

General Topology and the Fundamental Group - Homework 3

Philip Warton

June 10, 2021

Problem 1

Let G be a topological group.

a)

Suppose that $H \subset G$ is an open subgroup. Show that H is closed, and conclude that if G is connected that $H = G$.

Proof. H is closed We wish to show that $G \setminus H$ is open in order to show that H is closed. Let $g \in G \setminus H$ be arbitrary. Let $U = gH = \{gh \mid h \in H\}$ be an open neighborhood of g . We know this to be true since H must contain the identity element, so $g \in U$ and also we know that m is an open map, therefore gH is open since H is open. Now we wish to show that gH is contained in $G \setminus H$. Suppose by contradiction that there exists some element $h \in H \cap gH$. So of course $h \in H$, and since $h \in gH$ we say $\exists h_0 \in H$ such that $h = gh_0$. If we multiply both sides of the equality on the right by h_0^{-1} then we get $hh_0^{-1} = g$. Since h, h_0^{-1} both belong to H and H is a group, their product g also must belong to H . This a contradiction, since by assumption $g \in G \setminus H$ so $g \in H$ cannot also be the case.

G is connected $\implies H = G$ We will do a proof by contrapositive. Suppose that $H \neq G$. Then we can construct a non-trivial disconnection $H \cup (G \setminus H)$. Clearly the union is disjoint and covers G by definition. Since H is both open and closed it follows that the same must be true for its complement $G \setminus H$. Then since $H \neq G$ we know that $G \setminus H$ is non-empty. H must also be non-empty as it must contain at least the identity element. □

b)

Let $K_1, K_2 \subset G$ be compact sets. Show that their product $K_1 K_2$ is compact.

Proof. First, we write $K_1 K_2 = m(K_1, K_2)$. Since K_1 and K_2 are compact we know that $K_1 \times K_2$ is compact in the product topology. Then since $K_1 \times K_2 \subset G \times G$ is compact, we know that its continuous image must be compact. So since m is continuous, $K_1 K_2 = m(K_1, K_2)$ is compact. □

c)

Show that $m : G \times G \rightarrow G$ is an open map.

Proof. Let $U \times V \subset G \times G$ be an arbitrary basis element of the topology on $G \times G$. Then both U and V are clearly open by properties of the product topology. Then we write

$$m(U \times V) = UV = \{uv \in G \mid u \in U, v \in V\} = \bigcup_{u \in U} L_u(V)$$

Since V is open, for any $u \in G$, $L_u(V)$ is also open, and we conclude that $m(U, V)$ is open, therefore m is an open map. □

Problem 2

Let G be a topological group.

a)

Show that the connected component G_0 is a normal subgroup of G and that any connected component is of the form gG_0 for some $g \in G$.

Proof. By definition, we know that our identity element $e \in G_0$. We wish to show that $g(G_0)g^{-1} \subset G_0$ for every $g \in G$. Since L_g and $R_{g^{-1}}$ are both continuous functions, it follows that $g(G_0)g^{-1} = R_{g^{-1}}(L_g(G_0))$ is a connected set, since the image of a connected set is connected. Then also $geg^{-1} \in g(G_0)g^{-1}$ where e is the identity element. Then since $geg^{-1} = gg^{-1} = e$, it follows that $g(G_0)g^{-1}$ is a connected set containing e . Since G_0 is the connected component containing e , it contains any connected set containing e as well, so $g(G_0)g^{-1} \subset G_0$. Thus G_0 is a normal subgroup.

Now to show that any connected component is of the form gG_0 for some $g \in G$, let $H \subset G$ be a connected component. Let $h \in H$ be some element, since we assume H is non-empty. Then $L_{h^{-1}}(H)$ is an open connected set containing the identity element e . Suppose by contradiction that $h^{-1}H \neq G_0$ and there exists some element $a \in G_0$ that is not in $h^{-1}H$. However ha is an element of hG_0 which is of course a connected set containing h . But since $a \notin h^{-1}H$, we know that $ha \notin hh^{-1}H = H$. This means that H is not a connected component (contradiction). Therefore $h^{-1}H = G_0$, so H can be written in the form

$$H = hG_0$$

□

b)

Show that $G \setminus G_0$ is a totally disconnected group.

Proof. Let $[g] \in G \setminus G_0$. Let $H \subset G$ be a connected component other than G_0 . Let $a, b \in H$ be two elements. Then since $H = hG_0$ by part (a), it follows that $h^{-1}a, h^{-1}b \in G_0$. So then it follows that $[h^{-1}a] = [h^{-1}b] \Rightarrow [a] = [b]$. Since any two elements of H are equivalent, $H \subset [h]$. Let $g \in G$ be an element such that $g \simeq h$. Then it must be the case that $h^{-1}g \simeq h^{-1}h = e$. Therefore $h^{-1}g \in G_0$, which implies that $g \in hG_0 = H$, which implies that $H = [h]$. Since every point in the space belongs to a connected component, and its equivalence class will be equal to its connected component, it follows that each equivalence class in G/G_0 is a connected component, and that G/G_0 is a totally disconnected group. □

Problem 3

Let $Gl(n, \mathbb{C})$ be the set of invertible $n \times n$ matrices over the complex numbers. Let $SO(n)$ be the set of orthogonal $n \times n$ matrices.

a)

Show that $Gl(n, \mathbb{C})$ is path connected.

