02_logistic_regression

December 21, 2019

1 Kaggle Titanic survival - logistic regression model

Can we predict which passengers would survive the sinking of the Titanic?

See:

https://www.kaggle.com/c/titanic/overview/evaluation

https://gitlab.com/michaelallen1966/1908_coding_club_kaggle_titanic

The original data comes from:

https://www.kaggle.com/c/titanic/data

Though we will download and use a data set that has been pre-processed ready for machine learning.

The data includes:

Variable	Definition
survival	Survival $(0 = \text{No}, 1 = \text{Yes})$
pclass	Ticket class
sex	Sex
Age	Age in years
sibsp	# of siblings / spouses aboard the Titanic
parch	# of parents / children aboard the Titanic
ticket	Ticket number
fare	Passenger fare
cabin	Cabin number
embarked	Port of Embarkation(C=Cherbourg, Q=Queenstown, S=Southampton)

1.1 Logistic regression

In this example we will use logistic regression (see https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Logistic_regression).

For an introductory video on logistic regression see: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=yIYKR4sgzI8

Logistic regression takes a range of features (which we will normalise/standardise to put on the same scale) and returns a probability that a certain classification (survival in this case) is true.

We will go through the following steps:

- Download and save pre-processed data
- Split data into features (X) and label (y)
- Split data into training and test sets (we will test on data that has not been used to fit the model)
- Standardise data
- Fit a logistic regression model (from sklearn learn)
- Predict survival of the test set, and assess accuracy
- Review model coefficients (weights) to see importance of features
- Show probability of survival for passengers

1.2 Load modules

A standard Anaconda install of Python (https://www.anaconda.com/distribution/) contains all the necessary modules.

```
[1]: import numpy as np
import pandas as pd
# Import machine learning methods
from sklearn.linear_model import LogisticRegression
from sklearn.model_selection import train_test_split
from sklearn.preprocessing import StandardScaler
```

1.3 Load data

The section below downloads pre-processed data, and saves it to a subfolder (from where this code is run). If data has already been downloaded that cell may be skipped.

Code that was used to pre-process the data ready for machine learning may be found at: https://github.com/MichaelAllen1966/1804_python_healthcare/blob/master/titanic/01_preprocessing.ipynb

```
# Save data
data.to_csv(data_directory+'processed_data.csv')
```

[3]: data = pd.read_csv('data/processed_data.csv')

1.4 Examine loaded data

[4]:

data.head(10)

The data is in the form of a Pandas DataFrame, so we have column headers providing information of what is contained in each column.

We will use the DataFrame .head() method to show the first few rows of the imported DataFrame. By default this shows the first 5 rows. Here we will look at the first 10.

[4]: Unnamed: 0 PassengerId Survived Unnamed: 0.1 Pclass SibSp Age 22.0 38.0 26.0 35.0 35.0 28.0 54.0 2.0 27.0 14.0 Parch AgeImputed Embarked_missing CabinLetter A Fare 7.2500 False 71.2833 False 7.9250 False 53.1000 False 8.0500 False 8.4583 True 51.8625 False 21.0750 False 11.1333 False 30.0708 False

	CabinLetter_B	${\tt CabinLetter_C}$	CabinLetter_D	CabinLetter_E	CabinLetter_F	\
0	0	0	0	0	0	
1	0	1	0	0	0	
2	0	0	0	0	0	
3	0	1	0	0	0	
4	0	0	0	0	0	
5	0	0	0	0	0	
6	0	0	0	1	0	

7 0 0 0 0 8 0 0 0 0 9 0 0 0 0	0 0 0
CabinLetter_G CabinLetter_T CabinLetter_missing	
0 0 1	
1 0 0 0	
2 0 0 1	
3 0 0 0	
4 0 0 1	
5 0 0 1	
6 0 0 0	
7 0 0 1	
8 0 0 1	
9 0 0 1	

[10 rows x 28 columns]

We can also show a summary of the data with the .describe() method

[5]: data.describe()

