

# Applied Ordinary Differential Equations - Homework 1

Philip Warton

October 6, 2021

## 7.5.3

(b)

Find the general solution of the given system of equations and describe the behavior of the solution as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ .

$$\mathbf{x}' = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ 3 & -2 \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{x}$$

We begin by writing the characteristic polynomial,

$$(2 - \lambda)(-2 - \lambda) - (-1)(3) = \lambda^2$$

So we have two real and distinct eigenvalues  $\lambda_1 = -1, \lambda_2 = 1$ . We can write

$$[A - \lambda_1(I)]\mathbf{u} = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & -1 \\ 3 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} u_1 \\ u_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 3u_1 - u_2 \\ 3u_1 - u_2 \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{0}$$

This gives us an eigenvector of  $\mathbf{u} = (1, 3)^T$ . Then we write

$$[A - \lambda_2(I)]\mathbf{v} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 3 & -3 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} v_1 - v_2 \\ 3v_1 - 3v_2 \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{0}$$

And then we have an eigenvector  $\mathbf{v} = (1, -1)^T$ . This leads to a general solution,

$$\mathbf{x} = c_1 \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix} e^{-t} + c_2 \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} e^t$$

## 7.5.7

Find the general solution of the given system of equations

$$\mathbf{x}' = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 2 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{x}$$

We begin by solving for eigenvalues,

$$\begin{aligned} \det \begin{pmatrix} 1-\lambda & 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 2-\lambda & 1 \\ 2 & 1 & 1-\lambda \end{pmatrix} &= (1-\lambda)[(2-\lambda)(1-\lambda) - 1] - (1)[(1)(1-\lambda) - (1)(2)] + (2)[(1)(1) - (2)(2-\lambda)] \\ &= -\lambda^3 + 4\lambda^2 + \lambda - 4 \end{aligned}$$

This gives us three eigenvalues  $\lambda_1 = -1, \lambda_2 = 1, \lambda_3 = 4$ . After performing row reduction for the respective kernels of  $A - \lambda I$ . This yields the following eigenvectors,

$$\mathbf{u} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{v} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -2 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{w} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

## 7.5.20

Consider a  $2 \times 2$  system  $\mathbf{x}' = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}$ . If we assume that  $r_1 \neq r_2$ , the general solution is  $\mathbf{x} = c_1\xi^{(1)}e^{r_1t} + c_2\xi^{(2)}e^{r_2t}$ , provided that  $\xi^{(1)}$  and  $\xi^{(2)}$  by assuming that they are linearly dependent and then showing that this leads to a contradiction.

(a)

Explain how we know that  $\xi^{(1)}$  satisfies the matrix equation  $(A - r_1I)\xi^{(1)} = \mathbf{0}$ . Similarly, explain why  $(A - r_2I)\xi^{(2)} = \mathbf{0}$ .

We know that  $r_i$  is the eigenvalue corresponding to the eigenvector  $\xi^{(i)}$ . We also know that  $A\xi^{(i)} = r_i\xi^{(i)}$ . So then we expand our term to

$$(A - r_iI)\xi^{(i)} = A\xi^{(i)} - r_iI\xi^{(i)} = r_i\xi^{(i)} - r_i\xi^{(i)} = \mathbf{0}$$

(b)

Show that  $(A - r_2I)\xi^{(1)} = (r_1 - r_2)\xi^{(1)}$ .

We start by expanding the LHS term to give us

$$\begin{aligned}(A - r_2I)\xi^{(1)} &= A\xi^{(1)} - r_2I\xi^{(1)} \\ &= r_1\xi^{(1)} - r_2\xi^{(1)} \\ &= (r_1 - r_2)\xi^{(1)}\end{aligned}$$

(c)

Suppose that  $\xi^{(1)}$  and  $\xi^{(2)}$  are linearly dependent. Then  $c_1\xi^{(1)} + c_2\xi^{(2)} = \mathbf{0}$  and at least one of  $c_1$  and  $c_2$  (say,  $c_1$ ) is not zero. Show that  $(A - r_2I)(c_1\xi^{(1)} + c_2\xi^{(2)}) = \mathbf{0}$ , and also show that  $(A - r_2I)(c_1\xi^{(1)} + c_2\xi^{(2)}) = c_1(r_1 - r_2)\xi^{(1)}$ . Hence  $c_1 = 0$ , which is a contradiction. Therefore,  $\xi^{(1)}$  and  $\xi^{(2)}$  are linearly independent.

We can expand a certain term as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}(A - r_2I)(c_1\xi^{(1)} + c_2\xi^{(2)}) &= Ac_1\xi^{(1)} + Ac_2\xi^{(2)} - r_2Ic_1\xi^{(1)} - r_2Ic_2\xi^{(2)} \\ &= c_1A\xi^{(1)} + c_2A\xi^{(2)} - r_2c_1I\xi^{(1)} - r_2c_2I\xi^{(2)} \\ &= c_1r_1\xi^{(1)} + c_2r_2\xi^{(2)} - c_1r_2\xi^{(1)} - c_2r_2\xi^{(2)} \\ &= c_1r_1\xi^{(1)} - c_1r_2\xi^{(1)} + c_2r_2\xi^{(2)} - c_2r_2\xi^{(2)} \\ &= c_1r_1\xi^{(1)} - c_1r_2\xi^{(1)} \\ &= c_1\xi^{(1)}(r_1 - r_2)\end{aligned}$$

However, by assumption,  $c_1\xi^{(1)} + c_2\xi^{(2)} = \mathbf{0}$ , so we know that

$$(A - r_2I)(c_1\xi^{(1)} + c_2\xi^{(2)}) = (A - r_2I)(\mathbf{0}) = \mathbf{0}$$

Therefore we know that  $\mathbf{0} = c_1\xi^{(1)}(r_1 - r_2)$ , and we know that  $r_1 - r_2$  is non-zero, so it follows that  $c_1$  must 0. This is a contradiction to our assumption, so we conclude that  $\xi^{(1)}$  and  $\xi^{(2)}$  are linearly independent.

