Evaluation of performance and productivity metrics of potential programming languages in the HPC environment

— Bachelor Thesis —

Division Scientific Computing
Department of Informatics
Faculty of Mathematics, Informatics und Natural Sciences
University of Hamburg

Submitted by: Florian Wilkens

E-Mail: 1wilkens@informatik.uni-hamburg.de

Matriculation number: 6324030

Course of studies: Software-System-Entwicklung

First assessor: Prof. Dr. Thomas Ludwig

Second assessor: Sandra Schröder

Advisor: Michael Kuhn, Sandra Schröder

Hamburg, February 13, 2015

Abstract

This thesis aims to analyze new programming languages in the context of HPC. To compare not only speed but also development productivity and general inner metrics, a basic traffic simulation is implemented in C, Mozilla's Rust and Google's Go. These two languages were chosen on their basic promise of performance as well as memory-safety in the case of Rust or easy multhithreaded execution (Go). The implementations are limited to shared-memory parallelism to achieve a fair comparison since the library support for inter-process communication is rather limited at the moment.

Nonetheless the comparison should allow a decent rating of the viability of these two languages in high-performance computing.

Table of Contents

I	Introduction			
	1.1	Motivation	4	
	1.2	Goals of this thesis	5	
2	Stat	State of the art		
	2.1	Weaknesses of C and Fortran	6	
	2.2	Candidates	6	
3	Approach			
	3.1	Overview: Streets4MPI	11	
	3.2	Implementation process	11	
4	Implementation		12	
5	Eva	luation	13	
6	Con	clusion	14	
Bibliography			15	
List of Figures			16	
List of Tables			17	
List of Listings			18	
Appendices			19	
A Appendix			20	

1. Introduction

This chapter provides some background information to high-performance computing. The first section describes problems with the currently used programming languages and motivates the search for new candidates. After that the chapter concludes with a quick rundown of the thesis' goals.

1.1. Motivation

The world of high-performance computing is evolving rapidly and programming languages used in this environment are held up to a very high standard. It comes as no surprise that runtime performance is the top priority in language selection when an hour of computation costs thousands of dollars. The focus on raw power led to C and Fortran having an almost monopolistic position in the industry, because their execution speed is nearly unmatched.

However programming in these rather antique languages can be rather difficult. Although they are still in active development, their long lifespans resulted in sometimes unintuitive syntax and large amounts of historical debt. Especially C's undefined behaviour often causes inexperienced programmers to write unreliable code which is unnecessarily dependant on implementation details of a specific compiler. Understanding and maintaining these programs requires deep knowledge of memory layout and other technical details.

Considering the fact that scientific applications are often written by scientist without a concrete background in compuer science it is evident that the current situation is less than ideal. There have been various efforts to make programming languages more accessible in the recent years but unfortunately none of the newly emerged ones have been successful in establishing themselves in the HPC community to this day. Although many features and concepts have found their way in newer revision of C and Fortran standards most of them feel tacked (//wording) on and are not well integrated into the core languages.

One example for this is the common practice of testing. Specifically with the growing popularity of test driven development it became vital to the development process to be able to quickly and regularly execute a set of tests to verfiy the ongoing work. Of course there are also testing frameworks and libraries for Fortran and C but since these languages lack deep integration of testing concepts, they often require a lot of setup

and boilerplate code and are generelly not that pleasant to work with. In contrast for example the Go programming language includes a complete testing framework with the ability to perform benchmarks, execute global setup/teardown work and even do basic output testing. [mai] (// cite vs footnote) Maybe most important all this is available via a single executable go test which may be easily integrated in scripts or other parts of the workflow.

While testing is just one example there are a lot of "best practices" and techniques which can greatly increase both developer productivity and code quality but require a language-level integration to work best. Combined with the advancements in type system theory and compiler construction both C and Fortran's feature sets look very dated. With this in mind it is time to evaluate new potential successors of the two giants of high-performance computing.

1.2. Goals of this thesis

This thesis aims to evaluate Rust and Go as potential programming languages in the high-performance computing environment. The comparison is based on a reimplementation of an existing parallel application in the two languages as well as C. This application is streets4MPI, a traffic simulation software written in Python using MPI to parellelize calculations. Since libraries for interprocess communication in Rust and Go are nowhere near production-ready this thesis will focus on shared memory parallelization to avoid unfair bias based solely on the quality of the supporting library ecosystem.