[5]:		Unnamed: 0	Unnamed:	0.1 Passen	gerId	Surviv	ed Pclass	s \
	count	891.000000	891.000		_	891.0000	00 891.000000)
	mean	445.000000	445.000	000 446.0	00000	0.3838	38 2.308642	2
	std	257.353842	257.353	842 257.3	53842	0.4865	92 0.836071	L
	min	0.000000	0.000	000 1.0	00000	0.0000	00 1.000000)
	25%	222.500000	222.500	000 223.5	00000	0.0000	00 2.000000)
	50%	445.000000	445.000	000 446.0	00000	0.0000	00 3.000000)
	75%	667.500000	667.500	000 668.5	00000	1.0000	00 3.000000)
	max	890.000000	890.000	000 891.0	00000	1.0000	00 3.000000)
		Age	SibS	p Par	ch	Fare	CabinNumber	\
	count	891.000000	891.00000	0 891.0000	00 89	1.000000	891.000000	•••
	mean	29.361582	0.52300	8 0.3815	94 3	2.204208	11.021324	•••
	std	13.019697	1.10274	3 0.8060	57 4	9.693429	26.638204	
	min	0.420000	0.00000	0.0000	00	0.000000	0.000000	
	25%	22.000000	0.00000	0.0000	00	7.910400	0.000000	
	50%	28.000000	0.00000	0.0000	00 1	4.454200	0.000000	
	75%	35.000000	1.00000	0.0000	00 3	1.000000	0.000000	
	max	80.000000	8.00000	0 6.0000	00 51	2.329200	148.000000	•••
		_	•	-		_	CabinLetter_(
	count	891.0	00000	891.000000	89	1.000000	891.000000)
	mean	0.0	02245	0.016835		0.052750	0.066218	3
	std	0.0	47351	0.128725		0.223659	0.248802	2
	min	0.0	00000	0.000000		0.000000	0.00000)

25% 50% 75% max	0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 1.0000	00 0.0000 00 0.0000	00 0.0000 00 0.0000	00 0.000000 00 0.000000
count mean std min 25% 50% 75% max	CabinLetter_D 891.000000 0.037037 0.188959 0.000000 0.000000 0.0000000 1.0000000	CabinLetter_E 891.000000 0.035915 0.186182 0.000000 0.000000 0.0000000 1.0000000	CabinLetter_F 891.000000 0.014590 0.119973 0.000000 0.000000 0.0000000 1.0000000	CabinLetter_G \ 891.000000 0.004489 0.066890 0.000000 0.000000 0.000000 1.000000
count mean std min 25% 50% 75% max	CabinLetter_T 891.000000 0.001122 0.033501 0.000000 0.000000 0.000000 1.0000000	0.4 0.0 1.0 1.0	•	

[8 rows x 23 columns]

The first column is a passenger index number. We will remove this, as this is not part of the original Titanic passenger data.

2 Drop Passengerid (axis=1 indicates we are removing a column rather than a row)

data.drop('PassengerId', inplace=True, axis=1)

2.1 Looking at a summary of passengers who survived or did not survive

Before running machine learning models, it is always good to have a look at your data. Here we will separate passengers who survived from those who died, and we will have a look at differences in features.

We will use a *mask* to select and filter passengers

```
[6]: mask = data['Survived'] == 1 # Mask for passengers who survive survived = data[mask] # filter using mask
```

```
mask = data['Survived'] == 0 # Mask for passengers who died
died = data[mask] # filter using mask
```

Now let's look at average (mean) values for survived and died

[7]: survived.mean()

[7]:	Unnamed: 0	443.368421
	Unnamed: 0.1	443.368421
	PassengerId	444.368421
	Survived	1.000000
	Pclass	1.950292
	Age	28.291433
	SibSp	0.473684
	Parch	0.464912
	Fare	48.395408
	AgeImputed	0.152047
	${\tt EmbarkedImputed}$	0.005848
	${\tt CabinLetterImputed}$	0.602339
	CabinNumber	18.961988
	${\tt CabinNumberImputed}$	0.611111
	male	0.318713
	Embarked_C	0.271930
	Embarked_Q	0.087719
	Embarked_S	0.634503
	Embarked_missing	0.005848
	CabinLetter_A	0.020468
	CabinLetter_B	0.102339
	CabinLetter_C	0.102339
	CabinLetter_D	0.073099
	CabinLetter_E	0.070175
	CabinLetter_F	0.023392
	CabinLetter_G	0.005848
	CabinLetter_T	0.000000
	CabinLetter_missing	0.602339
	dtype: float64	

died.mean()

We can make looking at them side by side more easy by putting these values in a new DataFrame.

```
[8]: summary = pd.DataFrame() # New empty DataFrame
summary['survived'] = survived.mean()
summary['died'] = died.mean()
```

Now let's look at them side by side. See if you can spot what features you think might have influenced survival.