(d)

Modify the argument of part (c) if we assume that  $c_2 \neq 0$ .

The argument holds still.

(e)

Carry out a similar argument for the case where  $A$  is  $3 \times 3$ .

*Proof.* Assume that  $\xi^{(1)}, \xi^{(2)}, \xi^{(3)}$  are dependent. Then for any  $i, j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$  we can write the following: Firstly, similarly to part (a),

$$(A - r_i I)\xi^{(i)} = r_i \xi^{(i)} - r_i \xi^{(1)} = 0$$

Secondly, similarly to part (b),

$$(A - r_i I)\xi^{(j)} = r_j \xi^{(j)} - r_i \xi^{(j)} = (r_j - r_i)\xi^{(j)}$$

Finally, assume there exists some non-trivial solution to  $c_1 \xi^{(1)} + c_2 \xi^{(2)} + c_3 \xi^{(3)} = 0$ . Without loss of generality, suppose that  $c_1 \neq 0$ . Now we write that

$$(A - r_3 I)(A - r_2 I)(c_1 \xi^{(1)} + c_2 \xi^{(2)} + c_3 \xi^{(3)}) = 0$$

And this is true trivially because  $c_1 \xi^{(1)} + c_2 \xi^{(2)} + c_3 \xi^{(3)} = 0$  by assumption. However, now we can also write

$$\begin{aligned} & (A - r_3 I)(A - r_2 I)(c_1 \xi^{(1)} + c_2 \xi^{(2)} + c_3 \xi^{(3)}) \\ &= (A - r_3 I)(c_1 r_1 \xi^{(1)} + c_2 r_2 \xi^{(2)} + c_3 r_3 \xi^{(3)} - c_1 r_2 \xi^{(1)} - c_2 r_2 \xi^{(2)} - c_3 r_2 \xi^{(3)}) \\ &= (A - r_3 I)(c_1(r_1 - r_2)\xi^{(1)} + c_3(r_3 - r_2)\xi^{(3)}) \\ &= [c_1 r_1(r_1 - r_2)\xi^{(1)} + c_3 r_3(r_3 - r_2)\xi^{(3)}] \\ &\quad - [c_1 r_3(r_1 - r_2)\xi^{(1)} + c_3 r_3(r_3 - r_2)\xi^{(3)}] \\ &= c_1 r_1(r_1 - r_2)\xi^{(1)} - c_1 r_3(r_1 - r_2)\xi^{(1)} \\ &= c_1(r_1 - r_3)(r_1 - r_2)\xi^{(1)} \end{aligned}$$

So from this and from our previous assertion that the same term was equal to 0, we can write,

$$c_1(r_1 - r_3)(r_1 - r_2)\xi^{(1)} = 0 \implies c_1 = 0$$

This is true because we assume our eigenvalues to be distinct. However this clearly leads to the same contradiction as within our  $2 \times 2$  case. So we conclude that our eigenvectors must be linearly independent.  $\square$

## 7.5.21

(a)

Take the ordinary differential equation

$$ay'' + by' + cy = 0$$

Let  $x_1 = y, x_2 = y'$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} ax'_2 + bx_2 + cx_1 &= 0 \\ x'_2 &= -(bx_2 + cx_1)/a \\ x'_2 &= -\frac{b}{a}x_2 - \frac{c}{a}x_1 \end{aligned}$$

Also,

$$\begin{aligned} ax'_2 + bx'_1 + cx_1 &= 0 \\ x'_1 &= -(ax'_2 + cx_1)/b \\ &= -(a(\frac{-bx_2}{a} - \frac{cx_1}{a}) + cx_1)/b \\ &= -(-bx_2 - cx_1 + cx_1)/b \\ &= bx_2/b \\ &= x_2 \end{aligned}$$

So we can now write this differential equation as a system of first order ODE's of the form  $\mathbf{x}' = A\mathbf{x}$ . We can write

$$\mathbf{x}' = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -c/a & -b/a \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{x}$$

**(b)**

To find the roots of the characteristic polynomial we want to compute  $\det(A - \lambda I) = 0$ . That is,

$$\begin{aligned}\det \begin{pmatrix} 0 - \lambda & 1 \\ -c/a & -b/a - \lambda \end{pmatrix} &= 0 \\ (-\lambda)(-b/a - \lambda) - (1)(-c/a) &= 0 \\ \lambda(b/a) + \lambda^2 + c/a &= 0\end{aligned}$$

$$a\lambda^2 + b\lambda + c = 0$$

This equation is the same as  $ar^2 + br + c = 0$ , the characteristic polynomial for our second order system of ordinary differential equations.