19b_pytorch_class

January 2, 2020

1 Kaggle Titanic survival - PyTorch

In this workbook we build a neural network to predict survival. The two common frameworks used for neural networks (as of 2019) are TensorFlow and PyTorch. Both are excellent frameworks, but PyTorch is more natively Python in its syntax, and also allows for easier debugging (as the model may be interrupted, with a breakpoint, and debugged as necessary).

Both TensorFlow and PyTorch allow the neural network to be trained on a GPU, which is beneficial for large neural networks (especially those processing image or sound data).

Installation instructions for PyTorch may be found at pytorch.org. (If in doubt about what installation to use, use pip install and use CPU-only, not CUDA).

There are two versions of this workbook. This version uses a class-based method which offers some more flexibility (but at the cost of a little simplicity). The alternative version uses simpler form but at the cost of some flexibility. It is recommended to work through both methods.

1.1 Load modules

```
[1]: import numpy as np
  import pandas as pd

# sklearn for pre-processing
from sklearn.preprocessing import MinMaxScaler
from sklearn.model_selection import StratifiedKFold

# pytorch
import torch
from torch.autograd import Variable
import torch.nn.functional as F
import torch.utils.data as Data
```

1.2 Download data if not previously downloaded

1.3 Define function to calculate accuracy measurements

```
[3]: def calculate_accuracy(observed, predicted):
         Calculates a range of acuuracy scores from observed and predicted classes.
         Takes two list or NumPy arrays (observed class values, and predicted class
         values), and returns a dictionary of results.
          1) observed positive rate: proportion of observed cases that are +ve
          2) Predicted positive rate: proportion of predicted cases that are +ve
          3) observed negative rate: proportion of observed cases that are -ve
          4) Predicted neagtive rate: proportion of predicted cases that are -ve
          5) accuracy: proportion of predicted results that are correct
          6) precision: proportion of predicted +ve that are correct
          7) recall: proportion of true +ve correctly identified
          8) f1: harmonic mean of precision and recall
          9) sensitivity: Same as recall
         10) specificity: Proportion of true -ve identified:
         11) positive likelihood: increased probability of true +ve if test +ve
         12) negative likelihood: reduced probability of true +ve if test -ve
         13) false positive rate: proportion of false +ves in true -ve patients
         14) false negative rate: proportion of false -ves in true +ve patients
         15) true postive rate: Same as recall
```

```
16) true negative rate
17) positive predictive value: chance of true +ve if test +ve
18) negative predictive value: chance of true -ve if test -ve
11 11 11
# Converts list to NumPy arrays
if type(observed) == list:
    observed = np.array(observed)
if type(predicted) == list:
   predicted = np.array(predicted)
# Calculate accuracy scores
observed_positives = observed == 1
observed_negatives = observed == 0
predicted_positives = predicted == 1
predicted_negatives = predicted == 0
true_positives = (predicted_positives == 1) & (observed_positives == 1)
false_positives = (predicted_positives == 1) & (observed_positives == 0)
true_negatives = (predicted_negatives == 1) & (observed_negatives == 1)
accuracy = np.mean(predicted == observed)
precision = (np.sum(true_positives) /
             (np.sum(true_positives) + np.sum(false_positives)))
recall = np.sum(true_positives) / np.sum(observed_positives)
sensitivity = recall
f1 = 2 * ((precision * recall) / (precision + recall))
specificity = np.sum(true_negatives) / np.sum(observed_negatives)
positive_likelihood = sensitivity / (1 - specificity)
negative_likelihood = (1 - sensitivity) / specificity
false_positive_rate = 1 - specificity
false_negative_rate = 1 - sensitivity
true_positive_rate = sensitivity
```

```
true_negative_rate = specificity
positive_predictive_value = (np.sum(true_positives) /
                             np.sum(observed_positives))
negative_predicitive_value = (np.sum(true_negatives) /
                              np.sum(observed_positives))
# Create dictionary for results, and add results
results = dict()
results['observed_positive_rate'] = np.mean(observed_positives)
results['observed_negative_rate'] = np.mean(observed_negatives)
results['predicted positive_rate'] = np.mean(predicted positives)
results['predicted_negative_rate'] = np.mean(predicted_negatives)
results['accuracy'] = accuracy
results['precision'] = precision
results['recall'] = recall
results['f1'] = f1
results['sensivity'] = sensitivity
results['specificity'] = specificity
results['positive likelihood'] = positive likelihood
results['negative_likelihood'] = negative_likelihood
results['false positive rate'] = false positive rate
results['false_negative_rate'] = false_negative_rate
results['true_positive_rate'] = true_positive_rate
results['true_negative_rate'] = true_negative_rate
results['positive_predictive_value'] = positive_predictive_value
results['negative predicitive_value'] = negative_predicitive_value
return results
```

