

# Machine Learning and Data Mining - Homework 0

Philip Warton

September 23, 2021

## 1 Probability

### Problem 1

It typically rains 73/365 days of the year. The forecast correctly predicts rain 70% of the time, and falsely predicts rain 30% of the time. How likely is it to rain given that rain has been forecasted.

Let  $F, \neg F$  denote a forecast of rain or no rain respectively, and let  $R, \neg R$  denote the presence of rain, or lack of rain, respectively. We know the following to be true from the problem statement:

$$\begin{aligned}P(R) &= \frac{73}{365} = 0.2 \\P(F | R) &= 0.7 \\P(F | \neg R) &= 0.3\end{aligned}$$

Then using this information we can compute the desired probability,  $P(R | F)$ . We invoke Bayes' Theorem, giving us

$$\begin{aligned}P(R | F) &= \frac{P(F | R)P(R)}{P(F)} \\&= \frac{P(F | R)P(R)}{P(F | R) \cdot P(R) + P(F | \neg R) \cdot P(\neg R)} \\&= \frac{0.7 \cdot 0.2}{0.7 \cdot 0.2 + 0.3 \cdot 0.8} \\&= \frac{7}{19} \approx 0.3684\end{aligned}$$

### Problem 2

You have a fair 6 sided die with a payout as follows:

$$\text{payout} = \begin{cases} 1 & x = 1 \\ -0.25 & x \neq 1 \end{cases}$$

We can simply compute the expectation to be

$$E[X] = \frac{1 - 0.25 - 0.25 - 0.25 - 0.25 - 0.25}{6} = -\frac{0.25}{6} = -\frac{1}{24}$$

### Problem 3

Let  $p(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}}$  be a probability mass function for some random variable  $X$  which has a mean of 0 and a variance of 1. Compute  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p(x)(ax^2 + bx + c)dx$ .

First recall that  $V[X] = \int_{\mathbb{R}} p(x)x^2dx$  and that  $E[X] = \int_{\mathbb{R}} p(x)xdx$  and finally that  $1 = \int_{\mathbb{R}} p(x)dx$ . Then, after distributing  $p(x)$ , the

integral follows trivially. That is,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p(x)(ax^2 + bx + c)dx &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p(x)ax^2 + p(x)bx + p(x)cdx \\
 &= a \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p(x)x^2dx + b \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p(x)xdx + c \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p(x)dx \\
 &= aV[X] + bE[X] + c(1) \\
 &= a(1) + b(0) + c(1) = a + c
 \end{aligned}$$

#### Problem 4

Let  $X$  be a continuous random variable over  $[0, 1]$  with the following probability mass function:

$$p(x) = \begin{cases} 4x & x \in [0, 0.5] \\ -4x + 4 & x \in (0.5, 1] \end{cases}$$

Compute the cumulative mass function.

We take the integral  $\int_0^t p(x)dx$  to be  $C(t)$ . So for  $x \in [0, 0.5]$  we get

$$C(t) = \int_0^t 4xdx = 2x^2 \Big|_0^t = 2t^2$$

Then we know that  $C(0.5) = 0.5$  so we can simply append this to the following integral:

$$\int_{0.5}^t -4x + 4dx = -2x^2 + 4x \Big|_{0.5}^t = [-2t^2 + 4t] - [-0.5 + 2] = -2t^2 + 4t - 1.5$$

Which in doing so gives us our desired function,

$$C(x) = \begin{cases} 2x^2 & x < \frac{1}{2} \\ -2x^2 + 4x - 1 & x \geq \frac{1}{2} \end{cases}$$

## 2 Linear Algebra

#### Problem 1

Let  $B = bb^T$  where  $b \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times 1} \neq \mathbf{0}$ . Show that  $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times 1}, x^T Bx \geq 0$ .