The final application is a simplified version of the original streets4MPI but will behave nearly identical. It uses the OpenStreeMap Project's .osm.pbf files as input and writes the results to a custom output format for later analysis. To reduce complexity it does not support additional commandline arguments and has limited error handling regarding in- and output.

While performance will be the main concern additional software metrics will also be reviewed to measure the complexity and overall quality of the produced applications. Another aspect to review is the tool support and ease of development.

2. State of the art

This chapter describes the current state of the art in high-performance computing. The dominance of Fortran and C is explained in section 2.1 and after that all language candidates are introduced and characterized.

- state of C and Fortran (section name?)
- technological advancements in low level languages static analysis .. -> But no real adaption possible, because language level support is missing (already included in introduction)

2.1. Weaknesses of C and Fortran

As stated in section 1.1 high-performance computing is largely dominated by C and Fortran. To understand why a new language is needed it is essential to understand the shortcomings of these programming veterans. (//language?)

// Candidates here for now might need another chapter for those

2.2. Candidates

This section aims to provide a rough overview of possible candidates to be used in high performance computing. Each language is introduced and categorized and at the end a quick comparison shows which are evaluated further in the thesis.

Python

Python is an interpreted general-purpose programming language which aims to be very expressive and flexible. Compared with C and Fortran which sacrifice feature richness for performance, Python's huge standard library combined with the automatic memory management offers a low border of entry and quick prototyping capabilities.

As a matter of fact many introductory computer science courses at universities in the United States recently switched from Java to Python as their first programing language. [Guo14] This allows the students to focus on core concepts and algorithms instead of boilerplate code.

```
# Function signatures consist only of one keyword (def)
  def fizzbuzz(start, end):
       # Nested function definition
       def fizzbuff_from_int(i):
4
           entry = ''
5
           if i %3 == 0:
6
                entry += "Fizz"
           if i\%5 == 0:
                entry += "Buzz"
           # empty string evaluates to false (useable in
10
              \hookrightarrow conditions)
           if not entry
11
                entry = str(i)
12
           return entry
13
       # List comprehensions are the pythonic way of composing
14
          \hookrightarrow lists
       return [int_to_fizzbuzz(i) for i in range(start, end+1)]
15
```

Listing 2.1: FizzBuzz in Pyhon 3.4

In addition to the very extensive standard library the Python community has created a lot of open source projects aiming to support especially scientific applications. There is $NumPy^1$ which offers efficient implementations for multidimensional arrays and common numeric algorithms like Fourier transforms or MPI4Py², an MPI abstraction layer able to interface with various backends like OpenMPI or MPICH. Especially the existance of the latter shows the ongoing attempts to use Python in a cluster environment and there have been successful examples of scientific high-performance applications (//need ref).

Unfortunately dynamic typing and automatic memory management come at a rather high price. The speed of raw numeric algorithms written in plain Python is almost always orders of magnitude slower than implementations in C or Fortran. As a consequence nearly all of the mentioned libraries implement the critical routines in C and focus in optimizing the interop (// wording) experience. This often means one needs to make tradeoffs between idiomatic Python - which might not be transferable to the foreign language - and maximum performance.

- python losing expressiveness (find alternatives) -> python losing justification for HPC - alternative implementations (medusa! and others)

 $^{^{1}}$ www.numpy.org

 $^{^2}$ www.mpi4py.scipy.org

Erlang

Erlang is a relatively niche programming language originally designed for the use in telephony applications. It features a high focus on concurrency and a garbage collector which is enabled through the execution inside the BEAM virtual machine.

- brief history?
- code example (not hello world rather show message passing)
- Upsides Great concurrency Message passing is default (no locks) Hot swap? Downsides Bad interfacing to other languages Weird syntax Limited (community/support?)

Go

Go is a relatively new programming language which focusses on simplicity and clarity while not sacrificing too much performance. Initially developed by Google it aims to "make it easy to build simple, reliable and efficient software" (//cite). It is statically typed, offers a garbage collector, basic type inference and a large standard library. Go's syntax is loosely inspired by C but made some major changes like removing the mandatory semicolon t the end of commands and changing the order of types and identifiers. It was chosen as a candidate because it provides simple concurrency primitives as part of the language (so called *goroutines*) while having a familiar syntax and beeing resonably performant. It also compiles to native code without external dependencies which makes it usable on cluster computers without many additional libraries installed.