[9]: summary

[9]:		survived	died
	Unnamed: 0	443.368421	446.016393
	Unnamed: 0.1	443.368421	446.016393
	PassengerId	444.368421	447.016393
	Survived	1.000000	0.000000
	Pclass	1.950292	2.531876
	Age	28.291433	30.028233
	SibSp	0.473684	0.553734
	Parch	0.464912	0.329690
	Fare	48.395408	22.117887
	AgeImputed	0.152047	0.227687
	${\tt EmbarkedImputed}$	0.005848	0.000000
	${\tt CabinLetterImputed}$	0.602339	0.876138
	CabinNumber	18.961988	6.074681
	${\tt CabinNumberImputed}$	0.611111	0.885246
	male	0.318713	0.852459
	Embarked_C	0.271930	0.136612
	${\tt Embarked_Q}$	0.087719	0.085610
	Embarked_S	0.634503	0.777778
	Embarked_missing	0.005848	0.000000
	CabinLetter_A	0.020468	0.014572
	CabinLetter_B	0.102339	0.021858
	CabinLetter_C	0.102339	0.043716
	CabinLetter_D	0.073099	0.014572
	CabinLetter_E	0.070175	0.014572
	CabinLetter_F	0.023392	0.009107
	CabinLetter_G	0.005848	0.003643
	CabinLetter_T	0.000000	0.001821
	CabinLetter_missing	0.602339	0.876138

2.2 Divide into X (features) and y (lables)

We will separate out our features (the data we use to make a prediction) from our label (what we are truing to predict). By convention our features are called X (usually upper case to denote multiple features), and the label (survvive or not) y.

```
[10]: X = data.drop('Survived',axis=1) # X = all 'data' except the 'survived' column y = data['Survived'] # y = 'survived' column from 'data'
```

2.3 Divide into training and tets sets

When we test a machine learning model we should always test it on data that has not been used to train the model. We will use sklearn's train_test_split method to randomly split the data: 75% for training, and 25% for testing.

```
[11]: X_train, X_test, y_train, y_test = train_test_split(X, y, test_size = 0.25)
```

2.4 Standardise data

We want all of out features to be on roughly the same scale. This generally leads to a better model, and also allows us to more easily compare the importance of different features.

One simple method is to scale all features 0-1 (by subtracting the minimum value for each value, and dividing by the new remaining maximum value).

But a more common method used in many machine learning methods is standardisation, where we use the mean and standard deviation of the training set of data to normalise the data. We subtract the mean of the test set values, and divide by the standard deviation of the training data. Note that the mean and standard deviation of the training data are used to standardise the test set data as well.

Here we will use sklearn's StandardScaler method. This method also copes with problems we might otherwise have (such as if one feature has zero standard deviation in the training set).

```
[12]: def standardise_data(X_train, X_test):
    # Initialise a new scaling object for normalising input data
    sc = StandardScaler()

# Set up the scaler just on the training set
    sc.fit(X_train)

# Apply the scaler to the training and test sets
    train_std=sc.transform(X_train)
    test_std=sc.transform(X_test)

return train_std, test_std
```

```
[13]: X_train_std, X_test_std = standardise_data(X_train, X_test)
```

2.5 Fit logistic regression model

Now we will fir a logistic regression model, using sklearns LogisticRegression method. Our machine learning model fitting is only two lines of code! By using the name model for ur logistic regression model we will make our model more interchangeable later on.

```
[14]: model = LogisticRegression()
model.fit(X_train_std,y_train)
```

```
/home/michael/anaconda3/lib/python3.7/site-packages/sklearn/linear_model/logistic.py:432: FutureWarning: Default solver
```

will be changed to 'lbfgs' in 0.22. Specify a solver to silence this warning. FutureWarning)

2.6 Predict values

Now we can use the trained model to predict survival. We will test the accuracy of both the training and test data sets.

```
[15]: # Predict training and test set labels
y_pred_train = model.predict(X_train_std)
y_pred_test = model.predict(X_test_std)
```

2.7 Calculate accuracy

In this example we will measure accuracy simply as the proportion of passengers where we make the correct prediction. In a later notebook we will look at other measures of accuracy which explore false positives and false negatives in more detail.

```
[16]: accuracy_train = np.mean(y_pred_train == y_train)
accuracy_test = np.mean(y_pred_test == y_test)

print ('Accuracy of predicting training data =', accuracy_train)
print ('Accuracy of predicting test data =', accuracy_test)
```

```
Accuracy of predicting training data = 0.8143712574850299
Accuracy of predicting test data = 0.7802690582959642
```

Not bad - about 80% accuracy. You will probably see that accuracy of predicting the training set is usually higher than the test set. Because we are only testing one random sample, you may occasionally see otherwise. In later note books we will look at the best way to repeat multiple tests, and look at what to do if the accuracy of the training set is significantly higher than the test set (a problem called 'over-fitting').

2.8 Examining the model coefficients (weights)

Not all features are equally important. And some may be of little or no use at all, unnecessarily increasing the complexity of the model. In a later notebook we will look at selecting features which add value to the model (or removing features that don't).