Proof. Let $A \in Gl(n, \mathbb{C})$. Then write its Jordan normal form as

$$J = P^{-1}AP$$

Since our matrices are invertible, our eigenvalues for A , $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ and for B , $\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_n$ are all non-zero. Since they all belong to the complex plane with 0 removed, we know that λ_i and $z = 1$ are path connected without crossing 0. This is because the set of complex numbers without 0 is a path connected space, simply go around the origin. So let γ_i connect λ_i with Λ_i such that $\gamma_i(t) \neq 0 \forall t$. Then across the superdiagonal simply linearly move from one to zero given by $s(t) = 1 - t$ with $t \in [0, 1]$. Then write our path,

$$\Gamma_{J,I}(t) = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_1 & 0 & & \\ & \gamma_2 & s & \\ & & \ddots & s \\ & & & \gamma_n \end{pmatrix}$$

Where for every sub-path along the superdiagonal we choose between $\{0, s\}$ appropriately (that is, if there is a 1 there for J_1 choose s , otherwise choose 0). Then we have $\Gamma_{J,I}(0) = J_1$, $\Gamma_{J,I}(1) = I$, and $\Gamma_{J,I}(t) \in Gl(n, \mathbb{C}) \forall t \in [0, 1]$. This last fact follows from the fact that our matrices remain as upper triangular matrices with no zeroes on the diagonal. We know that P, P^{-1} are group homeomorphisms, so it follows that

$$\Gamma = P^{-1}(\Gamma_{J,I})P$$

Then we know that $\Gamma(0) = A$ and $\Gamma(1) = I$, so any matrix is path connected to the identity matrix, therefore $Gl(n, \mathbb{C})$ is path connected. □

b)

Show that $SO(n)$ is path connected.

Proof. i Let $A \in SO(n)$ and $V \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a linear subspace. Suppose $L_A(V) = V$ and that $L_A(V^\perp) \neq V^\perp$. Since L_A is an isomorphism on \mathbb{R}^n , it follows that there exists some $\mathbf{v} \in V^\perp$ such that $L_A(\mathbf{v}) \notin V^\perp$. We know that there must exist also some $\mathbf{u} \in V$ such that $\mathbf{u} \perp \mathbf{v}$. Then we also have $L_A(\mathbf{u}) \perp L_A(\mathbf{v})$ since dot-product is preserved. However, this implies that $L_A(\mathbf{v}) \in V^\perp$ (contradiction). So it must be that if $L_A(V) = V$, then $L_A(V^\perp) = V^\perp$.

ii Now we wanna show that all real eigenvalues λ of A have the property $|\lambda| = 1$. Let λ be some real eigenvalue of A . There exists some corresponding vector \mathbf{v} such that $A\mathbf{v} = \lambda\mathbf{v}$. Since A is orthogonal, we know that $A^{-1} = A^T$. So then,

$$\|\lambda\mathbf{v}\| = \|A\mathbf{v}\| = (A\mathbf{v})^T(A\mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{v}^T A^T A \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v}^T \mathbf{v} = \|\mathbf{v}\|$$

Knowing that $\|\lambda\mathbf{v}\| = \|\mathbf{v}\|$ we can write the following:

$$\begin{aligned} \|\lambda\mathbf{v}\| &= \|\mathbf{v}\| \\ |\lambda| \|\mathbf{v}\| &= \|\mathbf{v}\| \\ \Rightarrow |\lambda| &= 1 \end{aligned}$$

Now we've gotta show that $\mathbb{R}^n = V \oplus V^\perp$ where V admits some basis $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n$ such that $L_A(\mathbf{v}_i) = \pm\mathbf{v}_i$ and $L_A(\mathbf{u}) \neq \mathbf{u}$ for every $\mathbf{u} \notin V$. We will do this by induction. Let $n = 1$. On the real line, the matrix whose transpose is its own inverse is $(1) = (1)^{-1} = (1)^T$. Then clearly $V = \text{span}(1)$, and $V^\perp = \{0\}$ is trivial so $\mathbb{R} = V \oplus V^\perp$. Assume by induction that the statement is true for some integer n , and we will show it holds for $n + 1$. Let us assume that the problem is non-trivial and that A possesses at least one real eigenvector \mathbf{x} . Then let $U = \{\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \mid \mathbf{u} \perp \mathbf{x}\}$. Clearly U is a subspace of \mathbb{R}^{n+1} with 1 less dimension, so it follows that since $\lambda\mathbf{x} = \pm\mathbf{x}$, we have $U = \mathbb{R}^n$. Then by induction $U = V \oplus V^\perp$ in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} . Since $U \perp \mathbf{x}$ we know that $V^\perp \perp \mathbf{x}$, and it follows that its orthogonal complement in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} will be equal to

$$\text{span}\{V, \mathbf{x}\}$$

From here it follows that $\text{span}\{V, \mathbf{x}\} \oplus V^{\perp n}$ is our direct sum as desired, with $\text{span}\{V, \mathbf{x}\}$ having the desired basis, that being the basis of V appended with \mathbf{x} . Thus, by induction the property is true for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since L_A is an orthogonal matrix, it is an orthogonal transformation, and in particular since by i we know that $L_A(V^\perp) = V^\perp$ so it follows that under such a restriction, $L_A|_{V^\perp}$ remains an orthogonal transformation.

