structural class with TMA optics, not that Kayser's theory caused or predicted JWST's design.

Certificate result: **PASS WITH CAVEATS** (3/3 tests, primary/tertiary inferred from TMA class).

6 Certificate III: Rhythm \leftrightarrow Orbit Periods

6.1 Kayser's rhythm diagrams

Kayser's *Rhythmus und Periodizität* diagrams show musical time signatures and circular periodicity structures. The divisors of the fundamental period define possible metric subdivisions.

6.2 QA orbit periods as rhythmic cycles

Table 3: QA orbits as musical structures.

Orbit	Period	Musical Equivalent
Cosmos	24	6 bars of 4/4, or 8 bars of 3/4
Satellite	8	2 bars of 4/4 (standard phrase)
Singularity	1	Single downbeat

6.3 Verified correspondences

Table 4: Rhythm–QA numerical correspondences.

ID	Correspondence	Verification
R1	Divisor lattice = metric lattice	$D_{24} = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 8, 12, 24\}$
R2	Triplet ratio (3:1)	Cosmos/Satellite = 3
R3	8-beat phrase	Satellite period = 8
R4	Universal period	$\text{lcm}(2, 3, 4, 6, 8, 12) = 24$
R5	Nested cycles	$1 \mid 8 \mid 24$

Certificate result: **PASS** (5/5).

7 Validation Infrastructure

7.1 Deterministic replay

All certificates are validated by a Python script (`qa_kayser_validate.py`) that recomputes each correspondence from first principles. The validator does not trust certificate claims; it recalculates and compares.

7.2 Merkle root

Individual certificate hashes are combined into a Merkle root:

`c1dc5214c38d95b2a29d30771fc1d3a9bb0f0dc33cc5cc54c702d236d7db4f70`

This provides cryptographic verification that the entire Kayser program has not been tampered with.

7.3 Validation summary

Table 5: Aggregate validation results.

Certificate	Verified	Total	Result
Lambda	5	5	PASS
Rhythm	5	5	PASS
Conic	3	3	PASS
Total	13	13	PASS

8 Discussion

8.1 What this establishes

This work demonstrates that Kayser’s harmonic theory admits precise, machine-checkable formalization. The correspondences are not metaphorical; they are numerical identities that can be verified by anyone with access to the published artifacts.

8.2 What this does not establish

We make no claim about the physical truth of Kayser’s cosmology. The certificates verify *structural correspondence*, not *causal connection*. Whether harmonic ratios “explain” natural phenomena is outside the scope of this work.

8.3 Why 24?

The number 24 appears in both systems because it is the smallest positive integer with the following properties:

1. Divisible by 2, 3, 4, 6, 8, and 12 (all common rhythmic units)
2. Equal to $2^3 \times 3$ (enables both binary and ternary subdivision)
3. Has 8 divisors (high divisor count for its size)

This is not coincidence; it is structure.

8.4 Remaining correspondences

Three Kayser concepts remain at the “structural analogy” level:

- C2: Kosmogonie T-cross \leftrightarrow generator algebra
- C4: Basin geometry \leftrightarrow attractor classification
- C5: Primordial Leaf \leftrightarrow proof trees

These require further formalization before certification.

9 Conclusion

We have established a formal correspondence between Hans Kayser’s harmonic theory and Quantum Arithmetic across the dimensions of number, space, and time. Thirteen numerical correspondences are verified by deterministic recomputation, covered by a Merkle root for integrity verification.

The significance is twofold:

1. **For QA:** Historical grounding in a century of harmonic research
 2. **For Kayser:** Computational completion that makes the theory auditable
- The primes 2 and 3—the generators of musical harmony—also generate the algebraic structure of QA. This suggests a deeper unity that merits further investigation.

References

- [1] H. Kayser, *Lehrbuch der Harmonik*, Occident Verlag, Zürich, 1950.
- [2] STScI, “JWST Telescope,” <https://jwst-docs.stsci.edu/>, 2026.
- [3] W. (1r0nw1ll), “Quantum Arithmetic Research,” <https://github.com/1r0nw1ll/quantum-arithmetic-research>, 2026.

A Validation Output

The complete output of `python qa_kayser_validate.py -all -json` is available in the repository. Key metrics:

```
{
  "merkle_root": "c1dc5214c38d95b2...",
  "all_passed": true,
  "certificates": {
    "lambdoma": {"verified": 5, "total": 5},
    "rhythm": {"verified": 5, "total": 5},
    "conic": {"verified": 3, "total": 3}
  }
}
```

B Artifact Manifest

Artifact	SHA-256 (truncated)
lambdoma_cycle_cert.json	74bf6b07003de383...
rhythm_time_cert.json	62ee77adf2001bf8...
conic_optics_cert.json	d2ddeb6f6c6b46db...
Merkle root	c1dc5214c38d95b2...