1.4 Define function to scale data

In neural networks it is common to to scale input data 0-1 rather than use standardisation (subtracting mean and dividing by standard deviation) of each feature).

```
[4]: def scale_data(X_train, X_test):
    """Scale data 0-1 based on min and max in training set"""

# Initialise a new scaling object for normalising input data
sc = MinMaxScaler()

# Set up the scaler just on the training set
sc.fit(X_train)
```

```
# Apply the scaler to the training and test sets
train_sc = sc.transform(X_train)
test_sc = sc.transform(X_test)
return train_sc, test_sc
```

1.5 Load data

```
[5]: data = pd.read_csv('data/processed_data.csv')
    data.drop('PassengerId', inplace=True, axis=1)
    X = data.drop('Survived', axis=1) # X = all 'data' except the 'survived' column
    y = data['Survived'] # y = 'survived' column from 'data'
    # Convert to NumPy as required for k-fold splits
    X_np = X.values
    y_np = y.values
```

2 Set up neural net

Here we use the class-based method to set up a PyTorch neural network. The network is the same as the sequential network we previously used, but is built using

We will put construction of the neural net into a separate function.

The neural net is a simple two layer network, but contains some useful additions (batch normalisation and dropout) as decribed below.

The layers of the network are:

- 1) An input layer (which does not need to be defined)
- 2) A linear fully-connected (dense) layer. This is defined by the number of inputs (the number of input features) and the number of outputs. We will expand out feature data set up to 240 outputs.
- 3) A batch normalisation layer. This is not usually used for small models, but can increase the speed of training for larger models. It is added here as an example of how to include it (in large models all dense layers would be followed by a batch normalisation layer). The layer definition includes the number of inputs to normalise.
- 4) A dropout layer. This layer randomly sets outputs from the preceding layer to zero during training (a different set of outputs is zeroed for each training iteration). This helps prevent over-fitting of the model to the training data. Typically between 0.1 and 0.3 outputs are set to zero (p=0.1 means 10% of outputs are set to zero).
- 5) An activation layer. In this case ReLU (rectified linear unit). ReLU activation is most common for the inner layers of a neural network. Negative input values are set to zero. Positive input values are left unchanged.
- 6) A layer to reduce output of ReLU down to two linear nodes
- 7) Apply sigmid activation to convert each node to range 0-1 output.

The output of the net are two numbers (corrsponding to scored for died/survived). These do not necessarily add up to one. The one with the highest value will be taken as the classification result.

3 Set up neural net

```
[6]: class Net(torch.nn.Module):

    def __init__(self, number_features):
        super(Net, self).__init__()
        self.fc1 = torch.nn.Linear(number_features, 240)
        self.bn = torch.nn.BatchNorm1d(240)
        self.fc2 = torch.nn.Linear(240, 2)

    def forward(self, x):
        x = self.fc1(x) # Fully connected layer
        x = self.bn(x) # Batch normalisation
        x = F.dropout(x, p=0.1) # Dropous layer
        x = F.relu(x) # ReLu activation
        x = self.fc2(x) # Fully connected layer
        x = torch.sigmoid(x) # Sigmoid output (0-1)

    return x
```