The example show two key features - the already mentioned goroutines as well as channels used for synchronization purposes.

```
package example
2
  import "fmt"
3
  // Number of gorountines to start
5
  const GOROUTINES = 4
  func example() {
8
       // Create a channel to track completion
9
       c := make(chan int)
11
       for i := 0; i < GOROUTINES; i++ {</pre>
12
           // Start a goroutine
13
           go func(nr int) {
14
                fmt.Printf("Hello from rountine %v", nr)
15
                // Signalize completion via channel
16
```

```
c <- 1
17
             }(i)
18
        }
19
20
        for i := 0; i < GOROUTINES; i++ {</pre>
21
             // Wait for completion of all goroutines
22
             <-c
23
        }
24
   }
25
```

Listing 2.2: Go concurrency example

- brief history
- hello world or something similar
- Prediction implementation A bit of syntax weirdness Relatively quick PoC with decent concurrency aspects Some fixing/optimization afterwards regarding common concurrency errors -> More time spent after initial PoC but less than in C

Rust

The last candidate discussed in this chapter is Rust. Developed in the open but strongly backed by Mozilla Rust aims to directly compete with C and C++ as a systems language. It focuses on memory safety which is checked and verified at compile without (or with minimal) impact on runtime performance. Rust compiles to native code using a custom fork of the popular LLVM³ as backend and is compatible to common tools like the gdb debugger which makes integration into existing workflows a bit easier.

Out of the here discussed languages Rust is closest to C while attempting to fix common mistakes made possible by it's loose standard allowing undefined behaviour. (//wording?) Memory safety is enforced through a very sophisticated model of ownership. It is based on common concepts which are already employed on concurrent applications. The basic rule is that every piece of allocated memory is *owned* by *one* entity in the program (typically a variable) and only the owner can change the contents of that memory. To allow more complex algorithms values can be borrowed

- Key features
- Up/Downside
- Prediction Implementation Moderatly quick PoC without concurrency at first Nearly only otimization afterwards since compilation secures memory safety -> More time spent before initial PoC than after

 $^{^3 {\}tt www.llvm.org}$

Comparison

	Python	Erlang	Go
Rust		-	
Execution model compiled to native code	interpreted	compiled to bytecode	compiled
Advantages adv rust	low barrier of entry	builtin (lockfree) concurrency support	adv go
Disadvantages disadv rust	speed	obscure syntax	mandator
Relative speed speed rust	slow to average	average to fast	speed go

3. Approach

- 3.1. Overview: Streets4MPI
- 3.2. Implementation process

4. Implementation

 $In\ diesem\ Kapitel\ ...$

5. Evaluation

 $In\ diesem\ Kapitel\ ...$

6. Conclusion

 ${\it In~diesem~Kapitel~...}$

- Only evaluated shared memory -> Multi process implementations -> C: MPI, Rust: MPI via C FFI & opaque pointer, Go: MPI via wrapper? (less idiomatic code)

Bibliography

- [Guo14] Philip Guo. Python is Now the Most Popular Introductory Teaching Language at Top U.S. Universities. Oct. 7, 2014. URL: http://cacm.acm.org/blogs/blog-cacm/176450-python-is-now-the-most-popular-introductory-teaching-language-at-top-us-universities/fulltext (visited on 12/07/2014).
- [mai] The Go project maintainers. The Go programming language Package testing. URL: http://golang.org/pkg/testing/ (visited on 02/11/2015).

List of Figures

List of Tables

List of Listings

2.1	FizzBuzz in Pyhon 3.4	7
	Go concurrency example	

Appendices

A. Appendix

- System configuration -> clang/gcc version -> rustc and cargo version (possibly including commit hash!) -> go version and implementation (cgo?)

Erklärung

Ich versichere, dass ich die Arbeit selbstständig verfasst und keine anderen, als die angegebenen Hilfsmittel – insbesondere keine im Quellenverzeichnis nicht benannten Internetquellen – benutzt habe, die Arbeit vorher nicht in einem anderen Prüfungsverfahren eingereicht habe und die eingereichte schriftliche Fassung der auf dem elektronischen Speichermedium entspricht.

Optional: Ich bin mit der Einstellung der Bachelor-Arbeit in den Bestand der Bibliothek des Fachbereichs Informatik einverstanden.

Hamburg, den 26.11.2014