Here we will look at the importance of features – how they affect our estimation of survival. These are known as the model *coefficients* (if you come from a traditional statistics background), or model *weights* (if you come from a machine learning background).

Because we have standardised our input data the magnitude of the weights may be compared as an indicator of their influence in the model. Weights with higher negative numbers mean that that feature correlates with reduced chance of survival. Weights with higher positive numbers mean that that feature correlates with increased chance of survival. Those weights with values closer to zero (either positive or negative) have less influence in the model.

We access the model weights my examining the model coef_ attribute. The model may predict more than one outcome label, in which case we have weights for each label. Because we are predicting a signle label (survive or not), the weights are found in the first element ([0]) of the coef_ attribute.

```
[17]: co_eff = model.coef_[0]
co_eff
```

```
[17]: array([-0.00248957, -0.00248957, -0.00248957, -0.95624158, -0.6785179, -0.38883306, -0.13929632, 0.19672427, -0.0599065, 0.07967199, 0.05939782, 0.08163159, -0.41901589, -1.37158941, 0.04645157, 0.06496513, -0.0886454, 0.07967199, -0.08496594, -0.04711795, -0.25400325, 0.04995434, 0.19512391, 0.15447834, -0.02618731, -0.14696925, 0.05939782])
```

So we have an array of model weights.

Not very readable for us mere humans is it?!

We will transfer the weights array to a Pandas DataFrame. The array order is in the same order of the list of features of X, so we will put that those into the DataFrame as well. And we will sort by influence in the model. Because both large negative and positive values are more influential in the model we will take the *absolute* value of the weight (that is remove any negative sign), and then sort by that absolute value. That will give us a more readable table of most influential features in the model.

```
[18]: co_eff_df = pd.DataFrame() # create empty DataFrame
    co_eff_df['feature'] = list(X) # Get feature names from X
    co_eff_df['co_eff'] = co_eff
    co_eff_df['abs_co_eff'] = np.abs(co_eff)
    co_eff_df.sort_values(by='abs_co_eff', ascending=False, inplace=True)
```

```
[19]: co_eff_df
```

```
[19]:
                       feature
                                   co_eff
                                            abs_co_eff
      13
                          male -1.371589
                                              1.371589
      3
                        Pclass -0.956242
                                              0.956242
      4
                           Age -0.678518
                                              0.678518
      12
           CabinNumberImputed -0.419016
                                              0.419016
      5
                         SibSp -0.388833
                                              0.388833
```

```
20
          CabinLetter_C -0.254003
                                      0.254003
7
                         0.196724
                   Fare
                                      0.196724
22
          CabinLetter E
                         0.195124
                                      0.195124
23
          CabinLetter_F 0.154478
                                      0.154478
          CabinLetter_T -0.146969
25
                                      0.146969
6
                  Parch -0.139296
                                      0.139296
16
             Embarked S -0.088645
                                      0.088645
18
          CabinLetter_A -0.084966
                                      0.084966
11
            CabinNumber 0.081632
                                      0.081632
9
        EmbarkedImputed 0.079672
                                      0.079672
17
       Embarked missing 0.079672
                                      0.079672
15
             Embarked_Q
                         0.064965
                                      0.064965
8
             AgeImputed -0.059906
                                      0.059906
26
    CabinLetter_missing 0.059398
                                      0.059398
10
     CabinLetterImputed 0.059398
                                      0.059398
21
          CabinLetter_D 0.049954
                                      0.049954
19
          CabinLetter_B -0.047118
                                      0.047118
14
             Embarked_C 0.046452
                                      0.046452
24
          CabinLetter_G -0.026187
                                      0.026187
           Unnamed: 0.1 -0.002490
1
                                      0.002490
2
            PassengerId -0.002490
                                      0.002490
0
             Unnamed: 0 -0.002490
                                      0.002490
```

So are three most influential features are:

- male (being male reduces probability of survival)
- Pclass (lower class passengers, who have a higher class number, reduces probability of survival)
- age (being older reduces probability of survival)

2.9 Show predicted probabilities

The predicted probabilities are for the two alternative classes 0 (does not survive) or 1 (survive).

Ordinarily we do not see these probabilities - the **predict** method used above applies a cut-off of 0.5 to classify passengers into survived or not, but we can see the individual probabilities for each passenger.

Later we will use these to adjust sensitivity of our model to detecting survivors or non-survivers.

Each passenger has two values. These are the probability of not surviving (first value) or surviving (second value). Because we only have two possible classes we only need to look at one. Multiple values are important when there are more than one class being predicted.

```
[20]: # Show first ten predicted classes
    classes = model.predict(X_test_std)
    classes[0:10]
```

```
[20]: array([1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0, 1, 1, 0])
```