3.1 Run the model with k-fold validation

```
[7]: # Set up lists to hold results
    training_acc_results = []
    test_acc_results = []

# Set up splits
    skf = StratifiedKFold(n_splits = 5)
    skf.get_n_splits(X_np, y_np)

# Loop through the k-fold splits
    k_counter = 0

for train_index, test_index in skf.split(X, y):
        k_counter +=1
        print('K_fold {}'.format(k_counter),end=' ')

# Get X and Y train/test
        X_train, X_test = X_np[train_index], X_np[test_index]
        y_train, y_test = y_np[train_index], y_np[test_index]
```

```
# Scale X data
X_train_sc, X_test_sc = scale_data(X_train, X_test)
# Define network
number_features = X_train_sc.shape[1]
net = Net(number_features)
### Train model
# Note: Lots of these parameters may be fine tuned
# Set batch size (cases per batch - commonly 8-64)
batch size = 16
# Epochs (number of times to pass over data)
num_epochs = 200
# Learning rate (how much each bacth updates the model)
learning_rate = 0.003
# Calculate numebr of batches
batch_no = len(X_train_sc) // batch_size
criterion = torch.nn.CrossEntropyLoss()
optimizer = torch.optim.Adam(net.parameters(), lr=learning_rate)
for epoch in range(num_epochs):
    for i in range(batch_no):
        start = i * batch_size
        end = start + batch_size
        x_var = Variable(torch.FloatTensor(X_train_sc[start:end]))
        y_var = Variable(torch.LongTensor(y_train[start:end]))
        # Forward + Backward + Optimize
        optimizer.zero_grad()
        ypred_var = net(x_var)
        loss = criterion(ypred_var, y_var)
        loss.backward()
        optimizer.step()
# Test model
test_var = Variable(torch.FloatTensor(X_train_sc))
result = net(test_var)
values, labels = torch.max(result, 1)
y_pred_train = labels.data.numpy()
accuracy_train = np.mean(y_pred_train == y_train)
training_acc_results.append(accuracy_train)
test_var = Variable(torch.FloatTensor(X_test_sc))
result = net(test_var)
```

```
values, labels = torch.max(result, 1)
          y_pred_test = labels.data.numpy()
          accuracy_test = np.mean(y_pred_test == y_test)
          print(accuracy_test)
          test_acc_results.append(accuracy_test)
     K_fold 1 0.7988826815642458
     K_fold 2 0.7696629213483146
     K_fold 3 0.8146067415730337
     K_fold 4 0.8033707865168539
     K_fold 5 0.8370786516853933
          Show training and test results
 [8]: # Show individual accuracies on training data
      training_acc_results
 [8]: [0.8567415730337079,
       0.8611500701262272,
       0.8639551192145862,
       0.8611500701262272,
       0.8345021037868162]
 [9]: # Show individual accuracies on test data
      test_acc_results
 [9]: [0.7988826815642458,
       0.7696629213483146,
       0.8146067415730337,
       0.8033707865168539,
       0.8370786516853933]
[10]: # Get mean results
      mean_training = np.mean(training_acc_results)
      mean_test = np.mean(test_acc_results)
      # Display each to three decimal places
      print ('{0:.3f}, {1:.3}'.format(mean_training,mean_test))
```

3.3 Plot results: Box Plot

0.855, 0.805

Box plots show median (orange line), the secons and third quartiles (the box), the range (excluding outliers), and any outliers as 'whisker' points. Outliers, by convention, are considered to be any

points outside of the quartiles +/-1.5 times the interquartile range. The limit for outliers may be changed using the optional whis argument in the boxplot.

Medians tend to be an easy reliable guide to the centre of a distribution (i.e. look at the medians to see whether a fit is improving or not, but also look at the box plot to see how much variability there is).

Test sets tend to be more variable in their accuracy measures. Can you think why?

```
[11]: import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
      %matplotlib inline
      # Set up X data
      x_for_box = [training_acc_results, test_acc_results]
      # Set up X labels
      labels = ['Training', 'Test']
      # Set up figure
      fig = plt.figure(figsize=(5,5))
      # Add subplot (can be used to define multiple plots in same figure)
      ax1 = fig.add_subplot(111)
      # Define Box Plot (`widths` is optional)
      ax1.boxplot(x_for_box,
                  widths=0.7)
      # Set X and Y labels
      ax1.set xticklabels(labels)
      ax1.set_ylabel('Accuracy')
      # Show plot
      plt.show()
```