*Proof.* We will simply demonstrate the proof by rewriting the term  $x^T Bx$ . So we have,

$$\begin{aligned}
 x^T Bx &= x^T (bb^T)x \\
 &= (x^T b)(b^T x) \\
 &= \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & x_2 & \cdots & x_d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} b_1 \\ b_2 \\ \vdots \\ b_d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} b_1 & b_2 & \cdots & b_d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_d \end{pmatrix} \\
 &= (x_1 b_1 + x_2 b_2 + \cdots + x_d b_d)(x_1 b_1 + x_2 b_2 + \cdots + x_d b_d) \\
 &= (x_1 b_1 + x_2 b_2 + \cdots + x_d b_d)^2 \geq 0
 \end{aligned}$$

The last line follows since the square of any real number is non-negative. □

#### Problem 2

Solve the following system of equations:

$$\begin{aligned} 2x_1 + x_2 + x_3 &= 3 \\ 4x_1 + 2x_3 &= 10 \\ 2x_1 + 2x_2 &= -2 \end{aligned}$$

Let  $x = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{pmatrix}$ . Then we have the following system of equations:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 & 1 \\ 4 & 0 & 2 \\ 2 & 2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} x = \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ 10 \\ -2 \end{pmatrix}$$

So let  $A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 & 1 \\ 4 & 0 & 2 \\ 2 & 2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $b = \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ 10 \\ -2 \end{pmatrix}$  so we have our system in the form  $Ax = b$ . Then we compute the inverse of  $A$  to be

$$A^{-1} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} -2 & 1 & 1 \\ 2 & -1 & 0 \\ 4 & -1 & -2 \end{pmatrix}$$

So then we have

$$Ax = b$$

$$A^{-1}Ax = A^{-1}b$$

$$x = A^{-1}b = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -2 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix}$$

### 3 Proving Things

#### Problem 1

Show that  $\ln x \leq x - 1 \quad \forall x > 0$  and that  $\ln x = x - 1$  if and only if  $x = 1$ .

*Proof.* For the first part, consider  $f(x) = \ln(x) - (x + 1)$ . Then  $f'(x) = \frac{1}{x} - 1$ . Suppose that  $0 < x < 1$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} x &< 1 \\ \frac{1}{x} &> 1 \\ \frac{1}{x} - 1 &> 0 \end{aligned}$$

Which means that  $f(x)$  is increasing on  $(0, 1)$ . Let  $x > 1$ , then by the same chain of logic  $f'(x) < 0$  meaning that  $f(x)$  is decreasing on  $(1, \infty)$ . Then since  $f'(1) = 1 - 1 = 0$ , it follows that  $x = 1$  is a maximum for  $f(x)$  on  $(0, \infty)$ . This is the property we wish to show. Since the derivative  $f'(x)$  is only zero at exactly  $x = 1$ , the inequality must be strict.  $\square$

#### Problem 2

Let  $\sum_{i=1}^k p_i = \sum_{i=1}^k q_i = 1$  and  $KL(p||q) = \sum_{i=1}^k p_i \ln \left( \frac{p_i}{q_i} \right)$ . Show that  $KL(p||q) \geq 0$ .

*Proof.* Recall that  $\ln(a/b) = -\ln(b/a)$ . Then we begin with the following:

$$\begin{aligned}
 1 - 1 &= 0 \\
 \sum_{i=1}^k q_i - \sum_{i=1}^k p_i &= 0 \\
 \sum_{i=1}^k q_i - p_i &= 0 \\
 \sum_{i=1}^k p_i \left( \frac{q_i}{p_i} - 1 \right) &= 0
 \end{aligned}$$

Then since  $\ln(x) \leq x - 1$  it follows that  $0 = \sum_{i=1}^k p_i \left( \frac{q_i}{p_i} - 1 \right) \geq \sum_{i=1}^k p_i \ln \left( \frac{q_i}{p_i} \right)$ . This term can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned}
 - \sum_{i=1}^k p_i \ln \left( \frac{q_i}{p_i} \right) &\leq 0 \\
 \sum_{i=1}^k p_i \ln \left( \frac{p_i}{q_i} \right) &\geq 0 \\
 KL(p||q) &\geq 0
 \end{aligned}$$

□

## 4 Debriefing

Time Spent | 3:07:17 h:m:s (yes I timed it)

Difficulty | Moderate (the probability portion took some thinking)

Worked alone, looked up the linearity of expectation property.

Understanding | 40% (my understanding of probability is quite shallow)

Comments | Good assignment, I think as a mathematician I will have much more difficulty with the coding/programming assignments.