iii By the same argument from ii, we know that $|\lambda| = 1$ even if it is complex. Let $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{w}_1 + i\mathbf{w}_2$ be a complex eigenvector. Write $\lambda = e^{i\alpha} = a + bi$. Suppose that $\mathbf{w}_1 = c\mathbf{w}_2$. Then we write $L_A(\mathbf{v}) = (a - b(c))\mathbf{w}_1 + i((c)a + b)\mathbf{w}_1$. So we say that

$$L_A(\mathbf{w}_1) = (a - b(c))\mathbf{w}_1 \quad L_A(\mathbf{w}_2) = ((c)a + b)\mathbf{w}_1 \quad (c)L_A(\mathbf{w}_1) = L_A(\mathbf{w}_2)$$

So it follows that $L_A(\mathbf{w}_1) = L_A(\mathbf{w}_2)/c = (a + \frac{b}{c})\mathbf{w}_1$. From these equations we write

$$\begin{aligned} (a - b(c))\mathbf{w}_1 &= \left(a + \frac{b}{c}\right)\mathbf{w}_1 \\ a - b(c) &= a + \frac{b}{c} \\ -b(c) &= \frac{b}{c} \\ -b(c^2) &= b \\ -c^2 &= 1 \\ c^2 &= -1 \end{aligned}$$

This clearly implies that the scalar c must be equal to i , making $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{w}_1 + \frac{i}{i}\mathbf{w}_1$ which is not complex (contradiction). So it must be the case that \mathbf{w}_1 and \mathbf{w}_2 are independent. Since the vectors are independent the images under L_A will also be independent since dot product is preserved. So it follows that their span will be preserved as well. So it follows that in the subspace of $\text{span}\{\mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}_2\}$ since both angle and span are preserved, we have a two dimension rotation matrix representing $L_A|_W$,

$$L_A|_W = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \alpha & -\sin \alpha \\ \sin \alpha & \cos \alpha \end{pmatrix}$$

iv We will use induction to show that \mathbb{R}^n admits a basis such that $L_A(\mathbf{v}_i) = \pm\mathbf{v}_i$ or L_A is a rotation matrix of the above form. For $n = 1$ we clearly have this since $O(1) = 1$. For the inductive step, by ii we have the direct sum $V \oplus V^\perp$ for which V already has the

desired property. By [iii](#), and since the dimension of V^\perp is less than that of \mathbb{R}^n we can also assume that, being a subspace isomorphic to \mathbb{R}^k where $k < n$, it too must yield a basis with the desired property. Thus \mathbb{R}^n is a direct sum of subspaces with the desired basis vector properties, so the space itself must be so as well.

[v](#) Since $\det(A) = 1$ it follows that the number of -1 's along the diagonal must be even. So it follows that the rotation matrix can take the W subspaces to the identity matrix without causing the determinant to equal 0, so we end up with a path to the identity matrix as follows:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & f & \cdots & f \\ 0 & 1 & \cdots & f \\ 0 & \cos \pi t & -\sin \pi t & \\ 0 & \sin \pi t & \cos \pi t & \end{pmatrix}$$

Or something of this form, which will be A at $t = 0$, and I at $t = 1$. □

Problem 4

Compute $\pi_1(S^1 \vee S^1)$.

Let $X = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid z = e^{2\pi it} \text{ or } z = e^{it} + 1, t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ with the subspace topology inherited from the complex plane. Then let

$$A = \left\{ z \in \mathbb{C} \mid z = e^{2\pi it} \text{ or } z = e^{2\pi is}, t \in \mathbb{R}, s \in \left(-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right) \right\} \quad B = \left\{ z \in \mathbb{C} \mid z = e^{2\pi it} \text{ or } z = e^{2\pi is}, t \in \left(-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right), s \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$$

Since the additional half circle is contractible, we say that $\pi_1(A, 1) \cong \pi_1(S^1, 1)$, and that $\pi_1(B, 1) \cong \pi_1(S^1 + 1, 1)$. Then we know that both A and B are open in X , since their complements are the closed left or right half circles. We can write $X = A \cup B = S^1 \vee S^1$. Then the point $z = 1 = x_0$ lies within the intersection $A \cap B$. By [Theorem 59.1 \(Munkres\)](#), it follows that $\pi_1(X, 1)$ is generated by path homotopy classes in A and B . That is, we can concatenate any finite number of loops in either to create a loop in X . For two circles touching at one point, this means all paths are generated by going around in some kind of ordered set of loops (clockwise/counterclockwise, multiple times/trivially no times) in either the first or second circle. Since the fundamental group for S^1 is isomorphic to \mathbb{Z} , it follows that $\pi_1(S^1 \vee S^1)$ will be isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$.